

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Arleen Feng	Neither of the new final maps splits my neighborhood so I appreciate that, and the efforts of the Commission to address the concerns of all communities	No
District 1	Brooke A Levin	The process of line drawing should have started before the very end of the last meeting. There are issues that should not have been created such as a line cutting off Buena Vista down the middle. Also, in the next redistricting, outreach to neighborhoods and business districts is key to a good process.	Yes
District 1	Jennifer Rose	We are concerned about the rezoning as it moves our local highschool (Oakland Tech), which is currently walking distance, to a new high school (MCClymonds high school). This is not close to us. We chose our house and neighborhood based on schools and the high school and feel it a huge burden to have this switched and for us to be in a whole new zone that is actually not correct. We are on the border and are now far from what would be considered our new zone. We do not agree to the zoning proposal and strongly object.	Yes
District 1	Danya Winterman	I'd like the k3 map. K3 map is best	No
District 1	John Casey	Adams Point resident, one of the most diverse and densely populated neighborhoods in the country. We birdwatch at Lake Merritt as well as walk and bike-ride around it for exercise. We occasionally picnic there.. We wish to be part of a district plan that keeps the Adams Point district adjacent and connected to Lake Merritt.	No
District 1	J.W. Yager	My community of interest is with my immediate neighbors and extends to the local shopping and cultural centers which are principally Montclair and College Avenue areas. My COI is also closely identified with local elementary schools where my children attended and that I have continued to support. Draft Plan K3 is preferable to Draft Plan F3. However for District A1 in Draft Plan K3, the eastern boundary should be placed AT the Warren Freeway. As currently drawn, a few streets on the western side of the Warren Freeway are folded into D4, the Montclair District thereby cutting the Upper Rockridge neighborhood in half. These streets belong to Upper Rockridge -- not to Montclair (District D4). Draft Plan F3 is NOT the preferred plan for District A1 because Hillcrest School is very obviously cut out of its Upper Rockridge neighborhood.	No
District 1	Annika Blick	Families / kiddos that can visit each other via a bike ride or walk with at most one hill between them (not a hill then valley then hill). Map F is much better	No
District 1	Steven Meyer	Upper Rockridge, extending from College Avenue shops and restaurants, to Frog Park, to Broadway corridor, to Lake Temescal. Where most students are able to walk or bike to school from home; whether it is Chabot, Claremont, Hillcrest, Oakland Tech - schools I consider to be within our COI.. I am very much in favor of K3 map, as it preserves our specific area of Upper Rockridge with the rest of Upper and Lower Rockridge. The current map seems to arbitrarily draw a line through our neighborhood, such that some students are zoned for Oakland Tech while others for Skyline. Oakland Tech is very much our neighborhood school, whereas Skyline is very much in a different area/neighborhood of Oakland.	No
District 1	Matthew Kaplan	Temescal, Mosswood Park. Why is Mosswood Park and the surrounding area part of D3 and not D1?	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Della Barnett	Retired civil rights and labor rights attorney. I live in Broadway Terrace area and care a great deal about homelessness, education, and open space.. I do not think it is a good idea to put all of the hills area in one district and concentrate the power of this demographic. I think it is better for people from different backgrounds and points of view to work together rather than being segregated and potentially pitted against each other.	No
District 1	Marjory Haskell	The entire city of Oakland.. As I have said putting the hills area into one district is a gigantic mistake that will have many unforeseeable negative consequences. F is the only district that keeps the hills in touch with the rest of the City. To segregate the hill area according to K will end up with a district that is very restive and constantly trying to escape from the City of Oakland.	No
District 1	Carolyn Burgess	N/A we have no interest other than making Oakland safer more financially sound. . The clear preference by verbal community input during meetings was map F. The chair and one consultant were clearly biased against people living in the hills. Segregation is against the law. We want to make Oakland stronger and diverse not encourage division.	No
District 1	Ann Harvey	I define my community of interest broadly as all Oaklanders who strive for a just, environmentally sound, health promoting city with respect and opportunities for all. I can't define it by a small geographic segment of the city. . I strongly prefer Draft Plan K3 over Draft Plan F3. Residents of the hills have important interests (eg fire preparedness) which should be represented on the council. However, hills residents, who tend to have more resources, should not have the chance to dominate multiple districts. Thank you.	No
District 1	Ben Bowen	Oakland Hills. I think Draft Plan K3 makes more sense for me than F3. Oakland Hills have different needs regarding fire prevention, road maintenance, vacant property, multi-family units, policing, and parking enforcement than most other areas of Oakland. Despite the fact that we pay more in property taxes than most other districts, our needs are rarely addressed in proportion to the tax base we provide. Area D4 would unite the Hills community in a way where we might have more sway in City Hall.	No
District 1	paresh	N.A. K3 looks good	No
District 1	thomas slemmer	Wooded hills. I would prefer that our district include all of the 'hills' regions east of 13. There are special needs for this part of the city such as fire prevention, vegetation management, access, storm management, utility cooperation, etc that would benefit from a counselor with special knowledge and focus.	Yes
District 1	Allen Fischer	Upper Rockridge, "The Hills". Strongly endorse map F3. K3 is crazy. It bizarrely splits our street (Contra Costa Rd). The people on the other side of the street (which is only 15 feet wide) have the same interest as us. Further, K3 promotes the division of the Hills from the rest of the City. I don't think that is helpful for our community.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Jeffery Kahn	<p>I lead the Rockridge Terrace Neighborhood Association, a neighborhood of 350 Oakland families west of Lake Temescal. Our community of interest, our most immediate interests, is our immediate neighborhood. Our neighbors. . The Rockridge Terrace Neighborhood Association endorses the F3 map, which keeps our neighborhood intact. On the other hand, we are very concerned about the proposed K3 redistricting map. It literally splits our neighborhood into two districts. In fact, it splits one side of our street, Contra Costa Road, into a separate district from the other side. As it does with an adjacent street, Buena Vista Avenue. On a larger scale, the K3 map will lead to creating ever greater divisions among the citizens of Oakland. We don't believe that placing all of the "hills" neighborhoods into just two districts is in the best interest of our City. It would further exacerbate the "hills" vs "flats" dynamic that already pits residents against each other instead of recognizing what we have in common. Limited resources and competing priorities make everyone feel shortchanged in influence and services. We need elected officials who listen to and represent the full range of Oakland's population so they create policies that benefit the whole city. competing priorities. We urge the Redistricting Commission to adapt the F3 map. Respectfully, Jeffery Kahn / Rockridge Terrace Neighborhood Association</p>	No
District 1	Rev. Millie Phillips	<p>resident of north Oakland, ministry and interfaith work primarily. My Name is Rev. Millie Phillips. I live in District 1 in the Bushrod neighborhood. I'm interested in redistricting because I believe our current district lines directly impact flatland residents such as my household members, my neighbors, and many I serve. I support Map H with an additional change. I believe a hills only district will help to provide flatland voters an opportunity to be fully represented by city council members and school district directors, rather than catering to a vision for Oakland that I believe tends to ignore the specific needs of people who tend to be lower income and more likely to be people of color. These needs can be different than those of hill residents, who tend to be more affluent, and the current districting dilutes the votes of lower-income and Black voters. Thank you for your time and dedication to making Oakland more equitable.</p>	No
District 1	anonymous	<p>see below.... The Mosswood neighborhood is NOT in West Oakland. It is a North Oakland neighborhood. IF you want to unify it, it should be in district A1. The area closest to the Safeway Ridge shopping center should also be completely in district A1. Clarewood could be a boundary if need be.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Kyle Donnelly	I live in the Longfellow neighborhood of D1. In general I visit stores and friends in the area between 27th St, San Pablo, Alcatraz, and Telegraph. I also spend a lot of time extending east above MacArthur to Grand. Occasionally I go downtown, to West Oakland, Chinatown, San Antonio, and Fruitvale areas. Every once in a while I go to the Dimond or Laurel.. Out of the four maps I see on the website, I strongly support Plan F. It makes sense to me both from a neighborhood standpoint and geographical compactness perspective. Details that stand out to me positively are D1 extending to Grand, more of downtown going to D2, D3 keeping the border along 580, and D4-D7 becoming more compact but without drastic changes. The other proposals which move D4 to the hills seem to reinforce the "hills-flat" divide, which I'm not a fan of perpetuating.	No
District 1	Prefer not to say - I work for the City	please consider this map as keeping logical communities of interest together: https://distrctr.org/edit/87789?event=oakland Thank You!. This map of D-1 makes the most sense to me and some of my North Oakland friends and neighbors. https://distrctr.org/edit/87789?event=oakland Thanks.	No
District 1	anonymous (city employee)	see my comment below. . The area in the 'hills' north of Hwy 24 (D-1) has little in common with the 'hills' near Merritt College (currently in D-6). It is appropriate and logical for the area north of 24 in the hills to continue to be part of the North Oakland D-1. This seems obvious if you talk to people who live in the area near Claremont Canyon, Hiller Highlands, Panoramic Hill, and Rockridge. Thank You.	No
District 1	Becky Scribner	Piedmont Ave neighborhood. In proposed map F, the block of Ramona Ave between Piedmont Ave and Moraga Ave (near Mtn View Cemetery) is split in half, with one side of the street being in one district, and the opposite side of the street in another. I live on this block, and I don't think this makes sense as a boundary. Our block is very tight knit, likely because it is narrow and not a thru-street and bordered by the cemetery. I don't see any practical reason why one side of the street would have a different COI/district than the other.... especially because the NE side of the street isn't really adjacent to any other residential area. The cemetery itself would make for a much more practical border.	No
District 1	Janet Noble	Piedmont Avenue and Broadway and Mountain View Cemetery. PLEASE don't arbitrarily draw up new boundaries -- LISTEN to community input. People need to feel they have a voice that is shared with neighbors who have shared common areas and schools.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Marjory Haskell	The entire City of Oakland. As a former Oakland City Council Member I attended many highly contentious meetings. The essence of a successful members conduct at such meetings is to remain cool and seek any points of common agreement you can find. From that you can shape a resolution which may not be exactly what you as an individual want but it is what will serve. The process that you have to follow to achieve resolution is to ascertain where there appears to be the greatest consensus and then slowly, issue by issue iron out differences as much as possible. In the case of the Redistricting Commission it clearly appears that F has the greatest support but it may need "tweaking." Unemotionally you need to test what changes will be acceptable. Ultimately the majority may not agree with you on certain changes. But that is the nature of the political beast. Accept it. This is a democracy based for better or worse upon the will of the majority.	Yes
District 1	Sonya Karabel	I don't know if i belong to any. I prefer map K, with these modifications- - The San Antonio D3 and D5 division line should be moved to 27th Ave to keep San Antonio in D2 - The Laurel and Dimond Districts (around MacArthur) should stay whole together in D5 rather than be pushed into the hills where their needs would be lost - The neighborhood surrounding the Coliseum should stay together rather than be cut off due to the suggested split between D6 & D7 - The D4 district line should move up towards the end of the 580 freeway (above around Keller Ave and not dip below the 580). Though I understand the concern about a hills district being redlining, it would actually help to concentrate the power of Oaklanders in the flatlands by making sure wealthier hills residents can't dominate the discussion in other districts.	No
District 1	Paulbickmore@gmail.com	Temescal . Lower Rockridge is very distinct from Upper Rockridge. As someone who lives just across the street from Lower Rockridge, I do a lot of business there. Upper Rockridge should be grouped with the restoration the hills, and the more urban neighborhoods like mine should be together. The hills are so distinct that all of them should be in one district. Create a hills only district, and a more urban one that combines North Oakland with any adjacent urban districts in West Oakland, Uptown and Downtown as necessary.	No
District 1	Pete Woiwode	Neighborhood. I support Map K with the following considerations: - The San Antonio D3 and D5 division line should be moved to 27th Ave to keep San Antonio in D2 - The Laurel and Dimond Districts (around MacArthur) should stay whole together in D5 rather than be pushed into the hills where their needs would be lost - The neighborhood surrounding the Coliseum should stay together rather than be cut off due to the suggested split between D6 & D7 - The D4 district line should move up towards the end of the 580 freeway (above around Keller Ave and not dip below the 580)	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Karen Ivy	My community of interest is the Rockridge district in north Oakland where I've lived for 35 years.. I feel the current emphasis on "communities of interest" is poorly chosen. At a recent meeting on the subject, a former city council member strongly supported council districts that go "from the hills to the bay," meaning that every district contains a broad range of races, income levels, and other interests of Oakland residents. The former member said she felt the broad range gave her a much better feel for what her constituents needed overall.	No
District 1	Philip Lang	redlining was pretty accurate. Why is this survey in English only?	Yes
District 1	Marjory Gibson Haskell	The community of interest for me is the diverse needs of all citizens of Oakland. . The mission of the Commission according to the City Charter is to redistrict according to population and not have any Council member be districted out of the their district. The concern of the Commission seems to be to create "communities of interest." What authority does the Commission have to depart from the City Charter directives? Preservation of diversity has been one of Oakland's goals. How does the Commission promote this goal?	No
District 1	Danica Truchlikova	Our Community is Rockridge, it is a well defined community of neighbors who care about the neighborhood, who patronize local stores, who are interested in proposals that potentially can change the character by splitting the Rockridge and create potentially adversary situation between two council members representing the same community. . Take your responsibility seriously and leave politics out of it.	No
District 1	anonymous (city employee)	Temescal, Rockridge and Upper Rockridge. I and several of my neighbors believe that this map (for District 1) makes the most sense going forward: https://districtr.org/plan/87789 It keeps Rockridge and all of Upper Rockridge together. It keeps the portion of the hills north of Hwy. 24 in the North Oakland district (district 1) connected to the lower portion of this area near the Claremont Hotel. This district 1 map adds Pill Hill and the adjacent area to the west to district 1 because these areas are connected to the Mosswood and Piedmont Ave neighborhoods respectively. This also moves a few blocks that are south of W. MacArthur and west of 24 to the adjacent district 3 since this area is in the same NCPC beat as the area immediately south of 580. Thank you for considering this!	No
District 1	Tiffany Eng	Oakland Hills - area at high risk for wildfire, earthquake damage, Downtown Oakland/Old Oakland . Downtown Oakland definitely needs more consideration as a COI. The downtown specific plan experience has shown we do not have adequate downtown representation, leadership or cohesive vision for such an important area. The Howard Terminal CBA process confirmed this. Downtown neighborhoods (Old Oakland, Jack London, Uptown, KONO, Chinatown, etc) have a regional impact and are uniquely affected by growth cycles and transformative infrastructure projects (Lake Merritt BART, Howard Terminal, etc), and the area deserves to have better coordination and representation. Can the commission explain the rationale behind splitting up downtown?	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Ann Harvey	<p>North Oakland flatlands The definition of community of interest doesn't really work for me. Or immediate neighborhood, while it has been gentrifying significantly over the past few decades, is racially, culturally, financially, (and less so linguistically—lots of mother tongues but few monolingual non-English speakers) diverse, but operates as a cohesive, cooperative, and welcoming community. I think one thing that distinguishes us from the hills is that we live more publicly and interactively—we have sidewalks and use them a lot. We rely more on public transportation, walking, and bicycling than people in the hills can. We value diversity. We leave and pick up lots of free items. We greet our neighbors who sit on their front porches. We go on lots of walks and converse at length with neighbors. We help each other out. I have friends in the hills, and they know and help their neighbors, too, but there is a more insular, small town ethos.. I favor Map D, except that I think College should be the boundary to the Berkeley border, rather than moving the triangles bordered by Miles, Claremont, Colby, 60th, and Hillegass, and Alcatraz from District A to District D—at least for the area's north of Chabot. I have been urged by the RCPC to flood you with opposition to Map D in favor of Map B, to "keep Rockridge together". To the contrary, our household feels we have more in common with the entirety of District A, Map D than with District A, Map B.</p>	No
District 1	Hilary Gibson	<p>Upper Rockridge neighborhood. The Commission's most recent round of maps all inappropriately split the Upper Rockridge neighborhood and Community of Interest in half at Broadway Terrace. The Upper Rockridge neighborhood extends from lower Rockridge to Moraga Ave. below the 13 freeway. It should remain together as it is currently, and in maps A and B.</p>	No
District 1	anonymous (city employee)	<p>North Oakland is comprised of many neighborhoods. Please see the "All Districts Many Neighborhoods One City (updated!)" map for a good proposal for D-1 and citywide. Thank You. . I've looked at several of the community initiated district maps and I believe that the "All Districts Many Neighborhoods One City (updated!)" is a thoughtful map that should receive serious Commission consideration. That map may need a few minor tweaks, but it's a very good proposal. I also believe that District 1 should include all of Rockridge and Upper Rockridge, the hills north of Hwy. 24, and even Pill Hill, as well as the other current D-1 neighborhoods. Again, the map titled, "All Districts Many Neighborhoods One City (updated!)" achieves this.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Susan Feinstein	I live in Rockridge Terrace adjacent to Lake Temescal. I shop, go to drs in Rockridge and piedmont ave. My public transit and libraries are in Rockridge. The maps that I prefer are H, G, F, E. We are in the Rockridge terrace homeowners group. Although we are out of the RCPC neighborhood group, we get their newsletter and follow their issues in our neighborhood. We follow NCPC areas 12y/13x. Rockridge and upper Rockridge. . Your maps can probably be blown up at kinkos (now fed ex),so they can be displayed at stores and libraries. Some of us don't go to farmer markets or churches. If you plan to not hold a zoom meeting, please notice people ie 11/13. Also UC Berkeley must have many people who could help you interpret the data from the map with the written directive of what is trying to be achieved by Redistricting. Many famous books are written on this subject, on how to display data.	No
District 1	Elizabeth Johnke	Rockridge , somewhat diverse group of people mostly white , mostly middle to upper income, values public transportation, values walkabiity index. none	No
District 1	Steven Cook	Residents who live in relative proximity to each other and primarily use the same locations for shopping, dining, transportation (e.g. same BART station). Neighborhoods such as West Oakland, Montclair, Rockridge, Fruitvale, etc., are good examples.. How do you define a community of interest (COI)?	Yes
District 1	Leslie Correll	Re: Rockridge Proposed D boundary design is totally unacceptable as it divides a long standing commercial and residential community along College Avenue. This community has worked long and hard to establish cohesion contributing to its becoming one of the most desirable neighborhoods in Oakland. The Proposed B design more closely relates to longstanding patterns and relationships. A primary consideration must TRANSIT as we upgrade infrastructure in future. A divided College Avenue would ensnare any transit planning in a web of conflicting interests. Bus routes, parking, bicycle routes, all would be divided. Also, the Rockridge Merchants' group would be split down the middle. We saw how the neighborhood was devastated when Hwy 24 & BART went in. Let's not "re-muddle" the area agaIn. If any re-districting must be had, it cannot be Proposed Design B. . The public has been given much too short notice to respond to the proposition of such major change, especially now during the Covid crisis. More rather than less lead time must be allocated for such a process. The City should conduct a new, major public information campaign to ensure full community participation and EXTEND the decisionmaking time frame. I am sorry I am not able to volunteer. Thank you.	No
District 1	David Kessler	North Hills Community Assoc., Claremont Canyon Conservancy, Vicente Canyon Neighborhood Association and Hillside foundation. Neighborhood has Berkeley & Oakland facets. Shop in Rockridge, Elmwood , life focused on UC campus where I worked all my life before retirement.. I think having districts that include mixed racial, ethnic, income and geographic areas is healthy. We have to learn we are all in this together. Going to meetings where you hear everyone's problems broadens the understanding.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Arlinda Befort	Diverse neighborhood of tenants and homeowners.. Have problem with Oakland map D because it puts an area with alot of tenants with thhomeowners of the hills. I don't see where tenants have same needs and concerns aas the homeowners of the hills. Because of the number of tenants we have had a strong advocate in Dan Kalb. Afraid that increasing the number of homeowners would affect the willingness of a council member to fight for the interests of tenants, who are much more vulnerable than homeowners.	No
District 1	H. E. Christian (Chris) Peeples	Piedmont Avenue Neighborhood. Please keep the Piedmont Avenue Neighborhood together and keep it with other "streetcar suburb" neighborhoods. Map "A" works well. Maps "C" and "D" do not. The Piedmont Ave neighborhood goes from Broadway Street on the North to Oakland Avenue on the South and from MacArthur Boulevard on the East to the Mountain View cemetery on the West. I have lived in the Piedmont Avenue neighborhood at 4037 Howe Street for 46 years. I have been a member of, and active in, the residential neighborhood group, -- PANIL (Piedmont Ave Neighborhood Improvement League) and very active in Oakland politics for all that time. I served on the last two Charter revision Commissions and was on the initial Oakland Ethics Commission. I served as the first administrative assistant to the honorable Mary Moore, council member for District 2 (the Piedmont Ave neighborhood was then part of District 2 until the 1990 redistricting) and was extremely active in the 1980, 1990 end 2000 Oakland redistrictings. I was the lead Board member on the 2000 and 2010 AC Transit redistrictings, working extensively with IGS at UC Berkeley. I have participated in every statewide redistricting since 1970. The Piedmont Avenue neighborhood has a very strong identity. It has had a residential group (PANIL) since 1974 which is active in development, traffic, crime and other issues. (It serves as the NCPC for it's beat, 9X.) It has a merchants' group, PAMA (the Piedmont Avenue Merchants Association) for even longer than PANIL. PANIL and PAMA often cooperate on issues and projects. The Piedmont Ave neighborhood was constructed as an "streetcar suburb" to accept refugees from the 1906 earthquake. It has that in common with many of the neighborhoods in Oakland "Midlands". They are all built around a series of streetcar streets many them centers of commercial districts. In the immediate vicinity of Piedmont Ave there was a streetcar on Broadway, on College Avenue, on Piedmont Avenue, on Grand Avenue, on Lakeshore Avenue, etc. Oakland Ave had a cable car. Those neighborhoods had some multiple residential development on the "streetcar" street and perhaps the adjacent street, then single family homes until you approached the next "streetcar" street where the pattern repeated. As such those neighborhoods, even today, have a very high "walk score" and "transit score." For a full discussion of this development pattern, see the book "AC 15" by a geography professor at UC Berkeley (I can't put my hands on my copy, or I would give you the author's name.) In contrast, although Montclair had vacation cabins very early on, the full development of the hills occurred after World War II. As a result, all those neighborhoods from Montclair all the way down to Golf Links are highly car dependent with limited transit and limited ability to walk. Many of them do not even have sidewalks. In addition, districts in Oakland traditionally (at least prior to the 1980 redistricting) were hills to the Bay districts. Each of them included a high school and thus both the districts and the high schools were economically and racially integrated. Every district had to be attentive to the concerns of people who dwelt in the flats. As I said at the beginning, please keep the Piedmont Avenue Neighborhood together and keep it with other "streetcar suburb" neighborhoods. The Piedmont Avenue neighborhood in the past has been associated with "streetcar" neighborhoods to the North, e.g. College Avenue or "streetcar"	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
		neighborhoods to the South, e.g. Rose Garden and Grand Avenue. Either of those works. Thus, Map "A" works well. Maps "C" and "D" do not. Thank you for your work and for your attention to my comments.	
District 1	CAROLYN MAHONEY	The heart of District 1 is the Rockridge shopping district surrounded by Rockridge and Upper Rockridge neighborhoods.. Proposed Map D is very flawed. It does not take in to account community interests.	No
District 1	Greg pasquali	Rockridge- the area around and along College Avenue, north of 51st, east of Telegraph. Don't split Rockridge into multiple districts.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Davin Fan	Rockridge. I just read about the two finalist proposals for redistricting. I am very against plan D which would split Rockridge into 2. My wife and I recently moved to Rockridge and love the local community here. We would be deeply disappointed if this community, which dates back nearly a decade would suddenly be now split in half. As someone who lives close to college Ave, I see that as the heart of rockridge, so it makes no sense to create a border there. Why do this?	Yes
District 1	Namrata	N/A. I support Draft Map B. That is basically the zone in which travel.	No
District 1	paresh	Young, SF commuters who want a safe, walkable and healthy lifestyle for their growing families, including pets. Basically draw a 25-minute walk radius around MacArthur BART station...stretching from Emeryville to Piedmont, up to Rockridge and down to West Oakland.. Keep Temescal and Longfellow together and think about what I said above.	No
District 1	Linden Julien-Lehr	My community includes diverse, low-income renters and small retail businesses in the flatland areas that are at risk of being pushed out of Oakland through processes of gentrification. I want to protect my community's ability to live and prosper in the city they have called home for years if not generations. I support Map A because it does not create a "hills" district that would concentrate power in the wealthiest areas of the city.. I am opposed to an all-hills district, and believe as many districts as possible should have a mix of hills and flatlands because... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I don't want political power concentrated in the wealthy hills • Districts that are flatland-only will invite outside corporations and groups to "buy" Council seats and influence too much of our politics... • It minimizes the voices of tenants by creating a district with mostly homeowners • Most major public parks will be concentrated in the hills – and flatland communities will have less voice to advocate for greater access to them. • It will weaken the power of flatland communities. Right now, almost all council members are forced to listen to our voices because we are part of every council district. Please move forwards with Map A districting lines. 	No
District 1	Peg Janosch	I have lived near near Piedmont Avenue for over 30 years. I am active supporting our local library (Friends of PAL), a member of our neighborhood association (PANIL and a reading partner in our local elementary school.. I support Map B - this choice keeps or vibrant neighborhoods of Piedmont Avenue, Temescal & Rockridge intact & allows us to work together on common interest.	Yes
District 1	Victoria Larson	Queer women, civil rights attorneys, young women . Creating a new district for just the hills will consolidate power with the wealthy. This is the exact opposite of how power needs to be distributed throughout Oakland. This is such a special city. Power consolidated in the hills puts that at risk.	No
District 1	Arleen Feng	Piedmont Avenue neighborhood (between Broadway and Piedmont city line, W MacArthur and cemetery). I support proposed map B and do NOT support proposed map D because D makes my COI into a lowland "tail" to an otherwise all-hills district, and separates us from the adjacent Temescal and Shafter neighborhoods which are much more similar in housing types (mixed single and multi-family) and issues like homeless encampments.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Hannah Wolf	Upper Rockridge, Montclair . I do not support an all-hills district, even as someone who lives in Upper Rockridge. It would create even more socio-economic and racial segregation in Oakland than there already is.	Yes
District 1	Jennifer Jastrab	I live in Temescal neighborhood. I believe our community valued diversity, small businesses and creative entrepreneurs, but gentrification is changing our neighborhood. . I moved to the Bay Area for graduate school in 2008, something I could no longer do in 2021. I lived on student loans and a \$10/hr job the school offered. It allowed me to rent a room for \$475. month and no more. I love the diversity of the bay, but I am afraid that the cost of purchasing or renting commercial and residential properties is contributing to segregated upper class neighborhoods. Artists, creative entrepreneurs and young families, people without inherited wealth, will look elsewhere for opportunities to build their lives. When every single family home in your neighborhood lists for 1 million plus, what kind of people does that attract?	No
District 1	Helen Smiler and Marlene Johnson	Rockridge - We are 45 year residents in the same house. We shop here and have neighbors and friends. Greater Oakland - We care about all the residents of Oakland especially the challenges many have with education, housing and employment.. We are in favor of a plan that keeps current boundaries as much as possible. In our case, that would be Map B of those currently being considered. We are opposed to the plans like Map D that split Rockridge along College Avenue and north of the BART/Highway 24 overpass. We no longer have a child at home but when we did, she attended Chabot Elementary and Claremont Middle Schools, and had friends living on both sides of College Avenue. Had this new map been in place, those schools would not have been in our school board or council district. The Rockridge Community Planning Council (RCPC) is a well-established neighborhood organization. Their candidates' forums and monthly newsletter keep us well informed about our community. Imagine the confusion if the Rockridge area is split between two different districts and the RCPC has to try to cover both.	Yes
District 1	Elizabeth	I live in the North Hills, am concerned about public safety for the whole city, particularly the role of police, fire, public works, transportation, public health.. The Commission is boxed in between a late census and the December deadline, leaving insufficient opportunity for community engagement. I'm not familiar with or involved in any of the organizations that are currently involved, don't feel represented at all.	Yes
District 1	Kara Durand	Hyperlocal community - walk to neighborhood public school, work, stores.. The "hills district" maps (C and D) are astonishingly upsetting. The last thing Oakland needs is more segregation between "hills vs flats". I can palpably feel the "good tension" created in District 1 as it stands due to the mix of more affluent portions in Rockridge and my immediate neighborhood of Santa Fe/Longfellow. This is to be celebrated and perpetuated not eliminated.	No
District 1	Tim	North Oakland. Please don't perpetuate a "hills vs. flats" mindset in your district boundaries.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Valerie Winemiller	Piedmont Avenue Neighborhood Improvement League (PANIL), steering committee member. Neighborhood assn since 1974.. To be submitted separately.	Yes
District 1	Piedmont Avenue Neighborhood Improvement League (PANIL)	Neighborhood Association since 1974, also Neighborhood Crime Prevention Council for Oakland Police Beat 9.. Feedback to be submitted separately.	Yes
District 1	casey farmer	Rockridge (See RCPC's Letter). See RCPC's Letter	No
District 1	Shauna H Smith	Oakland Hill residents including Upper Rockridge share similar standards of living, transportation issues related to terrain and concerns about property crimes. . I would like to see Upper Rockridge included in the Oakland Hill district which is reflected in Map D	No
District 1	Chris Vernon	I think of my community of interest as being North Oakland, not so much the North Oakland Hills though.. I think Draft Map B looks like the most reasonable of the four.	No
District 1	Matthew Bilotti	I checked your definition and it suggests that COIs need to be protected in a single district, or perhaps small number of districts, to protect their voice on the Council. I think that's a reasonable assumption for many COIs that might come to mind, but not for the affluent, largely caucasian community that tends to cluster in the hill districts and Rockridge. This community has historically not been marginalized, has an outsized voice on the Council today, and does not feel like a COI despite it being consolidated into a single district, such as in Draft Map D.. The reason to prefer a map like Draft Map D is because it consolidates this community into a single district. We are doing this not because the hills and Rockridge community is itself a COI, but because by doing so it allows other districts to be much better reflective of their COIs. Draft Map D will give us a Council that is much more responsive to the communities that need its attention.	No
District 1	Emily Nakashima	Temescal/Telegraph + the Piedmont Avenue area below Pleasant Valley. These two areas together with the space in between (Broadway area) encompass where I go to church, my starting points for public transit, where I most frequently go out to eat, where I go for exercise, etc. I feel that these two areas are similar in terms of culture and housing type (mix of single family and multi-family homes) and interests.. I love that we're considering changes to the district shapes. Draft plans C & D are both favorable to me over the current arrangement, although I would prefer a plan that places the Piedmont Avenue area below Pleasant Valley in area A while moving the areas above and along Broadway Terrace to D. I think of those areas as having more of an affinity with "the hills" than the Piedmont Ave corridor, and less in common with anyone living on the flat lands.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Jame Ervin	I live in the grey land of Grand Lake, Adams Point and Piedmont Ave. I reviewed maps C&D - and I did not understand the intent with the new lines - but unfortunately the level of detail for the new "hills districts" did not make it clear what was happening - these maps need to be zoomable so we can better understand the boundaries. The big thing I noticed was splitting up a lot of existing neighborhoods - like Rockridge and Glenview. It looks like the intention was to dilute voting groups, and connecting neighborhood that do not have much in common and further codify the "hills and flats" division. I would prefer to keep the existing boundaries - because C&D are not an improvement. I think that we need to go back to the drawing board on these proposed options - they are not great.	No
District 1	Nisha Sudarsanam	I am Asian, dependent on public transportation and a recent house owner. None, mainly registered for meeting info and volunteer opportunities	Yes
District 1	Ronnie Spitzer	Rockridge. I suggest you reach out to your existing COIs and request they forward your information to other potential COIs and neighborhood groups. This will help your outreach.	Yes
District 1	Stuart Flashman	Rockridge . Needs much, much more outreach and community involvement	Yes
District 1	Jonathan Gabel	Resident of Rockridge. Ditch maps C and D, which would split Rockridge in two.	No
District 1	Ivar Diehl	Eastlake neighborhood: very different from downtown, shares more with Chinatown. Flatlands: Very different priorities from more affluent hills area.. I support redistricting map B. This map separates the flatlands from the hills, enhancing the weaker, less affluent flatlands. It also unites Chinatown and Eastlake which makes sense as these two communities are linked through the historic Cantonese community as well as other Asian ethnic groups.	No
District 1	Kushal Modi	My COI is Rockridge, and Affordable Housing. . The new D district (comprising of most of the Oakland Hills + parts of Rockridge) might be seen by many as the Berkeley-esque NIMBY community. The new "Hills District" also seems to include a lot of lower and upper Rockridge. Lower Rockridge has a bunch of condos + the future CCA dev - Upper/Lower Rockridge can support a lot more growth unlike the actual hills. I am concerned that NIMBY majority will do some harm to potential growth areas for housing particularly in lower Rockridge.	No
District 1	Robert Prinz	NW Oakland. 1) I discourage the commission from using freeways as boundary lines between districts. The freeways already divide our communities, this shouldn't be reinforced via political boundaries. We should be working to bridge these divides instead. 2) NW Oakland west of MLK Jr Way has experienced some of the most significant gentrification & displacement in all of Oakland over the past 10 years. But it has often gone overlooked because this part of the city gets lumped in with the wealthier parts of "North Oakland" and isn't then considered a priority area for planning purposes. I would like to see NW Oakland and West Oakland combined into one district, because these areas share a lot more commonalities than the rest of North Oakland east of MLK Jr Way.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Stuart Flashman	The Rockridge Area is my primary community of interest. It's boundaries extend from 51st St. on the South, Telegraph Ave. on the west, the Berkeley border on the north, and Broadway Terrace and Highway 13 on the east. It centers around the College Ave. commercial district and the various school attendance areas within Rockridge, which serve as unifying factors for its residents. also the attendance areas for Chabot Elementary School, Peralta Elementary School, Claremont Middle School, and Oakland Technical High School. A secondary community of interest is North Oakland, including the area from the Emeryville border on the west, the Berkeley border on the north, Macarthur Boulevard on the south, and Highway 13 on the east. While I live east of College Avenue, I feel much more commonality with the area west of College Avenue than with the Area east of Highway 13.. Where did proposals C and D come from. Their division of the Rockridge area between two districts appears uninformed, bordering on outright malice.	Yes
District 1	Matthew Kaplan	Temescal, Mosswood, Piedmont Avenue. What is the ORC looking to accomplish?	No
District 1	Denise Dang	Nature-loving, retired folks, North of hwy 24, east of hwy 13. . Can we please make the North and Eastern parts of District 1 above Hwy 24 part of Berkeley? Our area is neglected by Oakland given that it is so far away from the communities and would be better served by Berkeley given the proximity.	No
District 1	Brooke A Levin	Interested in having Lake Merritt defined as a Community of Interest. Now its in D-2 and D-3. With the population of D-3 exceeding the level for a district it should shift the boundry to D-2 and encompass the Lake.. See #4	Yes
District 1	Alice butler	Claremont Pines district that extends northeast of Broadway Terrace. 5882 margarido dr was in the Hillcrest school district when we purchased this house in 1976. We sent our youngest child to Hillcrest. This area must be zoned for Hillcrest.	Yes
District 1	Jody London	Temescal, Rockridge. I was on the School Board for 12 years (2009-2020). It is important that districts represent diverse socioeconomic groups. We inherited the redlined housing system that currently comprises our city. Can we use the redistricting process to address historic injustice and disproportionality?	No
District 1	Josh Shinoff	Claremont Hills. The district should be drawn to group the areas with common interests together, not arbitrary geographies.	Yes
District 1	Gracie Osborne	Definition: A community of Interest is a population with at least one commonality in their demographic. . I think districts should include populations with different views, so splitting with no bias towards constitutes' political beliefs.	No
District 1	Owen	Bushels/Santa Fe, North/West Oakland. How will Oakland population growth modify the map?	Yes
District 1	Kevin	My community of interest is the community that wants to end the distribution of drugs to minors. . My community of interest is the community that wants to end the distribution of drugs to minors.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	eren	The County of Alameda redistricting currently occurring is it should stay the same and should not change. Or it should change and be a bit more organized. . Since I do not live in Oakland I still do think that it a necessity to redistrict the areas as it might affect a community in that area .	No
District 1	Kent Ly	My interest in my community is that I want all the districts to be equally represented and all the districts to be evenly populated.. N/A	No
District 1	River Tuason	My COI would be environmental issues. . The trash cans all over the Emeryville area are always overfilled, I was wondering if we could find a way to frequently empty out these trash cans at least once a week.	Yes
District 1	Escher Pearce	My COI is for what is the right thing to do.. I believe we should add a community of interest that is a equal mix of different ethnicity that share the same democratic interest.	No
District 1	Donovan	1. People should be grouped up by the number of population rather than by race, religious, ethnic, and economical groups.. 1. People should be grouped up by the number of population rather than by race, religious, ethnic, and economical groups.	No
District 1	Nigel Feng	I think my Community of Interest is by location in the City of Oakland.. No questions	No
District 1	Barry Fong	I believe that my community of interest in the county would be location and the have an even population split.. No.	No
District 1	Becky Scribner	Piedmont Ave / Rockridge / Temescal... the area bordered by 580/Telegraph/13/Piedmont. All share common characteristics of having thriving business districts that draw visitors from within and beyond the neighborhood. And these neighborhoods have high walkability, which drives a shared need for public transportation and safety. . Within our district, there is huge variability in school quality. How can we ensure equity across the public schools in our district when it comes to programming, facilities, teachers, and outside (PTA) funding? Can we require all PTA funds go into a shared pool equally distributed across the district?	No
District 1	Matthew Kaplan	N/A. What is the commission looking to value/prioritize with redistricting?	No
District 1	Judith Huarte	.	Yes
District 1	Debra Israel	Tenant - active in advocacy around tenant rights/apt dwelling communities - coming together to support each other, especially during COVID and mutual aid, disaster prep. Housing/Homelessness - strong supporter of unhoused community members and there being adequate/quality services provided in the district Racial Justice/Equity - member of a racial justice group, part of groups that build neighborhood awareness of racist profiling/interventions to eliminate white supremacy/systems that uphold it.. How will updates/info be shared with community?	No
District 1	C Leonard	My neighborhood has become so gentrified I almost have nothing in common with these folks. sadly. What are your goals?	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 1	Ahmed Ali Bob	Geography, cultural landmarks, communities ties in my general vicinity that make me feel a sense of belonging.. How do we center equity in the redistricting process to ensure representation and equitable distribution of resources?	Yes
District 1	Jeremy Gormley	Education equity and school desegregation. How do school attendance boundaries currently concentrate students by wealth and race?	Yes
District 2	Kathryn Hoffman	We have been in District 2 for 26 years and voice support for map F3 if it means a more equitable distribution of resources and representation across our city. We oppose the continuation of historical redlining.	Yes
District 2	Patty Montmorency	Draft map K3 as of 12/13/2021 divides Lakeshore Homes Assn ("LHA") the least. Approx 20 homes on MacArthur opposite Oakland High would be excluded from the rest of our COI. Map F3 splits LHA, with most in B2 and all the streets next to Trestle Glen Rd (toward Park Blvd side) in D4. Holman, Barrows, Bates, Creed. LHA is a COI of 1,055 homes, 104-year old HOA (deed-restricted), and an engaged neighborhood as a whole. We have our CORE (emergency response & prep) teams working as a unit across our footprint. Our councilperson becomes acquainted with our community, speaks at annual meetings, and guides us as a whole. Please keep LHA together so that our relationship with the City of Oakland and our councilperson may continue intact and healthy. Thank you.	No
District 2	Janie Page	My strong preference is for K3/D4 as it does not divide the neighborhood arbitrarily via Trestle Glen Road, which is a point of commonality that unites us. Park Blvd would have been a better dividing line. In addition, while we do have a common interest in the commercial districts of Lakeshore and Grand Avenue, we have more in common with hills neighbors.	No
District 2	Arik Goodman	I'm writing to express my family's desire for Map K-3. The other option proposes removing children from the most diverse and least wealthy streets in the neighborhood from Crocker Highlands Elementary School. This seems to go against the City's goals around increasing equity. Why are you penalizing these children?	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	M Davies	<p>In 2013 as part of the redistricting, a group of disenfranchised homeowners who the then redistricting committee named “Trestle Glen Neighbors”, lobbied to get their homes relocated from district 5 into district 2. The district boundary had been drawn down the middle of Trestle Glen between Valant Place and Creed Road, separating a community of interest. The district 5 council member Noel Gallo was even adamant that this section was not in his district at all, so had no objection to us being moved into district 2. The redistricting committee thought that the division made no sense, and it was a simple choice for them to agree with our request, which was accompanied by the signatures of all homeowners, to unite Trestle Glen and make our community whole. Since the block was moved into district 2, three children have been able to attend Crocker Highland Elementary school, alongside their friends who live on the other side of their street, something which was not possible before. This school choice is the sensible one, given its proximity to our homes and the safety of them being able to walk to school. The neighbors in this section of Trestle Glen have an active community of interest, which prior to the pandemic includes regular happy hours, a neighborhood watch, a national night out attended by our council representative, and invitations for candidates to elected office, for meet and greets. We are an active and engaged community who share, and discuss issues related to our district. Now, 8 years later, with at least one of the proposed maps (named F3), the redistricting committee want to undo what was done - and worse, they now want to drive a wedge down the entire length of Trestle Glen, entirely disuniting this community, and others too, including the more than 100 year old Lakeshore homes association. We have only just become aware of the planned redistricting options, leaving us little time to advocate for the best choice. The outreach and notification about this redistricting has been appalling. The Mayor finds numerous ways to let us know about the go, no-go, or go again of the A’s remaining in Oakland. Council members such as Ms. Kaplan are well-able to inform us about their fundraising for re-election. Mrs. "My cat is lost" is able to reach more than 30,000 neighbors at a time with a single posting to Nextdoor, yet this important notification about redistricting is only now becoming known to the vast majority of us - even those of us who were active the last time this happened. We are now left with a choice between two maps that puts us between a rock and a hard place, and the only choice that our community of interest is able to make unless modifications are made, will be for K3. Any other choice divides strongly united communities of interest so is no choice at all. I urge the committee to not vote on either of these maps, but instead engage in proper outreach and draw boundaries which do not divide communities that have struggled to stay together. There is no reason why this must happen so urgently without the proper outreach. Failing that, I urge the committee to leave Trestle Glen whole, and in a single district. Do not undo what so many asked to be rectified the last time we had the opportunity.</p>	Yes
District 2	Cecelia Conlon	<p>I would consider our COI well integrated.. K3 is the original district and should remain intact. Many residents purchased in this district many years ago for various reasons, one being access to schools.</p>	No
District 2	Michael	<p>Lakeshore Homes Association. Lakeshore homes Homeowner association was founded in 1917 and has always been contained within the same district. As such splitting it up would be a disservice to this long standing community. Map K-3 should be the map of choice as it keeps one of the oldest associations intact. -Thanks</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Rebecca Dean	Lake Merritt and East Lake community, Cleveland Heights. Please keep Chinatown, Fruitvale, and other demographic groups together when considering new districts. These groups can only hold power if their voices are united.	No
District 2	Eric Ellisen	My primary COI is the Lakeshore Homes Association (LHA).. I believe it's important for the LHA to remain whole, which the F3 allows for. We identify closely with the LHA, and it's been a great advocate for dealing with neighborhood and City issues. It would be very counterproductive to have a portion of the LHA in a different district, which is what would happen as part of the K3 plan.	No
District 2	Jim McSilver	Lakeshore Homes Grand Lake area business district and transportation COI. Greater Lake Merritt transit corridors. . I am concerned about splitting up the Lakeshore Homes neighborhood at Trestle Glen (as in proposal F3). I think dividing the district at Park Blvd makes much more sense. That said, I see pros and cons to both F3 and K3 options. Neither are ideal as currently proposed, but I acknowledge that no solution is perfect.	No
District 2	David Arguets	Lakeshore Homeowners Association is where I work, serve & where my daughter attends Crocker Highlands Elementary, which she loves. We support & are active in the community & as we don't have any family on the west coast. The community in LHA have become our family. Helping with pick up & drop off at times, supporting each other during illnesses. Please leave the zones in tact & not cause my daughter to switch schools because we live on Bates road. . Why are you even considering this? It's extremely disruptive to young children who are anxious about the decision. They don't want to leave their communities that they've formed at school.	Yes
District 2	Eduardo Axtle	We proudly belong to the lakeshore home owner's association. We take pride in our residents, neighborhood, and most importantly our designated elementary school - Crocker Highlands Elementary School. . We live on Holman Rd. and would be severely impacted by being cut off from our current district (2) and the Lakeshore Homeowners Association (LHA). Many of my family members and friends live in Trestle Glen and Crocker Highlands and we proudly sent and continue to send our elementary school aged children the Crocker. It's makes little sense to exclude us in Holman Rd, Bates St, etc from our current district. We have always been an integral part of the LHA and continue to pay HOA fees to maintain out small parks, streets, and Crocker Elementary. By excluding us from our rightful district you end generations of family alumni that attended Crocker. Furthermore, you isolate us from the district that we will forcefully have to continue to contribute financially for the foreseeable future. Imagine living and financially contributing to a district that we have help build yet not being able to see and enjoy the fruits of our hard work and dedication to our community. We implore you to please keep all of LHA in tact by keeping Map K3.	No
District 2	Allison Lathrope	Our local neighborhood (Lakeshore Homes Association) which also centers around the local school community and childhood friendships.. I prefer map K-3. Alternatives are a disrespectful split of the second oldest homeowners association west of the Mississippi.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Ian Klink	Our local neighborhood Lakeshore Homes Association. I am writing to support map K3 to keep our Lakeshore Homes Association together. Thanks	No
District 2	R Davies	Crocker Highlands. I support map K3. It is the only one that keeps important communities of interest unified and keeps already united large areas of overlapping communities of interest namely Crocker Highlands, Trestle Glen Neighbors, Lakeshore Home Association, united still. F3 will divide communities and school districts forcing kids to be separated from friends in their own street, it serves no purpose. I've no idea how these plans were derived, but the community outreach has been appalling. The last time this was done in 2013 we were informed 12 months ahead and able to attend meetings to discuss and engage. How is it that elected officials can pontificate of minor achievements, and engage in far-reaching notification when seeking votes, but not keep us informed about this process? Seems to be an attempt to stealthily redistrict (i.e gerrymander) here. Not impressed by this process - 2013 had a far better engagement.	Yes
District 2	Jerome Atendido	F3 is my personal choice between the 2 options and it is also the more equitable option. Instead of creating an "Oakland Hills" district which consists of an already privileged community, F3 would split this up to ensure each district is treated fairly and given the appropriate level of resources.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Mary Clutts	<p>The Lakeshore Homes Association represents over 1100 home owners in a geographically defined neighborhood founded over 100 years ago. This community is a diverse community regardless of how one defines diverse - age, gender, identity, culture, race, income, orientation, religion. And it works. So rather than rip apart a highly functioning, strong neighborhood with a history of positive experiences, the City of Oakland should be studying why this is so successful and implementing these same or similar ideas throughout the city. This is a community that supports public education through the Crocker Highlands and Edna Brewer schools. It supports numerous faith communities through highly localized area churches and synagogues. It supports the local Lakeshore and Grand Ave business districts and the Lake Merritt Farmers Market, the Splash Pad park and one of Oakland's historic pieces of art deco architecture through the Grand Ave Movie Theater. We have considered taking on our share of issues from Cannabis Dispensaries, to restored AC Transit Bus Service. We pay taxes for services we don't even receive. We have tolerated being the last in line for street repair even though anyone traveling along Park Blvd or Mandana stands to kill themselves on pot holes so deep that it will break a car axle. And yet, we try to work with the city. We pay for tree trimming on trees the city owns but won't maintain. We pay for Bus service that ACTransit won't provide. We adopt storm sewers and maintain them when the city doesn't. And now you want to stifle or silence our voices as a community in spite of what we give out of our own hard work and incomes. No. Period. No. Not this time. If we had Abel Guillen and not the highly ineffective Nikki Fortunato Bas as our representative we might not even have a need to have this conversation. But with her failed talents, I see we are now at a place where we need to fight for a community that is thriving.. The whole effort seems like a colossal waste at every possible level. What possessed the city to think that this was warranted in the first place. But since this has now come to a head - I vote for K3 to retain the strengths of the neighborhood while responding to an ill conceived idea in the first place.</p>	No
District 2	David Yu	<p>Crocker Highlands . Why was no communication sent out about the redistricting? Why is Trestle Glen split into two in map F3?</p>	No
District 2	Anne Ustach	<p>My COI is Lakeshore Homes Association. Every member of Lakeshore Homes Association makes a conscious, willing choice to belong to our community when we make the decision to live in our homes. We work together to ensure our community is safe and inclusive, we care about each other, we walk our dogs and socialize together, we send our children to the same school and we watch out for each other. When I first moved into my house, LHA immediately reached out to me to welcome me and make me feel part of the community. I have lived here for over 12 years and LHA continues to give the same treatment to newcomers. We love our neighborhood, and care for each other.. Please do not split up LHA.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Timothy S. Gaumer	I live in the Crocker Highlands neighborhood - one that is geographically well defined and represented by a homeowners' association (Lakeshore Home Owners). The neighborhood was defined over 100 years ago. Collectively, we actively support Lakeshore and Grand Avenue business and the farmers' market. We also support the local schools. This is a diverse neighborhood with a strong sense of identity. . Proposed Redistricting Map K3 is the only version that keeps Crocker Highlands intact and all neighbors within our current homeowners' association. F2 would rip the neighborhood up. Families who live across the street from their neighbors on well-known, small-scale, residential Crocker Highlands streets such as Trestle Glen and Grosvenor would find themselves in separate districts with different political representation.	No
District 2	Leo Kurtysh	Crocker highlands, trestle glen. Please ensure that both sides of Trestle Glen Road remain in the same neighborhood / district / school district and remains connected to the Crocker Highlands community. We are neighbors and all part of the same community. Any map adopted should mind that the district borders drawn do not split roads or divide long standing communities	Yes
District 2	Jennifer Coward	All neighbors in the Crocker highland neighborhood should be represented together, not divided amongst districts. This includes all of Trestle Glen rd. . I prefer map K3 which keeps all of Trestle Glen and Crocker Highlands included in one district.	No
District 2	Kathleen E Taylor, MD	Homeowner, Oakland resident, Glenview and Crocker Highlands area. Why is this redistricting being done without written notice to homeowners and public hearings as it was during the last redistricting?	No
District 2	Roger Davies	Crocker Highlands, Trestle Glen. In 2013 it was agreed that Trestle Glen should be united - https://www.oaklandca.gov/resources/2013-city-council-redistricting "Trestle Glen Road is united in District 2" - we do not support any map which once again divides or community or results in taxation without representation	Yes
District 2	Susan Raffanti	People who live near Lake Merritt, people who love oakland and have lived here a long time, people who are disturbed by the current situation of blight and lawlessness.. It seems like a bad idea to put all the hills area into one district. That district would have the most educated and best resourced oaklanders in it and therefore a lot of political clout. The council person from that district would have completely different interests to serve than every other council member, assuming that all the other districts would include a fair proportion of poorer and less educated people. I'm not sure what that does for the balance of political power on the council but it seems it would diminish the sense of Oakland unity which is more tenuous every day.	No
District 2	David Pickering	LHA Lakeshore Homeowners Assoc.. Keep our district as it is, or K3	No
District 2	Austin Stevenson	The Lakeshore Homes Association is a community of interest that should remain intact, not split into separate districts. I prefer map K-3.. The Lakeshore Homes Association is a community of interest that should remain intact, not split into separate districts. I prefer map K-3.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Alexander Nicholas	<p>The Lakeshore Homes Association (LHA) is one of the oldest HOA's in the country and the oldest in the Bay Area. It is a community in the truest sense, and passing K3 would allow us to continue that 100+ year tradition, and F3 would split us into 2 districts. My wife and I have moved around a ton in our lives, and this is the strongest sense of community we've ever seen. There is a true sense of place and belonging in the LHA, and so much history to admire and preserve in our Trestle Glen neighborhood. We are deeply concerned that F3 would splinter this community that has been unified as one since 1917. As a resident of an COI, our whole community would prefer K3.. Besides above commentary on preserving the oldest HOA in Northern California, Lakeshore Homes Association, which is a clear Community of Interest, here are a few other comments. There would be a significant devaluation of homes in the Trestle Glen neighborhood if F3 passes due to public schooling changes. Many of us, including myself, purchased our home for a premium due to the exceptional public school nearby, Crocker Highlands Elementary. As a community, we are certain homeowners would take every legal action possible to remedy all material damages resulting from the city approving F3. If F3 provided equal schooling for our children, there would not be an issue, however that is not the case with the F3 proposal. Glenview Elementary School is far inferior to Crocker Highland Elementary. OUSD needs to improve Finally, the children that currently go to Crocker Highlands Elementary would be uprooted from their school they've been attending for years with all their friends and teachers. This would be absolutely devastating for our children.</p>	No
District 2	Janice Hu	<p>My COI, Lakeshore Homeowners Association (LHA) is defined by its history - being the second oldest HOA due west of the Mississippi River. It is a cultural diverse, accepting, and safe community that has provided a strong sense of togetherness. We are a community that shares values, its educational goals for our children of the future and the continued beautification and upkeep of our neighborhood.. We prefer Map K-3 to keep the LHA unified as one district. Splitting the districts could cause a devaluation of homes and the loss of some of the educational goals some kids have aspired to achieve as students at Crocker Highlands.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Alex Barake	The Lakeshore Homes Association (LHA) is one of the oldest HOA's in the USA and unequivocally the oldest in Northern California. It is a community in the truest sense, and passing K3 would allow us to continue that 100+ year tradition, and F3 would split us. My wife and I have moved around a ton in our lives, and this is the strongest sense of community we've ever seen. There is a true sense of place and belonging in the LHA, and so much history to admire and preserve. We are deeply concerned that F3 would splinter this community that has been unified as one since 1917.. Besides above commentary on preserving the oldest HOA in Northern California, Lakeshore Homes Association, which is a clear Community of Interest, here are a few other comments. There would be significant devaluation of homes in this neighborhood if F3 passes due to public schooling changes. Many of us, including my family, purchased our home for a premium due to the exceptional public school nearby-- Crocker Highlands Elementary. As a community, we are certain homeowners would take every legal action possible to remedy all material damages resulting from the city approving F3. If F3 provided equal schooling for our children, there would not be an issue, however that is not the case with the F3 proposal. I think this would not be an issue at all if Oakland Unified School District had better quality schools all around and not just a minority of them. Lastly, and probably most importantly, the children that currently go to Crocker Highlands Elementary would be uprooted from their school they've been attending for years with all their friends and teachers. This would be absolutely devastating for our children in the community.	Yes
District 2	Michael Sosebee	I belong to the Lakeshore Homes Association in Crocker Highlands.. I believe the K-3 map comes closest to representing our community and our interests. The current map (district 2) has aligned me with constituents who have different interests from us.	Yes
District 2	Margaret	Not really clear on what this section is looking for but I'm Asian. . Do not want to change the map. We moved here for our kids to have access to Crocker Highlands Elementary and we want to stick with K-3 map.	No
District 2	Kathy Mulvany	Lakeshore Homes Association is my community of interest. . Please keep the Lakeshore Homes Association neighborhood and its associated schools intact. This is a valued community that should not be split apart in terms of school district. As such please select Map K-3. Thank you!	No
District 2	Nancy Dyar	LHA is a Community of Interest that should remain intact. Therefore K-3 is the map I prefer.. None.	No
District 2	Danielle McKennan	Our COI is Lakeshore Homes Association (LHA). This is the community that we gather together with and with which we identify. Our friends and neighbors all live in this community, we have dog friends that we have met from walking our dog in this neighborhood every day, we frequent the businesses on Lakeshore Ave, and we are walking distance to Crocker Highlands Elementary.. We strongly prefer map K-3. It keeps our LHA community intact.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Carmen	<p>I belong in District 2 - I WANT Map K-3 to remain a single district (united with the Lakeshore Homeowners Association & Crocker Highlands Elementary). My family would be directly impacted if it's separated into two districts as I live in a purchased home on Bates Road. I love the the community after buying a house and residing in it since 2015. We saved up and moved to the District because of the great schools (Crocker Highlands Elementary & Edna Brewer). My daughter has several neighborhood friends (whom she plays with daily) as classmates & after school. She would be EMOTIONALLY DEVASTATED to be disrupted and leave Crocker Highland Elementary, where she's familiar with teachers and friends. We've built a strong community, I live, worship and work (managing a residential General Contractor Construction company that remodels most of District 2). I'm also a SINGLE MOM and not having my daughter's life disrupted is paramount for me and my emotional well being too. District 2 should be protected and please keep Map K-3 in tact (do not make the change; do NOT separate the district). I plead you to listen to residents, happily paying taxes. I understand your concern about districts - but please consider the voices of the families your decision impacts. Many of us who purchased home on Bates Road (and those affected by the potential district split) saved up and moved specifically to District 2 so we could have our children attend Crocker Highlands Elementary School. We happily pay taxes knowing that our children go there as it's within our own community. If the district split happens, most of us would be forced to consider moving away to a different city or turn to private schooling. All of which, would cause severe emotional distress, financial hardship and extremely negative publicity for the City of Oakland's inconsiderateness. Please listen to your residents. KEEP MAP K-3 IN TACT. THANK YOU! Carmen</p>	Yes
District 2	Christopher Ivester	non-poor people . my vote is for K3	No
District 2	Yan Edwards	<p>Lakeshore Homes Association, Trestle Glen Neighborhood, Crocker Highlands Elementary School. I support the proposed map K-3 to keep my neighborhood together. I live on a tiny street with only two blocks. The other proposed map will unfortunately split my two-block street and my neighbors into two different districts and schools.</p>	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Raynor Voorhies	For over 44 years, my community of interest has been the Crocker Highlands neighborhood and Crocker Highlands Elementary School as well as the The Lakeshore Homes Association and the Lakeshore/Grand Avenue business district.. I urge the committee to adopt Map K-3. My understanding is that a priority of redistricting committees (local, state, and national) is supposed to be keeping communities of interest together. Dividing the Crocker Highlands school community and the Lakeshore Homes Association in a new redistricting plan will make it harder for the residents in this community to organize and to advocate for the school and neighborhood, thus reducing political participation and representation. Just look at what the 580 freeway did years ago. My children attended Crocker Highlands Elementary School over thirty years ago, yet we still donate and support the school and see it as a locus of our community as do the people who live up the street from us who would be eliminated from District 2 under the alternate plan.	No
District 2	Michael Voorhies	Crocker Highlands including the elementary school that our children attended, This is a community that we have lived in for over forty years and where we have many old and lots of new friends.. I strongly urge the commission to adopt map K-3.	No
District 2	Joshua Reiten	I define my COI as the Lakeshore Homes Association. . I STRONGLY prefer map K-3. I don't want my COI split up.	No
District 2	Sarah Hetherington	The Lakeshore Homes Association. Reviewing the current final maps, I believe The Lakeshore Homes Association is a community of interest that should remain intact, not split into separate districts. Therefore, I prefer map K-3.	No
District 2	Jessica wong	Lakeshore Homeowners Association parents groups. Our residential community revolves around Crocker Elementary School and we would love for all homes in the LHA to be able to attend.	No
District 2	Brian Kim	A member of the Lakeshore Homes Association. We currently live on the Barrows Rd section of LHA for the past year are so and have been blown away by the LHA community and neighbors. We have met many parents with children the same age as our daughter and have often spoke about how we were excited for our children to attend elementary school together. A vote to split up our Association by school district would not only impact our kids but also the parent community that we have built. Please keep LHA intact and vote for map K-3.	No
District 2	Ian Morich	I live in the Lakeshore Homeowners Association (LHA). This is my community of interest.. The Lakeshore Homes Association is a community of interest that should remain intact, not split into separate districts. I prefer map K-3.	No
District 2	Sean Lear	I live in the Lakeshore Homeowners Association (LHA). This is my community of interest.. The Lakeshore Homes Association is a community of interest that should remain intact, not split into separate districts. I prefer map K-3.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Farshad	LHA is a Community of Interest that should remain intact and that K-3 is the map I prefer.. The purpose is not clear as to why the need to change the mapping. The pros and cons are not clear. We want to stay with the current mapping (K-3).	No
District 2	Jackson Eagen	Lakeshore Homes Association the oldest HOA in the western US. Map K-3 is the map we support. We live on Trestle Glen road and belong to the Crocker highlands and Lakeshore HOA community. K-3 keeps our tight knit community intact. Please support K3.	Yes
District 2	Fuchs & Silva	Lakeshore Homes Association and Crocker Highlands Elementary neighborhood is one. To break up the peripheral streets of Holman Road & Bates, & Grovesnor and Other streets breaks up a strongly Bonded neighborhood. Map K3 is the one that keeps this area united. We have a long history of working together for both neighborhood and entire community goals. We are among residents who went to Crocker Highlands school in the 40s and 50s. We feel a strong connection and we are not alone in this. Even new resident's of these neighborhoods surrounding Crocker Highlands school & LHA 113 feel a strong bond and partnership to our community.. I can't think of any good reason to break up the current LHA and Crocker highlands district. Would be curious to know rational Australia?	No
District 2	Julie Nelson	Lakeshore Homeowners Association . All of the homes in Lakeshore Homeowners Association should remain in one district, Crocker Highlands. Our neighbors are tight knit and splitting the LHA into different districts would unnecessarily divide this close community. I urge you to choose Map K-3.	No
District 2	Jeff Bandy	We are part of the Lakeshore Homes Association, which maintains standards of care, upkeep etc. for the neighborhood and also serves as a hub of news, information, and community events. The LHA is a long-standing community of interest that should remain intact. . Of the two proposed maps, I strongly prefer K-3.	No
District 2	Chalisha Yates	Lakeshore Homes Association. The Lakeshore Homes Association is a community of interest that should remain intact, not split into separate districts. I prefer map K-3	No
District 2	Beth Faraguna	Lakeshore Homeowners Association (LHA) . Please do not split the Lakeshore Homeowners Association, it's a community, and should stay intact.	No
District 2	Nina Eisenberg	1) Lakeshore Homes Association- we have been active members of this community, including participating in organizing HOA wide events to build relationships 2) Crocker Highlands - since our children were born, we have become close friends with many neighbors and look forward to our children starting together at Crocker Highlands School in Fall 2022. I am an active member of neighborhood-based parent groups that offer immense support - this offers social, emotional, and instrumental childcare support for our family. . Keeping the entire LHA within the Crocker Highlands elementary school district strengthens our community. Please consider distance children are traveling to school - a redistricting would mean our children would no longer be able to walk to school; walking to school is not just convenient but builds and strengthens our community.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Matthew & Jane Gabel	We have lived in Lakeshore Homes Assoc. for 35 years. LHA is a Community of Interest that should remain intact--therefore, Map K-3 is the map we overwhelmingly prefer!. What possible benefits does the Redistricting Commission envision by splitting up Lakeshore Homes Assn. into two separate representative districts, when LHA is a longtime, unified community?	No
District 2	Ethan Levy	Those who I share common school and social bonds with, with a shared sense of community spirit and pride. My daughter walks to Crocker with our friends across the street, and we share the same soccer clubs, social events, and other activities with neighbors who would now be cleaved apart into different districts with any map that is not K3.. This would absolutely disrupt the character of the existing Crocker Highlands neighborhood, our social and community bonds based on shared experiences with school academic and social activities.	Yes
District 2	Stephanie Levy	My COI is the Lakeshore Homes Association/ Crocker highlands neighborhood. The LHA is a Community of Interest that should remain intact and K-3 is the map myself, family and neighbors prefer.. Please DO NOT redistrict our neighborhood. The LHA is a Community of Interest that should remain intact and K-3 is the map we all prefer.	No
District 2	David Eisenberg	Crocker highlands elementary school — my son is due to begin going to school in September along with many of his friends in the neighborhood Lha — we are active members of the lakeshore homes association, participating in many community events that bring us closer together. . The Lakeshore Homes Association is a community of interest that should remain intact, not split into separate districts. I prefer map K-3.	Yes
District 2	Susan Huaco	Lakeshore Homes Association; Crocker Highlands School - Longridge, Grosvenor, Trestle Glen, Sunny Hills. All of Lakeshore Homes should be part of K-3	No
District 2	Arielle Fleisher	The Lakeshore Homeowners Association is a Community of Interest. It is one very special community with common social and economic interests, united by membership in the Lakeshore Homeowners Association. I started a Pandemic Mom's Club for mom's in the neighborhood who had kids during the Pandemic. The part of the community no longer included in map F-3 are moms who I met at parks in the neighborhood, at the playground at Crocker Highlands Elementary. They are are part of this community.. I strongly support map K-3 which keeps all of LHA and Crocker Highlands School within a single council district. Thank you.	No
District 2	Deborah Sosebee	Lakeshore Homes Association. I strongly prefer Map K3 as it keeps our neighborhood and our neighborhood association together. We have a strong sense of community and I hope that we can continue to be represented by one council member and one school board member, and not broken into different sections. Thank you.	No
District 2	Feliz Ventura	Crocker Highlands. Map k-3 keeps my neighborhood together, while f-3 splits us apart. Geographically, this division of Crocker highlands also makes no sense—the eastern side has no real division between one side and another. We are one community and even part of the same HOA. Please don't split us up!	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Miranda Surjadi	Lakeshore Homes Association Crocker Highlands. I would like to keep my neighborhood intact, so my vote is for map K3. The alternative map would split our neighborhood in half (Trestle Glen would be split in half), which makes NO sense. It is ONE neighborhood, we value our community and we feel it is truly lucky to be here.	Yes
District 2	Sandro Tuzzo	My COI is the area around Trestle Glen Road and into the Oakland hills. We are a tight knit community of single family home owners that are actively engaged in the local community and in citywide policies that affect the broader community. We should be represented in a single district because we interact with one another on a regular basis- due to our physical proximity to one another, the easily walkable streets with no physical separation, and the shared involvement in our local schools. . I am concerned that this redistricting is occurring without the full awareness of, and participation from, the citizens. Much of the concern is with schools and managing COVID, yet I am only hearing about this now- and I consider myself to be an engaged member of the community. I don't understand why this is being decided upon during the holiday break and I know that many of my neighbors are equally surprised and dismayed.	Yes
District 2	Emily Schwimmer	Highland hospital doctors . I understand that this is a tough and complicated process. Thank you for all that you're doing. Our preference is for map K-3.	No
District 2	Mohamad Amin Heydari	Crocker Highland, LHA. Hi, Reviewing the current proposal between the K-3 and F-3 maps, I strongly feel that the K-3 map is the only option that is able to keep the LHA and Crocker highlands school within a single council district. Therefore I hope this is the selected map. thanks!	Yes
District 2	Kim Huynh	Lakeshore Homes Association is a community of interest that should remain intact, not split into separate districts. I prefer map K-3!! Why is this districting happening?	No
District 2	Edward Low	Lakeshore Homeownere Association. Keep the current districting for Lakeshore Homes.	No
District 2	Mary Merrick	Lakeshore Homes. I prefer K 3 districting plan.	No
District 2	Ann Katz	Crocker Highlands has always been a very active and aware community. But we have never been part of Chinatown. It was a bad plan created to punish Mary Moore. Crocker Highlands district 2 was historically part of Rockridge, Temescal and Piedmont Ave. The hills to bay plan never worked for us. We no longer feel represented. K4 is the best plan for us	Yes
District 2	Benjamin Rose	n/a. I live in District 2, but own a home in District 1. I wanted to write to show my support for the K3 proposed map of the redistricting.	Yes
District 2	Uchenna Okoye	NCPC 17XY. Please select F3. It keeps the general boundaries close but does a few good things like tying Adams Point in with the rest of D2 and puts Glenview in a more appropriate district. Please do not select K3. Having a "hills vs flats" district policy is very regressive and doesn't drive equity and basically cements the red lining racism that created most of the long standing problems Oakland has in the first place	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Raymond Pisano	San Antonio. Historical part of Oakland. E 15th corridor and adjacent avenues from 14th to 23rd. Working class, largely immigrant. Mix of owners and renters. Pride in and desire for safety and order at San Antonio Park. Committed to local consumer options when available. Reliance on CP to help find resource and resolution to rampant crime, and to support resident's community engagement.. There are few business in the San Antonio neighborhood. Some believe it would be beneficial to be districted so to have better connection with commerce and associated funding.	No
District 2	Angela Phan	low income and working class. I want to confirm that I vote for the redistricting in favor of Map F3. I'm not sure if I accidentally voted for the incorrect one in the last survey.	Yes
District 2	Angela Phan	Low income and working class. I want to vote for the redistricting in favor of Map K3	Yes
District 2	Xiao Huang	For Map F3. The business districts should stay in District 2.	No
District 2	Betty	For Map F3. For Map F3	No
District 2	Michelle	For map F3. We want our business districts to stay in district 2	No
District 2	Jessica Bowen	For Map F3. For Map F3	No
District 2	Amy	I am for Map F3. I am for Map F3.	No
District 2	Xiao Huang	I vote for Map F3. I vote for Map F3	No
District 2	Alex	For map f3. For map f3	No
District 2	Anna	More small business districts in District 2, and Map F3 Will provide that. District 2 is the poorest most diverse neighborhood in Oakland. It is unfair for the city of Oakland to take away the embarcadero AND the Brooklyn Basin from District 2 AFTER it has been developed. That's call redlining, that is to make sure District 2 continues to be poor and oppressed with little to no amenities. Map k3 aims to keep District 2 poor. I am against Map k3. I am FOR Map F3.. Don't redline us, please consider Map F3, as it is FAIR. Because District 3 already has a large new development project there, the new coliseum, and District 2's large project is the Brooklyn Basin, and it is unfair to take that from District 2 and give to District 3, which already has Jack London, the new coliseum. Which leaves District 2 sad, poor and desolate.	Yes
District 2	Russell Abel	I live adjacent to Lake Merritt, my community is connected to the Lake and all that it offers. The redistricting of Oakland should include all of Lake Merritt, including Adam's Point and the commercial district of Grand Ave and Lakeshore and 18th street. If what is on the table are our choices, I vote for K3.. I think more time and focus should be given before any changes are made.	No
District 2	Vicki Shu	AAPI communities -- AAPI communities are often ignored and therefore lose political voice. It's important to keep them together. Great job! I cannot imagine how difficult this is. Although I can imagine that it is really rewarding.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Kent Lewandowski	First time homeowner, white collar professional. In my opinion, the existing district boundaries should be changed as little as possible. The City did a good job creating the council districts in 1993 and making minimal changes every 10 years thereafter. The current redistricting commission should stick to this minimal-change approach. The current districts are designed to include a mixture of incomes and property types, which reflects an egalitarian approach. This results in each council district having to deal with problems affecting all income levels. The current districts attempt to distribute parks and open space so that each district has several larger parks assigned to it, resulting in a city council that is in theory, equally invested in maintaining our open space and parks. I do not agree that the maps should be changed significantly to group "Communities of Interest" into the same council district. That sounds like a recipe for dividing the Town along racial, ethnic or economic lines. My preference is Map F, because it seems to reflect the existing maps most closely. The other proposals H, I and K appear to create a "Hills only" district, which in my opinion goes against the original design of the districts which was to spread Oakland's economic and natural resources among all the districts. Please support Map F or else create a new map that more closely resembles the existing districts. Thank you	Yes
District 2	Anna	Would like a clean, safe place to live and work. I am for Map F	Yes
District 2	robert blackwood	Extremely left wing homeowner who is sick of rampant criminality. . May I have a dollar?	Yes
District 2	Matthew Waughtel	I consider myself part of a pro-housing, Yes-In-My-Back-Yard (YIMBY) community. Not geographically organized but supportive of increased equity and access for anyone resource and opportunity deprived. I participate peripherally in activities organized by Oakland Rising and the YIMBY Coalition.. In solidarity with Oakland Rising I support map K with the following changes: - The San Antonio D3 and D5 division line should be moved to 27th Ave to keep San Antonio in D2 - The Laurel and Dimond Districts (around MacArthur) should stay whole together in D5 rather than be pushed into the hills where their needs would be lost - The neighborhood surrounding the Coliseum should stay together rather than be cut off due to the suggested split between D6 & D7 - The D4 district line should move up towards the end of the 580 freeway (above around Keller Ave and not dip below the 580)	No
District 2	Keyvan Kashkooli	I am a resident of D2 and appreciate being part of a district that brings together diverse communities and needs from Chinatown to San Antonio to Trestle Glen to Grand/Lake. . Of the options, I support map K with the following considerations: 1) The San Antonio D3 and D5 division line should be moved to 27th Ave to keep San Antonio in D2 2) The Laurel and Dimond Districts (around MacArthur) should stay whole together in D5 rather than be pushed into the hills where their needs would be lost 3) The neighborhood surrounding the Coliseum should stay together rather than be cut off due to the suggested split between D6 & D7 4) The D4 district line should move up towards the end of the 580 freeway (above around Keller Ave and not dip below the 580)	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Andrea Lee	I define my community of interest as those of us in Oakland who want to ensure that working class and low income immigrant, Black, and Brown communities are ensured a political voice through the redistricting process. I do not want to see long-standing communities and neighborhoods that have shared interests and challenges parcelled out amongst the districts. . Of the options, I support map K with the following considerations: 1) The San Antonio D3 and D5 division line should be moved to 27th Ave to keep San Antonio in D2 2) The Laurel and Dimond Districts (around MacArthur) should stay whole together in D5 rather than be pushed into the hills where their needs would be lost 3) The neighborhood surrounding the Coliseum should stay together rather than be cut off due to the suggested split between D6 & D7 4) The D4 district line should move up towards the end of the 580 freeway (above around Keller Ave and not dip below the 580)	No
District 2	Emily Wheeler	I live in San Antonio. I support Draft Map K. I support the idea of a hills-only district but including flatland areas in that district would disenfranchise them — please keep the Laurel and Dimond Districts together and whole as part of D5 and move the D4 line up towards the end of the 580 freeway around Keller Avenue. Additionally, please keep the Coliseum neighborhood together and not split between D6 and D7. And finally, please move the San Antonio D3 and D5 division line to 27th Avenue to keep the San Antonio neighborhood (my neighborhood) together.	No
District 2	Alan Taylor	Issues surrounding Lake Merritt. Any map should be inclusive of the area surrounding the Lake and its activities, as neighbors will equally be impacted by such.	No
District 2	Anand Kalra	Eastlake, Bella Vista. I want the districts to have equal population sizes so that working class people can have proportionate representation on the council.	No
District 2	Ashna van Zuiden	Crocker Highlands/Trestle Glen. I <i>*strongly*</i> dislike draft map F as it separates Barrows road from the rest of Trestle Glen and Crocker Highlands. Barrows Rd is very much part of those communities, and <i>*not*</i> part of the Glenview community. I am satisfied with all other draft maps in consideration: E, G, H, I, J and K are all suitable.	No
District 2	RJ Dyer	Cleveland Heights, Lakeshore Avenue Lake Merritt, East side and Pergola Shopping District Lakeshore Avenue Adams Point Grand Avenue Glenview. My recommendation is map F with the addition of Adams Point. My neighborhood, my low income, rent controlled tenancy must be staged with CP Bas in D2; consider expanding CP Bas to include more of D3 toward Broadway. CP Bas understands my COIs very well and has made qualitative advances in protecting the covenant of a responsible environment for those of us Oakland citizens living around Lake Merritt. I strongly oppose maps I and K as those maps put Lakeshore Ave in District 3. I do not believe CM Fife, as one of the newest members to council, understands or respects my COI or my neighbors' needs. The environment's resources should be maintained with consistency. Altering district lines will create harm for the Lakeshore neighbors. CM Fife has not proven to be an adequate advocate for ALL residents of my COI. We critically need to maintain the advocacy of CP Bas on Lakeshore Avenue.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Karen Silverberg	Oakland/Montclair. Please continue to work with District Maps A,F, or I. Don't redistrict the Oakland hills.	No
District 2	Lisa Ray	The Lakeshore Homes Association - 1055 properties in the Crocker Highlands area . Maps C & D are good as is - the keep our HOA intact Maps A B F K all divide the HOA almost in half Maps E G H I J could be modified slightly to include all of Creed Road, up to 1515 Holman Road and up to 1471 Trestle Glen would keep the HOA intact	Yes
District 2	Jennie Gerard	The COI about which I'm commenting is Lake Merritt and the surrounding residential areas.. The residential areas surrounding Lake Merritt share much in common: concern for the upkeep of the lake, challenges relating to the sometimes overwhelming visitation by residents throughout Oakland and from elsewhere. The chosen district map should include all the residential areas adjacent to the lake in the same district. On the latest maps that means extending B2 from 17th St to Snow Park. Additionally, the entirety of the lake and these surrounding residential areas should be in B2. Why? Because there are so many parks in West Oakland, C3 has less bandwidth for lake-related problems. By contrast, B2 has relatively few parks. Please ensure that the map adopted keeps all of Lake Merritt and surrounding residential areas in B2.	Yes
District 2	Ashna van Zuiden	Trestle Glen / Crocker Highlands - a unified community between Park Blvd and Lakeshore Ave. I am a big fan of the new draft maps I, J, K. In particular, I like that Barrows Rd is consistently included in Area 2 along with the rest of trestle glen and crocker highlands. This are is all one very unified community, and we would like to keep it that way.	No
District 2	claudia Rodriguez-Briones	I am still a little confused about it. I feel that I need more information. I want this to be a fair process. That everyone has the opportunity to get educated about it and is able to provide feedback. I do not see any information in Spanish many of the community members in the Fruitvale and San Antonio are not aware about it. What are your plan to include them?	Yes
District 2	Ronak Okoye	Na. NA	Yes
District 2	Lauren Blanchard	San Antonio Park and Lake Merritt district. I live near the San Antonio Park, row on Lake Merritt thru Lake Merritt Rowing Club, and bicycle commute to and from work in the Rotunda building at Frank Ogawa Plaza. I moved here in part because of the rich cultural diversity in this area, but observe that the San Antonio District is woefully underresourced compared to other districts in Oakland. I hope that by including Lake Merritt, Brooklyn Basin, Uptown and Chinatown in our District, we can bring more revenue into the resulting district so as to support our libraries, schools, infrastructure, parks and green spaces. . see above	Yes
District 2	Anna	I prefer Map F.. Map F	Yes
District 2	Anna	Would like more shopping areas, safer and cleaner streets. . Would like safer and cleaner streets, need more business areas and safe and clean parks	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Vicki Shu	AAPI communities should be kept together, as they have been consistently ignored in numerous public/political discussions. My preferences are G or H. This is great. Thank you for the opportunity to comment!	No
District 2	Miya S Chen	Crocker Highlands/Crocker Elementary Community. The street of Norwood Ave. runs from Trestle Glen to Greenwood. It is not part of Glenview, rather it has a direct line to Trestle Glen and multiple kids and families that live along the street send our children to Crocker Elementary. We should not be carved out of district 2, we should remain with Crocker Highlands in the district.	No
District 2	Marisa Musso	One that lifts up minorities and centralizes the goal of dismantling systemic racism and oppression. . Please continue to reach out directly to voices in this process who are historically silenced. For example: Voters of color, low income and minorities. Focus on how we can make choices that reduce systemic racism, and improve legislators' accountability to their voters. As ourselves, How are we centralizing these concerns?	No
District 2	Matthew Miller	Live in the Crocker highlands / trestle glen community. Shared culture would be the proximity to lakeshore and grand avenue business community. Proximity to very good schools for children. Close to the freeway to go on city wide excursions.. N/a	No
District 2	Ashna van Zuiden	Trestle Glen / Crocker Highlands community. I am strongly in favor of keeping this community together. In particular, Barrows Road should fall with the rest of Crocker Highlands. Therefore we are strongly in favor of current maps H and G.	Yes
District 2	C Haber	Live in Crocker Highlands, but I feel ALL of Oakland is our community of interest. There are many COI's in the city representing many interests, yet separating them also divides us further. It would be good if COI's could be included in diverse districts, instead of hills and flats. Their interests should be our interests/concerns.. Don't separate districts into "we" and "they" eg hills by itself.	No
District 2	pamela drake	My community of interest includes those who are housing insecure, homeless, low income, highly educated, creative, and ethnically diverse, families and senior individuals.. Please do not restrict me to a district of mostly white, high income families.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Ian Robertson	Based upon living and growing up for 30+ years in the same area, including a brief 8+ years period of living in Japan, I would say that my area doesn't have much of common interest. There are some but it feels so far and in between. As a matter of fact, and sadly nothing much has changed about how one feels and views about Oakland since I first moved here almost before 1990, if that is an option in the common of interest table. Despite the clean up of drugs, gangs, violence and whatnot the attitude since the 90s have remained the same: untrustworthy tans snarky to the bone. In fact, just walking down one of the streets and saying "hi" is something of a sin here. I wouldn't be surprised that upon this redrawing of this district of Oakland that I would find myself mysteriously in own district isolated from other districts and neighbors; because that's how much I feel that my district really cares about me and the community. In any case though there are some things that we share in interest as a community: 1) Dogs, everyone has a dog that they want you to meet badly. I wish there was a dog park, a really big one where all the dogs could meet. 2) Community Garden, despite my sorrowful outlook our district, our area is very big on community garden. 3) Trees! We can't get enough and our demanding a more productive way of protecting our trees. 4) Our diversity 5) The Armenian Church . How will you define a district with so many personalities.	Yes
District 2	Lisa Ray	My COI is the Lakeshore Homes Association and Crocker Highlands School. Existing District 2 boundaries are inclusive of the entire association and school. The boundaries are roughly Lakeshore Avenue, Trestle Glen, Excelsior Ave, Bates/Holman Roads, Midcrest & Mandana.. Both versions of proposed maps B & D split the association into separate districts. The also split Crocker Highlands school from most of the residences that are currently in district of the school.	Yes
District 2	Lena Liu	Chinatown, downtown Oakland, central part of Oakland. What is the purpose of having different district zones?	No
District 2	KR Hart	I vote for Plan D. I live in Grand Lake district: a mixture of retail and residential, experiencing rapid growth, paying extremely high property taxes and want to see that money used to benefit residents (not politicians). Why are the lines so crooked? Why isn't it just a grid?	Yes
District 2	Larry Long Huynh	Similar culture, schools, and people within common community interacts. . NA	Yes
District 2	Uchenna Okoye	Highland, Bella Vista, NCPC 17XY. I like Draft A and B. They keep the basic districts together which have different types of constituents in each district, ensuring council members and school board members think of all parties. I don't like Draft C and D because it breaks the city up into districts where constituents will pull legislation & board decisions in more polarizing directions.	No
District 2	Bob Spencer	To me our COI is our geographic neighborhood where we share similar concerns with neighbors about public services. In those terms, would define our COI as the Grand Lake neighborhood. As a privileged white person, I don't think I need to be "protected" and therefore would not define my COI in socioeconomic terms.. I think maps C and D best capture my COI based on how I define it in Question #4. I think map B does the worst job in that regard.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Kenneth Tang	Chinatown community members, voices of low-income immigrant communities, environmental justice advocates, voices of Chinatown tenants. I strongly oppose proposal C and D given they completely shift the demographic of the original district. The relationship that was built upon in the last 10 years by merchants, tenants, stakeholders with the last two councilmembers would be jeopardized by proposals C and D. Additionally, the shift for Chinatown into D3 would have an enormous impact on the councilmember given a huge wave of monolingual residents who are often underserved due to language capacity.	No
District 2	Steve Croft	Home and business owners. Obviously already overrepresented in terms of political power, but even if District 2 ends up redrawn to mostly cover rich white people I think this benefits other districts whose voices and interests don't get diluted. . I'm glad to see that some of the proposals are not just incremental.	No
District 2	Austin Shapiro	My geographic area, from Chinatown to San Antonio. I don't like District C in draft plans C and D (which I would become a part of). Its boundaries seem unnatural to me, including part (but not all) of West Oakland, part (but not all) of downtown, and part (but not all) of my neighborhood east of Lake Merritt. It's barely contiguous -- it only looks contiguous because it includes unpopulated land and a narrow populated strip along the estuary.	No
District 2	Anna	strong shopping districts in Draft Plan B.. I like Draft Plan B.	Yes
District 2	Sean Sullivan	LGBT, low-income, Chinatown, small business. The Commission should include LGBT people in its composition.	Yes
District 2	Anthony Rodriguez	I don't know what COIs are. I am not sure	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Ryan Lester	I would define my COI as the "Clinton" neighborhood which is more broadly defined as "East Lake".. District 4 seems like the oddest district to me... Primarily representing the Oakland Hills but them coming down and representing the "Fairfax" neighborhood in East Oakland (I used to live on Fairfax Ave). If CM Thao's budget priorities are any indication, her office is most focused on capital improvement projects to Oakland Hills Parks and restrooms vs. the more dire needs of her constituents in the "flats". I think such a lopsided district (mainly hills and a tiny bit of the "flats" does not ensure equitable representation to those flatland residents since the hills residents have more political capital). Are unhoused/homeless residents counted as "residents" of a certain district? I'd imagine 4-10k unhoused residents who primarily live in East and West Oakland would increase the weight of the districts those people live in. Separately, most of the population, population growth, jobs, transit and resources are in West and East Oakland vs. North Oakland and the Oakland Hills. I'd advocate for trying to divide the districts in a way that centers most of the districts in the "flats" while equitably distributing representation of the hills amongst the 7 districts. Also, the city should eliminate the at-large city council seat, at large seats are shown to be discriminatory and typically result in electing officials that are not representative of the places they serve.	Yes
District 2	Matt Matusiewicz	low-income; commuters. I would love to engage with my community more and become more actively involved with community development in Oakland.	Yes
District 2	Mary Bowman	Lakeshore Homeowners Association. no gerrymandering	No
District 2	Hanna Bliss	I live in Chinatown. So my only community is the commercial center.. I am a huge fan of safe bike lanes. I especially like the ones where there is a clear barrier between the car traffic and bikes.	No
District 2	Kevin Fong	I believe my interest of community should be divided into equal population and locations.. none	No
District 2	Jason La	My COI should be divided by the location and have a even population split. No questions.	No
District 2	Rebecca Dean	Lake Merritt neighborhood, young adults. How will you ensure equal access to representation for communities in Oakland when we are such a diverse city?	Yes
District 2	Hydeh Ghaffari	I live along Lake Merritt. The lake and park are our backyard. We welcome everyone to the lake but we want the area, its infrastructure, its natural habitat, its bird sanctuary, its peacefulness, its ordinances to be respected by all who come here. We shop and support retailers all along Grand and Lakeshore Aves. We would like to be able to walk safely to and from these areas without fear of being mugged. Without passing blatant drug dealers. And we would like to be able to drive on Grand, Bellevue and Perkins Avenues without blowing tires on potholes. . While we want to see the homeless fed and safely sheltered, and the violence citywide reduced, we would like our immediate community concerns represented fairly, as well.	No
District 2	Julia Bell	I am a lifelong Oakland resident. I live, work and worship in the City of Oakland. For what it is worth, I have a B.A. Degree in Political Science.. How can I help?	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 2	Tom Coleman	I'm in the Adams Point Community. I am also a renter, and not a homeowner. I've lived in Oakland for 16 years at the same address. I am also a part of the Asian American Community, as well as diversity groups as part of the LGBTQIA+ community. As an avid video gamer enthusiast, I also am very familiar with looking at maps and borders and understanding political impacts of districts.. I applied for the State Redistricting program many years ago. While I made it through two rounds, I ultimately did not get chosen. I would love to reengage with Redistricting in my own community.	Yes
District 2	Anna	Rancho San Antonio Neighborhood is VERY dangerous and DIRTY. Would like more resources to REMOVE the homeless encampment, and more police to stop the shootings, stop illegal dumping and prostitution and drug users.. Would like the homeless encampment removed from our already very poor district/neighborhood. It is using up the already little resources we have from property taxes.	Yes
District 2	Angela Crone	Closest business districts for essential needs (e.g Park Blvd & Lakeshore); Natural landmarks (e.g. Lake Merritt); Mixed use housing (e.g. diverse representation of apartments, single family homes). What is your outreach plan?	Yes
District 3	Marjorie Murray	One of the maps splits our homeowner association into two districts. This is absurd, especially w regard to the school board re-districting since children who live in our community of interest are served by Crocker Highlands school. This school is a critical community resource directly affected by board policies and decisions. We can't be dealing with TWO different school board members and/or TWO different council members whose opinions and votes may cancel each other out.	Yes
District 3	Lisa Rigby	I live in the Tuxedo neighborhood, but as it does not have a commercial district, I feel belonging in both the Lakeshore/Grand Lake area and the Dimond, where there are parks, farmers markets, grocery stores, libraries, and playgrounds.. I would like the K3 proposal to be adopted. The Oakland Hills neighborhoods typically share a socioeconomic background that skews wealthy and white, and they have more time and resources to voice concerns to council reps in a way that currently disenfranchises the residents in their districts who are in the flats. If the Oakland Hills becomes one district, there will be more opportunities for those in the flats to have their voices heard and needs addressed.	No
District 3	Dwayne Aikens Jr	Black, Oakland Native, Business Owner, Park Stewart, College Grad. Why is D3 not D2 it just looks odd	Yes
District 3	Mary Kalin-Casey	Adams Point residents. Looking at plans of proposed redistricting, I am opposed to plan F3 because it severs the upper portion of Adams Point from the Lake Merritt district. Residents on the upper streets are directly impacted by lake traffic, parking, visitors and activities; if you sever the neighborhood from the lake, we won't have any voice about lake-related issues that impact our daily lives. I can understand why the Orange Street side might benefit from being "downtown" vs by the lake, but F3 is not the right plan and chunks the neighborhood in ways that reduce our ability to collectively organize and vote. K3 is the only viable option. Please do not sever Adams Point into separate districts.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Roshan Segatol-Islami	My community of interest is the Crocker highlands community that includes trestle glen, Grovenor, Holman, Creed, and Bates.. I do not support any redistributing attempts/proposals to cut the Bates/Holman loop out of the current district and Crocker highlands community. This is our community and it should stay that way.	Yes
District 3	Lucille Serwa	Crocker Highlands. Please keep Crocker Highlands as it is and do not split it up. Is there some important reason you chose to split it up?	No
District 3	Sandeep Pahuja	Not following. Strongly prefer Adams Point to be Draft K3 vs F3 to be more local to Lake Merritt	No
District 3	Michael Palmquist	The Adams Point neighborhood. The people of this neighborhood closely share transportation, services, etc, primarily defined by the Lake Merritt/Uptown area.. As a 24 yr resident of Adams Point I'm frankly appalled by both proposed maps which dissect our neighborhood into separate districts, neither of which are defined by the very thing that defines the neighborhood itself, Lake Merritt. Map K3 is only marginally better than F3, but at least it connects one part of the neighborhood to the Lake. If F3 is approved, I will have close neighbors sharing all the same local concerns and yet be in 3 different districts. Remarkably poor design.	No
District 3	Robert Loebel	Adam's Point. Adam's Point should no longer be part of District 3.	Yes
District 3	Matthew Winters	Adams Point neighborhood; LGBTQ+; do not own a car/rely on public transit. I prefer map option F3 because I have many more social and commercial connections to people in district A1 (F3) than I do with district C3 in map K3. Thank you for considering my feedback.	No
District 3	Claire Spafford	Adams point, families with kids, access to recreation and transportation . Does redistributing affect school zones? I know it might affect our district for school board but curious if it affects what schools we're zoned for	Yes
District 3	Suzette DeGrange	Downtown Business Community, Small Businesses, Clean and Healthy Park Spaces (Lake Merritt, Snow Park, SF Bay Trail at JLS), Senior-Living, Single Households, Arts Supporter.. I don't feel that the interests of various communities in District 3 are getting good representation. Too many businesses have shut their doors and closed or moved to other districts, including small businesses which are so instrumental to the City. Park spaces have not been maintained, are full of graffiti, which has created a hostile environment for seniors living in this district. Entertainment and arts venues don't appear to get adequate support from local media. Restaurants are not getting the business they should be getting. There needs to be more promotion for these downtown area and JLS food/entertainment opportunities.	Yes
District 3	Alberto Galindo	My neighborhood and those directly adjacent to mine. . Adams Point should be broken up into multiple districts.	No
District 3	Shawn Reese	Adams Point Neighborhood. Of the two "final version" district maps selected by the Oakland Redistricting Commission, I greatly prefer F3. Please maintain Adams Point as one council district if at all possible.	No
District 3	Dioni Rey	Northgate/Waverly, latino, lgbtq . none	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Kyle Jennings	I am a resident of Adams Point---this is how I identify myself when people ask where in Oakland I live, and this is the community I'm part of.. I love Adams Point because it is a nexus of ages, creeds, races, ethnicities, and socioeconomic statuses, and has been for a very long time. To see it divided into multiple districts feels anathema to me.	No
District 3	Sarah Miller	Adams Point Neighborhood, Uptown neighborhood, Grand Lake neighborhood. I prefer plan K3 because it divides Adams Point less, and unites Adams Point with other lake-surrounding communities to the south & east-- with which it has more in common than with West Oakland.	No
District 3	Kristen Contreras	Adams Point, Grand Lake, Lake Merritt. I feel very connected with the lake community and feel that my interests align very strongly within both Adams Point and the nearby areas surrounding the lake. I am very disappointed to see that both final redistricting maps are planning to bisect my "corner" of the lake but am horrified by the idea of being removed from the lake district altogether. Therefore I urge the council to adopt the K3 draft plan, as it at least keeps Adams Point actually with the very point on the lake this neighborhood is named for!	No
District 3	Jessica Cook	African American, elder, pedestrian, shopper, worker, 30+ year Oakland resident. I support the K3 Plan. As a resident, my primary focus is on District 3. It makes sense to include all of the Lake under one city leader instead of two as proposed in K3. Similarly, it makes sense to keep Uptown and Broadway Valdez under one leader instead of incorporating it into District 2 as is proposed under F3. Brooklyn Basin is closer geographically and socially with Jack London, so those two neighborhoods should also remain under the same leader, especially since the District 3 leader will be responsible for waterfront issues. The K3 map keeps neighborhoods of interest such as Chinatown intact. F3 divides downtown and Uptown in a weird way that doesn't seem to make sense and does not engender a sense of community for the residents who are moving into the new developments there.	No
District 3	Reisa Jaffe	My COI are people working to empower the disenfranchised residents of Oakland.. K3 - The hope for a hills district is that it gives greater voice to the disenfranchised by putting people with more money and thus more influence in one district. If it is not possible to put all the hills in one district then the part of the hills that should be combined with flats is North Oakland not Deep East Oakland. Please schedule an additional meeting so a different hills district map can be a final option.	No
District 3	Susan Cosgrove	Adams point/lakeshore. Both drafts cut Adams Point off from its natural neighbors - the Lakeshore community.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	gene keenan	I belong to the Adams Point Neighborhood Group which is a city sanctioned group with city financing.. Both of the maps that have been finalized would cut Adams Point into 2 or 3 districts. This will completely disenfranchise residents and strongly recommend that this is looked at one more time before voting on these maps. It is completely absurd what the Redistricting Commission did here. You basically used Adams Point to balance out the populations for the other maps. In the process disenfranchising the residents here and creating 2-3 new neighborhoods where there is only one. Adams Point has well defined entry and exit points. We are the highest density neighborhood representing 2.3% of all Oakland residents.	Yes
District 3	Anders Peterson	Adams Point Neighborhood. Lake Merritt. . Concern about dividing our neighborhood into two or three districts. How will this impact our ability to share a collective voice as a neighborhood?	Yes
District 3	Mary Griffin	Adams point . I'm deeply concerned about both these maps. It drastically breaks up Adams Point which already is facing concerns of dumping, violence and crime. We would no longer have the attention of one council member and would have to fight for the attention of 2-3 different Council Members. This is the most populated neighborhood in the city why would we break it into multiple districts?? We need a unified neighborhood and corresponding unified representation. Otherwise, this feels like the city no longer wants Adams Point to exist. Making this a transient no man land only to cause more problems including dumping, crime and violence.	No
District 3	Jenny Wyant	Adam's Point. F3 splits Adams Point, which I don't think makes sense from a neighbourhood/COI perspective.	No
District 3	Susan Fox	Adams Point, Lake Merritt. Proposed K3 map will best represent our COI	No
District 3	Nannette Walker	Put the neighborhood under one district . Why are the being put under one district? Where is the income equity?	No
District 3	Cynthia Morfin	Queer Trans People of Color, young people, the future of Oakland, women.. My Name is Cynthia Morfin. I live in District 3 and work for EBASE located in D2, an organization that represents hundreds and thousands working Black and brown people inOakland's interests. I'm interested Imin redistricting because I believe our current district lines directly impact flatland residents and we have dealt with misrepresentation for too long. I am calling/emailing to advocate for Map H with an additional change. I believe a hills only district will help to provide flatland voters an opportunity to be fully represented by city council members and school district directors who focus on the flatlands needs rather than catering to hills voters vision for Oakland. The change I am advocating for is to expand District 2 to 27th Ave to keep the San Antonio neighborhood whole Thank you for your time and dedication to making Oakland more equitably represented.	Yes
District 3	Melody Davis	Alot of different COIs are named after African-Americans. Like Manadela Pkway, Manadela Grocery Store, Ron Dellums Park, Huey Newton St, Esther Orbirt Room, Slim Jenkins Housing, and numerous entities.. Do not loose that, even after gentification it can still remain.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Laurie Gordon	, Resident of the Bellevue Historic District, Lakeshore Neighbors Working Group, Activist for Oakland Historic Preservation, Coalition of Advocates for Lake Merritt, . It would make the most sense to have one district that can encompass all of Lake Merritt. I think plan F along with the ADDITION of Adams Point would achieve this goal	Yes
District 3	Kieryn Darkwater	Queer, trans, mixed race, low income, transit reliant D3 constituent. . Please ensure that the redistricting of Oakland furthers racial equity and select option F.	No
District 3	Jocelyn	Latinx, West Oak/DT. As of now, we moved our support to map H with the following recommendations: - Support Hills-only district since other maps dilute the Black vote significantly - Having a Hills-only district allows for candidates and electeds to focus on flatland residents - Expand District 2 to 27th Ave to keep the San Antonio neighborhood whole - This neighborhood is one of the most diverse neighborhoods in Oakland and should stay together; splitting it down 23rd splits the voice of the community - Keep neighborhoods surrounding the Coliseum whole (neighborhood is defined as Seminary to 85th Ave and from the water to International) and suggest moving it to D6 - 1) D7 has the airport and - 2) Otherwise there are too many corporate polluters in one district - Keep Westlake community up Broadway to the 580 and over to the suggested South border to allow West Oakland students who attend Westlake Middle School to stay. - Keep Lake Area is two districts - 1) One whole Lake district would be too large for one Councilmember to manage - 2) Different sides of the Lake has very different needs - 3) Everyone in the City enjoys the Lake and more representation assists with divergent needs - Neighborhood surrounding Mills College to above 580 and through lower portions off Keller Dr by 580 stays in D6 - Residents identify more with the flatlands and not the hills - Expand c3 on Map H to include Westlake up Broadway and 580 freeway south to the proposed border in order for West Oakland students to continue to be able to attend Westlake Middle School.	No
District 3	Leo Knudtson	Food Justice - dedicated to educating about, growing, and increasing access to healthy food and other basic necessities like water. Locations are Ghost Town farms on my block, and City Slicker farm. Choosing alternative lifestyles to protect and honor the environment. Taking social action to acknowledge oppressive forces at work in community currently and historically, and marginalized groups harmed by those forces that are in need of reparations and equitable social conditions. If more political power was given to this group, more land based decisions would be made that benefited the people that live in this community and people that are struggling and have been exposed to systems of oppression and abuse from the City of Oakland and other government agencies. Urban Educators - dedicated to educating youth about the oppressive forces at work in their lives and giving them the skills and knowledge to take action and liberate themselves from these forces. If this group was given more political power and representation, Oakland would become a beacon for public education reform in the United States and eventually the world.. You can do this!	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Emily Lin	Everywhere I'd go, spend time, and engage with people, from Jack London to Korean North Gate (including Chinatown, Uptown). These are the places I bike, shop, work, get groceries, and attend community meetings and cultural events. . The Commission should move forward with Map K with the following modifications: - The San Antonio D3 and D5 division line should be moved to 27th Ave to keep San Antonio in D2 - The Laurel and Dimond Districts (around MacArthur) should stay whole together in D5 rather than be pushed into the hills where their needs would be lost - The neighborhood surrounding the Coliseum should stay together rather than be cut off due to the suggested split between D6 & D7 - The D4 district line should move up towards the end of the 580 freeway (above around Keller Ave and not dip below the 580)	No
District 3	Rev. Kurt A. Kuhwald	I live and do my service to low-wage workers in the City of Oakland. These workers live and work all over the City. These workers are largely BIPOC.. Of the 3 maps left that the commission is now considering, I take the lead of Oakland Rising which currently supports map K with the following considerations: - The San Antonio D3 and D5 division line should be moved to 27th Ave to keep San Antonio in D2 - The Laurel and Dimond Districts (around MacArthur) should stay whole together in D5 rather than be pushed into the hills where their needs would be lost - The neighborhood surrounding the Coliseum should stay together rather than be cut off due to the suggested split between D6 & D7 - The D4 district line should move up towards the end of the 580 freeway (above around Keller Ave and not dip below the 580)	No
District 3	Sophia	Map F plus Adams Point is the best option.. Thank you!	No
District 3	Phil Bowling-Dyer	Flatlands; racially diverse (with growing numbers of White); lower and middle class; Hoover Foster/Ghosttown; Lower Bottoms; Lake Merritt; Chinatown; Port of Oakland; North and West Oakland; Union strong. If our district remains mostly unchanged, how can our district get more resources in an equitable way? I truly LOVE this district and its diversity, but we have too much trash (and mostly illegal dumping with no real consequences for the dumpers), too many unhoused (with not accompanying resources from the rest of the city), and too few libraries. I work with the Hoover Foster Resident Action Council to bring positive change in our area - but our work feels very lonely...	Yes
District 3	Sophia	Lake Merritt Downtown or uptown Oakland. How will the commission support people who do not have homes?	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Mary Ellen Navas	I live in one of the mid-rise apartment buildings facing Lake Merritt. Our community was called the Gold Coast at some point. I relate strongly to the Lake Shore COI. My orientation, recreation, commercial interests etc are Lake Merritt leaning. I want our building along with the other Gold Coast buildings to be together with the other side of Lake Merritt. We share a COI that is strong and focused on Lake Merritt. . Why do all three of the new maps draw the line to exclude our community from District B? It makes no sense at all to put our community into the financial district and then into West Oakland. Please consider redrawing the line to include the buildings from 17th St toward the lake within District B or 2.	No
District 3	Hilary Near	Old Oakland, cyclists, environmentalist, mother of young child. 1. really appreciate Draft Plan H, especially isolating the hills and changing the designation of some of temescal. 2. I think Dimond + Laurel should be combined into D5, 3. Coliseum should be in one COI 4. and the boundary of d2 should move from 23rd to 27th Ave.	No
District 3	MavinCarter Griffin	85%Black Communityequal white brown asian. Largest Unhoused self housed self employed hustle for hire automotive arts and independent unmarried youth and young adults. No kids middle age gen ex Business shop owners and music artist makers events oriented. We need activity's very active. A school needed. Using the redistricting map was possible on an android phone and none of my neighbors living on Wood Street could participate. Really unfair and biased to use this tech and disregard poor in out district which is the majority in beat 7 and 8	Yes
District 3	David Ruede	I have lived in both northern and southern California on and off for ten years over the past twenty-five years. My wife and I recently moved to Oakland to retire or maybe employ a work/retire lifestyle. We live where we want to make a community and spend almost 100% of our time where we live. My COI is educated, middle class, sharing similar living standards with the majority of residents in my neighborhood. We live in a 28 unit condo in Adams Point and find our building and surrounding neighbors mixed in age, family relationships, race, culture and lifestyle, a factor in favor of our decision to purchase our new permanent home here.. With only six months living here during a Pandemic limiting time as my experience but a lifetime of experience in city, suburban and rural homes, I do not feel the area surrounding Lake Merritt is well represented in District 3. We shop, walk, meet friends, dine out and find entertainment in the areas more represented in the Draft Plan D Map. I believe the area below I-580 should be included in the Lake Merritt district. Therefore I support the Draft Plan D Map proposed redistricting.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Nadji	I live in the downtown Oakland area. I currently reside in an sro, which is pretty old I feel. I am a gay black man, so there are alot of inclusive places to hangout at, alot of creative spaces are in downtown with more popping up. I do wish there were more up keep of some of these historical buildings that are in the downtown area, maybe redo the fountain in Latham Square, because its beautiful. . Why are the lines being redrawn in the first place? With the history of certain populations not having a voice when it comes to redistricting, can you all be sure that every body has a voice within the new district lines? Would it be possible to fund more housing projects that access the needs of working class people, like myself, who aren't homeless, but also can't afford the high rents of downtown?	No
District 3	Victor	I see a community of interest by the concentration of people there that share similar economic and social backgrounds and that typically includes ethnic and cultural backgrounds. I think geographic/ neighborhood location is also important. For example, Fruitvale and Havenscourt might be predominantly Latine and working-class but that doesn't mean they're the same. . I think you should try to keep central neighborhoods and COIs together. Each district should probably have one or two central neighborhoods as it's core. For example, when you currently think of D5 most people immediately think Fruitvale. I also believe districts should be as tight as possible which means it should go from one end of the city to the other or intentionally concentrate any type of voter.	Yes
District 3	Sal Tulah	We're a Neighborhood watch group, from Brush Street, to Market Street, and 14th Street, to 11th Street.. Will, this affect Police input in our area, as far as response time, and how will this affect the homeless.	Yes
District 3	MavinCarter Griffin	Artists makers unhoused' Black Panthers Burningman multi cultural multi racial 30 - 70 yrs old , political, urban gig work side hustle ,auto motive performance and music pagan hipster hobos , without live in children . Asain hispanic white middle aged entertainment nerds salvage and recycle culture Blm black urban 20s LGBT , Tiny house and RV culture , urban arts ,historic district , . That a campus for Oakland Community Arts and Sciences with resources should be followed thru on Wood Street and Port based upon the curb and urban transformation from unhoused' to new mobile community leadership . Should have focus on the power points of Of aklands People it's Industrial fortitude and it's out of box possibility. Arts Culture new business Media to public connectivity training and habitat experiments for new architecture are bankable lift in restoring the city with a resource that can turn any available open space or building into a multi purpose station for community. Makers or recyclers or writers or whomever .the 3d district to port should sustain train and engage provide perminant housing options that can be stationed or travel in plug and play theme. More of an adult zone focused on the emerging blend of cultures and generations from Boomer to X Y Z and Millinial. It's a play oriented work hard play yard zone with needs in stimulation education stablization and public engagement activity. If the people in this district area were enlisted in a series of enrichment activity opportunity exposure to educating and unusual trends they could all be millionaires	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Brittany Rae Buckmire	- Hoover Durant Resident of 6.5 years - Committee Chair for The Friends of the Hoover Durant Public Library - Focused on equity and elevating the voices and visibility of BIPOC residents. What steps is the city taking to increase equity through this redistricting?	Yes
District 3	Devon Wright	I define COI as someone who is interested in making their city/surrounding better through economic/educational/volunteer development. . How will you make the decision? Downtown and uptown Oakland is drastically changing due to the construction of new condos. I vote for D :)	Yes
District 3	Savannah Sellman	Relationship to the community . What are the benefits and drawbacks of consolidation?	Yes
District 3	MavinCarter Griffin	Artist/ maker Business/ Developer Events planner Unhoused' advocate / person experiancing an unhoused' community . That the voices of the unhoused' are valid. That WE are treated as second class citizens but with in our plight there holds a key of possibility regeneration wisdom and social justice. Our voices are not heard as we have no practical entry to any venue to speak .If you are to hear us know that over a third of our people are satisfied and choose to self house in a port able affordable moveable home and be public enough to survive at what we can do ,recycle side hustle ,collect and resale odd jobs and each district holds out future to solvency.another third works in fact almost half. But must fight for our place in Oakland our curbing is how we maintain our income to provide for our familys and to survive. We need to be seen not as mentally ill or addicted but as citizens of struggle and our love for this city keeps us here where we started , where we belong. The other third of our people are mentally different but accepted by and large and depend upon our emerging community's for support comradery and belonging .in the community's set in West Oakland at Wood Street and to the East by Colluseum the curbs need only incentives and support more than ordinances and disregard. We are cut off from participating and exploited. Wood Street People's Collective has interest in a People's Campus to facsilitate learning survival and responsibility and good neighboring and vangarding under guidences of longtime builders of Black Roch City Burningman and guidences from Black Panther community. We have great need for utilizing land in the spaces as bases to survive. Some of us will never be housed in doors so dwelling with understanding and new services should be sollutionable . Not everybody feels that a home is a house. Or a house is inside . Consider this school for all people in the west Oakland district of Wood Street and consider it's designs by the collective. We the people means ALL THE PEOPLE and the West Oakland Community of unhoused' Persons is at well over 1000 people in D3. Housed or not , our community comes to get her and is from one place. Oakland. We want a mixed zone live work learn thrive ,events and leisure recreation and development exposition center focused on home building portable affordable innovative housing. That is our ticket to rise to a sustainable level. Provided that the talents and local residents inside or outside are the first in line to use spaces.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Daniel erwin	I hope we soon do not need to think of Pill Hill as primarily shaped by the several highway underpasses where our unhoused neighbors live. I would much prefer to feel part of the dining and shopping districts of Temescal, Kono/Northgate, or piedmont avenue, and to see a new strong one on MLK on the west side of the highway. . None	No
District 3	Rachael Kirk-Cortez	Adam's point resident. N/a	No
District 3	Haley Bash	McClymonds neighborhood, West Oakland broadly. n/a, thank you for your work!	No
District 3	Paulo (Paul) Sousa	Live in the Prescott neighborhood of West Oakland.. I don't think that boundaries should be redrawn to include further out neighborhoods like Fruitvale into the same district as West Oakland. The map options where West Oakland and closer-by neighborhoods are grouped together make a lot more sense.	No
District 3	Jodi Durst	Working class, low income, includes members of LGBTQ, ethnically diverse, diverse age groups, renters/not homeowners, ideologically speaking left-leaning progressive to radical left (socialist, anarcho-syndicalist), diverse in education level (high school thru post grad.. Would love to know what reasons the city has for redistricting in the first place and what are the thoughts for each of these proposed maps.	No
District 3	Michael Zelinski	West Oakland. We need a map that separates the populations that live in the hills and the flat lands. People in the hills vote for candidates that are out of touch with the reality occurring in the flats. This is mostly a problem with Carroll Fife and West Oakland.	No
District 3	Nathan Moon	I am the Advocacy Director (volunteer resident) for Beat8x Neighborhood Council of the City of Oakland Neighborhood Services. Neighborhood Services Beat 8x is supervised by Angela Moore (amoore@oaklandca.gov) and is bound by I-980 to the Lake and West grand up to 40th Street. . After review of the Draft Maps B and D, Beat 8x Neighborhood Council supports Draft Map B. Our top Draft Map choice is still A	Yes
District 3	Mary Griffin	Adams Point Neighborhood Group . I am in favor of Map Draft D. This puts all the neighborhoods surrounding the lake in a common district with one representative council member.	No
District 3	Joan Straumanis	Adams Point, Grand Lake area. I like living in an area with diverse populations, interests, economic status and nearby shops and services.. I appreciate this process taking place.	No
District 3	Jen Jackson	Adams Point neighborhood. I am in support of Maps C and D but definitely NOT A or B. Adams Point is incredibly different from West Oakland and although it has far more voters than other parts of D3, we receive VERY little attention from our representative. They come to campaign and then we don't see them again. We have serious crime issues (my neighbor was held up at gun point on his porch and another punched in the face and mugged while she walked home from the grocery store). We should at long last be united with our neighbors into one district so that we can advocate for programs and resources that serve us more consistently.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Anyka Howard	Black, West Oakland, Entrepreneur/Small Business Owner, Women, Oakland Native , Arts and Culture, Organizing, Advocacy/Policy . TBD	Yes
District 3	Diana	- Adams Point - Lake Merritt neighborhoods. I strongly support Maps C and D (especially Map D), which would, among other things, place my neighborhood (Westlake/Adams Point) in the same council district as the other lakeside neighborhoods. We have lived here for many years and it has always been challenging having a voice in what is happening along the lake because most of our D3 councilmembers have been (appropriately) focused on the starkly different West Oakland concerns. Using an arterial like Broadway as a boundary makes far more sense than the current split along Grand, where merchants and residents on either side of the street share similar neighborhood concerns but are in different council districts. The one change to Map C/D that I'd consider is ending District A at West Grand (or ideally even further north, if possible) to keep more West Oakland neighborhoods together. This looks like it would be feasible as far as population numbers since District A is the most populous in both of these maps. Thanks for all of your work on this daunting task!	No
District 3	Ralph	Pass. Split my district	Yes
District 3	Leniece Flowers	Lake Merritt, Northgate Waverly, Downtown. What safeguards are being put in place to ensure equitable allocation of resources, power, etc? Who will track/monitor/audit this potential for potential bias and unintended outcomes? Who will advocate for the most marginalized? Unhoused people, black and brown people, working class/low-income folk, and non-native English speakers?	Yes
District 3	EC Brandon	Westlake/Oak Glen neighborhood . Current District 3 has at least three very disparate areas; one or more align better elsewhere	No
District 3	Julia Epstein	Jack London Square. Please ensure that people of color, people who work multiple jobs, people with disabilities, and immigrants are able to vote.	No
District 3	Helen Hutchison	I suggest you consider using school attendance boundaries as COIs. The current attendance boundaries are here: https://www.arcgis.com/apps/View/index.html?appid=e2d956e81eaf4a45b24b705e76b7871e&extent=-122.4784,37.7048,-121.9901,37.8847 . see answer to Q4	No
District 3	Tom Dapice	Districts 1 and 3. District 3 should be split into West Oakland and downtown / Lake Merritt so that West Oakland gets better representation.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Mavin Carter Griffin	Arts culture and racial equity we are the. Largest UNHOUSED community living both curb and deeply interested in our future our identity our story's. The City needs to recognize The Wood Street People's Collective as a Campus sovereign of west Oakland s UNHOUSED Panther and burner community and know we are voters and interested in something different than ANYTHING yet produced See Mavin Carter Griffin for more VITAL information. Repaiations Recovery and New innovation is our Community of random citizens proudly of Oakland.. What will happen to the Curbstead in district 3 inhabited by politically invested UNHOUSED self sheltered community .We want to be considered as neighbors and relivently a emerging cultural voice eminated from 15 years or more of homelessness. We are a voice and we are humans in interest without REAL INCLUSIVE NEWS OR ENTRY TO BE COUNTED AS THE HOUSED ARE. We have 29 acres we refuse to relinquish along with hopes for a educational fairgrounds dedicated to Oakland s conditions talent the new trends in housing and such. See Mave Carter Griffin's plan for d3 and port of arts culture housing and celebratory innovative alchemical community sciences.	Yes
District 3	Larry Platt, MD	Adams Morgan Lake Merritt. The park by the lake is a bird sanctuary, it is a place for picnicking, playing games, reading, strolling getting away from the urban noise and stress. It is not meant to be a night club with amplified music and dj blasting the neighborhood while residents are working , doing homework, eating dinner, trying to talk with family and friends or listening to their own music or tv. Please stop allowing the amplified music that is happening two or three times a week at the Boathouse. It is inappropriate and unhealthy and is enjoyed by only a few dozen people at the expense of hundreds of residents in my COI's.	Yes
District 3	Pedro Mendoza	I would define my community of interest as a public safety community . N/A	No
District 3	hussein omar	My community needs a lot of work but i would say we focus on drug abuse and speeding in my area. Do better	No
District 3	Adam	You can define them by their similar living qualities and their needs as a place of worship, groceries stores, liquor stores, schools, parks, and many others.. Are there updates that can change the placement of the district we currently have today?	No
District 3	Susan Fox	Lake Merritt resident who values access to a city park for all Oakland residents who are respectful of the existing regulations which keep the park safe, clean, available for family and friends' gatherings and recreation, exercise, appreciation of the wildlife sanctuary, junior nature center, boating activities, gardens, and Fairyland. Walking access to Grand Lake and Uptown, BART, bus routes.. None	No
District 3	Karen Streichr	Member Park Bellevue Tower . Residents and visitors to Lake Merritt could be in one district as we all have similar interests of keeping the Lake available for families, nature, peaceful activities. Loud music and commercial enterprises should not be part of this area.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Daniel Tischler	Downtown Oakland. Downtown Oakland is the central hub of our city, but we don't have a single council district focused on our neighborhood. Instead, Downtown is carved up into districts with council members primarily focused on West Oakland and Eastlake/San Antonio. As a result there is no single council member focused on the unique conditions and needs of a dense downtown neighborhood. With new housing and a rapidly growing downtown population (much of which is too recent to even be captured in last year's Census), it's time for there to be a council district focused on Downtown.	Yes
District 3	MARIO PALESTINI	I live along Lake Merritt. The lake and park are our backyard. We welcome everyone to the lake but we want the area, its infrastructure, its natural habitat, its bird sanctuary, its peacefulness, its ordinances to be respected by all who come here. We shop and support retailers all along Grand and Lakeshore Aves. We would like to be able to walk safely to and from these areas without fear of being mugged. Without passing blatant drug dealers. And we would like to be able to drive on Grand, Bellevue and Perkins Avenues without blowing tires on potholes. I think it is important that we hire more police to enforce our laws. I understand people are frustrated but the rapid escalation of all crime not just violent crime has caused law abiding citizens to leave the city and the state. Older citizens live in constant fear of being mugged or assaulted but individuals that should otherwise be in jail, instead they're behavior goes unchecked because the city of oakland doesn't prioritize having more officers. Nothing will change if crime isn't investigated and prosecuted. This has nothing to do with race or income, poor people don't want crime in their neighborhoods neither do the latinos. So Oakland needs to get its act in gear otherwise the tax base will drop out as people leave, businesses won't want to be here and oakland will become a shell and look like Detroit.	No
District 3	Penny Righthand	I live along Lake Merritt. The lake and park are our backyard. We welcome everyone to the lake but we want the area, its infrastructure, its natural habitat, its bird sanctuary, its peacefulness, its ordinances to be respected by all who come here. We shop and support retailers all along Grand and Lakeshore Aves. We would like to be able to walk safely to and from these areas without fear of being mugged. Without passing blatant drug dealers. And we would like to be able to drive on Grand, Bellevue and Perkins Avenues without blowing tires on potholes. While we want to see the homeless fed and safely sheltered, and the violence citywide reduced, we would like our immediate community concerns represented fairly. None yet	Yes
District 3	Liz Brisson	Downtown Oakland (I live in Uptown which to me feels like part of the greater Downtown Oakland neighborhood). In general the area i most frequently travel within the neighborhood is bounded by I-980, Grand St, Lake Merritt, and 14th St. None	No
District 3	Jay Toulouse	Renter, future homeowner, future parent, college graduate with student loan debt, commuter to greater SF Bay Area, person in recovery, community volunteer, scientist, person of faith, Lesbian, gender non conforming (GNC), Trans, business owner, worker in energy and green tech.. Let's redistribute wealth from the hills to the flats and make sustainability and anti-recidivism priorities!	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 3	Reisa Jaffe	My community of interest are people who are working to eliminate white supremacy and raise the quality of life of those most in need. Housing as a human right. Paying a living wage. People who understand public safety goes beyond policing.. "As a new Commission, we want the community to know of the work we are doing and will strive to make the redistricting process as open and transparent as possible." - What specific things will you be doing to accomplish this goal?	No
District 3	Mercedes S. Rodriguez	This is all new to me. I would need to do more research and get a better understanding of COI.. I would like to know why the Commission is seeking redistricting. What made the City decide that it should be redistricted. How will redistricting change my neighborhood? What changes are going to be made in District 3 where I live. I would like to compare the current District 3 with the new proposed District 3.	No
District 3		Hoover Foster; San Pablo corridor.	Yes
District 3	Carol Wyatt	My community of interest is both economic and crime prevention oriented. Seeing more economic opportunities for our businesses and residents is imperative for poverty intervention. Seeing small incubator businesses in our corridors that allow us to hire locally and keep resources and dollars in our community is essential. . Why has Oakland decided to conduct this work all of a sudden? I'd love to learn why a Redistricting Commission seems required so that we can have clarification on the work that this commission's goal are built upon with transparency so that even if we can't serve on it, we can understand its performative goals overall.	No
District 3	YaVette Holts	Black owned businesses. We are interested to keep our business members aware of the process of how and why redistricting happens and how district boundaries affect their bottom line. We also seek to encourage members to get involved and represent their interests when their business or their home is being adversely impacted.	Yes
District 3	Ay'Anna Moody	I consider neighborhood and schools my COI. I want to make sure the next school bond measure passed goes to remodeling and supporting McClymonds HS. The school has needed these financial resources for quite some time. .	Yes
District 3	Steve Sims	Early 30's, work from home, lifelong East Bay resident, Cal grad. Would you consider multi-member constituencies elected via proportional, ranked lists?	Yes
District 4	Susan Harman	I support Map F3 because it's more democratic.	No
District 4	Angelina.deng02@gmail.com	I want you to pick map F3 and keep Laurel Heights and Diamond together. I want renters to have a voice and especially support the small businesses in the community which make our area unique and give it a good character. Additionally Oakland is majority renter and keeping D4 together means that a very heavily renter area can pick someone to represent them thus creating a diverse council.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Otto Pippenger	I am a Laurel resident, renter, and participant throughout the entirety of this process writing for the final time to strongly support map F3- on the grounds that it is the only one which meets the self-imposed/accepted criteria of this committee for neighborhood integrity- K3 splits Dimond park down the middle, dilutes the latino vote in D5, means that renters as a class will have a weakened say in city policy by splitting Dimond, Laurel, and Redwood heights, and again creates an all-hills district, whose regressive effect on city politics would be not only regressive, but strikes me as an active gerrymandering of a progressive city. I am dismayed by how this committee and the consultants (who have had a deleterious, regressive effect on many other cities they have worked on) has constantly been faced with unified public opinion, and consistently voted, usually after the public has left to divide neighborhood and equity groups/individuals by creating new maps which fulfill the requests of one group in one map, and another in a second- I do not understand why the Coliseum for instance was previously kept in its historical district in F2, only to be moved out in a new map structure after public comment had ended. I and the many others who spoke on behalf of Laurel want to remain with the greater Dimond in D4; that is why the committee adopted our inclusion as a criteria; cutting us off and moving us into D5 only fulfills that in the most semantic and legalistic sense. It would be a travesty, and I would be greatly ashamed, if the words of me and my neighbors were twisted to become justification for the opposite of our intent. As a renter and a Laurel resident, we stand to lose a great deal from being cut off from our historically connections, and our political representation, through which we have been effectively pursuing equity and progress for our neighborhood. But also as a resident of this city, I share the concerns for racial equity raised by groups like the African American Cultural Zone, the dilution of Latino voting power, and the broad issue of economic equity and the power to be potentially given to the wealthiest in Oakland that K3 entails. I urge the committee for the final time to listen to the public, and to the voices for justice and equity who have clearly spoken for F3, and to select it and not K3. Frankly I have become dubious that this committee intends to do anything other than push the all-hills district represented by k3, as it has recurred over and over despite massive resistance from the public of Oakland- I dearly hope I am wrong. Please vote for F3, and not for K3	No
District 4	Ryan Romaneski	I strongly urge the ORC to approve Map F3 and retain the geographic integrity of Oakland's Dimond District, a clear Community of Interest that deserves adequate representation in City Hall.	No
District 4	Patrick nardone	Why are they wanting to redistrict?	Yes
District 4	Jean Quan	I support F3. Current districts are product of long negotiations to give black, Latino and API residents some districts of concentration while also making them diverse enough to make reps knowledgeable and accountable for citywide concerns. K3 is more polarizing and appears to dilute African American concentration in East Oakland.	Yes
District 4	Sean Schoonmaker	Preference for F3 in the F3 vs. K3 battle	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Lou Katz	I support map F3, and believe that the Colosseum should be in District 7	No
District 4	Winston Win	Redwood Heights, upper Laurel District, Hwy 13 Corridor, Leona Heights, Leona Canyon, Montclair, Oakland Hills, lower Oakland Hills. Each district should form around a unique intersection of geography, culture and sense of place within the larger fabric Oakland. In considering where to draw lines, we should think about how people actually experience and inhabit different parts of the city. Places (both sides of a street or corridor, neighborhoods that share shopping areas, amenities or other services) should be within the same district.	No
District 4	michael gast	OUSD parent, public transportation user, oakland resident. i support map f3.	No
District 4	Karen Katz	My neighborhood, where I shop, eat and go for entertainment. I live near Skyline and Ascot. I feel no community with people who live above Highway 13 but south beyond Redwood Road. I almost never go there.. Please choose map F3	No
District 4	Karen Caronna	Dimond district. Voting for F3.	No
District 4	Kathleen Matz	Dimond, Oakmore, Glenview area neighborhood, including Dimond Park and Sausal Creek. Supporting map F3, uniting Glenview, the Dimond, and Dimond Park. Dimond and Glenview share small businesses in the Dimond & have similar concerns such as the Park, safe streets, public safety, social justice, etc. Historically Glenview & the Dimond have been united because of this community of interest, until political motives gerrymandered the split. There should NOT be an 'all-hills' district.	No
District 4	Cat	Our COI represents diverse incomes, ethnicities, orientations, and is comprised of people who do business all over Oakland. What we have in common is our concerns in terms of city services. We need advocacy that emphasizes things like fire safety, a need for gunshot detection that is now lacking, removal of dangerous tree branches, improved police response, and decreasing trash dumping on Skyline, among other things.. What is wrong with the current districts? Of the new proposed districts, my household's preference is K3.	No
District 4	Margaret Miura	In regard to my definition of community of interest is the relationship with our nearby neighborhoods. One of the wonderful attributes of living in Oakland is the diversity we have. It provides opportunities for us to work together, learn from each other, and share common and different interests and goals. I strongly endorse Map F3 to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our community and to continue our strong relationships with the Laurel, Dimond, Montclair, Allendale, and Glenview neighborhoods. The alternative Map K is unacceptable due to issues of economic homogeneity, wildfire safety, and the end of the extremely positive working relationship with the Laurel neighborhood.	No
District 4	Steve O'Donnell	Seniors, retired.. I favor the F3 plan.	No
District 4	Pamela Dernham	Artists. I support Draft Plan K3. While I am a little uncomfortable with the reduced impact of the hills area, I think it is more equitable for the whole city.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Estelle Woodbury	I am a long time resident, born and raised here, currently raising my family in Oakland, and house shopping. My community of interest is doesn't have a specific name, I can't tell you where they all worship or gather. I can tell you that we are a diverse group of artists, teachers, community leaders, entrepreneurs and visionaries. We have enjoyed the growth of our city and at the same time work hard to remain. We want to buy homes and businesses to participate in the city's growth. We want a change in the districting of Oakland that supports bringing the city and all it's residents together rather than maintaining its current structures that allows for continuous division separating those with money and those without. . I wonder about funding systems and structures. The housing and crime issues are a city wide problem. How will the redistricting impact how the city comes together to address these? How does prioritizing communities of interest help to disrupt the cycle of growth and displacement that currently exists?	No
District 4	ariana casanova	the flatlands, working class, people of color areas that need to be protected and have equitable representation among the city and school district. District four is heavily hills and not a wide range of flatland representation. I would not like there to be another district with that same issue. It would disenfranchise the majority of oaklanders instead of allowing them to have better representation.. I would like to support the F3 map and not the K3 for the reason noted in point number 4	Yes
District 4	Kim Coulthurst	Dimond. I think F3 does the least splitting of neighborhoods and our nearby shopping districts. K3 completely splits Dimond and Laurel neighbors from our shopping districts, and divides Lakeshore also. And I am a fan of distributing the wealth of the hills across more districts as map F3 does.	No
District 4	Becky Lai	Homeowner raising a young family in Oakland and am planning to stay long term . I'm strongly in favor of map K3 because I often feel like hills residents in some districts dominate the council person or school board members priorities. I think it's good for the health of the city for those in the flatlands to be represented and have the strength of leadership that isn't ambivalent to their needs.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Pat Peterson	Piedmont Side of Montclair. Single family residences. Subject to special fire controls because considered high fire danger, although not any fire damage in Oakland Hills Fire. No public amenities in area. Street maintenance except on highly traveled streets is nonexistent. No paving done where I live for more than 20 yrs., notwithstanding major pot holes. Paving scheduled for November-December 2021 started, but not completed and not scheduled in current plans. Children in area generally go to private schools. City's failure to regulate or enforce regulations regarding use of secondary living spaces for temporary "bed and breakfast" uses results in parking, refuse and noise problems. Second kitchens is not a real planning problem, but allowing the rental of "second units" and "bed and breakfast" accommodations changes the nature of the area negatively.. Contiguous areas are important for planning as well as providing community services in a timely fashion. Likewise, districts should be relatively similar in size. However, the concerns of residents living in the hills are generally different than those of residents living in the flatlands. Consequently, hills areas should not include flatland areas. To the extent that a particular area is composed of a particular racial or cultural group that historically has worked together for educational, economic or social purposes, such a community should not be artificially split in order to make all districts more diverse by cutting the effectiveness of said coherent community of interest. In fact, such redistricting has generally violated legal requirements for appropriate districting.	No
District 4	Ceanna Stephens	Redwood Heights. How will this impact school zoning?	No
District 4	Joshua Speer	I live in Redwood Heights but want Oakland as a whole to be a good place to live. . We have at "At Large" district member, a "Strong" Mayor and a city-wide police force. What does Districting do for us? The idea of giving Voice to the Voiceless is possible. Another is to perpetuate the domination of politics by the rich. How will you address/balance these views. Regarding Schools, how you distribute schools among geography plays a big role in how those schools are financed. Should we have a non-regionalized school assignment model? Being able to walk to school is nice but shouldn't be the reason a school exists.	No
District 4	Virginia Lew	Asian Moderate-high income Retired. F3 draft seems a better fit for ethnic and income COIs.	No
District 4	Rebecca Carmona	I like what F3 looks like. It reflects and absorbs a sweeping representation of What Oakland looks like.. N/A	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Nina Brilliant	My community includes my ongoing relationships with local schools, business improvement districts, and surrounding neighborhoods. These neighborhoods extend from estuary up to where I live just above MacArthur and from High Street in the east to 25th Ave on the west. I bicycle through the Fruitvale to get to work and I live, shop and recreate above and below 580.. I strongly support Map K3 which will limit the influence of wealthy areas and empower voices in the flats. Map K3 keeps my community whole, brings our kids' schools into our district, and includes my entire neighborhood with contiguous borders. Map K3 connects me with those who live below 580 who share my concerns. I oppose Map F3 which, while connecting Bartlett and Allendale with us, divides us from our larger "below-580" community, those who share our concerns. It puts us with hills' residents who will compete rather than support us for Council resources. Map F3 does real harm to my community	No
District 4	Monica Lin	Redwood Heights neighborhood . Please reject Map K3 because it would create an affluent, all-hills district — that is *not* the Oakland my family and I want to live in.	No
District 4	Scott Williams	Oakland Hills. People who live in Oakland Hills have their voting power diluted. You Gerrymandered a small Oakland Hills residents in district 6 and 7 and combine them with just enough people from lower East Oakland to completely remove their voice	No
District 4	Dania Stotts	Montclair. F3 please	No
District 4	Eric Shea	Piedmont pines . I urge the commission to select map F3 and NOT K3	Yes
District 4	Sean Cavanaugh	Dimond District/Glenview/Woodminster/Redwood Park - I vote for the F-3 redistricting map to keep the area united. . Do not split up the Dimond District/Glenview areas, we border Dimond Park and are united by it. Do not approve of K-3 as it splits Dimond Park into two districts and also splits our two neighborhood apart.	No
District 4	Ann Gressani	REdwood Heights, Dimond, Laurel neighborhoods. Please support map F3. It preserves the economic and cultural diversity I value as a 28-year homeowner in Redwood Heights, including participating with my Dimond, Laurel and Glenview neighbors while I am shopping and using the schools, parks, recreation and libraries of the current District 4. Please do not segregate me to the "all hills" approach proposed by the redistricting alternative.	Yes
District 4	Robert Darden	Laurel and Dimond. The proposed redistricting had not been adequately justified. Why is there a need? Both suggestions do not bridge disparate communities together for optimal and equal distribution of services and resources. A district should go vertically from the lower flats to the hills. From the shoreline to the Foothills and skylines. This current paradigm continues to insulate wealthy communities in health, access, infrastructure, services, education and all the metrics that matter to a thriving community. To them have this conversation underlines there are inherent inequalities on Oakland. Stop playing with politics and peoples outcomes. Specific to the proposed redlining... F3 is the lesser of the two poorly crafted wrongs.	Yes
District 4	Jenan Abdunnur	Neighbors, school mates. I prefer map K3	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Jenny Boyden	Parent, homeowner, Redwood Heights neighbor, pet owner, Oakland Unified School District constituent, supporter of youth sports programs. We are in strong support of map F3! Breaking up the current D4 neighborhoods creates more homogenous districts, and we disagree strongly with that strategy. We feel that strength comes from representation that includes Oakland's many voices. Of the remaining maps, only Map F3 allows D4 to retain most of its cultural and economic diversity. Thank you!	No
District 4	Carrie Campbell	Dimond District resident and long-time homeowner (30+ years). Member of the Dimond Improvement Association, NCPC 22X participant, Keep Dimond Clean, Friend of the Dimond Library, and Friends of Sausal Creek.. Feedback on Draft Maps F3 and K3 for District 4: Map F3 is my preferred choice for D4 - the demographics are respective of the D4 as shown on the draft map in F3. The boundaries of the proposed district seem reasonable and appropriate given the demographics. Among others positive attributes it keeps the Dimond District together as it should be and has been for a very long time. Map K3 is NOT my choice for D4 - Though the demographics appear balanced, the way in which the boundaries are drawn are not. In fact, as shown in the K3 draft map, there is a northern-most extension (some would call it a "dog's leg") that divides that part of the district in a very strange way. It's located near Dimond Park, like an E5 peninsula in the midst of D4. Very strange and not at all appropriate. It appears that in the need for balancing demographics, the drawers of the map went far afield looking for the few more percentage points they needed for the demographics and as a last ditch-effort, drew this inappropriate northern extension.	Yes
District 4	Kirsty Gumina	Redwood Heights, Head-Royce School, Young Families, Oakland Native. Hoping to get a representative that serves our communities interests and the greater good of Oakland.	No
District 4	Karen Medford	Piedmont Pines Neighborhood Assoc. Montclair. As residents of Piedmont Pines for 21 years we urge the Commission to select map F3 because it is consistent with Piedmont Pines' and other Oakland Hills organizations' positions to maintain socio-economic diversity within each district. We strongly oppose K3 because it bears the risk of returning Oakland to a political landscape in which past policy and funding issues have negatively and emotionally focused on debilitating "hills versus flatlands" debates.	No
District 4	Greg Murphy	Oakland. I strongly prefer Map F3.	No
District 4	Jami Matanky	Dimond and current Dimond district since 1988. Map F3!. Please don't split the Dimond or Laurel districts in 1/2! This is totally arbitrary when there are natural dividing lines of highway 13 and 580! As a resident in district 4 off Lincoln just below 13, I consider the Dimond my neighborhood, not Montclair or the "hills" F3 is the choice that makes sense as the homes between 580 and 13, in the Dimond and Laurel are a neighborhood! And all of Dimond park is park of our neighborhood!	No
District 4	bruce linde	oakland hills. map K3, pls	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Eric Roudabush	By the neighborhood I live in. Redwood Heights.. The redistricting maps I've seen have one acceptable choice, and one that creates a "hills only" district that divorces part of Oakland residents from the concerns of their fellow city residents. Please approve the F3 map over the K3. K3 seems blatantly elitist and potentially damaging to Oakland.	No
District 4	Carol Heard	Glenview. None	No
District 4	Daniel Miller	Glenview neighborhood. I like k3. I think it better represents the interests of our neighborhood.	Yes
District 4	Francisco Martín	Dimond . I-580 provides the most logical boundary between Districts 4 and 5	No
District 4	John Brega	My COI is greater Oakland.. I strongly support map F3. Let's avoid institutionalizing a "hills vs. flats" scenario for the next 10 years!	No
District 4	Stephen Gronek	We are urging the Commission to select map F3 . I am urging the Commission to select map F3	No
District 4	Hope	Hills- EBRP Crestmont HOA . I just learned about redistricting- seems late in the game. Wonder how I missed it ; how was outreach done? I read through the website, and I don't see clear rationale for the proposed maps, implications, background, etc. I'm also not sure how the final map will be selected. Will there be a vote? On the face of it, and without a clearer understanding of the issues, F3 seems to make more sense.	No
District 4	Mark Lecker	My COI would be a cross-section of both homeowners who contributed property taxes and other levies to city coffers with apartment and other denizens who may not contribute as much financially but whose civic needs may be every bit as great or greater.. None	Yes
District 4	Elizabeth Cureton	Redwood heights/laurel/dimond. All connected. Live in redwood heights, Laurel and dimond is where our kids school mates are, where we eat, get groceries, shop, go to post office, bank, etc, our business district. Outside of my immediate block i spend way more time in laurel and dimond than in hills neighborhoods.. Do not make an all hills district.	No
District 4	Daniel Ciruli	Redwood Heights, the Laurel, Dimond. I am opposed to map K3. It has the negative effect of creating an all-Hills district, which would represent an uncharacteristically affluent set of residents, and robs us of much of the diversity we currently enjoy in D4. It would separate my Redwood Heights neighborhood from much of the Laurel and would divide the Dimond district in two.	No
District 4	Michael Glazeski	I am a concerned, tax paying resident of Oakland. I urge that you adopt Redistricting Plan F3	Yes
District 4	Brendan Nelson	A community that shares businesses, common values, and that is represented by people who care about us.. Please use Map F3, not the alternative! We need the same city council representative and should NOT have our communities fragmented.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Martin Kahn	I have lived in the Redwood Heights neighborhood for 30 years. We have an active Redwood Heights Association that I have worked for and supported. I also consider myself part of the Laurel neighborhood because I frequently shop at the businesses along MacArthur Blvd. in the Laurel.. I urge the Commission to support redistricting Map F3 and reject Map K3 because Map K3 will separate the Laurel neighborhood from the Redwood Heights neighborhood, thus separating a single community of interest.	No
District 4	John Spees	Property owners of Oakland concerned about public safety.. I strongly support Map F3 and oppose Map K3. I think it is very important not to have a socioeconomically balkanized redistricting map.	Yes
District 4	Tim Iglesias	I live in Redwood Heights and I value my ongoing relationships with local schools, business improvement districts, and surrounding neighborhoods. These neighborhoods include the Laurel, Dimond, Allendale, Montclair, and Glenview which are recognized by map F3. I consider my COI to be these neighborhoods, especially the Laurel. . I have been a resident of Redwood Heights (District 4) for 28 years and have raised my family here. I strongly urge the Council to choose Map F3. I agree with the rationale articulated by the Redwood Heights Association, especially regarding not creating further segregation by income. Separating the representation into different districts as is proposed by Map K3 would be detrimental because I would no longer be working in tandem with my closest neighbors with whom I share so much. Map K3 would dramatically narrow the demographics of the community that D4 currently represents. We have much in common with these communities; we celebrate diversity and enjoy the richness that comes from multicultural communities. Breaking up the current D4 neighborhoods creates more homogenous districts, and I disagree strongly with that strategy. I feel that strength comes from representation that includes Oakland's many voices. Of the remaining maps, only Map F3 allows D4 to retain most of its cultural and economic diversity.	Yes
District 4	Sharon Grodin	I live in the Laurel/lower Redwood Heights neighborhood. Dimind and Redwood Heights have issues in common with my immediate neighborhood, which would be split off (at my street, for no discernible reason) by K3.. Please don't adopt K3.	Yes
District 4	Wendy Jones	Keeping Redwood Heights combined with the Laurel and the Dimond provides a valuable connection between the beauty and access to the Hills and Highway 13 and the economic diversity of the MacArthur Blvd. In my opinion our current District represents the best of Oakland in its well-roundedness. I consider District 4 similar to the concept of Complete Streets when it comes to Complete Communities. Why mess that up? Integrated communities should be the goal and Map F3 does a much better job at creating integrating communities.. Map F3 does a better job of making several districts--D4, E5 and F6--more complete and integrated communities. Please select Map F3!	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Michael P. Meyer	Hillside Communities (Montclair Estates), LGBTQ. I strongly support Map F3 and oppose K3. District 4 in Map K3 is too large geographically and would be very difficult for one Council person to get to know the area well. The four Council districts in Map F3 that include parts of the hills will provide a better balance geographically and provide more council persons who will be familiar with issues in the hills. It will also encourage more interaction between hillside residents and those in the lower elevations of Oakland, as well as interaction between single-family home residents and apartment/condo dwellers.	No
District 4	Mary Sullivan	I define my community of interest as that of the city of Oakland. I am proud of the diversity of Oakland and of all that diversity brings to our city in terms of culture, traditions, language, music, entertainment. We are not one geographic area. We are so diverse and we need to recognize the needs of each and every citizen, not just our immediate geographic neighbors. . My husband and I are in favor of the F3 redistricting map that will encompass those geographic areas and its diversity.	No
District 4	Deniz Daldal	Oakland hills, Montclair district. I preferred mixing different communities of interest. Oakland is a city of many cultures. I don't want segregations by class, income or race. The current districting is more open to that concept than the new proposed one.	No
District 4	Ilya Dorfman	Outdoors, hiking trails, hills. Draft-Plan-K3, where District 4 spans across all the communities in the hills makes a lot more sense for us than the other proposal.	No
District 4	Ellen Hom	Redwood Heights. Endorse map F3 which best represents our neighborhood of economic and cultural diversity	No
District 4	Arnel Ryan	The Dimond Park. The Fruitvale/Mac Arthur commercial district.. I prefer map F3.	No
District 4	Peter Stevenson	Citizens concerned about all of Oakland . District distribution by elevation seems like an odd way to go. F3 for me	No
District 4	Kirkpatrick Kelly	Oakland Hills . We support map F3 to promote diverts if districts from west to east. Thx for making note	No
District 4	Nicole	Montclair. We spend most of our time there-restaurants, shopping, etc. . Reviewing the map makes me question WHO is responsible for making these decisions; it degrades both my respect for and confidence in our representatives; it forces us to reconsider living in Oakland; and, most importantly, these plans separate us from our community.	No
District 4	Katy Atchison	N/a. I prefer F3 as this represents more closely with the neighborhood I'm in and is closer to the current map	No
District 4	June Y. G. Ko-Dial	Knowing the neighbors around me, which makes it a safer community community. Why are you screw it up?. Even if it Has to be re-drawn every 10 years which begs to ask why, you shouldn't break up Redwood Heights and we should not be part of the rich, you should just include the neighbors below us also.	Yes
District 4	Nancy Swart	I lik the map F3 dividing the hills into four sections. I think it is a better strategy so we eliminate the "flats versus hills" which has been very divisive. We need to think of Oakland as one big community that is trying to improve the lives of everyone. . See above #4.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Rehan Mirza	Unsure. I am in favor of map F3 and would like my sentiment known.	No
District 4	Ed Rovera	Redwood Heights Laurel . I prefer the F3 redistributing map as it maintains the political and community aspects of the area in which I have lived for 32 years.	No
District 4	Patricia Bruce-Lerrigo	Diverse across many dimensions. Includes Redwood Heights and Laurel . It's very important to me that these two communities stay joined.	No
District 4	Debbie Foster	Piedmont Pines. I would like to support K3 this map allows our neighborhood to be maintained. It also allows more diversity in the district	Yes
District 4	Nina Johnson	Montclair. Map F3 is preferable as it supports the diversity of Oakland. Map K3 segregates ethnic and socio economic populations, and creates systemic racism.	No
District 4	Michael Evans	I define my community of interest as those individuals which share similar levels of education and highly value educational achievement, work ethic, recreational opportunities that develop mentally and physically healthy children, and an intolerance for street crime. . I currently live on Bayo Street, and believe my community of interest is best served by Map F3. Thank you.	No
District 4	Omar Hurricane	Map F3. Select Map F3 redistricting	No
District 4	Simone Koga	Redwood Heights. Map K3 is nonsensical and divides our strong community. Diluting the common voice of a community should not be tolerated. I strongly believe that map F3 is the better choice for maintaining our strong community and preserving our desires for our neighborhoods.	No
District 4	John M Gordon	We reside at the very top of Geranium Place in Redwood Heights immediately adjacent to Leona Canyon Park and open space beyond. Our community has been in existence since the early 1950s; it is zoned RH-4 like all of the neighboring areas in current District 4; it is defined as a very high fire danger area by the OFD; it has protected tree scapes and water views; it is a strong candidate for a CPUC Rule 20a undergrounding project like the Piedmont Pines one that our District 4 Council Member championed and helped bring to fruition. We are in the same geologic fault zone, just above HWY 13. All this to lead up to the observation that proposed Map K3 retains our property and our neighbors' properties with ALL the other similar hillside COIs. In contrast, proposed Map F3 carves us out as if gerrymandered. It makes no sense. It deprives us of the experience and institutional knowledge that we currently enjoy; it deprives us of representation with like minded property homeowners and electorate.. We are in favor of the adoption of proposed Map K3 and vehemently opposed to proposed Map F3 for the reasons detailed above. We urge the Commission to retain our neighborhood with all the other hillside ones by adopting K3 as the only rational choice.	No
District 4	Jan Frankel	I live in Redwood Heights and COI's are Redwood Hts. Laurel, Dimond, Montclair and Glenview.. Please vote for Map F3	Yes
District 4	Jim Zig	District 4 resident. District 4 SWAPED area with Ignacio de la Fuentes district to give him the Glenview district because he had a home there .This is ergregeous pandering Return the Glenview to district 4	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Todd Hodges	I currently reside in the Piedmont Pines Neighborhood Assn area, but prior to that lived for 13 years between Piedmont Ave and the Rose Garden. I would like to voice my opinion that the redistricting commission should adopt map F3. Formerly living in district 1, and now in district 4, I find it beneficial that I, and my district representative, are aware of issues affecting diverse sections of Oakland. I fear that a map that segregates low-, mid-, and high-income neighborhoods will lead to a city government that will be less willing to work together to really solve Oakland's issues. I would prefer to have a representative that fights for a more diverse population than for a single slice of the city.	No
District 4	Laurie Sanchez	Hills Diversity Equity & Inclusion advocate and participant Police accountability advocate (2020 participant in Anti-Police Terrorism Project)--Mental Health First Poll Worker -- vote for democracy Weekly tutor for high school young woman of color -- education supports opportunity. Districting should not increase segregation of Oaklanders by race or economic standing. We need to work together to understand and create solutions for community problems. I do not want my neighborhood to be safer or cleaner than any other in my beloved city.	No
District 4	Margo Goodwin	I live at the top of Geranium Place, directly next to Leona Canyon and above Hwy 13. I belong to the Redwood Heights community. Area D4 should include all the neighborhoods above highway 13 - as indicated in map K3. Map F3 excludes a large part of the hills region that have many of the same concerns. Traffic on Hwy13, the threat along the Hayward Fault line and the major concern of being in a high fire danger region. Separating out specific areas along the hillside above highway 13 doesn't add up - particularly our location, adjacent to City of Oakland Leona Canyon, with protected Oak Groves above us and extensive bay views.	No
District 4	Robert Thomas	Laurel/Dimond . I support map K3, give the flatlands residents a stronger voice.	No
District 4	Paula Silver	My COI is the neighborhoods and businesses that surround D4. I want to work WITH, not be separated from, our closest neighbors such as the Laurel District.. I want to build community, NOT separate neighboring districts that might have a different demographics. Only Map F3 allows D4 to retain most of its cultural and economic diversity.	No
District 4	Ben Zimmerman	No thank you. I'm sorry - I got the maps confused in my earlier response. I would like to vote for map F3, NOT K3	No
District 4	Ben Zimmerman	No thank you. Please consider redistricting Oakland in favor of Map K3. I would not vote for Map F3.	No
District 4	June Jovan	White middle class.. Prefer F3. It is most similar to the present lines, which are satisfactory to me.	No
District 4	June Jovan	Middle class White. Am satisfied with the present District 4 boundaries.	No
District 4	John	n/a. n/a	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Julie Whorton	I am a lifelong Oakland resident, mother of two young boys (6 months and 3.5 years) who I plan to enroll in the Oakland public schools. I am a health care administrator, working in Oakland for the people of the greater East Bay. . I am concerned about the potential homogeneity within districts K3. One of the things I love most about Oakland is its diversity. I am concerned that there will be much more "us against them" between different areas of the city if our councilmembers are representing areas that do not cut-across the diversity of Oakland. Given this, I support map F3.	No
District 4	Ken Woolfe	Laurel/Diamond/Redwood Heights with its diverse population.. Map F3 retains the diversity of our district which K3 makes an all-hills affluent district. I support the F3 map.	No
District 4	Chris Bass	My community of interest is Redwood Heights, the Laurel and Diamond, all of which depend on each other for support and community. . We'd like to vote for Map F3 in redistricting because it maintains the community bond we have between the Laurel, RH and Diamond. Also, the businesses and districts rely on each other and the support of residents in these closely ties neighborhoods.	No
District 4	Charles Chapman	Montclair. If I get to choose between K3 and F3 then I vote for K3 for sure. That keeps the majority of the hills area together which reflects reality. Also, specifically, my immediate area between Broadway Terrace and Hwy 24 is currently needlessly split between districts 4 and 1 when it should all be 4.	No
District 4	Sue Wootan	Laurel/Redwood Macarthur, High, 35th. D4 would remain a diverse and multifaceted district, reflecting the cultural mix of the City of Oakland, as all districts should. Four districts share the significant issue of wildfire safety in the hills in this plan, keeping this a city-wide concern. Maps K is very bad because it create a significantly affluent, all-hills district. The effect on the Redwood Heights neighborhood would be to separate us from our communities of interest, especially the Laurel district, whose partnership and collaboration we value immensely.	No
District 4	Wendy Steel	Diversity, racially and economically and culturally is very important in Redwood Heights and surrounding areas. To divide the hills from nearby districts would be separating these important attributes.. Diversity, racially and economically and culturally is very important in Redwood Heights and surrounding areas. To divide the hills from nearby districts would be separating these important attributes.	No
District 4	Sharon Gillars	We are part of Redwood Heights Association which supports Redwood Heights, Laurel, Diamond, Montclair and Glenview. It looks like Map K3 is really splitting the community between upper hills and lower which would create more inequity in our community. What is the rationale of the K3 map versus the F3 map which was endorsed and recommended by RHA?	No
District 4	Harrison Lisabeth	NA. I am strongly in support of Draft Plan K3.	Yes
District 4	Helen Bloch	Diamond district. The Diamond district should not be divided in two. Diamond and Glenview neighborhoods should remain together. Diamond Park, the library, post office, etc. serve both neighborhoods.	No
District 4	Joan Warren	Diamond District. I prefer map F3 because it keeps the Diamond a more cohesive group and includes Diamond Park in that district.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Judith Klinger	That's a tough Q: one the one hand, I'd say people who have roughly the same municipal issues as I, so my issues don't get back-burnered to someone else's more urgent issues (hunger and housing should always come first) or to more privileged people's issues (obstructed views). However, if districting is done that way, it silos everyone. Another of my community of interests is people who's Oakland experience is unlike mine, so I have opportunities to cross-pollinate. The two stand in tension with each other. Bet that's helpful info!. Thank you for doing this work.	Yes
District 4	Miranda Sequoia	Living in the Laurel we have a strong inclusive family friendly community with close ties to Redwood Heights and Dimond districts where we utilize consolidated resources like the public libraries, business districts and care about the arts, nature and civics in our neighborhood all along MacArthur Blvd and Hwy 13.. The preference is for map F3 to be used vs K3. There are a distinct set of neighborhood differences below and above the 580 freeway. It wouldn't be fair to any of the districts/neighborhoods to have the Laurel/Redwood Heights separated from each other when they actively work together.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Redwood Heights Association	<p>The Redwood Heights Association (RHA) is a nonprofit organization hosting community events that appeal to many demographics. For the purpose of this survey, we are representing the Redwood Heights neighborhood; however, our reach extends well beyond Redwood Heights and D4. We value our ongoing relationships with local schools, business improvement districts, and surrounding neighborhoods. These neighborhoods include the Laurel, Dimond, Allendale, Montclair, and Glenview. We consider our COI to be these neighborhoods, especially the Laurel. RHA's objective is to build and strengthen community. Our communities of interest are the neighborhoods and businesses that surround us. Separating the representation into different districts would be detrimental because we would no longer be working in tandem with our closest neighbors with whom we share so much. It would dramatically narrow the demographics of the community that D4 currently represents. We have much in common with these communities; we celebrate diversity and enjoy the richness that comes from multicultural communities. Breaking up the current D4 neighborhoods creates more homogenous districts, and we disagree strongly with that strategy. We feel that strength comes from representation that includes Oakland's many voices. Of the remaining maps, only Map F3 allows D4 to retain most of its cultural and economic diversity. . The Redwood Heights Association board is grateful for the commission's work, and applauds the effort to remove the redistricting process from the political realm. After consideration of the proposed maps, the RHA board endorses Map F3 for the following reasons: It preserves the connection and relationships between Redwood Heights and the adjacent neighborhoods developed over the last ten years D4 would remain a diverse and multifaceted district, reflecting the cultural mix of the City of Oakland, as all districts should. Four districts share the significant issue of wildfire safety in the hills in this plan, keeping this a city-wide concern. We reject Maps K because it create a significantly affluent, all-hills district. The effect on the Redwood Heights neighborhood would be to separate us from our communities of interest, especially the Laurel district, whose partnership and collaboration we value immensely.</p>	Yes
District 4	Joan White	<p>Current district 4, however I frequent many areas of Oakland and have lived here all my for over 60 years. . I find this survey and the maps unintelligible. COI??? I live and shop primarily in district 4, however, I cannot see street names on the the proposed redistricting maps so cannot locate my home on either map. Who makes a map without street names?</p>	No
District 4	Margaret Le	<p>I live in the Jefferson neighborhood. I feel like the issues associated with being sandwiched between 880 and 580 set us apart. The demographic of our neighborhood is more working class and culturally/economically diverse than what you would see north of 580 and we make up a small portion of District 4, which I consider overall to be more moneyed than our area. . Draft plan K3 with my neighborhood being part of E5 makes more sense in terms of shared interests/issues in terms of equitable representation.</p>	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Kim Criswell	I live at the border of Redwood Heights & the Laurel; I'm affected by what goes on in these two neighborhoods as well as the Diamond and Montclair. I appreciate the diversity in this area and I'm concerned about local merchants, crime, trash on the streets, sideshows, guns, etc.. Please adopt redistricting plan F3. It more accurately matches what I consider to be my diverse neighborhood. The alternate plan is just bizarre. It cuts my community in half. Plan K3 seems to make an assumption about "hill people" all having the same concerns, and it looks like the intention is to pit "the hills" against "the flats"— which is wrong-headed and potentially damaging.	No
District 4	jennifer easton	Physical proximity. Commercial district usage and access. Shared needs from our government. The ability to speak to shared concerns of a physical location.. Map F3 represents more accurately represents District 4 in terms of equity (racial, income, housing types). It also keeps intact important resources related to commercial, transit, and education. Map K3 creates a ridiculously skewed demographic of hills residents that does not address any COI.	No
District 4	Mary Henderson	Piedmont Pines Neighborhood - part of the Oakland Hills, above H13. . Oakland is better served by councilmembers and school board members who MUST take a broader look at Oakland's issues because they represent a cross-section of interests. Map F3 accomplishes this.	No
District 4	Andrea Daniel	I have no idea what this means even after reading the definition. This is way too sophisticated to follow. Many people only have cell phones. It would be impossible to follow on a phone. In regard to question 6. Again I have no idea what "engagement work" means. I might be interested if you spelled out what kind of volunteers are needed.	No
District 4	Abigail Hamilton	Redwood Heights (Parks, School, Neighbors, Retail Center, Daycare, etc) . Plan K3 is almost exactly what I would draw if I was asked 'who are your neighbors', and 'who has common interests in the city government issues you care most about'.	No
District 4	James Cunniff	Dimond park . I prefer map F3. It would allow me and all of my immediate neighbors to stay in District 4 and not be moved to D5.	No
District 4	Kennan Scott	My COI includes the diversity which brought me here. It includes middle-class families and People of Color. This District was once the most diverse in Oakland. . How have you accounted for the change in voice that will happen when you change Districts. What will happen to citizen vice when you lump similar demographics together?	No
District 4	Marvin Schwartz	My major Community of Interest for redistricting purposes is those living above Route 13. Housing is similar and concerns regarding housing density are similar. Issues regarding police response are similar. Understanding fire risks is similar. Road paving, parking, issues regarding commerce, types of business permitting, and more have a similar impact on neighborhoods above route 13. So we need representation in Oakland City Government that will hear about and respond to our concerns as situations arise. . Given my answers to question 4, the K3 plan for District 4 makes the most sense to me.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Debbie Koppman	This for me in this context is just about my immediate neighborhood, Dimond. It is very special for the fact that we have easy access to a great park, to AC Transit, to markets and stores, to the Dimond Library, the Dimond Post Office.. My only concern is that what is considered "Dimond" stay in one district. Do we have more in common with the hills or the flats? I don't really know, and I don't really care that much. I think we are a connector. The map F3 seems to keep more contiguity for Dimond, but I am not attached to being part of the hills district.	No
District 4	Kevin Whittinghill	The Dimond District. . I strongly support adopting map F3 and not K3. F3 keeps the entire Dimond District (residential + commercial) intact while K3 keeps primarily the commercial district within one district and splits up parts of the residential. It also divided Dimond Park into two separate districts. Please keep them intact for proper representation. Thank you!	No
District 4	Ryan Eney	Piedmont Pines. I support redistricting plan F3.	No
District 4	Pamela Eguchi	My COI is the Dimond District as it currently stands. It's where I shop, eat, relax and walk every day. I also walk to and frequent businesses in the Laurel and Glenview Districts.. My vote is for Draft Plan F3.	Yes
District 4	Deborah Bardon	Oakland. I believe that F3 is the only viable and fair redistricting plan left on the table. It will be a travesty if the entire Hills area is lumped into only one district with representation by a single Council Member. I urge you to vote for plan F3. Respectfully, Debbie Bardon 3515 Klamath Street Oakland 94602	No
District 4	Walter Stemmler	As a retired white professional my COI is comprised of those living in my geographic area who share an interest in safety and crime prevention. . I endorse the concept of districts that include a range of communities of interest. I do not endorse the idea of making the hills area one exclusive district.	No
District 4	HOFMANN ELAINE	COI is a group that has the same needs, problems and geography. district 4 has a unique geography of mountains, trees and weather. We need storm drain maintenance, tree trimming, traffic and fire issues. . How will this redistribution effect district 4 vote strength? How will it effect property tax? Will our needs be met more efficiently? Will we get the police and fire protection we need?	No
District 4	Sean Schoonmaker	Merriewood Dr, Montclair, Thornhill. Prefer Map F3	No
District 4	Nancy Franklin	My local schools. I prefer Map F3, that is keep the hill districts the same. No change.	No
District 4	Jennifer Berg	FYI, this type of question is going to reduce the number of responses! My COI is diversity, different voices, and not being lumped together based on assumptions of where people live.. I think lumping all the hill areas together is contrary to Oakland's premise of governing in an equitable manner.	No
District 4	Stephen Kass	share similar living standards, have similar cultures, use the same transportation facilities, have similar work opportunities. It would be terrible and not a place I would like to live if we had only one representative to cover the entire hill area as there are diverse living standards, cultures and work opportunities throughout the various hill districts.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Jean Hurricane	Support police, small business, and improved infrastructure and schools. These benefit everyone, not just small interest groups.p. Prefer map F3, which divides Hills into 4 districts.	Yes
District 4	Peter Strauss	Upper Broadway Terrace. I strongly favor Map F3, since it means that the hills will be in more than one district, and there will be a greater chance that multiple council members will be invested in making sure that our area stays as safe as possible from fire or other catastrophes.	Yes
District 4	Diana Silvestre	Allendale Park. Our neighborhood believes we should move forward with District Map F3 to continue our efforts with the neighborhoods we've already worked closely with. Don't ruin this for us by redistricting us! We've already done such great work!	No
District 4	Justin Ham	Allendale Park. I ask that the council vote for new district map F3.	No
District 4	Julian Chacon	Allendale Park. The council should vote for new district map F3. We've grown connected to the neighborhoods just across the 580 and it makes sense to support this cross neighborhood effort from the council. Please vote for F3	No
District 4	Joseph Ham	Allendale Park. I believe we should move forward with new district map F3 as our neighborhoods have created solid bonds with those in the Laurel and east of 580 into the hills. It would be a travesty to not have the chance to advocate for our neighborhoods together for the council. Please vote for F3.	No
District 4	Craig Downing	Redwood Heights / Highway 13 Corridor. I feel that the commission has done a great job of looking at all proposals, and encouraging feedback from the community. Well done. Of the two remaining Options, I feel that Plan K3 best represents the interests of the community that we most identify with, and I'd strongly support that. Thank you for providing the forum for this feedback.	No
District 4	Gail King	District 4 is my primary community of interest.. I do not want a broader geographic definition of District 4nfor Oakland because District 4 is unique given it's typography and natural risks from fires to narrow winding roads to earthquake prone proximity to the Hayward fault to mudslides caused by storms.	No
District 4	Phyllis Williams	We are a mixed group. I am in a tiny finger of district 4, on Ruth Avenue - a one block long street. This leaves us with little voice. I am close to the corner of 55th Avenue and Foothill. Currently, the corner 55th Ave & Foothill are in two different districts. This intersection has a lot of crime - drugs and continuous sideshows. I do not believe myself or my street has a voice and 55th and Foothill remains an issue due to the districting.. I believe plan K3 is the best plan. It provides one district to fight sideshows/crime. It keeps the corner of 55th Ave & Foothill under one district; provides a mix of incomes and ethnic backgrounds.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Tessa Jorgensen	I belong to the Piedmont Pines neighborhood, I plan to have children and send them to Oakland public schools in the near future, I am a home owner, I am queer and female. . I'm in favor in map K3, including all of the hills into one district. I think our neighborhood and community would be better served by council members focused on our area along with other areas that are facing the same issues as ours, as opposed to many different challenges. We deal with fear form wildfires, droughts, blackouts, outdated utilities, poorly maintained roads, struggling schools, and a lack of pedestrian and bike infrastructure. I would hope these issues would get more attention if we had people in city politics focused specifically on our communities in the hills.	Yes
District 4	Annie Kersting	District 4. I would like have Map F3 the final redistricting map. I feel very strongly that I want an integrated community with concerns of near neighbors, not one district spans the entire length N-S oakland hills. . pls support map F3. I would like have Map F3 the final redistricting map. I feel very strongly that I want an integrated community with concerns of near neighbors, not one district spans the entire length N-S oakland hills.	Yes
District 4	Cynthia T. Gullikson	My community of interest is piedmont Pines. Please keep district boundaries intact. As a Hills resident I do not want to be part of a hills-only district. This would increase factions within the city and only divide us.	No
District 4	Nancy Safford	Neighborhood Council; Safety Committee; Fire Safety. I am a participant with our Neighborhood Council and very frankly the only population that participates in our current D4 area are those as would be defined by K3. Additionally I am very involved with OFD for fire prevention and weed abatement in our community and Oakland Fireside actions in the overall concern of our Wildfire Zone needs throughout the Oakland Hills which do not impact F6. These issues in particular are more concerning (and need the support and attention) for a K3 District. I believe the issues in what would be F6 deserve their own Councilmember and NC representations. Additionally, I hope the committee is taking into consideration the huge incoming population at Oak Knoll which would add to F6. The F3 proposed map is much like our current boundaries and, in my opinion, did not work well due to the divergent and competing needs. By the way, thank you Commission and City employees for all your hard work!	No
District 4	Peter Richards	Arts Community . Equal opportunity to engage in civic life	No
District 4	Robert Thomas	Laurel/Diamond. I support dividing the hills districts from the flatlands as seen in maps K and I (I prefer Map K to keep the Diamond community together) Current political structure dilutes black/brown and low income communities. I support giving them a stronger voice in council and keeping communities with similar interests together as a single voting block.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Otto P.	<p>Laurel/Bartlett/Lower Dimond, Renter. I wanted to make this comment in response to the closing points of public comment on the night on December 13th's meeting- I had raised my hand but apparently was not included in the line. Many points were raised by Oakland Rising members and a few individuals in opposition to Map F, making claims about the wellbeing of renters. As a lifelong renter, and one of what seemed to be majority or significant plurality of renters giving comment who are in favor of Map F, I want to raise a few points with regards to why maintaining my neighborhood in District 4 is beneficial to renters. Laurel/Bartlett/Dimond has the highest amount/percentage of renters in the district so far as I am aware- our presence in D4 has meant that we have had a voice in city council, electing representatives who have listened to us- to enact the eviction moratorium, expand tenant protections, and more. These are the reasons we want to remain both physically and politically connected to the greater district; the distribution here has won us victories that we will not be able to effect in D5, and will weaken the power of renters in D4 and citywide through the absence of our COI/Demographic. I am greatly worried for the future of tenants rights in this city, and proposed maps, K, K2, H, H2, and I/I2 are all significant threats; to say nothing of delaying our ability to HAVE any say in council elections until the end of the year after next! And this with the pandemic causing an unprecedented eviction and housing shortage, on top of the already disastrous situation for us throughout the Bay Area and East Bay. For this reason, I renew my appeal for you to honor your commitment to my neighborhood, which the aforementioned other maps do not fulfill, and to select from among F/F2/92965. Thank you again.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Otto P.	<p>Laurel/Lower Dimond/Bartlett. My name is Otto, and I have either commentated or spoken almost every week throughout the public portion of this process, and I am writing one last time to urge your committee to listen to my neighbors and myself, and to further implore you not to go through with the creation of a concentrated all-hills region as Map K does, and to repeat that for me and my community, Map F is the only one that fulfills not only our needs, but the criteria of your own process. As a resident of the Laurel/Dimond/Bartlett, while I appreciate this committee for adopting the preservation of this area as a priority, I want to repeat that out of all the people who spoke from and about this area, and remaining with our historical boundaries/communities, I can confidently say that all of us so far as I know did not feel that a plurality of the maps produced after that priority was adopted fulfill it- rather than being broken off in a larger chunk into what will be D5, we were speaking about remaining with the larger communities north and east of us, meaning that we wanted to remain in District 4, not 5. What we want is to retain a political connection to the neighborhoods, communities, and resources north/east 580/the MacArthur Freeway, and throughout the district, who we have had close ties to historically for the better part of a century; we do not want to be reallocated in the course of creating an all hills district- it does not preserve our neighborhoods, and frankly I'm confused as to how it could be seen to. Our communities interests and needs have been fought for at the district level. Therefore I am urging you to uphold the spirit of your criteria/priority saying that these neighborhoods will be preserved, as well as just the letter. Only a bad faith could assume that the borders shown for us in Map K and others reassigning our district were what we wanted. At this point only Map F does this. Moreover, as an Oaklander I want to reiterate my concerns about the creation of an all-hills district, or otherwise increasing the power of that area at the expense of others, especially the flatlands, as map K does. Beyond my immediate concerns for my own neighborhood, I'm concerned about what it does overall to the Flatlands, and to Oakland Coliseum to name just a few. I'm aware that the African American Cultural Zone, and a great many other community groups and racial/social justice/equity organizations have also registered their opposition, and I deeply hope that you will listen to them- Map K is unacceptable to me for both my immediate reasons as a resident of Lower Dimond, and for broader reasons of equity and conscience. Thank you for reading.</p>	Yes
District 4	Maxine Turret	<p>Redwood Heights is what used to be called a largely middle class and relatively diverse community. Now, we would be considered "privileged" and benefit from the diversity of our neighbors "down the hill." We are fortunate to Have an Ethiopian house of worship in our neighborhood and a shopping district one mile from my house in the Laurel. Maximizing economic , ethnic, and racial diversity is of the utmost importance and we identify as part of that Oakland rather than the less diverse Montclair and hill area directly above and west of us.. I strongly favor option F.</p>	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	jennifer easton	transit dependent - including supported bicycling and walking options between residential and commercial districts which include Laurel, Dimond, Montclair and Allendale. This symbiotic relationship of these neighborhoods/districts allows for collaboration on various issues related to safety, small business development, service delivery culture, and recreation among other things. . Redistricting that does not create diversity in a district is not good for anyone. Creating a monolithic "hills" district disaggregates the diversity of our current district, and creates a target "rich" district. Version F is the only remaining plan that retains the strong alliance of communities that have emerged and having a single representative to those interests that are distinctly tied to their geographic location and shared values for a more diverse and equitable city would disappear.	No
District 4	Deborah Spangler	Surrounding diverse neighborhoods are important to me--Map F is the best for our District.. I choose Map F	No
District 4	Judi Quan	We consider our COI to be these neighborhoods, especially the Laurel. RHA's objective is to build and strengthen community. Our communities of interest are the neighborhoods and businesses that surround us. Separating the representation into different districts would be detrimental because we would no longer be working in tandem with our closest neighbors with whom we share so much. It would dramatically narrow the demographics of the community that D4 currently represents. We have much in common with these communities; we celebrate diversity and enjoy the richness that comes from multicultural communities. Breaking up the current D4 neighborhoods creates more homogenous districts, and we disagree strongly with that strategy. We feel that strength comes from representation that includes Oakland's many voices. Of the remaining maps, only Map F allows D4 to retain most of its cultural and economic diversity. . I support Map F	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Claudine Tong	<p>API (American born of Chinese descent), paraeducator OUSD special Ed, reside in East Oakland, bus rider. I support adopting map H with the following recommendations: - Support Hills-only district since other maps dilute the Black vote significantly - Having a Hills-only district allows for candidates and electeds to focus on flatland residents - Expand District 2 to 27th Ave to keep the San Antonio neighborhood whole - This neighborhood is one of the most diverse neighborhoods in Oakland and should stay together; splitting it down 23rd splits the voice of the community - Keep neighborhoods surrounding the Coliseum whole (neighborhood is defined as Seminary to 85th Ave and from the water to International) and suggest moving it to D6 - 1) D7 has the airport and - 2) Otherwise there are too many corporate polluters in one district - Keep Westlake community up Broadway to the 580 and over to the suggested South border to allow West Oakland students who attend Westlake Middle School to stay. - Keep Lake Area is two districts - 1) One whole Lake district would be too large for one Councilmember to manage - 2) Different sides of the Lake has very different needs - 3) Everyone in the City enjoys the Lake and more representation assists with divergent needs - Neighborhood surrounding Mills College to above 580 and through lower portions off Keller Dr by 580 stays in D6 - Residents identify more with the flatlands and not the hills</p>	No
District 4	Carolyn Edwards	<p>It's important that our community of interest cover both the hills and all the way down to the Laurel. All over the nation, we are working on inclusivity: cutting our community in half with along the lines of income and geography is not acceptable. Map F is the map that correctly redistricts. . I appreciate the chance to comment -- feels like democracy in action.</p>	No
District 4	Julie Eakins	<p>Redwood Heights Neighborhood Association. I support Map F. I reject Map K and Map H. Map F preserves the connection and relationships between Redwood Heights and the adjacent neighborhoods developed over the last ten years Map F would allow D4 to remain a diverse and multifaceted district, reflecting the cultural mix of the City of Oakland, as all districts should. Map F would result in four districts that share the significant issue of wildfire safety in the hills in this plan, keeping this a city-wide concern. I reject Maps K and H because they create a significantly affluent, all-hills district. The City should strive to create districts that combine more affluent areas with less economically advantaged and more diverse population areas, to foster partnership and collaboration between communities of interest, such as between the Redwood Heights neighborhood and the Laurel district. This would support the City's focus on building equity.</p>	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Steve Morse	<p>My spouse and I are a biracial family living in the Redwood Heights area. We are retired union workers; we consider our community of interest to be the diverse working to middle class areas that are currently part of District 4. Our daughters and grandson have lived in Lower Maxwell Park, also in District 4, near the "lower" boundary of the district. We are close to many neighbors there who form a very diverse and connected group. This is part of our community of interest. I think that the current configuration of District 4 has worked well. Different parts of the district, representing different class and ethnic majorities, have created positive connections, which would be furthered by maintaining the current essential composition of District 4. Some of this is due to the Laurel area and that section of MacArthur Boulevard being an increasingly active small business and cultural magnet. The various people who use this area represent the diversity of the District. I support Option F, which comes closest to maintaining the current District, its diversity and connections. Option F also spreads out the districts that are in the High Fire Zone, keeping it as a city-wide issue.</p>	No
District 4	Lisa Heil	<p>As a resident of Redwood Heights in District 4, I value ongoing relationships with local schools, business improvement districts, and surrounding neighborhoods. These neighborhoods include the Laurel, Dimond, Allendale, Montclair, and Glenview. I consider my COI to be these neighborhoods, especially the Laurel. My objective is to build and strengthen community. Our communities of interest are the neighborhoods and businesses that surround us. Separating the representation into different districts would be detrimental because we would no longer be working in tandem with our closest neighbors with whom we share so much. It would dramatically narrow the demographics of the community that D4 currently represents. We have much in common with these communities; we celebrate diversity and enjoy the richness that comes from multicultural communities. Breaking up the current D4 neighborhoods creates more homogenous districts, and I disagree strongly with that strategy. I feel that strength comes from representation that includes Oakland's many voices. Of the maps, only Map F allows D4 to retain most of its cultural and economic diversity. I endorse Map F for the following reasons: It preserves the connection and relationships between Redwood Heights and the adjacent neighborhoods developed over the last ten years. D4 would remain a diverse and multifaceted district, reflecting the cultural mix of the City of Oakland, as all districts should. Four districts share the significant issue of wildfire safety in the hills in this plan, keeping this a city-wide concern. I reject Maps K and H because they create a significantly affluent, all-hills district. The effect on the Redwood Heights neighborhood would be to separate us from our communities of interest, especially the Laurel district, whose partnership and collaboration I value immensely. Map I is slightly less onerous. However, it adds additional affluence to the district while removing some less economically advantaged and more diverse population areas. This option goes against the City's focus on building equity.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Carla Gerardu-Low	<p>The Redwood Heights Association (RHA) is a nonprofit organization hosting community events that appeal to many demographics. For the purpose of this survey, we are representing the Redwood Heights neighborhood; however, our reach extends well beyond Redwood Heights and D4. We value our ongoing relationships with local schools, business improvement districts, and surrounding neighborhoods. These neighborhoods include the Laurel, Dimond, Allendale, Montclair, and Glenview. We consider our COI to be these neighborhoods, especially the Laurel. RHA's objective is to build and strengthen community. Our communities of interest are the neighborhoods and businesses that surround us. Separating the representation into different districts would be detrimental because we would no longer be working in tandem with our closest neighbors with whom we share so much. It would dramatically narrow the demographics of the community that D4 currently represents. We have much in common with these communities; we celebrate diversity and enjoy the richness that comes from multicultural communities. Breaking up the current D4 neighborhoods creates more homogenous districts, and we disagree strongly with that strategy. We feel that strength comes from representation that includes Oakland's many voices. Of the remaining maps, only Map F allows D4 to retain most of its cultural and economic diversity. . The Redwood Heights Association board is grateful for the commission's work, and applauds the effort to remove the redistricting process from the political realm. After consideration of the proposed maps, the RHA board endorses Map F for the following reasons: - It preserves the connection and relationships between Redwood Heights and the adjacent neighborhoods developed over the last ten years. - D4 would remain a diverse and multifaceted district, reflecting the cultural mix of the City of Oakland, as all districts should. - Four districts share the significant issue of wildfire safety in the hills in this plan, keeping this a city-wide concern. - We reject Maps K and H because they create a significantly affluent, all-hills district. The effect on the Redwood Heights neighborhood would be to separate us from our communities of interest, especially the Laurel district, whose partnership and collaboration we value immensely. - Map I is slightly less onerous. However, it adds additional affluence to the district while removing some less economically advantaged and more diverse population areas. This option goes against the City's focus on building equity.</p>	Yes
District 4	Kevin Whittinghill	<p>Dimond District. Map H purports to keep the Dimond "intact," yet divides the Dimond Commercial district along Fruitvale Avenue. Maps J and K purport to "unify" the Dimond, yet also splits the Dimond along Fruitvale. Please reject these maps which would divide a COI into multiple districts. Thank you.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Rev. To Niya M. Scott-Smith	Laurel District. A very pleasant good day, once again, outside right wing, fascist forces are trying to rewrite our borders and realize our diverse neighborhoods. Once again, a freeway is being used to separate and alienate communities of color, especially those with significant Indigenous and immigrants populations. I have not spoken to one person who favors an all hills district, not even those of us (my family and friends included) who live above MacArthur and would be a part of that new district. My community predates the 580 freeway and even MacArthur itself. We should not be divided and dispersed because a consulting firm with right wing interests and an history of negatively impacting communities of color in California that are predominantly liberal leaning says to do so. Either leave us alone, honoring the heritage of diversity and community organization and advocacy here in Oakland, or choose Map F or Map I. Stop rewriting the rules to reward Republicans. When Dems, Greens, Indies, etc., lose, we do not hire outside agitators to redraw the lines or obfuscate the ordinances in our favor. We organize, advocate, unify and rally in the future. This is Oakland. This is Laurel. This is Dimond.	Yes
District 4	Lin Barron	Montclair, Temescal, No. Oakland, Downtown Arts District. We don't believe that placing most of the "hills" neighborhoods into one massive district serves our City. Oakland is a vibrant city with as many opinions as races, ethnicities and communities of interest. What makes Oakland livable and dynamic are the many opportunities for cross fertilization of ideas and opinions. Isolating one group from another, as would happen with Maps B, C and D, runs counter to that which makes Oakland Oakland. It would further exacerbate the "hills" vs "flats" dynamic that already pits residents against each other instead of recognizing what we have in common. The competition of priorities is precisely why we feel building into our districts the many opportunities for discourse and dialogue strengthens Oakland. It encourages people with opposing views to communicate rather than pontificate, which is what would happen if communities of interest were redistributed into one district. We urge the Redistricting Commission to work with Map A, F or I, which uses the current East-West distribution of neighborhoods adjusted for the change in demographics as indicated by the 2020 Census.	No
District 4	David	Allendale/Laurel/Dimond. . We are new homeowners in Allendale and support Maps E, F, and I. We spend much more time in Laurel/Dimond/Redwood Heights and are more connected with those neighborhoods.	No
District 4	Kim Coulthurst	Dimond. I noticed a lot of the new maps cut the Laurel and Dimond districts in half horizontally (just above MacArthur). Please don't do that. And I think I still like map F because it spreads more of the hills wealth across more districts. And I think it also keeps most geographic neighborhoods together in a district.	No
District 4	Lenard Lesser	A group of areas that are determined by common interests.. Please reject the "Hills ONLY" plans. These plans would separate Oakland into a Hills vs Flats, which is not in the spirit of working together and having diversity within the city council. We would prefer to have the current districts updated based on the new census.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Ada	Immigrant. I would like to see how the immigrant vote is kept whole and represented as a voting block so that there will be immigrant interests represented on council.	No
District 4	Jennifer Emiko Boyden	Homeowner, ousd parent, dog owner, parks and trail user, youth sports participant . I am favor of map a since it doesn't consolidate power in the hills.	No
District 4	Greg Murphy	I believe that placing most of the "hills" neighborhoods into one massive district does not serve our City. Oakland is a vibrant city with as many opinions as races, ethnicities and communities of interest. What makes Oakland livable and dynamic are the many opportunities for cross fertilization of ideas and opinions. Isolating one group from another, as would happen with Maps B, C and D, runs counter to that which makes Oakland Oakland. It would further exacerbate the "hills" vs "flats" dynamic that already pits residents against each other instead of recognizing what we have in common.. I prefer Map F - it adjusts current boundaries to new census data and divides the hills among 4 east-west districts.	No
District 4	Teri Jackson-Sattler	The foothills of Oakland.. I think that the Oakland Hills have their own issues that doesn't impact the Glenview, Dimond, or Laurel district like fire control, mudslides and forest issues.	No
District 4	Karen Long	Glenview/Dimond/Laurel districts. I would like to keep the ethnically mixed neighborhoods below Highway 13 together. I believe there is a Map F with this COI. I am opposed to an all hills district because of the economic & racial/ethnic differences that would be created.	No
District 4	Raghu Reddy	I live in the highlands (hilly but not too steep to walk around). I think we should have a representative who cares about our uniquely hilly problems. Our current council member likes to talk a big game but in the end she (Thao) doesn't really care to fix any of the roads around here or address any of our problems - instead she'd rather try her hand at mayor before the district boundaries change.. I like maps E and F. I think Glenview/Oakmore should be in the same district, along with Montclair since those are the main areas that I can reasonably walk to for groceries/shopping. I would also want to make sure the district isn't so big that we never get any police response. I welcome the sight of police in the neighborhood, since there's been a ton of property related crimes in the hilly neighborhoods.	Yes
District 4	Elaine Geffen	We live on a ridge between Contra Costa and Alameda County. We shop, dine and volunteer in Oakland, so our community of interest goes from the Oakland Zoo to dealing with Adopt a Spot agency downtown, and shopping and dining from here to Jack London Square and to Emeryville and all areas in between. Our local Montclair area is where my son went to schools and where I usually buy groceries.. Vegetation management and fire safety are my two main concerns. We lived here thru the 1991 Oakland Firestorm, the first of it's kind, and we saw how it affected all of Oakland and it's economy. I like the mapping of districts to go from hill to bay to force our representatives to appreciate all the folks in their districts and their needs. A north/south one district representation of the hilly parts of Oakland would decrease representation on the council and minimize concerns for citywide vegetation management and fire safety. Our forested areas need special protection and representation by more than just one city council person.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Philip La Barbera	Hills, Seniors, Intellectuals, Democrats. Map F as favored by the Piedmont Pines community group seems the most reasonable choice.	No
District 4	Terry Young	Oaklanders. My family prefers maps F.	No
District 4	Ryan Eney	Piedmont Pines. Maintaining the Oakland hills as four Council districts will continue to maximize socioeconomic diversity within each district and afford broader recognition and understanding of the many dynamics in play across all of Oakland.	No
District 4	Kathleen Hirooka	Live in the Montclair district in the Hills, but close to Glenview/Dimond area. Asian American. . Thank you for listening and offering other choices than the Hills-only options. I would like to submit that our preferred boundary lines would be Map F, as it includes much the same areas of our current Council district, but adjusts for new population vis-a-vis the Census data. My second choice would probably be Map E, which also eliminates the Hills only district in earlier proposals. Thank you for your consideration.	No
District 4	Michael A. Glazeski	I am a retiree living in a single family dwelling in the Piedmont Pines area of the Oakland Hills. My concerns are those of my neighbors in that the city needs to do more to prioritize safety, schools, roads, housing and public transportation.. I do not believe that redistricting should be done along racial, ethnic or religious lines. This has historically been done to aid in the 'redlining' of certain areas for either special favors or discriminatory practices. I cast my vote for Map F.	Yes
District 4	Marvin Schwartz	Piedmont Pines. We have a neighborhood association. We have the same forms of property ownership, property values, topography, needs for police and fire protection, needs for common approach to issues involving East Bay Regional Parks, etc.. Giving Piedmont Pines owners and residents the maximum strength of a unified voice on the City Council is most important to me.	No
District 4	Lauren	Dimond, Lower Dimond. Closer to Fruitvale than Montclair in terms of COI. . Please don't rush this and make sure everyone can be heard. If you're going to make radical changes, make sure it allows for equitable representation.	No
District 4	Kelly Kirkpatrick	Love Map F to keep hills broken up and integrated into many neighborhoods west of 13 in the spirit of diversity.. none	No
District 4	Nancy Lane	1. Downtown/Uptown 2. Wildland Urban Interface 3. Rockridge 4. Piedmont Avenue . I do NOT support any of the proposed districts that segregate the Wildland Urban Interface area into a single district. This will create MORE separation and less collaboration; more segregation and less connectedness; more "them" and less "us". Is this REALLY what you want? SHAME ON YOU if that is true. Map A is the only map I support.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Mary Sullivan	I would define my community of interest as encompassing not only the concerns, needs, and wants of not only the hills area where I reside but also that of the wider community of Oakland. I do have wildfire concerns about the hills area but I focus more on the overall needs of the people of Oakland. We all yearn for a better Oakland - less crime, less homelessness, less division, more concern for the needs of people of all walks of life. . I respect and agree with the position of the Piedmont Pines Neighborhood Association and the Oakland Firesafe Council with regards to the Redistricting plan. First priority Map F, second choice Map E.	No
District 4	Kim Coulthurst	Dimond. I feel like map F represents the most equal distribution of wealth and ethnicity, while maintaining neighborhood affiliations	No
District 4	Annie Kersting	district 4. . I am not in favor of isolating the hills community into one district. I think Oakland works better when we have east-west districts that have a diversity of needs, issues, concerns within a district, as it allows us to listen, learn and come together to prioritize our district vision. Putting all the hills community (N-S) is divisive and could work against our city's coming together as a community that cares about one another.	No
District 4	JANICE SCOTT	Our Hill area has many common interests/needs relative to other areas.. I feel we should continue to be treated as a single district to reflect these common interests.	No
District 4	Jeremy Potash	The City of Oakland is my community of interest. Its magnificent diversity of landscape, ethnicities, living standards, buildings, parks, opinions. I have lived in the same house in Oakland since 1971 and have fought for issues of importance to the entire city, not a silo, not an area, but the scope and people of Oakland.. The preamble to this questionnaire seems to indicate a prejudice, a certainty that districts will be redrawn. That's concerning, esp. "The Oakland Redistricting Commission will redraw the boundaries for the City Council and Oakland Unified School Board districts. District boundaries define and consolidate shared communities of interest which translate to voting power and political influence. " The last sentence sounds like the gerrymandering language used in Texas.	Yes
District 4	Amy Dunning	Piedmont Pines. I like Map F. Second choice Map E.	No
District 4	Phyllis W.	Mixed. I am in favor of plan E.	No
District 4	Schoonmaker	Montclair, Upper Broadway Terrace. Map E would be my first choice, followed by Map G as second - they are the ones that make the most community and geographic sense.	Yes
District 4	Aria White	Montclair . I agree with MNC that version A would best serve our neighborhood	No
District 4	Mr. Daniel Russell Bardon	Fire hazard in the hills. Though we have a common interest in fire suppression we have an economic interest in the shopping, health and law enforcement of our downhill neighbors. It's best to keep us affiliated through a common representative.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Deborah G Bardon	We belong to the greater community of Oakland and do not feel we should be restricted to being just the "Hills" community. . Plan "F" is the only plan the will give Hills residents four representative voices on the Oakland City Council. If the "Hills" district is given a totally separate designation, having a single representative on the Oakland City Council will dilute our voice and have a negative impact on our community. "Hills" residents pay a greater than average share of property and other taxes and deserve more than a single Oakland City Council person representing their interests.	No
District 4	Joseph Ham	Allendale Park. I strongly believe we should remain in district 4.	No
District 4	nell scott	Personally I have lived near/along macarthur for 40 years between park Blvd to high street, so glenview dimond laurel allendale and maxwell park *feel* like some kind of home. In a broader way I would like to live in an oakland where there's way more justice and resource in terms of housing, education, jobs, green spaces parks libraries arts healthcare and true community safety for all. No one without a place to live where they can thrive. . How is outreach going?	No
District 4	Rev. To Niya M. Scott-Smith	Laurel District and West/North Oakland. I am concerned about the voting power being taken away from working class, multiethnic constituents, especially seniors and the unsheltered and placed in the hands of disinterested parties, (such as, the wealthy Hills residents) in districts that are predominantly populated by Flatlands residents. I am also concerned with the lack of information dissemination to the public.	Yes
District 4	Elin Christopherson	My COI is in district 4 where i live, but also district 7, where my studio workshop is, and where my elderly Parents live. I have long ties and connections to district 7.. I do not understand why the City would consider redrawing lines by carving out the hills areas as separate from the flats in this city. I think that is a bad idea, and is divisive in nature. Also, the Coliseum area needs to stay a part of district 7. To me, it really is about balance for community fairness here. District 7 is the geographically largest district in the City, yet the most income challenged as well. We need the Coliseum to stay a part of district 7. Please strive for balance and fairness by keeping the Coliseum in district 7, and not carving out the hills into their own separate district. We really need more integration here, not more segregation. Thank you.	No
District 4	Kelly Kirkpatrick	Oakland - interested in all citizens of Oakland working together to determine ways to lift our underresourced schools and communities.. Why are you considering bundling the Oakland Hills into one COI? I do not support this approach since the city of oakland benefits from diversifying its groups as you have in the current district set up	No
District 4	Sally Rubin	Diverse.. Is this the best and highest use of your time and our tax dollars? I think not. Deal with the homeless situation.	No
District 4	nancy Franklin	I would like an oakland hills only district. none	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Jody Lerner	Commercial areas, school boundaries, recreational opportunities. I think that splitting the Dimond commercial district is a bad idea. We've worked so hard to get and keep it thriving! I also don't like the idea of lumping all the high-value (hills) properties together. We should work together on issues like rent control, housing availability, fire safety, and access to recreation.	No
District 4	Dania Stotts	Montclair. Please keep as-is (option A), with heterogeneous districts!	No
District 4	Elaine	This is Ridiculous. I moved to Oakland because of it's diversity. To make maps based on COI defeats the whole idea of diversity . Keep the old map or map A.	No
District 4	David Liebman	As a District 4 voter, and a resident of the hills, I define my COI by the unique concerns facing residents of the hills, most of which center around fire prevention and land management. . While redistricting according to COIs may provide "effective and fair representation" in that each district will be more likely to elect people of their own race, my observation of the current and previous city councils is that it's likely to result in acrimony and gridlock as each councilmember seeks to gain advantage solely for a given subset of population. I believe that the city council will be more effective if its members represent multiple COIs and are incentivized to integrate multiple viewpoints. Of all the plans currently under consideration, only map A provides this.	No
District 4	Macy Cornell	Our community is in the very high and high fire severity zone of Oakland and the East Bay Hills, is extremely concerned with the current direction of the Commission in its effort to balance communities of interest and demographics in the redrawing of Council and School District boundaries.. We don't believe that placing most of the "hills" neighborhoods into one massive district serves our City. Oakland is a vibrant city with as many opinions as races, ethnicities and communities of interest. What makes Oakland livable and dynamic are the many opportunities for cross fertilization of ideas and opinions. Isolating one group from another, as would happen with Maps B, C and D, runs counter to that which makes Oakland Oakland. It would further exacerbate the "hills" vs "flats" dynamic that already pits residents against each other instead of recognizing what we have in common. Limited resources and competing priorities make everyone feel shortchanged in influence and services. We need elected officials who listen to and represent the full range of Oakland's population so they create policies that benefit the whole city.- My vote is to keep the district maps the SAME- May A please	No
District 4	Sean Schoonmaker	Merriewood, Montclair. Would just like to see it logically mapped - no crazy zone bits extending like tentacles	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Otto Pippenger	<p>I am a lifelong renter, and a resident of Laurel/Lower Diamond, as well as someone invested in the wellbeing of Oakland's flatlands.. I am greatly disturbed by a number of changes either proposed or discussed- the splitting of West Oakland, the splitting of the port into a wider number of districts, and an overall trend which seems to concentrate wealth and power while breaking up many long standing communities who lack a representative thus far in the process despite the progressive language being used. First and foremost I am appalled at the number of maps that take my neighborhood- a warm and diverse space- and amputate it from D4 into D5, usually in the course of creating an All-Hills District. I do not want to see more working class areas split and subsumed. While I am pleased with the results of the most recent meeting, in which the preservation of neighborhoods, including Dimond was adopted as a priority criteria for your redistricting partner agency, there is a fair amount of ambiguity- my neighbors and I refer to Laurel or sometimes Lower Dimond (the rectangular area below 580). In this process I have also seen it referred to as Bartlett- I do not know where this originates from. In any case, the use of the highway is the kind of arbitrary distinction which can only make sense from the remote perspective of cartographic aesthetics; in reality it sunders an area of real warmth and unity from a great many resources, including the only real grocery store, restaurant, and other necessary businesses or services in the area. While obviously these things will still be only a few blocks away, having even less democratic say in the process of urban development is incredibly disheartening, especially as the last few years have seen such positive trends towards a better distributed and more accessible neighborhood. Whatever you call it- Laurel, Lower Dimond, or even Bartlett, I ask in the strongest possible terms that this committee move forward with what was originally known as Map A and it's later iterations, or else make it clear and unequivocal that keeping this area intact is a high priority in any proposed map created by the committee & it's partners. Thank for your time and consideration.</p>	No
District 4	Michael Sherwood	Oakland in its entirety.. Please leave District 4 the way it is.	No
District 4	Richard Cooper	<p>My community of interest is multi-cultural, multi-ethnic, and economically diverse.. Please retain map A. The other plans create, for lack of a better term, a ghetto of rich, white hills residents. This is no way to build an inclusive and diverse community.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Janani Ramachandran	<p>Summary: Keep the Dimond and Laurel intact (including neighborhoods below 580) and Adopt Map A</p> <p>Comment: I live right below the 580, in an area that most of us residents consider to be Lower Dimond, or sometimes a part of the Laurel, but the Commission has called "Bartlett" (most residents I know have never heard of that name). I strongly support keeping my community together - a community that has remained a part of District 4 for many, many decades and iterations of redistricting. We should not use arbitrary lines of a highway like 580 to divide our communities. I support the boundaries of Map A that uses Brookdale Avenue as a boundary line. There are several reasons why we should remain intact, and why we should not have an all-hills district:</p> <p>1) Fire safety: Even though I live in the flatlands of D4, my community will be greatly affected by fires because we are an immediately neighboring community to the hills. An "all-hills" district would reduce the number of city council representatives with fire danger on their mind. Many of us are increasingly worried about this as fire season worsens due to climate change. We need more leaders concerned about fire safety, vegetation management, illegal fireworks, and regional strategies to address the issue. The district as it is drawn in Map A contains neighborhoods – including in the flatlands – that all have fire safety on our mind, and it would be unfair to divide us and minimize our voices.</p> <p>2) Small businesses: My community in the Dimond has had a long history of a thriving local business scene. In recent years, my local neighborhood associations in and around the Dimond has been fighting hard to establish a Business Improvement District, which would benefit neighboring residents of the Lower Dimond like myself. I want to remain a part of the Dimond community and continue to advocate for a Business Improvement District to support our wonderful small businesses. A BID would benefit neighboring residents like me to act on issues we all care about - including public safety, illegal dumping, safe streets, etc. Similarly, the Laurel business district adjacent to me on the other side is inextricably linked to communities and businesses in Allendale, which is immediately below the 580. Most of my neighbors in Allendale consider themselves to be a part of the Laurel, and neighborhood associations have invested much energy in establishing the Laurel Business Improvement District. As a resident of the same community just minutes away, I similarly care about improving Laurel's BID and making it a safer, more thriving, non-downtown area that Oaklanders can enjoy.</p> <p>3) Parks: As a public transit rider, I love that I can take a short 10-15 min bus ride away to beautiful parks in my District, including Dimond Park, Joaquin Miller, and the park around Redwood Heights Rec Center. It's important to keep the portion of the flatlands where I live connected to these beautiful parks so that all of us can enjoy them (not just hills residents) and continue to advocate for greater access.</p> <p>4) Services: My neighborhood - which again, we consider a part of the Dimond / Lower Dimond - has long advocated for many services our community now benefits from. This includes opening the first Farmer Joe's - and then advocating for things like unionization of workers. This also includes advocating with our local D4 councilmember for a farmer's market - which she received funding for, and is currently slated to be in my neighborhood, in the Laurel, or Allendale (so possibly below the 580). D4 residents together advocated for this farmers' market, and we should all reap the benefits.. I want to re-iterate my strong opposition to an all-hills district. I think it will be incredibly harmful to equity in Oakland. As a resident of the flatlands, I strongly believe that our voices must be incorporated into every single District. Experiments to "segregate" districts based on income and effectively, race - as was attempted decades ago with Skyline High School - have not gone well and were quickly reversed because of equity issues. If the Commission desires to amplify the voices and political power of the flatlands (which is an important), an all-hills district is absolutely not the way to do it. We need to look at the reality of what currently controls City Council and school board races -</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
		<p>outside money. The Oakland Public Ethics Commission - on which I previously served - has published a report on how the City Council races districts with the largest low-income communities of color receive the most funding from outside Oakland. In District 7 for example, less than 7% of funding came from within the district. So carving the hills entirely out of D6 and D7 and part of D1 will effectively lead to having Oakland seats that are considered "for sale" by outside groups and actors - entities that already have too much influence in our local government - and exactly the issues we want to avoid. If the concern empowering voters and increasing voter turnout in the flatlands (which there are many other ways to achieve) - simply redrawing lines will not lead to this and only exasperate the problem because of outside money. In short - drastic division is not going to address issues of inclusion and uplifting voices of those currently voiceless in the political process - precisely because of the way money influences politics -so I urge you all to not adopt maps B, C or D in an all-hills district.</p>	

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Janani Ramachandran	<p>Summary: Keep the Dimond and Laurel intact (including neighborhoods below 580) and Adopt Map A</p> <p>Comment: I live right below the 580, in an area that most of us residents consider to be Lower Dimond, or sometimes a part of the Laurel, but the Commission has called "Bartlett" (most residents I know have never heard of that name). I strongly support keeping my community together - a community that has remained a part of District 4 for many, many decades and iterations of redistricting. We should not use arbitrary lines of a highway like 580 to divide our communities. I support the boundaries of Map A that uses Brookdale Avenue as a boundary line. There are several reasons why we should remain intact, and why we should not have an all-hills district:</p> <p>1) Fire safety: Even though I live in the flatlands of D4, my community will be greatly affected by fires because we are an immediately neighboring community to the hills. An "all-hills" district would reduce the number of city council representatives with fire danger on their mind. Many of us are increasingly worried about this as fire season worsens due to climate change. We need more leaders concerned about fire safety, vegetation management, illegal fireworks, and regional strategies to address the issue. The district as it is drawn in Map A contains neighborhoods – including in the flatlands – that all have fire safety on our mind, and it would be unfair to divide us and minimize our voices.</p> <p>2) Small businesses: My community in the Dimond has had a long history of a thriving local business scene. In recent years, my local neighborhood associations in and around the Dimond has been fighting hard to establish a Business Improvement District, which would benefit neighboring residents of the Lower Dimond like myself. I want to remain a part of the Dimond community and continue to advocate for a Business Improvement District to support our wonderful small businesses. A BID would benefit neighboring residents like me to act on issues we all care about - including public safety, illegal dumping, safe streets, etc. Similarly, the Laurel business district adjacent to me on the other side is inextricably linked to communities and businesses in Allendale, which is immediately below the 580. Most of my neighbors in Allendale consider themselves to be a part of the Laurel, and neighborhood associations have invested much energy in establishing the Laurel Business Improvement District. As a resident of the same community just minutes away, I similarly care about improving Laurel's BID and making it a safer, more thriving, non-downtown area that Oaklanders can enjoy.</p> <p>3) Parks: As a public transit rider, I love that I can take a short 10-15 min bus ride away to beautiful parks in my District, including Dimond Park, Joaquin Miller, and the park around Redwood Heights Rec Center. It's important to keep the portion of the flatlands where I live connected to these beautiful parks so that all of us can enjoy them (not just hills residents) and continue to advocate for greater access.</p> <p>4) Services: My neighborhood - which again, we consider a part of the Dimond / Lower Dimond - has long advocated for many services our community now benefits from. This includes opening the first Farmer Joe's - and then advocating for things like unionization of workers. This also includes advocating with our local D4 councilmember for a farmer's market - which she received funding for, and is currently slated to be in my neighborhood, in the Laurel, or Allendale (so possibly below the 580). D4 residents together advocated for this farmers' market, and we should all reap the benefits. I want to re-iterate my strong opposition to an all-hills district. I think it will be incredibly harmful to equity in Oakland. As a resident of the flatlands, I strongly believe that our voices must be incorporated into every single District. Experiments to "segregate" districts based on income and effectively, race - as was attempted decades ago with Skyline High School - have not gone well and were quickly reversed because of equity issues. If the Commission desires to amplify the voices and political power of the flatlands (which is an important goal), an all-hills district is absolutely not the way to do it. We need to look at the reality of what currently controls City Council and</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
		<p>school board races - outside money. The Oakland Public Ethics Commission - on which I previously served - has published a report on how the City Council races districts with the largest low-income communities of color receive the most funding from outside Oakland. In District 7 for example, less than 7% of funding came from within the district. So carving the hills entirely out of D6 and D7 and part of D1 will effectively lead to having Oakland seats that are considered "for sale" by outside groups - entities that already have too much influence in our local government - and exactly the issues we should want to avoid. If the concern empowering voters and increasing voter turnout in the flatlands (which there are many other ways to achieve) - simply redrawing lines will not lead to this and only exasperate the problem because of outside money. In short - drastic division is not going to address issues of inclusion and uplifting voices of those currently voiceless in the political process - precisely because of the way money influences politics -so I urge you all to not adopt maps B, C or D in an all-hills district.</p>	
District 4	Brian. H.	<p>Neighborhood, shared values. we identify as Oaklanders NOT residents of a particular District. . Why are you lumping the hills into a single district? That appears to be a ploy to dilute the political influence of a geographic area. Stop dividing this city along racial and economic lines. keep the hills districts as they are.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Kimberly Reifel	Within this context, I define my community of interest as Oakland. It's very unclear that there would be any special benefit to defining it along socioeconomic or other such lines. We're all one community, sharing one set of resources, balancing multiple perspectives and viewpoints about how to ensure the community thrives. Dividing that community up for the purposes of letting the COIs fight it out for necessary resources will result in deeper divisions between those groups that what currently exists, and again, for no appreciable apparent payoff. Sounds like it will promote a confederacy of cliques rather than a diverse and unified city.. I strongly support the idea of having an East-West orientation to the mapping, as outlined by the Piedmont Pines Neighborhood Association and the Oakland Fire Department. Oakland is one community, of immense diversity, along a number of different lines. Our diversity is the source of our strength. That should be reflected in the standards we use for districting. The other key is simple: the lines that define the districts should be those that best support an equal ability to exert voting power and influence over community affairs.	Yes
District 4	Nancy Franklin	I define my community of interest as the contiguous geographical area of the Oakland hills.. I would like all the Oakland hills to remain one large district.	No
District 4	Meri Soll	Fire Safety. Please use Map A	No
District 4	Marie Eskandari	Davenport Neighborhood Watch, a community of long-time residents, located in the 'wedge' between 580 and Hwy 13. Includes many newcomers in the last 5 years. . IMO, the diversity of Dist. 4 as a whole has not been well-served by the current Council rep., S, Thao. The current carve-out of Dist. 4 that exists along Foothill Blvd. seems quite "artificial".	No
District 4	n/a	Homeowners in the hills of Oakland, own cars and drive to bart or destination rather than bus. Not a lot of trash left generally on the street or street sweeping needed, constantly fined by the fire department.. When considering lines please consider walkable schools. We have a great walkable school in redwood heights yet are put at a school completely in a different part of town. I think purposed map plan b is more accurate.	No
District 4	Deborah G Bardon	Oaklander first. Oakland Hills resident second. Senior citizen and property tax payer third. . I am concerned about placing all " Hills" home in one homogenous district. While it would be one of the largest districts geographically and will provide the greatest amount of tax dollars from property tax assessments, the representation will be reduced to only one council person representing the needs of the entire district. Currently several council people have to balance the needs of "Hills" residents with other neighborhoods, giving more than one voice to multiple needs.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Justin McDavid	Shared access and utility lines. Higher opportunities for interaction. . It seems strange to me that (some) current district boundaries and proposed redistricting boundaries are streets, rather than areas with common street-access. It would seem to me that I am going to have more interaction with the neighbors I share a street with than I would with the neighbors I share a block/back fence with. I know that would make line-drawing for districts more more of an effort, but it seems like it would better capture community lines.	No
District 4	Joan Warren	Dimond District. Please do NOT adopt your Redistricting Plan D. That would: put me in a different district from my neighbors across the street as well as divide our major shopping district (Fruitvale Ave.) right down the middle. Our district would be much better served by one Councilmember who could serve us more effectively as we identify as a united community/neighborhood.	No
District 4	Jessica Bognar	Na. The Courtland creek/ frances st area of district 4 has always felt like part of district 6 Maxwell park yet is not technically. Continuing to separate this part of the area by district seems illogical and counter productive. To create a better community this area should be combined vs another weird line drawn for this small area.	Yes
District 4	Michael Evans	I live in Redwood Heights, and I define my community of interest as one with shared level of education, work ethic, and recreational interests.. If the choice for redistricting, is reduced to either map D or B - I request the commission choose map B. I do not believe that map D respects the Redwood Heights community of interest. Thank you.	No
District 4	Pamela Eguchi	I consider my COI to be where I've lived for 34 years, where I know the vendors and say hello to my neighbors, go to the library, enjoy the park and trails and shop, eat, volunteer and walk each day. My COI takes pride in the annual Oaktobefest where parking at the middle school would now be in a separate district. Dividing the community along Lincoln would diminish the political clout of the community East of Lincoln as fewer businesses are located there.. I strongly oppose Proposal D - breaking up the Dimond District along Lincoln Avenue and below Wilbur/Whittle. Where I've lived for 34years, where I shop, eat and walk every day would now be considered a separate district.	Yes
District 4	Joe Oshinski	Piedmont Pines Neighborhood Assoc. . What are the efforts underway to address wildfire hazards in the Oakland hills?	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Kathy Kenworthy	My COI includes the Dimond Business District where I shop regularly, go to the Dimond Library, and Dimond Park. These places all belong in a single district. I think that if the Business District is separated, our council person will not be motivated to represent us well, since we'd be only a tiny part of two totally separate districts.. Please DO NOT divide the Dimond District down Lincoln and Fruitvale. The Dimond Business District is on both sides of Fruitvale, and splitting it there would put some of our businesses in one District and some in another. That would make it hard for the district to work together on our common problems of crime, litter, and more. The split line used to be down Park Blvd. Moving it to Fruitvale just moves the same problems of separating a community of interest for no apparent good reason to our neighborhood instead of leaving the problem in the Glenview 'hood. How about combining Glenview and Dimond with whatever other districts you need to make the total population right? Maybe add in Fruitvale? Also, please DO use the 580 freeway as a dividing line, rather than using MacArthur. A lot of the Dimond businesses are on the east side of 580, but the west side of MacArthur. The freeway is a less divisive dividing line than MacArthur would be.	No
District 4	Michael P. Meyer	Single-family home neighborhood in the Oakland Hills. LGBTQ community.. I like Draft Plan D because the hillside community is largely in one district and the northern-most district includes neighborhoods that resemble Berkeley in character. It also keeps most districts pretty close to their existing boundaries.	Yes
District 4	Andrew Norton	most aligned with current District 4 map.. Please leave District 4 boundaries as close to current boundaries.	No
District 4	Kevin Whittinghill	Dimond District resident. Draft maps C and D divide the Dimond District by Fruitvale Avenue. I strongly oppose dividing the Dimond community into two separate districts. As a board member of the Dimond Improvement Association, this division would make advocacy for all residents and visitors to the Dimond all the more cumbersome and difficult. Please keep the Dimond District commercial area which begins north of the 580 and whose main thoroughfares are Fruitvale and MacArthur, within one district.	Yes
District 4	Rachel Laws	Common fire district, . I like the proposed maps Plan A and Plan B. I believe my Lower Redwood heights neighborhood should be included in the fire district.	No
District 4	Ronald Wacker	Piedmont Pines . I am Vice President of the Piedmont Pines Neighborhood Association (PPNA) but am submitting this comment as a personal comment, pending Board action on re-districting. I am very concerned that reducing the number of Council members that represent portions of the Hills, potentially to one, would re-introduce an era of "Hills vs. Flatlands" City Council decision making. We have worked hard to eliminate this divide which, used to characterize wildfire preparedness and vegetation management resources. We were able to overcome this because three council members have portions of the Hills in their districts. If option D is selected, the Hills would have only 1 Council member. Generally, it is good that each Council member needs to represent different constituencies and the way to assure this is to encourage diversity WITHIN each district.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Phyllis	Mixed race and income. In most of the proposals, the higher income and little people of color are singled out. I believe this is an issue. It will put more friction between the higher income area with less people of color against each other. Oakland is a mixing pot of race and culture. Let the map reflect that. I believe plan a with little change to the mix is the best.	No
District 4	Raghu Reddy	I am Asian, but I define my community not by my ancestry but by my neighborhood (Oakmore). My immediate neighborhood is pretty diverse, neighbors own their homes, and my neighborhood is full of young highly educated families interested in sending kids to high performing public schools or private schools if that's not possible. I shop locally in Montclair village and along Park Blvd in Glenview. I am hoping that at some point if I ever have kids they'll be able to go through the public school system like I did to schools we're zoned in - Glenview, Edna Brewer, and to a school we're not zoned in - Oakland Tech (but if that's not possible then I will most assuredly send them to private schools).. When would we see the proposed school zones? That's the real question that I want to know more about.	Yes
District 4	Kim Coulthurst	Dimond. Why are the hills their own district in every map? Why don't the district lines go straight across from the estuary to the hills? All the wealthy people in one district??	No
District 4	Owen O'Malley	Laurel District/Redwood Heights. As someone born and raised in Laurel/Redwood Heights, it feels very strange to not have Crestmont (or more specifically the Lincoln Square Shopping Center) as part of the district (as in Maps C & D) because it is so central to the citizens of the district. (I notice similar weirdness in C&D separating the Rockridge shopping center from many of its neighbors, but that's not part of my district).	No
District 4	Kathy Kenworthy	One of my COI's centers around Dimond Park. I've taken my kids here when they were young, and I volunteer here now. This includes hiking the trails along Sausal Creek east of El Centro - up toward Joaquin Miller Park. Another of my COI's is the Dimond District Business Area. I use the library, the various shops, restaurants and markets. Please do NOT split this district along Fruitvale Ave. We use the businesses on both sides of that street. I rarely, if ever use the businesses below the 580 Freeway. I sometimes go up into Montclair, but the Dimond Business District is definitely a COI for me.. Sadly, I could not figure out how to use the mapping tool. So, here's my verbal map for my City Council District: Below (west of) highway 13, above (east of) highway 580, North to Piedmont, south to the 13/580 Junction. If this doesn't have the population necessary to make a district, I'd rather have Glenview, Dimond, Laurel, Redwood Heights included than communities below 580 and above 13.	No
District 4	Kalliope Bellesis	Unsure. Keep D4 on same side of hwy13 (eliminating Montclair and joining Glenview	No
District 4	Peter	LGBTQ. Make sure underserved communities are incorporated into better served in order to reap the benefits of wealthier tax brackets	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	E Spears	Shared living standards - residents need to respect each other and care about the community/city in which they live -- keep area around residence clean Safety - crime is too high - muggings, car-jacking, drug dealing in plain-site Potholes and streets need repaving Trash needs to be cleaned up along streets, freeway underpasses Homelessness -- clean up areas; designate specific places where the homeless they choose not to be housed can live in a clean/safe environment. As I understand it, the purpose of Oakland Redistricting Commission is to re-draw and approve boundaries for City Council Districts and City Council and School Board of Directors districts - these boundaries should be equitable encompassing different types of neighborhoods that include varying levels of education attainment levels, incomes, residence types (single, mutli-small and large)	No
District 4	Carol Northrup	Montclair, Upper Shepherd Canyon . The district lines should make sense, not have to rely on obscure technocratic justification. They should incorporate well-thought out demographic predictions.	No
District 4	Deb	Military, nurse, engineering and law profession household. First generation Asian-American; PD supporters. Asians against violence. . .	No
District 4	Lisa Ruhland	Middle class homeowner. Oakland native Patriotic American . None at this time.	Yes
District 4	Santiago Rascon	A community of intrest is a community who has similar ideals and morals, thus they lead themselves in a headstrong way inorder to maintain such ideals and morals. . N/A	No
District 4	Mason Sam	Community of interests are defined as communities that share the same historic values. . The district lines in Oakland feel natural and are split well. However, I believe that the district lines should still be split by wealth and population. For example, I would have the Oakland hills be divided into two separate districts and mold the three other districts in Oakland based on population.	No
District 4	Cameron Robertson	My idea of a COI is a community where people share the same interests.. Nice Work I guess	No
District 4	Cesar Ramirez	Economic Interest . try to have an even split of people.	No
District 4	Ed Gerber	Montclair & adjacent hill areas.. I believe the Commission should have an in-depth presentation of the conceptual alternatives open to it. For example a continuation of the current system with a number of districts which go flats to hills vs a system which would focus more on community of interest. No attention should be given to the effect on incumbent CMs.	Yes
District 4	Rory Brown	I am very interested in West and East Oakland, as an African-American am very interested in quality of life for all people of color in Oakland particularly black and brown folks.. community defined neighborhoods make a community not marketing defined neighborhoods.	Yes
District 4	Kimberly Hudson	African-American (ADOS). How will Oakland's African-American homeless and under-housed be considered or counted in the redistricting efforts?	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 4	Tara	I am a first time homeowner and BIPOC cooperative business owner (my co-op is located in downtown Oakland). My communities are queer and trans people, BIPOC (I am Filipinx), artists, lower middle class, children of immigrants. I'm originally from the Sacramento area and moved to Oakland in 2014.. I don't think it makes sense that my block is oddly lumped into district 4, when we are right on the edge of Fruitvale and very much a part of East Oakland and not the hills. I think it would make a lot more sense to be in Districts 5 or 6, especially based on the demographics of my neighborhood (BIPOC, immigrants) whose interests don't necessarily align with the rest of District 4.	No
District 4	Joseph Karwat	My COIS are not related to any ethnic or economic grouping that would be district centric. . Can you be a bit more transparent as to your priorities and methodology. Are we rebalancing the districts based on population, income,	Yes
District 4	James Wood	I live in a rich, diverse community near Dimond Park. I attend church in the Fruitvale, shop in the Fruitvale and go to many businesses in the Laurel. Giving voting patterns I believe that those living in the hills have a power beyond their numbers in determining outcome of elections. Representation should begin with a diversity of population . None for now	Yes
District 4	Christopher Gulli	Montclair, Oakland Hills. What is the timeline for producing the districts?	Yes
District 4	Susan Sachen	I want to live in a thriving and diverse neighborhood and area. . How can our districts help bring our various neighborhoods and people together?	No
District 4	lauren	Dimond district. progressive/left/radical. native oaklander (not new arrival, gentrifier, displacer or other people that live in my neighborhood and city). . How are you advertising these meetings? How are you getting feedback from people? How are you bundling outreach about them with other services people are already receiving? What are the goals, and are they the same as the last redistricting process?	Yes
District 4	Sandra Morey	Sing Thing Family Singing, Friends of Sausal Creek, Regional Parks, Friends of Dimond Park, Friends of the Dimond Library. Just a hope that you will be fair and you will take racial barriers into consideration	No
District 4	Philip La Barbera	Oakland hills, Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone, retired individual without children . The district lines seem to encompass communities/areas of the city which do not have common priorities. Indeed, there sometimes seems to be animosity between these communities which results in the council member having to 'choose sides' rather than represent constituents equitably.	Yes
District 4	Christine Ralls	My neighbors are working class people from diverse cultures. The housing is mixed and we only have a few community meeting places; Melrose library and various churches. No banks or major grocery chains. . What are your goals that will matter to the community?	No
District 4	Lisa Orta	My COI is racially and ethnically diverse, LGBTQ identified, and professional. I am a public school teacher, devoted to providing my students the critical thinking skills and self-awareness that will align them with opportunities to learn and participate, to be contributors to their communities and to accomplish their personal goals. . How will redistricting foster equity and inclusion with respect to the distribution of city resources?	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	philip pasqualino III	i feel it is important that all residents in each community are able to share in representation. many it seems are not included due to a multitude of lack of resources. this can be due to language barriers, finances, or social shunning. i would like to see more representatives active "on the streets" so to speak.	Yes
District 5	Emma Sullivan	I am writing to urge you to please pick map F3 in the redistricting vote. Through my work as a local activist, I have worked with Laurel and Diamond community members and small business owners who have worked hard to create a thriving, diverse community. Map F3 keeps our small business districts united. Dividing these neighborhoods weakens our ability to help small, locally-owned businesses to grow and thrive. District 4 Councilmembers have been pro-tenants rights, and have voted in favor of strong rent control and eviction moratoriums and other tenant protections. They know the importance of considering the needs of their constituents, who are largely renters, and it is crucial that our voices as tenants are heard. After all, Oakland is 66% renters. Most importantly, choosing a map that splits the voices and votes of the most racially and economically diverse neighborhoods of D4 seems to be a classic example of the "cracking" gerrymandering tactic, splitting up the votes of low income, diverse voters while packing together white and wealthier communities to increase their voting power. This is true not only in Laurel and Diamond, but also in Fruitvale--decreasing the voting power of Latino community members. Please do what is right and keep Laurel and Diamond connected. Keep map F3 for voting rights, small businesses, and tenants.	No
District 5	Hugh Morrison	Glenview & Lower Hills (the midlands). -I'm concerned that if you make such big changes each redistricting cycle, there will be little reason for politicians to fulfill long-term obligations to their constituents. -In future there should be more districts where the foothills are separated from the hills. Hills residents have proven they only care about driving fast through Foothills neighborhoods and not about the Safety of the residents living there or crossing the thoroughfares they speed on (35th Ave., Park Blvd., Lincoln, etc.) -That said the damage is already done. I must therefore support the least bad map: F3 is the best.	No
District 5	Dashka Slater	Glenview resident, Dimond shopper, cyclist, pedestrian, parent, swimmer at Lions pool. Please select the F3 map which keeps the Dimond and Glenview neighborhoods together and does not divide Dimond Park	No
District 5	Stephanie Woodbury	People in a COI share similar interests due to social and economic demographics. Each district combines various COIs to encourage diversity and exchange of ideas to work together. . I think that map K3 is a better option for residents on Sheffield Avenue: 1-We would be in the same district as the rest of the Glenview neighborhood, where we share many social and economic demographics 2-We would be in the same Council District as Glenview school which many of our children attend. 3-This map encourages diversity as it is more of a hills to bay plan and does not treat MacArthur Blvd as a dividing line between neighborhoods.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Enid Meyer	Glen view/Dimond/montclair. Keep these areas together! These are the areas where we shop for groceries, go to restaurants, post offices, etc.	No
District 5	Kristine Wyndham	n/a. F3 is my top pick	No
District 5	Kimberly Bernet	Glenview, Dimond, Laurel - these three communities are where we walk, go out to eat, go to the park, go to the library and shop. . Really like F3 as it centers D5 around the communities of interest I mentioned above.	No
District 5	Charles Ream	Glenview/Dimond. I support map D3 which reunites the communities of Glenview and the Dimond and centers our interests around Dimond Park, which is the hub of the two neighborhoods.	No
District 5	Nicholas Gott	I'm a resident of the Glenview Area bordering the Lower Diamond. I'm a tenant, a cyclist, and part of the environmental/social justice and LGBTQ communities.. I Urge you to support Map F3 because it unites my communities and doesn't lump us into an all-hills district. The Glenview is extremely connected to the Dimond and Laurel and deserve to stay together. I frequent Dimond Park, the library, and the small locally-owned businesses and grocery stores. The council member in my area is advocating for a farmers' market in Laurel soon. Glenview, Dimond, and Laurel are diverse, progressive, and a social justice-oriented community. Many activists that I work with all live here. I urge you to not consider map K3 which lumps Diamond and Laurel into D5. In turn this will take away from the majority Latinx representation. The only reason Glenview was originally moved to District 5 was because of a politician's interests in trying to dilute the Latinx vote. Please choose Map F3 if anything else as choice for fairness and equality. Please respect our wishes, that of the Black Cultural Zone, the Lake Merritt community, dozens of diverse Deep East Oakland neighborhood groups, and of course my neighbors in Laurel and Dimond - and implement Map F.	No
District 5	Carlos Castellanos	Fruitvale/San Antonio. My family is in favor of Draft Map F3	No
District 5	Carlos Castellanos	Fruitvale/San Antonio. I-580 is a physical barrier and prior to that, redlining, which has brought our community together and, as a result, our needs are similar. It is crucial to have representation that will focus on our needs and direct funds for improvements in our area. We should focus on improving our neighborhood schools and not have them on the border with another district where our voices would be bifurcated.	No
District 5	Joan Schwartz	We are a diverse community and want to maintain our influence speaking as one voice for our District 5 area without conflicting with other interests in our wealthier hill neighbors.. How would our voice in District 5 continue to be considered should map F3 be adopted. Redistricting according to map F3 will silence our voices in the Upper Peralta and surrounding neighborhoods.	Yes
District 5	Jessica Eastman Stewart	Fruitvale resident. I like K3 better, feels more equitable.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Michelle Saavedra	I am latina, low income, a liberal . After reviewing the 2 options I would opt for plan K-3 it redistributes the voting power more equally to reflect the ethnic communities who live in those areas	No
District 5	Jennifer Spring	My COI is the Dimond District, even though real estate agents want to say I live in Glenview.. I want to express my strong preference for redistricting plan F3.	No
District 5	Nora Flum	people whose children go to the same schools, use the same nearby park, the same local library, the same grocery store. F3! Keep Glenview with the Dimond. The park, shops, and restaurants in the Dimond are integral to the Glenview community.	No
District 5	Celia Sparks	3rd generation Oakland family. Plan F3 is the one. The other plan is deeply flawed. I am strongly against it for the long time cohesion of our neighborhood.	No
District 5	Sarah Levantine	Glenview, Dimond Park. When can we know the ordering of the updates? 5 years is a pretty long span when dealing with broken roads. Upper Park Blvd is particularly problematic for me.	No
District 5	Nimrod Omer	I live in Jingtletown, as bounded by the Oakland Estuary, the 880, Fruitvale Ave, and 29th Ave. (Note: this is a prime example of a neighborhood torn apart by major roadway projects, as it was much larger before they were built.) As for how I define it: the geographical bounds are implicitly accepted, and a strong sense of community exists for many of the inhabitants in this area, in matters of security, health, clean-up, events, care for one another, etc.. I prefer the K3 map. Most importantly, it keeps my neighborhood intact; however, it also connects the F6 district to the Bay. As a person who walks the Bay Trail, who sees the garbage that drains from that district into the Bay, it feels important that it is fully connected to the East Creek Slough and the marsh around it, so that there isn't a sense that their pollution is someone else's problem.	Yes
District 5	Carla McCasland	I live in D5 and my child attends school at Melrose Leadership Academy. I am having a hard time understanding the draft plan and if we've been redistricted.	No
District 5	Jan Leuin	Glenview. none	No
District 5	Sandra Montgomery	The Glenview community and it's shared culture and characteristics. The geographic footprint of the Glenview is where I live, work, and support the Glenview Elementary school and businesses. Quality of family and retirement life. A physical safe place to live and own a home. Reduction in all crime, quality of local streets and road physical maintenance. Traffic reduction, speed limit, parking and enforcement of all laws. City to improve, repair, maintain, and reduce and enforce crime in Dimond park, a Glenview treasure to recreate and enjoy open space. The relationship with city government and answering the following question: Why they should be protected in a single district to enhance their representative and electoral power?. To have the majority of each neighborhoods district residents, have a councilperson actively support and represent residents in the district equally. Councilperson to not just the violent areas in their district but to the other areas in their district. Being of lower violent crimes does not negate the need for councilperson representation proactiveness, responsiveness, and results.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	David Dologite	Glenview. I support Map K3 as the most logically drawn map. Map F3 moronically and incompetently draws the district border in Glenview down the middle of Macarthur, leaving the south side of the street stranded, as 8 lanes of I-580 freeway are immediately to the South. Did a computer draw F3 or is someone just lazy? It's incompetence bordering on negligence and even malfeasance.	No
District 5	Ashley	Glenview . For map F3 please consider putting the border along 580 instead of through MacArthur on the south end of Glenview. The very few houses in this stretch would have to cross a highway to reach the rest of the entire district instead of being with the majority of Glenview. I live in one of the affected houses.	Yes
District 5	Angi fisher	Interested in remaining a diverse community where all are welcome.. I'm hoping we don't lose the diversity we gave had by redistricting.	No
District 5	raylene samson	Dimond. These maps continue to obviously be divided by race and class. But I suppose K3 is better than F3.	No
District 5	Aaron Frank	A well balanced community consisting of lower hills communities combined with fruitvale and dimond neighborhoods. I live in Glenview and I am very unhappy with both of the current proposals that just lump us together with other Hills districts. The concerns of the upper hills are not the concerns of the lower hills. Our voices will be subsumed now by a wealthier enclave of people that are more concerned about wildfire risk and quality of life issues, yet are ignorant to the realities dealt with day to day by people in the lower hills and beyond. Glenview contributes to a healthy balance in District 5 and I personally am a very devoted believer in Noel Gallo. Noel understands the needs of these communities.	Yes
District 5	Bena Li	Friends of Sausal Creek (and Dimond Park), Glenview School, Park Blvd. Map K divides our community of interest around Dimond Park. Map F is a better map because it brings together a community of interest around Dimond Park with shared interests of maintaining Dimond Park.	No
District 5	Jeff Knowles	Unsure. I support K3 map. I live on the borderlands between multiple districts - near I-580, 14th Avenue and 33rd Street. My neighborhood and neighborhood ethnic make-up feels more closely aligned with others in B2 than other district boundaries.	No
District 5	Nicholas Gott	I live in Glenview near lower diamond. I am a renter and apart of the LGBTQ community.. I am in favor of Map F. The Black Cultural Zone has solely endorsed Map F on the basis of racial equity concerns with the others, especially K, as have numerous other neighborhood groups citywide; west, east, and hills! Map F also protects the Oakland Coliseum and its area, keeping them in D7. We know an all-hills will weaken racial equity, and concentrate the power of wealthier residents, at the expense of our neighborhoods and community, And so while a great deal of opposition has come from areas that stand to directly suffer from the hills, input from anywhere in the city opposing an all-hills will be helpful. We're at the final stages of this, and are close to prevailing in the interests of an equitable Oakland- but we need to have diverse, varied, and numerous voices pushing for this until the very end; even one more commentator can help determine the future of Oakland politics for a decade or more!	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Karely Ordaz	The Fruitvale District includes the following neighborhoods: Oak Tree, Saint Elizabeth, Jingtletown. It's important to ensure the following schools are included in D5: Think College Now & Fremont HS.. We oppose Map K because it dilutes the Latino voting population with the additions of the Dimond and Laurel Districts.	Yes
District 5	Enid Meyer	glen view/montclair/dimond. Don't separate all the hills into one district!	No
District 5	Cliff Hong	Oakland Resident. My Name is Cliff Hong. I live in District 5. I believe our current district lines directly and negatively impact flatland residents. I am commenting to advocate for Map H with an additional change. A hills-only district will help to provide flatland voters an opportunity to be fully represented by city council members and school district directors who focus on the flatlands needs rather than catering to hills voter's vision for Oakland. Thank you.	No
District 5	Lindsay Imai Hong	We see ourselves as part of the community of interest of our block- though we don't share a lot of cultural or ethnic identities and we are socioeconomically diverse, we still consider ourselves a community because we look out for one another, share an interest in what is happening in our block and the surrounding blocks. We are also part of the COI that are families of public school children in Oakland, but that identity isn't geographically based. . As you draw districts, how are you supporting communities of color and working class communities to have a voice in Oakland and Alameda County decision-making? I support one Hills district in order to ensure that more city council members are paying attention and representing the needs of the city's flatlands. I also encourage you to expand District 2 to 27th Ave to keep the San Antonio neighborhood whole. This is a community that shouldn't be broken up. Finally, I support keeping the lake area in two districts given that the needs are different on different sides of the lake. Thank you!	No
District 5	Jody Silver	Queer, Jewish, Women, Educated, Liberal, Civic-minded, Activist, Educator, Working class. Map F seems the most reasonable as it doesn't clump all of the most wealthy hill neighborhoods together in one district, but spreads them out which should help to include more diversity in the voices of those neighborhoods	No
District 5	Howard egerman	Hispanic fruitvale. Live close to Isurel.. No hills district.	Yes
District 5	Diane Bodrick	I do not wish to answer at this time until I understand why this is happening now.. I want to know why this is happening in Oakland at this time? Is it because of gentrification?	Yes
District 5	Anthony Rugege	Fruitvale neighborhood. Mostly inhabited by small businesses and working class to middle class folks. Many are hardworking immigrants so their right work and educate their children should be protected. There are also several schools and places of worship as well as major transportation by Bart and buses along one of the major routes on international boulevard. Also has more affordable housing than any other part of Oakland. My only question is what can the Commission do about the crisis of homelessness?	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Teresa Lindberg	For districting purposes, my location has more in common with Oakmore, Montclair, Dimond and Laurel. We tend to circulate along Park Blvd. up to 13 or down to Dimond/Laurel. . Map A or Map F are the best for Glenview. These aligns us with the communities that have similar concerns.	No
District 5	Carla Moore	I have lived in the Glenview/Dimond community for 30 years. My COI is Glenview/Park Blvd and Dimond/Fruitvale Avenue. My children both attended school in the neighborhood, I swim at Dimond Park and I shop in both the Glenview and Dimond districts. I love my mixed-income, racially diverse neighborhood. It makes no sense to use the highway or major streets in these communities as a dividing line. Please keep these communities together as in Map A.. I am opposed to an "all-hills" district. Districts should include both the hills and the flatlands so that all districts have diverse voices and income brackets. We must not marginalize neighbors. Oakland has a history of racial disparity. We must not continue this but continue to build equity.	No
District 5	Ms. Joaninha	My community of interest is in the Laurel, Diamond, and Glenview area combined. I have friends and neighbors in these 3 neighborhoods. We go to the same parks, shop at the same stores, and have similar interests. The ideal boundaries are those in Map F with the northwest boundary Grove Shafter, the west boundary Trestle Glen Road, the southwest boundary Brookdale Avenue and the eastern boundary the Warren Freeway/Redwood Road. This is an excellent map that keeps a solid community with common interests together!. I understand the redistricting process to be a complicated matter that needs careful study. I appreciate all the efforts the redistricting commission is making to meet our community's priorities. I'm opposed to proposed maps K and J as they cut out essential parts of the Laurel and Diamond areas. These maps also extend into part of the Fruitvale area weakening the Latino voice which needs to be made stronger.	No
District 5	Joan Warren	Dimond. I endorse Map I. It makes the most sense and keeps the Dimond neighborhood as one cohesive whole.	No
District 5	Michael Gabriel	Glenview (Glenview Neighborhood Association). Existing homeowner and merchant associations are communities of interest and should not be bisected by council lines. They are active volunteer organizations that provide great value to the community and work together on issues of common interest. Proposed map F does a pretty good job of honoring association boundaries. Lakeshore Homes, Glenview Neighborhood Association, Oakmore Homes Association, Dimond Improvement Association, Laurel District Association, Montclair Property Owners Association, Montclair Merchants Association are in a single district. In addition, map F includes more economic and cultural diversity than other proposed maps.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	brandon sheffield	My area is a mix of hispanic, black, and asian communities primarily. Lots of older folks, lots of lower income folks, lots and I have multiple neighbors who work night shifts. Most folks rely on cars to get around, but busses along fruitvale and international are important as well. One big thing about this neighborhood is that most people are very rooted here - when I was living in North Oakland/Temescal the area became a dead zone during the holidays because everyone "went back home" during that time. Here, the streets are packed during the holidays because everybody's home already. (note: I just moved to fruitvale/patten from north oakland earlier in the year). My main big concern is that the hills don't get to be a district all by themselves. If that happens they WILL get all the resources, they will get all the best schools, you can guarantee it. Look at the legacy zoning laws in Rockridge that have succeeded in keeping that place as white as possible, while driving everyone else out? My old neighborhood was predominantly ethiopian for many years, but almost every house on the street is now a tech person who works in SF. I don't want to see that happen everywhere, and I think mixing incomes is a good way to make sure that doesn't happen. So include fruitvale and dimond together, that sort of thing. (also, I wish I could be of more help but I barely understand this stuff and am overstrapped with work, time is one thing I don't have. But if there's some other way I can help let me know)	No
District 5	Stephanie Woodbury	I live on Sheffield Avenue, just below highway 580. I define my COI with the Glenview and Dimond neighborhoods. Our local school is Glenview Elementary School and many children on our street attend this school. We are nearby Dimond Park and the Dimond shopping district and most residents utilize the park and support these local businesses. We are similar in social and economic demographics to the Glenview and Dimond communities and encourage the diversity of mixing communities below and above 580 within one council district. Our needs are not addressed by the current district maps and representation in District 5. . It's difficult to tell if any of the proposed Redistricting maps include Sheffield Avenue with the Dimond and Glenview communities. Please let me know how Sheffield Avenue is directly impacted by the proposed district maps.	No
District 5	Jill Stevens Kinney	District 5. Leave it as is.	No
District 5	Elizabeth Brenum	District 5 is made up of both working class people, largely POC, and newer residents with higher incomes, largely white/Asian. I feel like there is a distinct separation in level of needs between communities below 580 and above.. For the recently released maps emailed out on 11/18, I prefer map H. It maintains the separation between hill and lowland districts, which would allow lowland representative to focus on the needs of more overlook residents. When residents above 580 are included in lower district, they are spread out more evenly across the lower districts, which will reduce the impact of hills residents from taking over district concerns.	No
District 5	Christopher Martinez	Latino/a/x Community. It is important to have representation, and the Latino Community of Oakland, especially in Fruitvale, need to know that a member of its community is representing their interests. All communities deserve this.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Allan Brill	Glenview/Dimond/Fruitvale District. We share middle income housing, standards of living, shopping/dining/retail venues, Dimond Park & a mixed racial/socioeconomic interest. Also, those families utilizing Edna Brewer M.S. & Glenview E.S. come primarily from those neighborhoods.. DO NOT CREATE SEGREGATED DISTRICTS WHERE OFFICIALS ONLY REPRESENT WEALTHY NEIGHBORHOODS, AND ARE ABLE TO IGNORE THE BULK OF OAKLAND'S COMPLEX HOUSING, EDUCATION & SECURITY ISSUES.	Yes
District 5	Tayo	Dimond district (lower and upper), to include North and South of the 580. Hi Richard and my commissioners, I am Tayo Lanlehin and I tried speaking in the meeting on 11/10 but my laptop kept failing me. I want to second the points that Janani and Otto made. I live in an area just below the 580 that is now called the upper Peralta creek by estate agents but my Neighbour's who have lived here for 20 years think they live in the lower Dimond district. The commission has said they will keep Neighborhoods together, and by dividing up the lower Dimond on both sides of the 580, you are separating us from our place to eat, shop, bank, recreate and fill our prescriptions. Without our connection to the North side of the 580, our area is a food and health, recreational dessert. Even our local library is on the other side of the 580. I believe that we have made the 580 an arbitrary boundary in our city that causes more issues than solutions, i.e filthy highways. Maps A and F are my preference as they keep both the Dimond and lower Dimond below the 580 together.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Kevin Dalley	<p>Glenview is one COI, the MacArthur corridor is also a community of interest, as we travel by foot, bicycle, and bus along MacArthur to the lake, and also to Dimond and Laurel. Some of the new maps, E, F, G, H split Glenview badly. Most of Glenview is always in D, but pieces of Glenview are spread out in other districts. H splits Glenview at 13th Ave, and also splits us along Park Blvd. It also splits part of Glenview along MacArthur Blvd. And the map separates us from Dimond, as well Lakeshore. For Glenview, this is the worst of the 4 new maps. G is also quite bad for Glenview. It also splits Glenview at 13th Ave, and also splits us along Park Blvd. It also splits part of Glenview along MacArthur Blvd. It still splits us from Lakeshore neighborhood, which is part of our MacArthur Blvd corridor. However, this G is better than H. It combines Glenview with Dimond and Laurel, which is part of that MacArthur corridor. F is another incremental improvement over G. It splits off the Excelsior on ramp from Glenview. And it again splits Glenview on MacArthur Blvd, rather than 580, leaving a small part of one block of Glenview in E5. The good part of this map is that it again combines Glenview with Dimond and Laurel, which is part of the MacArthur corridor. E is the best of the new maps for Glenview, though Glenview still has a few odd pieces taken out of it. It splits off the Excelsior on ramp from Glenview. Part of Greenwood is split off from us, and one side of Park Blvd Way is split off from the district. The good news is that the district finally splits us at 580 rather than MacArthur Blvd. And again, we are combined with most of Dimond and Laurel, part of our MacArthur Blvd corridor. Unfortunately, we remain detached from Lakeshore, and we are placed in with the hills, which is a community which drives much more than Glenview. This neighborhood is responsible for much of the speeding on Park Blvd.</p>	Yes
District 5	Nicholas Gott	<p>My name is Nicholas Gott. I live in the Glenview neighborhood. I would like you to keep my community of interest together and implement the boundaries of "District D" in Map A. We do not want the Dimond or Laurel to be divided, so please include Dimond and Laurel neighborhoods part of these communities below the 580 – including what your maps call "Bartlett" and "Allendale." I care about my community because I engage in local activism and frequent local parks. We are a unified community because we care about the equity of Oakland. My diverse community cares about Social Justice, LGBTQ representation, and equitable distribution of wealth and resources. I am opposed to an all-hills district, and believe as many districts as possible should have a mix of hills and flatlands because it gives the hills too much political power, districts that are flatland-only will invite outside corporations and groups to "buy" Council seats and influence too much of our politics, marginalized communities who also live in the hills – such as tenants and low-income individuals – will lose their advocacy power, and it will disrupt school districts and worsen educational equity.</p>	No
District 5	Elisha Greenwell	<p>Small locally owned business Ethnically diverse Accessible to public services and transport Creative arts . How can we guarantee that resources are distributed equally across districts? How can we encourage cross district support and collaboration?</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Ms. Joaninha	I live in Glenview and feel united with businesses and residents in the Laurel and Diamond districts. We shop at the same local stores to support businesses in these districts, residents from these 3 neighborhoods volunteer at Sausal Creek and Joaquin Miller, and we share recreational facilities such as Lion's Pool in Diamond Park. For adequate representation we belong in the same district. Please keep all of the Diamond and Laurel together including the area below 580 up until Brookdale Avenue. I'm strongly favor of implementing the boundaries of "District D" in MAP A!. I'm very much against an all hills district as proposed in Maps B, C, and D because this would separate communities of interest that belong together, An all hills district does not give adequate representation to renters whose voices would be drown out by property owners, nor is an all hills district in line with democratic principles, dividing neighbors who live and work together.	No
District 5	Howard egerman	Hispanic. Why have all hilld	No
District 5	Elizabeth Brenum	Middle to lower class, urban, near Fruitvale, majority Latino. How will power be distributed so that higher income people in the hills not dominate Oakland's resources?	Yes
District 5	Josh Frank	Our community of interest is the glenview neighborhood, which starts at highway 580 and goes all the way to highway 13. We share common concerns about road safety (Park Blvd), schools. Many people in this community are home owners. . Please ensure the Glenview neighborhood stays in one district. Also, I've noticed in several of the proposed Maps that the Dimond business district is split up into multiple districts (which is not ideal). In some of the proposed maps the Dimond business district is separated from the Glenview and Oakmore neighborhood for whom it is the primary shopping district. In all of the current maps, it would be more appropriate to have highway 580 as the dividing line for districts as that is seen as a dividing line between neighborhoods (and therefore communities of interest).	Yes
District 5	Rhonda Giarretto	LGBTQ. Older, multi-racial. If due in December getting close to the wire.	No
District 5	Jeff Knowles	Unknown. I like Map D for district D, but Map B is better for districts C, B, and E. I do not understand the strange shape C wrapping from West Oakland up into the Tuxedo. That district person will be pulled in too many directions.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Hugh Morrison	<p>Glenview - Foothills. 1. Glenview should not be lumped into the massive hills district. Hills speeders drive down Park Blvd exceeding the speed limit, often by up to 60mph. They have fought our efforts to get OakDOT to reduce speeds on Park Blvd so our kids can safely walk to school at either Glenview ES or Brewer MS. B/c of their opposition OakDOT killed a plan to make Park Blvd safer. As it says on the City website: "There is not stakeholder agreement on a preferred alternative." & "Along Middle Park Blvd, there were similarly strong concerns regarding speeding and pedestrian safety. While some stakeholders strongly supported a road diet, other stakeholders were concerned with commute hour traffic accessing I-580". https://www.oaklandca.gov/projects/park-boulevard-corridor-study 2. Glenview is a FootHill community, with parts of it on MacArthur Blvd. Where MacArthur Blvd is above 580, 580 should be the boundary of the District. Otherwise the lower side of MacArthur Blvd will be separated from both it's Glenview community (& other neighborhoods in similar situations), as well as the schools their families attend (Glenview ELS & Edna Brewer MS). If you keep lower 1/2 of MacArthur in a separate district, artificially separating it from it's neighborhood, it will be ignored by the new District it is in, and which it has little connection to. Instead the boundary should be at 580.</p>	No
District 5	Tayo Lanlehin	<p>The community of interest I belong to is the Dimond/ laurel district. Even though I live on pleitner avenue, between school and nicol. I feel strongly attached to the Dimond district as that's were I go for shopping and entertainment. Currently district 5 ends on pleitner avenue, just before school avenue. I am in support of plan D. There is not enough outreach being done. How does the commission expect to up This?</p>	Yes
District 5	Chris Cassidy	<p>I don't understand this question, even considering the link provided.. B looks the fairest to me. Any map that diminishes the power of W. Oakland votes, or those of folks living in the low lands of E. Oakland, is problematic. B does the best job at avoiding that.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Kevin Dalley	<p>My first COI is Resident of Glenview neighborhood The geographic area is in the web site below, roughly between Leimert and 580, and from the city of Piedmont to Canon Ave It's a neighborhood centered around the business district on Park Blvd, between Wellington and Hampel Many residents walk and cycle to the business district, making traffic safety along Park Blvd essential There are also bus lines on both Park Blvd and MacArthur, going to downtown Oakland, SF, and along MacArthur to Kaiser Here's a map and description of Glenview https://oaklandwiki.org/Glenview My second COI is as a cyclist and pedestrian centered on Glenview, traveling to downtown Oakland, west Oakland, Dimond business district, and beyond Because of the bike and pedestrian COI, my neighborhood does not easily connect to the upper hills. The residents of that area are more likely to drive than walk, cycle, or take the bus. Many of these residents drive at high speed on Park Blvd, not respecting the safety of the residents.. Because of the bike and pedestrian COI, my neighborhood does not easily connect to the upper hills. The residents of that area are more likely to drive than walk, cycle, or take the bus. Many of these residents drive at high speed on Park Blvd, not respecting the safety of the residents. For this reason, it is a mistake to combine Glenview with the hills, as in map A and map B Glenview residents have been working toward pedestrian safety for over 20 years, and we should not be combined with the upper hills, which endanger the lives of our residents. Maps C and D are better matches for Glenview. Glenview residents have walking, cycling, and transit use more similar to areas around the lake, including Grand Lake. Map C is probably the best fit. This map does not go too far toward 13, and D is my second choice. All 4 maps have one shortfall, which can be easily remedied. East of Beaumont, the maps split Glenview at MacArthur Blvd, with residents on the northern side of MacArthur in a different district than the residents south of MacArthur, but still north of 580. A more sensible area to split the district at 580. This change would not greatly effect the populations in the districts, but it would allow all of the residents of Glenview to be in one district. It really does not make sense to have residents between MacArthur Blvd and 580 be in the same district as residents south of 580. Traveling across the freeway by foot or bike takes some effort. People do not easily mingle across the freeway. The building of 580 permanently split these neighborhoods, and the district lines should recognize this split, even if many wish that 580 had not been built.</p>	Yes
District 5	Sandra Montgomery	<p>Glenview District residents, businesses, schools, parks. Utilize the boundaries of above 580/MacArthur blvd up Park Blvd to Highway 13.eastern boundary to include Dimond canyon , excluding Fruitvale ave</p>	No
District 5	David Dologite	<p>Glenview . Dividing Glenview along north/south side of Macarthur Blvd rather than the Interstate 580 freeway that is literally immediately south of Macarthur Blvd makes ZERO sense. The FREEWAY should be the divider. It's the only rational border.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Ashley Cook	I consider the Glenview neighborhood my primary community/neighborhood.. At the south edge of Glenview all 4 maps put a district border through the middle of MacArthur Blvd, separating a strip of residents from the rest of the neighborhood. It would be a minor change to put the border at the 580 freeway instead. I live on the south side of MacArthur, bordering the 580, and would favor remaining in a district with the rest of Glenview, which is a relatively tight knit community.	Yes
District 5	Sally Steele	My community of interest is based on where my family and I spend most of our time and where our community relationships are. The current boundaries do not reflect this, placing Glenview in the same district as the hills and separating us from the communities to which we are in physical proximity (Trestle Glen, Lakeshore, etc.). Proposed Maps C & D more accurately reflect Glenview's actual community ties with all its diversity - where we shop, dine, walk, meet with friends, work, and worship.. Please consider geography - where people physically move about in their day-to-day lives. I believe maps C & D are a greater reflection of this than current district lines or the other proposed options.	No
District 5	ruth stroup	Glenview, Oakmore, Dimond Heights. being in D5 has been a disaster for Glenview. We get very little support from our current council person who focuses almost entirely on the Fruitvale disctrict. We are more suited to D2 or D4. Because of the traffic issues on Park Blvd between 580 and 13, I think it's important for Glenview and Oakmore to stay together	Yes
District 5	Mike Bradley	My COIs are based on where I go to shop and eat, and the people I meet when I go there. My immediate COI is Glenview and the Dimond. I live there and shop there and feel a sense of kinship with the people there. A broader COI would extend through Lakeshore to Piedmont Ave or even Broadway, and down into San Antonio and Fruitvale. An even broader COI would extend up to Rockridge for the restaurants and over to the Laurel. I also go to Jack London Square a lot and like it. I feel no kinship whatever with Montclair and the hills neighborhoods or the people who live there. . A couple of decades ago the City adopted Estuary-to-hills districts. Why did the city reject them? No real COIs there, I guess. Anyway, you have a tough job and I thank you for taking it on. Good luck!	No
District 5	Zachary Kelly	Middle class non-tech worker. What natural/physical barriers are regularly used to separate districts? And do these still need to be considered?	Yes
District 5	Cynthia Elliott	Maintain the Latinx culture in D5. Keep D5 affordable for working class, artists & multigenerational families . None yet	No
District 5	Audrey	Black Oaklanders, working class, . Who is on the commission, how are communities actively recruited	No
District 5	Ismael Plasencia	West Oakland, Piedmont Ave, Fruitvale/Dimond. Equity and inclusivity.	No
District 5	Feleciai Favroth	Maxwell Park. Concerned about lack of businesses in East Oakland	Yes
District 5	Ometha Harris	Red lined. Does doing this help or f further alienate the poor and the black population of Oakland	Yes
District 5	Annie Ledbury	Jingletown neighborhood (geographic). The arts/ culture/ design community (shared interest and skills across the city). Community organizing and creative resident engagement. . Please make redistricting relatable, engaging and fun so people have a real chance to weigh in!	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Diane Sanchez	Im a third generation Fruitvale resident . my COI is wide...the Creative communities; marginalized groups; women; seniors etc.... what are the criteria you are using to redraw districts or create new ones? How will the demographics of the city be represented in an equitable way on city council responsibilities and distribution of funds etc	Yes
District 5	Mixtli	Our religious heritage, sexual orientation, and gender should not be used to divide us people.. none	No
District 5	Luz	My community of interest in Oakland is that we should be equally split. This means that we should not be divided categories based on our skin color, gender, or sexual orientation.. N/A	No
District 5	Maria Longinos	I would define community of interest as poeple that share the same values. . I think Oakland should be splitt according to which part of Oakland they live in. For example grouping Oakland hills together rather than grouping East Oakland with the hills.	No
District 5	Hellen Martin	In my community of interest of the City of Oakland, people that live in the same community should be grouped together.. NA	No
District 5	Christopher Nguyen	I define my community of interest as ethnic, racial, and religious groups. . I believe a few community of interest's that should be implemented into the City of Oakland is primarily location, racial groups, and religious belief groups.	No
District 5	Ximena Sanchez	For my community of interest I would think that districts should be divided by location and equally spacing districts.. N/A	No
District 5	Xavier Alonga-Piring	A community of interest should be grouped by the location and population of the area.. A community of interest should be grouped by the location and population of the area.	No
District 5	Edward McNichols	Dimond/Laurel . Walkable from the neighborhood. Nearly all commercial, community, and social activities in my neighborhood are conducted there but we are not in the district. . Neighborhoods should be districted with the community of interest nearest to them	Yes
District 5	Kathleen Archambeau	Glenview Neighborhood; LGBTQ. Survey residents before making decisions — Bravo!	Yes
District 5	Catherine Barale	We are part of the Glenview neighborhood, a diverse population of young families, retired folks, and multiracial identities. We are located close to the Altenheim low income housing which has introduced significant parking problems and escalated crime in our area. A major concern for us is dealing with crime in Oakland overall and our area in particular. One thing we discuss is moving out of Oakland to a lower crime city. Recent City Council decisions have made this issue even more significant.. It would be wonderful if the commission did not include considerations of how to promote power in a particular political party in their discussions, but focused on the community needs and issues. For us that includes addressing crime and blight related to trash, sanitation because of homeless tents and encampments. We won't even go to parts of Oakland because of these issues, it's just unacceptable.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Joanna Alvarez	Family oriented. Multicultural. Want a clean and safe environment. City to partner with homeowners to fix homes and sidewalks offering funds/subsidizes to make improvements. Add Landcaping in the area.. What does the Oakland Redirecting Commission? Might volunteer if I know what it is I would be doing.	Yes
District 5	Bob Feinbaum	This poorly defined concept leads to balkanized representation rather than representation that benefits the entire community. That is why Oakland's current city council is perhaps the worst governing body in California. We need smart, fair, responsible leaders not politically correct hacks who are only concerned with "their people" and not anyone else.. The districts are currently drawn to favor incumbents. Draw fair districts that encompass all types of voters.	No
District 5	Harriet C Hutchinson	I am a citizen of Oakland, a retired teacher, a mother and grandmother.. I am VERY concerned that no OUSD board member or administrative staff member knows that this committee is meeting! Also, ALL of the public meetings are the same day and time as the OUSD school board meetings!	Yes
District 5	Caleb Smith	I live in the Glenview. I consider myself to be part of the "foothills" community of interest. I define this community of interest as being all the neighborhoods below Highway 13 and above Highway 580. This would be Glenview, Diamond, Laurel, etc. This is a natural community of interest because we all go to the same neighborhood retail (Glenview or Diamond), all access the same public library (Diamond) and have a somewhat similar set of concerns (traffic safety and property crime, though more concern about violent crime than those above Highway 13 and less concern about illegal dumping than those below Highway 580). We have a distinct voice, vote distinctively, and our schools are some of the most integrated in Oakland.. Please put Glenview in the same council district as the Diamond and Oakmore neighborhoods (and ideally the Laurel). Whether this means expanding District 5 or simply moving Glenview into District 4, this would give the foothills a strong voice instead of leaving them awkwardly split between two districts.	No
District 5	Michael Gabriel	Glenview District. Glenview should be in one District. It is currently split between 2 districts.	Yes
District 5	Chris Harper	Dimond and Glenview. I am particularly interested in Districts 4 and 5. I have lived in what is currently District 5 for 27 years. My community service takes place in District 4 (Dimond). The last 4 District 4 council members know my face and name. Through no fault (as far as I know) no District 5 representative knows my name. The gerrymandered districts create inefficiencies and hamper the effectiveness of representative government. Please consider adding *all* of the Glenview neighborhood to District 4. This will benefit both District 5 and 4 residents.	Yes
District 5	Jody Silver	LGBT, Jewish, environmentally aware and active, educated and believe in free education for all from preschool-college, liberal, middle class.. How many districts are being considered? How are people who are usually underrepresented going to be better considered in the redistricting process?	No
District 5	Josh frank	Not a concept I've ever considered. Maybe sharing socioeconomic disposition, geographic location?. What criteria will you use to redistrict	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 5	Corinne DeLuca	Diversity, opportunities for diverse populations to own in our neighborhood, school excellence, community crime watch, school yard access during off school hours. How can we create safe spaces for our kids to play outside in the neighborhood	Yes
District 5	Lisa Zemelman	Geographically and by connection to my two closest shopping districts—Dimond and Laurel, NOT Fruitvale. I am active in my neighbor watch and the NCPG group for 21xy and we are mostly concerned with quality of life and safety issues.. District 4 and 5 are ridiculous shapes—I do not believe the councilperson for either area addresses issues on the side of 580 that is separate from the bulk of their district. I used to be in district 4 (represented by Jean Quan) and was at some point moved into district 5, now represented by Noel Gallo. I notice all of Gallo’s efforts are aimed at the area around International Blvd. and Sheng Thao’s efforts are focused on the hills.	No
District 5	Mailisha Chesney	I spend the most time in the Dimond District. I also connect with Glenview, Montclair, Piedmont Ave., Temescal, and Rockridge. I like the Laurel District too, but it's kinda scuzzy these days.. My concern is that Oakland is a very segregated city - there are little pockets of "like-kind people," but there isn't as much true integration as there should be in a city this diverse. And nowhere is it as evident as in our schools. For example, you will see that schools with a lot of black children will often NOT have hardly any white children. The kids at Chabot Elementary look very, very different from the kids at Manzanita, as an example. There are many complicated reasons for this - mostly outright racism and anti-black bias. True, meaningful integration should be our top priority. It is the only way we can move forward and truly educate everyone in a more equitable way. Plus, we ALL need that integration for our betterment.	No
District 5	Ilene Philipson	Unsure how to answer. I think District 5 is divided up in such a way that our Council Member represents the majority of the district which lives below 580 rather than the smaller number of residents living above 580. The Glenview seems to be gerrymandered into District 5 whereas I think we should be in District 1 or 4.	No
District 5	Elisha Greenwell	Black Women Homeowners Artists Organizers Entrepreneurs Dancers. How can we ensure every district has access to similar level of resources including public transport, green space, retail, nightlife, etc.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Shekinah Samaya-Thomas	I support and encourage Commission adoption of map K3. Some of the reasons for doing so include: The Coliseum, and the surrounding neighborhood, must be kept whole and in 1 district. We cannot allow for continued split between D6 & D7. This separation makes no sense, and has not served ANY of us in either district well! It needs to be ONE! AND we NEED to REDEVELOP IT, NOT abandon it! GOOD JOBS IN OUR COMMUNITY ARE A MUST, & ONE district/district rep will help us get that need met! Lake Merritt is a very diverse community, and should be represented by two City Council members. Doing so will help ensure all can enjoy the benefits the Lake offers. Map K3 will better support the BIPOC vote and chance at political representation; Map F3 will further dilute it. My question to this commission is this: DOES Oakland WANT the diverse communities voices HEARD and REPRESENTED?!?! If so, support K3, NOT F3!	Yes
District 6	Peter Jacobs	Oakland Hills. I support redistricting map F3	No
District 6	Adam Klein	My COIs are equity and resilience and sustainability. none	Yes
District 6	Thor Start	I would be in support of the K3 districting map. I feel the hills area has been sorely underrepresented in District 6. Better somewhat with Loren Taylor than it was with Desley Brooks. . Support K3 plan	No
District 6	Andrew Roth	don't know. Between Draft Plans F3 and K3, I urge selection of Draft Plan F3.	No
District 6	Gloria Wilmer	multiple COI's. F3 would be my choice for any redistricting - gives the residents better representation and doesn't isolate and further segregate the 'hills' residents.	No
District 6	Janice C Shields	Hills . I prefer F3. The other plan seems very elitist and serve to divide us.	No
District 6	Nikola Filby	Oakland. I prefer option F3. I think districts should mirror Oakland by containing multiple perspectives.	No
District 6	Rebecca Cheung	Parkridge Neighborhood . I believe that map F3 is better for the City of Oakland.	No
District 6	Ilknur Sozat	I'm a resident of Oakland Hills and my COI is to keep our district as diverse as possible. Also wildfire preparedness and vegetation management are important issues for our area. Diving hills into more than one district will make tackling this issue more effective. . I support Map F3.	No
District 6	Alan Harper	Oakland hills, Laurel District, Parks and open space lovers. I much prefer Redistricting Commission Draft Plan F3 to Draft Plan K3. Draft plan F3 keeps my district, 6, together. While I live in the hills, I shop and identify with the communities along MacArthur Blvd. Creating a new "district of the hills" just serves to alienate us from the communities that we live in, shop in, and depend on. Please adopt Draft Plan F3.	No
District 6	Kirk Trisler	Parkridge Estates: Mostly retired, original neighborhood members that enjoy proximity to Chabot Park. I am in favor of re-district map K3. Having the hills area divided into two districts which both contain sea level areas seems to lend those districts to under-represent the "hills" folks and concerns.	No
District 6	Paul Slavitt	Long-time Oakland resident; Hills resident; senior citizen; politically liberal, fiscally conservative concerning government policies.. I favor proposed redistricting plan F3, which I feel creates a more diverse and representative district for my neighborhood.	No
District 6	William Brockett	Oakland hills adjacent to a high fire danger area. Near Chabot regional park. . Please approve plan F3.	No
District 6	Patricia Denn	N/A. In favor of Draft Plan F3	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Andrea Pook	hills area . I am in favor of Map F3 – vs. Map K3 which packs the hills into a hills-only district. Also, K3 would only give all the hills population one councilmember which seems out of balance; We do not need more division.	No
District 6	Erik Lai	I live in Parkridge Estates near Skyline HS. . I support draft plan F3 which provides greater representation for residents who live in the Oakland Hills.	No
District 6	Christine Cody	Oakland Hills. I support keeping the hills’ diffuse representation as shown in F3.	No
District 6	Elaine Lew-Smith	I live in the Oakland skyline hills. I am 4th gen Chinese. . Its important that all city council members be mindful of the diverse needs and interests of all Oakland residents. I oppose the K3 district plan because it concentrates the higher income residents into one district. I support the F3 district plan.	No
District 6	Terrence Lee	Parkridge Estates/Oakland Hills . Draft Plan F3 is my preference. It will keep the area connected with other parts of the City, maintain diversity, and I do not like the idea of just one "hills" district that isolates this area even more. It already feels isolated, especially when it comes to police response and other needed improvements like roads and vegetation management.	No
District 6	Madeline Briar	Above Mcarthur . K3 gives hills only one vote	No
District 6	Linda B. Smith	I attend NCPC meetings for Beat 29x.. I want the city to adopt Map F3. This map closely resembles the current district lines. I do not support Map K3 because it will create a separate district for the Oakland Hills that will limit resources for the "flatlands" and adversely affect people of color who mostly reside in the flatlands.	No
District 6	Michael Melland	I'm an Oaklander first, and I live next to Chabot Regional Park, about a mile south of Skyline High.. I prefer Map F3, because I believe Council Members should represent a diverse population - socio-economic as well as cultural and geographic. If a council member only represents their own tribe, we're going to have tribal animosities. Enough division in this town already exists.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Teresa Arriola	A community I identify with is the Latino Female community. I currently commute to work grossly 8 miles into downtown Oakland area. I am a physical therapist, single, making enough money to be able to financially afford a home in east Oakland on my own - this is not a community that many individuals can say they are a part of, however, because of this I want to make sure I am part of neighborhoods/groups to empower and grow them and not gentrify them or isolate them. We should be mixing communities so that we can attract more diversity (ethnicity and economic demographics) in our neighborhoods and not isolate and seclude certain communities that don't share things in common with other individuals along the basis of economy/social struggles/race. However those certain communities that have lower economic statuses, are more vulnerable to discrimination due to race or disability should be fought for by our council members and representatives no matter if they are all clustered in one district or spread about in several - all council members should be fighting for these specific communities even if they are in your district or not and be supportive of those representatives that are fighting the fight for those communities. Parts of Oakland are seen in a negative light by many that don't know the neighborhoods and you all should be fighting for all parts of Oakland to change that perception around and not just fancy the "nice" parts of Oakland. . From the two final proposed district maps, I am in strong favor for the F3 map which contains more similarities in district outlines to our current district zone 6. I believe it is important to not only have one homogeneous group of Oaklanders but rather different groups of which it is very important for this district to keep a part of the hills in this district. It is also important to have a diverse group of individuals (white, asian, latinos, blacks) and even more important a diversity in economic demographics. It is unfortunate and known fact that districts/groups that are lower socioeconomic statuses, usually get left behind in decisions/programs and having the diversity of ethnicity and economics will allow for a broader and fair program implementation for this district 6.	Yes
District 6	Kate Melland	Not sure what the purpose of this is. . Please do not divide our city into hills vs. flatlands. Too many assumptions about who lives where. K3 is a divisive action which would only create animosity. F3 is the best option - gives districts diversity.	No
District 6	Cleo Murphy	Native Oakland Resident. I don't agree with the redistricting the commission is trying to do.	Yes
District 6	Dorrie Slutsker	Oak Knoll Neighborhood Improvement Association. Please do not divide our neighborhood as you propose in Map K3	No
District 6	Brown Colleen	Neighborhood. Do not pit hills versus flats. It's never worked.	No
District 6	Lorraine Bonner	I define my community of interest to be all of Oakland. . I worry that if districts are drawn according to class or ethnic lines, the districts with the greatest privilege will inevitably receive the greatest resources.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Marlene Deel	I believe my district has been unrepresented and forgotten. There are sidoshowes which better intersection planning could eliminate (for example intersection roundabouts) the road is beaten up with pot holds, buses speed down the tiny residential Hillmont drive which should be moved to Seminary AVENUE. Trash is dumped daily then pick up by the city continuously (this must be expensive) maybe a dumpsite would a better solution and heavy patrolling and fines for offenders. Lots of improvement could be made!. I like the map F3 it gives my district more space and in my thinking more of a voice. if this is not the case then the other map making my district smaller would be prefered.	Yes
District 6	Susan Ma	Our neighborhood consists of long time Oakland residents. There is a mix of owners and renters. For those that are owners, many are the second or third owners of their home that were built in the 1950s. Located just above 580, we are a small pocket of overwhelming majority BIPOC households. We have aging neighbors and a handful of families with small children. . I think the F3 map better aligns with our diversity and ideals.	No
District 6	Cheryl Fabio	FAR East Oakland-the experience south of High Street has been neglected for decades, Black Community- results from the redlining of the 1940's, hostile acts of the 1970s-90s and gentrification since the 2000, POC Community-voice lessened in local politics, Nonprofit Business-providing varied service to the community, Small Business-creating a footprint of activity in a community that has hereto for been seen as unworthy.. I believe what I'm looking at is new borders overlayed on the existing maps. If that is correct, my preference is for map F3 which seems to attempt better economic balance, except maybe in district A1. I like that District 6 comes down into the industrial area some - which could provide some economic opportunities. There should be more balance between D6 and D7 however of the two maps my preference is F3.	No
District 6	Elise Bernstein	Member of the King Estate community. I favor Map F3	No
District 6	Quandra Ringold	Allen Temple Baptist Church . Thank you for the very informative Zoom meetings. I only wish that the Mayor as well as City Council did s better job of notifying it's constituents about this process sooner. None of my neighbors knew about redistricting.	Yes
District 6	Cheryl Fabio	I am COI: Artist, African American, Senior, lower income, high education. the plan K3 is exclusive and insulting. It pulls together those with resources, access, priviledge, and whiteness into a separate space that will reinforce their interest at the cost of everyone else. It is a dangerous proposal that moves back to the times when East Oaklanders of color had to demand the right to a new public school, Skyline. My preference is Map F3. I'm not sure what the Commission's engagement work is... you can contact me and let me know and then I will identify if I'm available.	Yes
District 6	Paulina Gonzalez	Latine, eastmont, East Oakland. Map K3 is the closest I have seen to provide a bit more CVAP Latine in order to represent the large population in the district. It also allows for District 7 to make improvements in that area too.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Megan Montanti	Havenscourt. I have been following along with the updated map suggestions. I am writing in support of maps F and J. My district is practically the same in both maps but changes slightly for others. So glad Maps A-D were thrown out!	No
District 6	Paulina Gonzalez	Eastmont, Latinos, BIPOC. Leave the Black Cultural Zone in tact. This is a must. Also, Eastmont hills below 580 should stay connected to Eastmont Mall and District 6.	No
District 6	Erin Blankinship	My COI is Maxwell Park, a historically, largely minority district where many residents have lived for decades. It is also a district where young families of privilege are finding more affordable housing opportunities - yet opportunities that have grown beyond the financial reach of its original community. The strength of my community is that it remains truly diverse, neighbors know each other, and we are all supportive of our neighbors lifestyles and personal choices. Our COI is in great need of more expedient public services, improvements in its transportation network that maintains safe access for automobiles, while it makes major safety and beautification improvements for transportation diversity and options (transit, bikes, ped).. I am concerned about the language describing a community of interest as "a concentrated population which shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation." To me this sounds like creation or maintenance of those boundaries in Oakland that already exist in ways that separate opportunity, wealth and access to city services.	No
District 6	Margie Lewis	I live in Maxwell Park which is a multi racial and mixed economic area although it is becoming more gentrified. I consider my COI' s to also be The Dimond and Laurel areas as well as Fruitvale. I'm also very involved in environmental community groups in Deep East Oakland who deal with the health and needs of the communities who live around the Coliseum and AB&I and the crematorium.. I support maps H or K as base maps for the Commissioners to work from. These maps with adjustments will provide for the best political representation needed, where flatland residents can elect a representative that will be held accountable to the needs and interest of flatland communities. These maps also group communities of interest together. Here is a recommendation I have as a way to adjust maps H or K when used as base maps: 1)Coliseum Industrial area, Coliseum neighborhood including Lockwood Gardens area become whole in one district instead of the split into current D6 and D7. I do understand the concerns with creating an all-hills district, but the truth is that the way things are right now is not working. The voices of Deep East Oakland and the flatland neighbors are not reflected in decision-making. Our communities lack the resources to thrive and they do not have a seat at the table. It is time to correct this wrong and set up districts where Black, Indigenous, Latinx, Asian and people of color communities can elect representatives of their choosing.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Mrs. Ellen Harris	Millsmont: safe streets; faster police response; clean neighborhoods; community shopping areas; entertainment and continued public transportation.. Will our district council represent the needs of our district if changed. Will we remain part of city concern or left separate from "downtown Government". Will our schools still get the same concern as say, Rockridge or Montclair schools? Will it take 2 days for police to responded to a home invasion or stolen car in our neighborhood? Our needs are not the same as the Hills district, will the Redistricting still give us safety, clean streets, good education for our children? And will we have quality shopping availability? Thank you.	No
District 6	Paulette Wallace	I was born and raised in Oakland, live most of my life East Oakland. I lived at my current address, 2926 57th Ave, since 1976. Mills College has serve our community in many different ways. It has served my husband, children, neighbors and friends through out the years. Family, friends and neighbors attend numerous activities there. My children used facilities, attended Upward Bound actives. I know that there are impending changes but the legacy of Mills College is integral to this neighborhood.. My main comment is that I would like to keep my neighborhood in the current District with few or minimal changes to the lines. We have a strong community involvement, including a Neighborhood Watch Group. In my 44 years in the neighborhood we have seen neighbors move in and move out and we put forth an effort to keep the neighbor a welcoming place for both new and old neighbors.	Yes
District 6	Paulina Gonzalez-Brito	Latines, BIPOC, Eastmont. Eastmont should not be broken up. Somehow parts of district 6 have been cut in half. It is important to keep a strong CVAP for Latines, and some of the public maps have us down very low. It also means that we have less concentration for Latines. In some cases we are under 10% which is vastly different than now and way below the city's population. Latinos have had very little power in Oakland it is important not to diminish it but to enhance it. This map here is very interesting https://districtr.org/edit/63136?event=oakland it is the one map where Black and Hispanic voters are about even and can have a strong voting block as BIPOC and enhances Latine voter participation. Even if we break up Eastmont in this map, you create a community of interest along BIPOC and pretty evenly distributed race/ethnicity. Lastly, no district map should be approved with Latine CVAP under 20%.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Owen Warner	Maxwell Park: bounded by High St., 580, MacArthur Blvd/55th St, and Trask St./Fairfax Ave. Adjacent to Mills College. Walking distance from downtown Laurel.. I am amused/irked that in the map proposals with a single "hills" district (e.g., D, H, K), the hills district always swoops south below 580 to include Mills College. It does not seem equitable to assign Mills to the hills rather than an East Oakland district. I can walk to Mills from my house in Maxwell Park in 5 minutes and it's on the same side of 580, why is it not included in one of the East Oakland districts? Additionally, I would be curious to know what redistricting with a single hills district would mean for the funding and improvement of parks services in the East Oakland flats? How are parks funds distributed among the districts? If parks funds are divided equally across districts, does the current district map where the "hills" is split across four different districts mean that each district could devote more of their allocated \$ to parks in the hills rather than the flats? If that is the case, I can see a scenario where a single hills district would help improve parks funding to the East Oakland flats districts.	Yes
District 6	Rainbow Community Neighborhood Council, Beat 27Y	Our NCPC boundaries are MOSTLY in District 6, but a small portion is in District 5. Our NCPC boundaries are Bancroft Avenue to Bancroft Way, to International Blvd, to 66th Ave back to Bancroft.. Our group would prefer that our entire NCPC be within a single city council district. We have tried to engage with District 5 numerous times over the years, but since Ignacio DeLaFuente left, we have had no engagement. This is apparently due to the fact that only a very small portion of District 5 is within our boundaries. As a result, we only have dealings with Council District 6 representatives, currently Loren Taylor and formerly Desley Brooks.	Yes
District 6	Olivia Johnson	Eastmont Hills - A relatively quaint and quiet neighborhood tucked into the hills, comprised of mix of long time residents and recent newcomers. Folks like to walk to the local Burkhalter Park or King Estate Open Space Park with their kids and/or dogs.. N/A	No
District 6	Paul Merriwether	Maxwell Park is my community. . Officials need to be HONEST they cannot provide true affordable housing in terms of property ownership. Their goal is to keep essential workers in Oakland to serve the wealthier population. Relocation help should be offered to citizens to leave Oakland.	No
District 6	Ann Gordon	Maxwell Park. Create districts that break up the hill schools so they're not all in one district and more kids have access to the better schools.	No
District 6	Shekinah Samaya-Thomas	As an engaged long-time Oakland resident and property owner, I'm highly invested in living in and supporting a safe, equitable, and functioning neighborhood! I'm involved in multiple groups that seek to create the same!. How do I get ON it???	No
District 6	Lisa Courington	My communities of interest: (1) Maxwell Park - Where my house and neighborhood is. (2) Lower Hills Communities - Neighborhoods (the Laurel, the Dimond) below Hwy 13 where I shop, use local services and recreate. (3) Mills College - where I vote, recreate and attend arts / entertainment events.. I endorse Draft Maps (Draft B or Draft G) that keep Mills College and Maxwell Park in the same District. Mills College is one of the MAJOR gateways to Maxwell Park.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Josephine Radbill	Residents in the flatlands between Bancroft and San Leandro Blvd that rely heavily on bus transit, attend houses of worship nearby, and spend money in the area business districts (Fairfax, International, etc). Historically Black and Latinx neighborhoods with turnover of new residents due to gentrification.. Long-term Black East Oakland residents should be kept in the same district. Residents and businesses in the area along International Blvd should be kept together, for transit, consumption and work opportunity reasons. Latinx families in deeper East Oakland should not be split from Fruitvale neighborhoods where they have a lot of power.	No
District 6	Erica Taylor	Those who shop at the same local commercial corridors/ retail stores.. The Oakland Coliseum should be in District 6 and not in District 7. There is no logical reason for the Southern-most boundary of District 6 to be along 82nd/86th Avenues from International Blvd to the Hills... This line should be extended all the way to the 880 Freeway which would be inclusive of the Coliseum. The gerrymandered border that intentionally jogs around the Coliseum to include it into District 7 is artifact of political gamesmanship and should not be perpetuated. Making 69th ave the Southern-most border between International Blvd and the 880 Fwy only serves to further erode power of the residents of District 6 to influence the direction of economic development that directly impacts them. While District 7 boasts, Foothill Square, Durant Square, The Oakland Airport, and The Oakland Zoo, the logical community of interest from being able to democratically influence the direction of the coliseum development	No
District 6	Paulina Gonzalez	Latinos, BIPOC, and Oakland flat lands. I live near the 580 (south) and believe that I have much more in common with the District 6 flatlands than if we were in a hills map that has us trying to find commonality with those living near Berkeley. When I go grocery shopping like those in the flatlands I need to go out of District 6.. District 6 is messily underinvested. It is imperative that we have a strong D6. In addition, the maps should be constructed to not split the Latino population. There are pockets of Latinos and we need to ensure that we inform the redistricting with their needs in mind and the history of lack of political power. In some cases the census numbers are high, but the voting population is low. We need to try to match these two things.	No
District 6	Elaine Ginnold	oakland hills between Redwood Road and Keller Ave.. Do you intend to nest the school district boundaries within the city council district boundaries so they will both have the same boundaries?	No
District 6	Tricia Penny	Homelessness,crime,lack of community,no quality food stores ,no financial education . Why aren't there and businesses in the district 6 area,outside of Liquor stores, churches, check cashing and gas stations. I've been told the businesses won't come due to crime, however these places are thriving under the same situation	No
District 6	James Owen	LGBTQ. Maxwell Park should be included in district 4	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Kedar Korde	East Oakland Oakland Hills Latinx Asian Indian. How does Oakland school redistricting allow for integration of children from different economic and ethnic backgrounds to attend schools together to allow for equity in education? Are property taxes, income, and housing prices a consideration along with location for redistricting? And if so, is it through consolidation of certain high income households having their own school district?	Yes
District 6	Megan Montanti	Havenscourt, East Oakland, near the coliseum . I am shocked to see Oakland is considering redistricting. I like the current districts being a blend of different economic neighborhoods. The new map suggestions show districts split along homes in the hills and in the flats. These new districts will further disadvantage folks in the flats even more. D6 and D7 are hurting in many ways. D6 is a food apartheid, there are few small businesses enticed to open shop here. The playgrounds are run down, have no public bathrooms, some even lack permanent trash cans. Illegal dumping of trash and cars has increased. More and more young families are moving into homes elderly people leave but are bringing babies and kids who deserve to grow up in a healthy, safe part of Oakland too. I am against redistricting seemingly along the lines of property value.	Yes
District 6	Joelle Crepsac	This question is confusing. I'm not limited to nor do I represent any particular COI. Resources are needed throughout the city and should be distributed fairly (not equally - distribution should be where most needed, where there are high populations, and especially where there are underserved residents (the poor and underemployed, exploited immigrant populations) and struggling residents (e.g. the middle class). My comments are that districting should share resources. We should have smaller districts that include the gentrifiers , wealthy, middle class, lower middle class, poor, and homeless. The maps created serve a particular demographic and underserve all of the rest. Also - I'm a homeowner struggling to hold on to my home. I have 2 jobs and attend graduate school. My property taxes and basic utilities (water, garbage, gas and electricity) are outrageous. I'm constantly being pushed out. Assuming that I have time to "assist in engagement work" is ludicrous! I can barely make time for these random videoconference meetings (and I'm far more privileged than much of the Oakland population that isn't represented in these meetings).	No
District 6	Desiree Turner	Stopping illegal dumping. Why is redistricting occurring?	Yes
District 6	ray morgan	concerned resident. not enough people no or understand what is happening	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Peter Schoewe	Maxwell Park. There is a perception that the last redistricting process, specifically the move of a portion of Maxwell Park from District 4 to District 6, and the jagged borders of District 4, 5 and 6 within Melrose, were politically motivated to remove a council member from office--and that decision resulted in fragmented representation and attention from the city council for the Maxwell Park and Melrose communities over the past decade. For that reason, I'm excited that there is an independent commission to decide the district boundaries this decade--and I'd like to ensure that they consider the context of how the boundaries were set in 2010 against the interests of the Maxwell Park and Melrose communities.	Yes
District 6	Morgan Staples	Live in Havenscourt, active in Havenscourt Neighborhood Association. Work in Fruitvale as Educator.. I want to make sure that districts are not drawn to isolate East Oakland from neighborhoods on the hills side of 580!	Yes
District 6	Crystal Bussey	African American families. Plan D is overtly classist	Yes
District 6	Maxine Visaya	Maxwell Park Mixed Demographics Parent of young school children Attend local public &/or charter school Work in Oakland. Strive to be inclusive and equitable in this process	No
District 6	Prescott	Located between seminary and 73rd st and between MacArthur and the MLK shoreline. Mostly made up of middle-class income families of Black and Latino heritage and culutres.. How can our district boundaries build more power and connect for our communities and especially those who are burdened by financial and housing issues?	Yes
District 6	Yolanda Scott	We lack basic resources such as street sweeping and deal with illegal dumping and abandoned vehicles. This area is depressing to live in. We deserve more than this.. How will redistributing positively impact d6 and d7?	No
District 6	Yarely	I would define my community of interest as being segregated by even numbers and communities we have self-segregated.. No questions	No
District 6	Mai Dinh	My interest in my community is have equality for all.. I believe my community, the city of Oakland should be equality divided by their location.	No
District 6	mario gilberto monterroso	my community interest in low-income and there is a mix of Latin Americans and Americans.. A strict should have opposite demographic so they can how completion between each other.	No
District 6	Alisson Herrera	I define my community as a good place where neighbors share same cultures and get along even if it is dangerous.. I would say that the cops should always be checking the area to reduce crime .	No
District 6	jeremiah	You can define them by similar living qualitys and the need of them like places or worship, lique stores, schools and many more.. . I feel like we could use ethnicity and should be taken into acountabilaty because peidmont have a better education then west oakland.	No
District 6	Amy Tharpe Peterson	African-American, low-to moderate income renters and homeowners, local businesses, and the homeless.. I would like to ensure that Oaklands districts represent the economic and social diversity that is unique to the City. We should not homogenous districts.	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 6	Ruben Briones	My community of interest includes the Seminary area. It is usually cut off at 57th but it's really 55th. We also belong to the International Blvd corridor since we are only 2 blocks away. Lastly, we are bounded by the NCPC 27Y.. Comment: Splitting up the Bancroft or Foothill Blvd does not work. For example, we have a tremendous problem with speeding along that corridor. Having to coordinate with 2 council members is a challenge because they are not political allies.	No
District 6	Jasmine Gonzalez	The deep east Oakland community is a community plagued by inequitable and racist practices. We have been dealing with illegal dumping for over a decade. Lawlessness prevails. We are afraid of walking in our own communities. We are disproportionately affected by environmental toxins and pollution coming from racist city permitting processes. The relationship with city officials appears to be most present around election time. The mayor and Loren Taylor cross picket lines to make partner up with mass polluters such as AB&I Foundry plant. . How can redistricting improve living conditions for the deep east Oakland community?	No
District 7	Ashley balmanno	What are the impacts of this project?	No
District 7	Denise Lee	I would define it as a long-term Oakland resident who is interested in maintaining and improving my property value by supporting initiatives to spread equality, preserve the environment and prevent crime to make the city a better place to live. I believe in supporting OPD but holding our officers accountable for their actions while providing them resources to do their job to protect all communities. I support improving public education opportunities.. I am in favor of Map K3, since I feel my values and way of life seem to fit in with this grouping. My home lies on the boundary where I will be disconnected from most of the neighbors I associate with if F3 were to be chosen.	Yes
District 7	Sabrina Vasquez	Highland . I see multiple maps with school names overlaid when they are supposed to indicate neighborhoods. I would like closer attention paid to how information is shared for District 7. It's difficult to read the maps when school names are taking up all of the space.	No
District 7	Nicole Somavia	I live in this neighborhood so I obviously spend a lot of time here. This is my community.. None	Yes
District 7	Allene Warren	(1)Health concerns because of the lack of fresh food groceries (2) jobs and low-income housing to stimulate the economy (3)equity within the educational system (4) elimination of implicit bias by law enforcement. Thanks for your dedication and willingness to define the districts by COIs. Map F3 should include the coliseum because of the many district 7 residents and city leaders who worked diligently for decades to make this area an economic contributor to the city.	Yes
District 7	Brian Smalley	Oak Knoll. Thank you for your dedicated time, work and achievement. I favor map K-3. Be well, Brian Smalley	No
District 7	Rosa	1) East Oakland 2) Deep East Oakland. n/a	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 7	Allie Whitehurst	I live in the Malcolm Hills area of District 7, and I am generally satisfied with my neighborhood. However, I attend Allen Temple Baptist Church that is also in District 7 and I'm concerned about the lack of attention given to my sisters and brothers who live in this area; i.e. housing and homelessness; access to transportation services, health services and other essentials.. I am in favor of map F because it keeps the Malcolm Hills community intact, but it also allows for the community in the East Oakland Hills to collaborate and support our sisters in brothers in the "flatlands". I know some would rather have 1 council person focusing on a particular area of the city (i.e. most of the Oakland Hills as in Map H), but I see Map F as an opportunity for council representatives from two districts to collaborate and work with citizens to address the needs of those in the hills and those in the flatlands.	Yes
District 7	Mary Forte	see no. 5 below comment regarding the Coliseum. I was on the call on Dec. 8th with my hand raised from 10 pm to 11:55 pm but was not called to speak. I also emailed this to Mr. Corey Alvin on Dec. 8th.. I would like to see the Coliseum kept with the neighboring community within the current District 7. As far back as 2014 and 2015 the residents of D7 have identified Community Benefits for Coliseum City Area Specific Plan and it is only fair that now the African American Sport and Entertainment Group has been awarded the Coliseum Exclusive Negotiating Agreement that the current D7 residents continue the work.	No
District 7	Allene Warren	An area that reflects the residents' common interests and needs which will determine an equitable distribution of services, opportunities, and quality of life guarantees.. I am doubtful that enough community engagement has occurred.	No
District 7	sylvia	I am submitting comment on behalf of Communities for a Better Environment. We organize in the deep east Oakland neighborhoods to provide community with the skills, resources, and tools to build healthy and sustainable neighborhoods and reduce risk associated with incompatible land use, toxins in the air, soil, and water, and polluting industries. Our communities are predominately Black, Indigenous, Latinx, Asian, and communities of color; they are unhoused, low-income to no-income/poor, working-class, renters, and have an undue burden of covid-19 impacts.. CBE supports maps H and K as base maps for the Commissioners to work from. These maps with adjustments will provide for the best political representation needed, where flatland residents can elect a representative that will be held accountable to the needs and interest of flatland community. These maps also group communities of interest together, these communities share similar socioeconomic and cultural community characteristics. We recommend the following adjustments to maps H & K when used as base maps: 1) Coliseum Industrial area, Coliseum neighborhood including Lockwood Gardens area become whole in one district instead of the split into current D6 and D7.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 7	Paulette D. Johnson	Beat 32X , District 7 CBDG board member. I am not using these COI from my opinion. I am giving as a voting single member of the community.. I would like the county Supervisors to leave Map A as it is. Changing this map if Im eading it correctly takes away population from this district. I feel It should stay the same.	No
District 7	Damon Grant	Deep East Oakland. Please keep equity and our most underserved residents and neighborhoods in the forefront. Don't let the hills have all the power.	No
District 7	Kate Cowan	deep east oakland. As a resident of East Oakland, I think changing District 7 as outlined in Draft Map H would be best.	No
District 7	Chris Barker	Sheffield Village is a COI that needs to be maintained. This is a closely knit residential neighborhood that carries an S-20 historical designation. Sheffield Village children attend San Leandro schools because of the SL proximity. We pay for this in our property taxes and do not contribute to Oakland Schools.. N/A	No
District 7	Liliana	.. .	No
District 7	Shelby Dantzler	District 7 covers an abnormally large area. Unfortunately the area I reside is has little in common with with many of the other areas. Its seems highly unlikely that the areas of Grass Valley, Chabot Park, Skyline Estates and Malcolm Hill have the same economical and social pressures as other areas in this district. I recently did a search for the median home price and its said something like \$350K. My home was recently appraised for Over a million dollars. It makes no sense to be in the same district. . Why has this district been marginalized for so long? Having a district this size doesn't allow for resources to be distributed fairly and properly. There are areas that need help far greater help than say people in my area. Why are we still busing children out of their areas to neighborhoods they don't live? Why not improve the schools closer to their homes so they aren't spending time on buses instead of studying.	No
District 7	Peter Welch	A Oakland Hills, residential community. Overwhelming majority of domiciles are single family homes. We have a community that attempts to solve issues with trash, dumping, and public nuisance. Our quality of life is the highest in the district. Our community prefers quiet after dark, and appreciates and seeks to protect the surrounding natural and historical beauties. . With fire hazards abound across our Oakland Hills geographical region, why are we not in the same district? For our population density, we should not have such long wait times for service such as the police or public works when we call on them for urgent action; how can we get more attention to this?	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 7	Jasper Wilson	<p>Hello, My name is Jasper Wilson and I live in East Oakland. There is nothing like my community of interest for my family and I. I was born at Kaiser Hospital in Oakland. My mother set down roots by opening up a Daycare in District 7 East Oakland that is still in business after 37 years. I went to Highland Elementary School in District 7 in East Oakland. I went to Elmhurst Middle School located in District 7 in East Oakland. I graduated from Castlemont High School where I also played baseball on an Oakland Athletic League championship team in East Oakland. My eldest son played little league baseball in East Oakland through Oakland Babe Ruth. He also attended Elementary and Jr. High in East Oakland. My 6 year old currently attends Reach Academy in D7 in East Oakland. My sister is also a resident of D7 in East Oakland. I say all of this to say that I am fully invested in and a part of my COI. Born and raised. I know the people, the places, and the community. We share similar experiences, values, and even inequities. We know our neighborhoods and are familiar with each other. We know the needs of this community that we live in and we stand together to do what we can to meet them by partnering with community organizations to pool together resources. . Drawing new lines and separating us into new communities will not work. We don't know them, and they don't know us. Our differences, in this instance, will not bring us together to make us stronger. My established COI has people who understand me and the needs of our people. We take care of ourselves. I think that we should either leave things as they are or if it has to be done, keep the Black Cultural Zone (BCZ) as much intact as possible. Map E does it best.</p>	No
District 7	Alice Wilson	<p>My COI is located in East Oakland. I am a Daycare Provider who has been in business for 37 years. My business is located in District 7. D7 is where the many schools, childcare centers, and daycares are located and have been providing services to many families with children in the community. They also provide food to low income families and people who are in need. There are also programs located here that are available to assist parents with the cost of childcare and fees. All of these services provide a safe, nurturing and healthy environment for kids to learn and grow. Keeping schools in the current district will enable kids to go to school in their area, keep proper funding aligned with the schools in the neighborhood, and keep children going to local daycare/childcare centers in their district. It keeps money reinvested within the COI. Splitting up D7 will divide the funds, cause families to seek other resources, and will divide the community.. How can you ensure that the underrepresented areas in my COI will get proper funding, support, and equality if you decide to redraw the lines? How will you ensure that the Black residents will be valued and treated fairly if you redraw the lines in my COI? I ask this because East Oakland has to fight for everything we get. The Black Community fights extremely hard for their rights and if moved or split up, we'd lose our voices and collective voting power.</p>	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 7	Tracy Wilson	<p>Deep East Oakland is one COI for me. It is where I was raised, where my mother's daycare business is located for over 37 years, its where my brother and his family resides, and where I currently reside. Its also where the Roots Community Center is located, as well as various black churches and other community organizations and non-profits that have impacted the community positively with their efforts. I went to school Elementary - High School here. I grew up here, volunteered here, had my first job here. I've formed long lasting friendships and relationships here and I have more memories than I van count here. Together I see all of this - all of us as a collective. Our joint experiences, lives, efforts, and even close proximity has fostered a sense of togetherness when faced with the many disenfranchising endeavors to divide, deprive, disable, prevent and strip this community of its rights to equality, representation, its voice, opportunities, and equity.. We need to keep this community together to secure the black voices within this community and to make sure that they can continue to speak as one voice that represents all goals, strength, and body. Splitting us up lessens our impact, our values become skewed, and our voices undervalued as well as silenced by others who are unfamiliar with what we have come to know about our community in familiarity and shared experiences. What will you do to ensure that my COI will be protected, maintain our collective power, structured to value our voices, and consider the needs of the residents within it?</p>	Yes
District 7	Max Jenny	<p>I live in Deep East Oakland, but all of my neighbors and I would like to have the same living standards such as safe, clean streets and easy access to well maintained city parks and rec centers and government support for opportunities like retail districts, good grocery stores, bike lanes, and transit access as anyone in the hills or around the lake. . I would like to see "hills to bay" districts that reflect the ideal that we are all in this together and a rising tide lifts all boats. These proposals that would create a "hills only" district to further divide our society along already entrenched wealth and underlying racial lines would undermine the promise of our great nation and the rich diversity of Oakland. I would like to know how the commission is approaching Oakland's homeless population, which I understand was not counted, but disproportionately impacts the flatter districts in the East and West with illegal dumping and unsanitary conditions that our mentally ill brothers and sisters are living with. I would like to see more interspersed low, medium & high income housing and disproportionately high investment in the flatter neighborhood schools throughout Oakland so that families anywhere in the city can attend their neighborhood schools without having to choose between knowing their neighbors and getting bussed up the hill to the "good schools".</p>	No
District 7	Jennifer Formoso	<p>Sequoyah and Merriewood, Allendale . Please do not make sections that have only hills and only flats. It seems a divide and conquer method.</p>	Yes
District 7	Andrea Jones	<p>Parents of school aged children, recreation and parks, Healthy grocery shopping, public safety, quality transportation infrastructure (smooth roads, clean off-ramps, landscaped medians) . Are you committed to dismantling racial injustice in the way district lines are drawn?</p>	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 7	Tracy Perron	East Oakland very much lacking in economic growth. No chance for Economic promise or develop, constantly kept in deficit and destruction.. How will you separate the Haves from the Have Nots? Your historic separation of people of colors must end.	Yes
District 7	John Accinelli	“The Hills” Multiracial Affluence Very High Fire Danger Zone Region Private School path over public If Public school, they attend Skyline Higher Property Tax Rates Diminished Police Presence. Plan D map zone D should include Sequoyah Hills. The main problem is that district 7 hill region does not identify with East Oakland and refers to itself as the South Oakland Hills. Our voice for policing and services are lost in the noise of the flatlands and foothills below us. We relate more closely with our Keller Ave neighbors and once had property crime stats in alignment with Hansom Drive and lower Skyline Blvd. 20 years ago.	Yes
District 7	Brian King	African American, Hills Resident, home owner, rental property Owners, parent of school age children, . Interesting how the south end of the hills, with the majority of people of color that live in the hills will continue to get lumped into the East Oakland district that runs to the waterfront, while the wealthy white district to the north gets separated out into their own district. How about splitting this district as well? What is there in common with the flats other than color? And how about eliminating the Council Seat at large? What purpose does it have? I live near Frank Ogawa’s home, and when the seat was established it was to give the Unrepresented Asian community a seat. Not the real estate developers.	Yes
District 7	Denise Lee	Eastmont hills, moderate democratic, middle class professional. Due to changing demographic of incoming population, I feel redistricting is necessary	Yes
District 7	Jennifer Formoso	Not sure what this means. I live in the Sequoyah area of District 7. I think it is a mistake to divide the districts in a way where it appears to be divided by wealth. As in the hills are one section as in D and C. It think keeping the sections as they are does not create the bickering and division that cutting one area out of the districts will do. I think A and B using the current district sections will work better.	Yes
District 7	Damion Scott	N/A. N/A	No
District 7	Megan Stewart	I don't know.. I'm concerned about some of the proposed maps that seem to further isolate East Oakland. What happens to those schools? Our neighborhood is already underfunded, overpoliced, and overlooked by many.	No
District 7	Ambi Bohannon Jones	My COI are: Individuals and families who live in high fire danger areas within Oakland Middle-income Oakland families with children Black / African American Oaklanders . I really feel like the current boundaries for District 7 disenfranchise communities above 580. Issues of crime, dumping, fire danger, park neglect are rarely addressed or even taken seriously given the pressing issues of the larger District.	Yes
District 7	Bethsaida Ruiz	Millsmont/Eastmont Deep East Oakland. . N/A	Yes
District 7	Mary Forte	East Oakland Foothills - 82nd to 98th Avenues & MacArthur Blvd to 580 freeway. Quality of life issues should help define district boundaries.	No
District 7	David Banks	More restaurants. Access to maker spaces. Community spaces. . How do I become a representative	Yes

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
District 7	Merika Reagan	East Oakland . Not sure.	Yes
District 7	Michael McDaniel	My community is Cox (District 7) is very diverse and of various income levels. There very few successful business in the community and few that are not hispanic the stores seem to be for them only Lots of room for improvement . There needs to be efforts to improve the business community between 73rd and 98th	Yes
District 7	Jose Isidor	My interest in the community is a better way of dividing the districts in a more balanced way regardless of economic status.. N/A	No
District 7	Alexandra Sandoval	I think the City of Oakland is split well but should be split based on wealth and population. . N/A	No
District 7	Alvaro Esquivel	My definition of COI is people who have similar demographics like wealth and political views.. Districts should be unbiased and evenly split in demographics to create competition and create motivation to vote.	No
District 7	Hugo Zelada	My community of interest is low income and majority African American. I think the community should be split by population and opposing demographics.	No
District 7	Taliyah Jones	A community of interest that I have is that ethnicities should be divided into certain locations.. I don't have any questions.	No
District 7	Frank	My community of interest is one that values its neighborhood and wants to see changes in the streets and one that cares for its community. I think that the lines should be drawn by populations.. N/A	No
District 7	Natalie	The City of Oakland should be grouped by location.. n/a	No
District 7	Erika Quintero	I think Oakland should be divided by even numbers.. None.	Yes
District 7	Alicia Herrera	I define communities of interest by the locations they are in, and the resources that are accessible to them. . I believe the city should provide at least two resources, (if needed) for each community. These resources can be helpful for any necessities (ex. food, clothes).	Yes
District 7	Joselyn	In the City of Oakland, my community of interest would be dividing people by location. . N/A	No
District 7	Kidist	The name of the community and its shared culture or characteristics. . how can I help the districts	Yes
District 7	Eve Delfin	Hills and Flats. What's the purpose of redistricting? What is the goal of redistricting?	No
District 7	Merika Reagan	Deep East Oakland.	No
District 7	Dian J. Harrison	Resident of the City of Oakland (District 7), and involved in several community based organizations, Delta Sigma Theta Sorority, Black Women Organized for Political Action, where I live (Black community). There needs to be transparent communications with the community to ensure that citizens know when the meetings are and where they can get information.	Yes
District 7	Allie Whitehurst	Cost of living for seniors and displacement of African American families. I'll try to attend meetings to get a better understanding of how the commission will make decisions.	Yes
District 7	TJ Shah	East Oakland resident, Brookfield Village, person of color.	No
District 7	sylvia	. I'd like to know about the public hearing dates. Have those been scheduled?	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
Does not apply	Jacqueline Hutton	How is school equity, college un-readiness, youth unemployment and non- job training going to be addressed and resolved with the new redistricting	Yes
Does not apply	Kaavya Reddy	I am disappointed with the way The Commission is looking to split up our community to reduce the power of our minority voices. I do not support creating a division between Upper and Lower Dimond or across Laurel. We are all one community, please don't divide us. I strongly urge you to support Map F3, so that we do not dilute the voices of minority communities.	No
Does not apply	Jonah Gottlieb	As a youth organizer who works in Oakland, my main focus is always to ensure all people have the ability to build power and make their voices heard.. I support Map F3 only. We must unite the diverse neighborhoods of Laurel and Dimond and keep them in District 4. The concerns and policy priorities of these communities are similar to those of their Lower Hills neighbors in Glenview and Dimond. If these communities are lumped into District 5 and the Fruitvale area, we will dilute the Latino community's vote. This would be a grave injustice and disenfranchise huge amounts of people for a decade. Do not split up communities and do not dilute the voices of minority communities. Pick Map F3 only.	No
Does not apply	Sylvia Garcia	A safe environment in neighborhoods, shopping districts and parks. Affordable access to housing. Maintain streets; fix potholes, add speed bumps in high traffic areas like Trestle Glen Road, and conduct traffic studies to develop productive solutions to promote safety and better serve the community.. None at this time	No
Does not apply	Jennifer Feng	renters. Please keep Map F3! Laurel is split down the middle across the false boundaries of Carmel, Wisconsin, and Bayo streets. No one who actually lives here thinks this is the boundary of Laurel. Only Map F3 keeps parts of the flatlands in D4 - like Lower Dimond, Laurel, Allendale, allowing racially and economically diverse neighborhoods to stay united. Look at D4's recent councilmembers - most have been pretty progressive and genuinely care about equity. Which shows that the presence of the flats in their district actually matter. We need 5 solid progressive votes on Council to make things happen - which we've only recently had thanks to Sheng representing us. This matters for everything from homelessness, public safety, the environment, and more. Keep D4 the way it is in Map F3 to ensure equity for the whole city.	No
Does not apply	Harlo Pippenger	Tenants, progressive residents. I strongly recommend that the Commission pick Map F3 for tenant rights. District 4 historically has had some of the most pro-tenant council members in recent history, and have voted in favor of strong rent control, eviction moratoriums and other tenant protections. This is largely because they have to consider their tenant constituents in tenant-heavy areas like Laurel and Dimond - which is why these areas must remain in D4. Oakland is 66% tenants. If we want to protect tenants' rights across the city (which have been GOOD in recent decades) - and not lose a critical council vote - we need to keep parts of D4 that are largely tenants within D4, not split them.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
Does not apply	Emily Lin	While I lived in Oakland, I lived near Chinatown and would go there regularly for groceries, food, and volunteering. I also spent a lot of time at the lake as well as on Telegraph. I hope to return to Oakland soon. . I support map H with the following recommendations: - Support Hills-only district since other maps dilute the Black vote significantly - Having a Hills-only district allows for candidates and electeds to focus on flatland residents - Expand District 2 to 27th Ave to keep the San Antonio neighborhood whole - This neighborhood is one of the most diverse neighborhoods in Oakland and should stay together; splitting it down 23rd splits the voice of the community - Keep neighborhoods surrounding the Coliseum whole (neighborhood is defined as Seminary to 85th Ave and from the water to International) and suggest moving it to D6 - 1) D7 has the airport and - 2) Otherwise there are too many corporate polluters in one district - Keep Westlake community up Broadway to the 580 and over to the suggested South border to allow West Oakland students who attend Westlake Middle School to stay. - Keep Lake Area is two districts - 1) One whole Lake district would be too large for one Councilmember to manage - 2) Different sides of the Lake has very different needs - 3) Everyone in the City enjoys the Lake and more representation assists with divergent needs - Neighborhood surrounding Mills College to above 580 and through lower portions off Keller Dr by 580 stays in D6 - Residents identify more with the flatlands and not the hills	No
Does not apply	Robert Pennell	Commercial interests along College Avenue in Rockridge. I live in Albany but work in a building I own on College at Clifton, and am the VP of the RDA. Keep the "Rockridge District" in a single governmental district	No
Does not apply	Carolyn Johnson	East Oakland Black Cultural Zone -- High street to the border of San Leandro from the hills to the water. We are in support of this COI as an important support for the development and power building needs of this legacy community.. The East Oakland Black Cultural Zone (BCZ) has been identified as a community of interest (COI) where Black and African American families have traditionally lived, worked, raised their children and worshipped for generations. ·The common interests of this community are in our people, our schools, our parks, our places of worship, and our voting power to protect our right to live and thrive here. In Map E, the area as identified by the BCZ has significant African American voting power and representation across three districts (E,F and G) with some representation in D as well. This, we feel is the best distribution of our community which keeps our together without dividing neighborhoods. It is important that current district lines are used in the drawing of current maps as they are areas that our communities have been organizing around for decades. A significant presence in the voting population, our community makes up to 49% of the voting population in these areas. This area is also the area where we share common disparities that need collective and community power to stand against.	Yes
Does not apply	Nick Pilch	N/A - I just want to sign up to be notified of news, meetings, etc.. N/A - I just want to sign up to be notified of news, meetings, etc.	No

District	Name	Community of Interest/Comments and Questions	Willing to Volunteer
Does not apply	Joel Freid	My neighborhood, Crocker Highlands.. None	No
Does not apply	Brittani Rudick	Electric Vehicle Manufacturer, looking to stay up to date about Energy and Transportation issues in the greater Oakland area. N/A	No
Does not apply	Olga Crowe	I work for the City of Oakland and am interested in all of it. none at the moment	No
Does not apply	Genesis	My definition of a community of interest is when a group of people share the same need or want among them. My specific COI is one that is concerned about housing and social injustice in Oakland.. No questions.	No
Does not apply	Phillip Ta	I define my community of interest as morals.. For the city of Oakland, morals is the community of interest that will disperse the bad eggs from the good which will reduce less crime.	No
Does not apply	Christina Ferracane	City staff person [this question seems esoteric]. NA	No
Does not apply	Jonathan Fong	Oakland Chinatown, Jack London neighborhood. Please keep in mind the racial equity disparities that are so acute still for Oakland, such that redistricting supports political power for those that need it the most to address their needs.	No
	Doug	.	No