

Agenda
Meeting of the Oakland Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission (PRAC)
Wednesday, May 12, 4:30 PM

Zoom Webinar Information:

When: May 12, 2021 04:30 PM Pacific Time (US and Canada)

Topic: Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission (PRAC) Agenda for May 12, 2021

Please click the link below to join the webinar:

<https://zoom.us/j/96874050473>

Or One tap mobile : US: +16699006833,,96874050473# or +14086380968,,96874050473#

Or Telephone: Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 669 900 6833 or +1 408 638 0968 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 646 876 9923 or +1 301 715 8592

Webinar ID: 968 7405 0473

International numbers available: <https://zoom.us/u/ab6wjSKPm2>

How To Submit Public Comments:

1. To comment by Zoom video conference, click the “Raise Your Hand” button to request to speak when Open Forum comments are being taken or on an eligible agenda item after it has been presented. You will be permitted to speak during your turn, allowed to comment, and after the allotted time, re-muted. Instructions on how to “Raise Your Hand” is available at: <https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/205566129> - Raise-Hand-In-Webinar.


2. To comment by phone, please call on one of the above listed phone numbers. You will be prompted to “Raise Your Hand” by pressing “*9” to speak when Open Forum is taken or after an eligible agenda item has been presented. You will be permitted to speak during your turn, allowed to comment, and after the allotted time, re-muted. Please unmute yourself by pressing *6.

3. To submit comments to the PRAC prior to the meeting, send an email to: publiccomments2prac@oaklandca.gov by 10:00 a.m. the day of the meeting. List the following information on the “subject” line of your email:

Public Comments: PRAC meeting dd/mm/yy (date of the scheduled meeting)

>>>Replies will not be sent from this email address<<<

If you have questions, email Diane Boyd, Executive Assistant to the Director of Oakland Parks, Recreation and Youth Development dboyd@oaklandca.gov. Thank you.



CITY OF OAKLAND

PARKS AND RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION - PRAC

Wednesday, May 12, 2021, 4:30 P.M.

Agenda

1. CALL TO ORDER:
2. ROLL CALL:
ALLEN, DUHE, HA, KOS-READ, MOORE, REILLY, SMITH, TORRES, WALTON
3. DISPOSITION OF MINUTES:
 - Approval of the April 14, 2021 meeting minutes.
4. MODIFICATION OF THE AGENDA:
5. OPEN FORUM:
6. CONSENT NEW BUSINESS:
7. NEW BUSINESS:
- A. **REQUEST FOR THE PARKS AND RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION TO REVIEW AND ENDORSE THE DESIGN, CONDITIONAL USE, TREE REMOVAL/PROTECTION AND CREEK PROTECTION FOR THE DIGITAL ARTS AND CULINARY ACADEMY, PHASE 2**
- B. **INFORMATIONAL REPORT ON ZERO EMISSION VEHICLE (ZEV) ACTION PLAN & CURRENT VEHICLE ELECTRIFICATION INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS**
- C. **REQUEST TO ALLOW OAKLAND STREET STYLERS TO COMMISSION LOCAL ARTISTS TO PAINT A MURAL ON THE FIELD HOUSE/SHED EXTERIOR WALLS AT MOSSWOOD PARK IN JUNE OF 2021**
8. PLANNING AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS:
9. MEASURE Q:
10. UPDATE FROM DIRECTOR, COMMITTEES, RECREATION ADVISORY COUNCILS & ANNOUNCEMENTS:

11. CONTINUATION OF OPEN FORUM:

12. ADJOURNMENT:

Next Meeting:

Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Via Zoom

This meeting location is wheelchair accessible. To request disability-related accommodations or to request an ASL, Cantonese, Mandarin or Spanish interpreter, please email dboyd@oaklandnet.com or call (510) 238-7532 or TDD/TTY (510) 238-3254 at least five working days before the meeting. Please refrain from wearing scented products to this meeting as a courtesy to attendees with chemical sensitivities.

Esta reunión es accesible para sillas de ruedas. Si desea solicitar adaptaciones relacionadas con discapacidades, o para pedir un intérprete de en español, Cantonés, Mandarín o de lenguaje de señas (ASL) por favor envíe un correo electrónico a dboyd@oaklandnet.com o llame al (510) 238-7532 o (510) 238-3254 por lo menos cinco días hábiles antes de la reunión. Se le pide de favor que no use perfumes a esta reunión como cortesía para los que tienen sensibilidad a los productos químicos. Gracias.

會場有適合輪椅出入設施。需要殘障輔助設施, 手語, 西班牙語, 粵語或國語翻譯服務, 請在會議前五個工作天電郵 dboyd@oaklandnet.com 或致電 (510) 238-7532 或 (510) 238-3254 TDD/TTY。請避免塗搽香氛產品, 參加者可能對化學成分敏感。



PARKS AND RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION - PRAC
Wednesday, April 14, 2021, 4:30 P.M.
MINUTES

RECORDING LINK

http://oakland.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=oc98c549-9ef3-11eb-8549-0050569183fa

1. CALL TO ORDER: 4:33PM
2. ROLL CALL:
ALLEN, DUHE, HA, KOS-READ, MOORE, REILLY, K SMITH, TRAN, TORRES,
WALTON

Present: (9) Allen, Duhe, Ha, Kos-Read, Moore, Reilly, K Smith, Tran, and Torres
Excused: (1) Walton

3. DISPOSITION OF MINUTES:
March 10, 2021 Meeting Minutes

Motion: Commissioner Reilly entertained a motion to recommend approval of the March 10, 2021 meeting minutes including the modification of Commissioner Allen's vote from Yes to Abstain. **Moved by:** Commissioner Duhe. **Second by:** Commissioner Allen. **Vote: 8 Yes:** Commissioners Allen, Duhe, Ha, Kos-Read, Moore, Reilly, K Smith, Torres. **1 Abstain:** Commissioner Tran. **Motion:** Pass.

4. MODIFICATION OF THE AGENDA:

Instructions from the Chair:

- Commissioner D. Smith will begin term on May 12, 2021. Name to be removed from the current Roll Call.
- Strike Item 7C from the agenda. This item was pulled by the author's request on date of the meeting.

5. OPEN FORUM:

There were 9 speakers during this item.

6. CONSENT NEW BUSINESS:

None

7. NEW BUSINESS:

A. **REQUEST FOR THE PARKS AND RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION TO APPROVE THE USE OF PARK SPACE ADJACENT TO EL EMBARCADERO FOR THREE-MONTHS FOR A VENDING PILOT ON SATURDAYS AND SUNDAYS**

The Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission heard the staff report presented by Greg Minor Assistant to the City Administrator.

Greg Minor: Request from the City Administration to utilize a portion of park space for vending for a 3-month period, on Saturdays and Sundays, in the portion of the Lake Merritt Park adjacent to El Embarcadero between Grand Avenue and Lakeshore. This is a similar pilot the City implemented last fall, not using park space but through the Flex Streets program. El Embarcadero, as well as the Lakeview Library parking lot were used in response to the large numbers of vendors who had been impacted by COVID-19 who otherwise would be making their living through events or other employment. It was an opportunity for vendors to lawfully vend and easier for staff to encourage compliance with a path to compliance. Staff could direct vendors to a specific area and determine key distinctions between vendors offering merchandise or innocuous items as opposed to people who were vending alcohol or cannabis or food without a health permit.

Having a designated vending area was helpful in diminishing some of the impact to neighbors, facilitated trash collection as well as provided public bathrooms for those participating in the program. This request for approval was made to build on the experience from last fall in addition to utilizing the public right-away through the Flex Streets Program and some of the parkland to provide more space for the vending activities. Staff also welcomed PRAC's suggestions for alternative areas in park. This is a preferred location since the streets are already being closed as a traffic mitigation measure between Grand and Lakeshore. Having one area that is designated for vending could minimize the impact overall on the Lake.

Funding for the additional trash services and porta-potties has and will be provided by the City Council but if not, staff will not pursue the project. The Commission was informed that on Monday the City Council approved funding for the project through July 4, 2021. Joe DeVries was introduced to speak to the issue of funding.

Joe DeVries: The City Council adopt a budget amendment on Monday of half million dollars for late night activities at Lake Merritt through July 4, 2021. They do intend to put additional money in the new budget cycle which will be approved in June. The funding will support enhanced maintenance, more garbage removal as well as traffic control and parking enforcement measures.

Public Comments: There were 11 Speakers

Commission Comments and Questions:

Commission Allen: Joined the Commission expressed concern that the survey did not reflect the minority point of view of those visiting the Lake every day. In response, she partnered with commissioner Kos-Reed to increase the community's participation in the survey regarding activities on Lake Merritt. Does not believe this is an equity issue, but one that should be taken on by the City's Workforce Development Department. Not in favor of the pilot program moving forward for an additional 3 months. The Commissioner asked: Were other locations considered for the path forward toward compliance? Why is OPD not at the table on this issue? How much of \$500,000 allocation taken from Measure Q? What happens after 3 months? Has not received invitation for the Lake Merritt Taskforce meeting.

Greg Minor: Regarding alternative locations, last summer the Flex Streets program was developed in response to and in compliance with the health orders. Actions including outdoor dining on city streets and parking lanes, closed streets and rentals of City property below market rates or for free. These are examples of what is happening in East Oakland and across the City. In the case of Lake Merritt, the combination of the closure of El Embarcadero for traffic mitigation purposes and vendors wanting to be near potential customers makes this area the location for the project. Complaints related to trash, noise, lack of public bathrooms are all issues that need to be addressed as part of a regulated pilot program. Workforce development has been part of the Flex Streets Interdepartmental Working Group citywide including Lake Merritt. OPD spoke at a PRAC meeting last year. Their priority is violent crime and which is why they are not pursuing criminal enforcement of some of the activities at the Lake. However, they were assisting with traffic mitigation measures.

Joe DeVries: The funding source came through the General Purpose Fund. The City Council was balancing the current fiscal year budget and were able to do so both with funding that came through the Warrior settlement as well as Federal dollars. Measure Q funds are not being spent on the project as this time.

Chair Reilly informed the Commissioner that Committees are made up of other Commissioners and may take time to establish leadership. He encouraged committee members to connect and determine a time to convene group.

Commissioner Allen: Noted strong opposition to the pilot program.

Commissioner Duhe: Challenged to driving around the Lake due to the many detours. Has witnessed the concerns raised by the community including, loud music, crime and violence. Torn on the issue. Not sure if in opposition of the Pilot, but wants to see what it looks like before making a final decision. The polarization on the subject points to something very wrong. Does not support crime, violence, trash or loud music. Wants to hear all positions. If pressed to vote would err toward cultural events, no loud music or alcohol, ADA parking, access for children.

Joe DeVries answered Commissioner Allen's previous question "*What happens after 3 months?*" The 3-month request gives time to work with Mr. Copes and the Oakland Black Vendors Association. If the pilot is granted, the City will come back to the PRAC and work with the subcommittee throughout the process and have a review to determine whether to keep moving forward.

Chair Reilly: Reinforced staff's differentiation between what has been happening at the Lake and the goals of the project.

Commissioner Ha: How will roving vending activities of people not participating in the program be countered? Glad to see the detailed level of the locations of porta-potties in the proposed plan. Can large trash dumpsters or compactors be explicitly located somewhere that allows for larger amounts of trash to be collected from the area? Is there a discussion about evaluating the pilot program in phases while it is occurring during the 3-months? Staff should think about that process now. Supports the need for a larger discussion with the City and other economic departments on how to think about vending. The Commissioner informed the body that the State of California recently passed a law regarding sidewalk vending and inquired if there are avenues for a feasibility study about designated vending locations? Agreed with Commissioner Duhe that the issue is polarizing. Having the vending pilot program in sanctioned areas that could become

more manageable, could be positive.

Greg Minor: Thanked the Commissioner for the suggestion about incorporating feedback along the way. Welcome any suggestions for how to best make that happen. What was helpful last fall, and believed will be the same here, was having an actual location where activities were allowed. It was made clear where vending was not allowed. Currently, activities are unpermitted including a mixture of innocuous vending with more harmful vending. Any sort of enforcement can be seen as arbitrary. Having an option to encourage people into compliance made enforcement much easier. Because the City allowed some vending to happen, some of the more egregious activities such as selling drugs or alcohol diminished. It was a challenge to effectively operate the program with limited staff resources on a 24-hour basis over the course of the whole day, afternoon and evening. But, having the designated location made it easier to enforce. Will work with the subcommittee. Also, welcomes any recommendations about bathroom locations.

Chair Reilly: Acknowledge the frustration expressed and stated that comments were not heard saying the program should not have taken place last year. Because of the actions taken, a chaotic situation was made less chaotic. He expressed his support for moving forward with the Pilot and added that it was a step in the right direction, but it would not completely solve the situation.

Commissioner Torres: Believes the pilot was successful because the task force invited community members and vendors to the meetings to provide information on what could work. Cannot agree with spending so much money at Lake Merritt when many parks need maintenance and lights. The budget in parks is already very low, and it is inappropriate to use these funds on just a small section of the Lake. Interested in trying the new pilot. It would provide the opportunity to see what works. It would be helpful to see data. The community's input is appreciated, but solutions other than removing the vendors are needed. Park Rangers or Ambassadors would be helpful to support vendors who do not know how to register their businesses or find a vending space not necessarily at the Lake. Would also like to explore rotating locations for vendors.

Commissioner Kos-Read: Worked with Commission Allen at Lake Merritt to interact with the community to drive up the participation to in the City's survey. Has advocated for some form of vending going on in a healthy way at the Lake. Supports keeping the festivities healthy and all things vibrant around the Lake, such as Our Lady of Lourdes and the residents. Many have invested time and energy in keeping the Lake clean and

getting multi-million dollar Measures Q and DD passed to build the infrastructure around the Lake for all to enjoy. The plan feels premature.

Chair Reilly: The pilot can help. If nothing is done things will stay the same.

Motion: Commissioner Reilly entertained a motion to recommend approval for the use of park space adjacent to El Embarcadero for three-months for a vending pilot on Saturdays and Sundays. **Moved by:** Commissioner Torres. **Second by:** Commissioner Tran. **Vote: 8 Yes:** Commissioners Duhe, Ha, Kos-Read, Moore, Reilly, K Smith, Torres and Tran. **1 No:** Commissioner Allen. **Motion:** Pass.

B. TREE PERMIT APPEAL FOR 5850 AMY DR, PARCEL 48B-7164-5

The Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission heard the staff report presented by Isaac Harvey Arboricultural Inspector with Oakland Public Works.

Isaac Harvey: Informed the Commission that he is the Arboricultural Inspector for the City of Oakland in the Tree Services Division and is an ISA Certified Arborist and holds an ISA Tree Risk Assessment Qualification.

Two trees are proposed for removal from the front yard at 5850 Amy Drive. Tree number 1 is a 29-inch diameter at breast height (DBH) Deodar Cedar. Tree number 2 is a 25-inch (DBH) Deodar Cedar. Findings showed that both trees have good structure strength and health. There was no deadwood greater than 4 inches in diameter and no signs of significant structural defects or signs of decay. Minor structural issues like the co-dominant top of tree number 2 could have been corrected through trimming or tree surgery. Removal of these trees could be prevented through reasonable redesign of landscape plans. The landscape plans that were submitted on December 4, 2020, the final decision date, didn't include any replacement trees, only a hedge row and ground cover which didn't meet the public works defined criteria of accepted practices of urban forestry or landscape design. The appellants stated that the contractor was not informed that the application was incomplete before the decision date of December 4. On November 16, 2020 the contractor was informed that a voicemail was left with the landscape architect by the inspector. He has yet to receive a response. Again, on November 24, 2020, the contractor was informed that no landscape plans had yet been received. And finally, on this December 4, 2020, the contractor was again informed that no landscape plans had been received and that it was the last day for consideration. At this point, a set of plans with no replacement trees, only a hedgerow and ground cover has been submitted by the landscape architect which does not meet that Tree Division's criteria or an accepted landscape plan. Additionally, the appellant indicated that a limb failed in early January 2021, after the denial of the permit for removal was issued but before the appeal has been filed. Several other large limbs failed on January 28 2021, immediately after the appeal was filed. The decision date for this permit was December 4, 2020 and the appeal was

filed on December 9, 2020, over a month before the City of Oakland had any knowledge of any limb failures. City of Oakland tree staff was informed of 1 limb failure approximately 4 to 6 inches in diameter on December 28th, 2020 during a major wind event. This occurrence was well after the appeal had passed and was unable to be factored into the decision. This limb failure did not warrant a permit waiver. Oakland Public Works recommends denying the appeals by Green Haven House LLC and upholds the staff decision denying the removal of the two Deodar Cedars at 5850 Amy Drive.

Speaking on behalf of the Appellant, Barri Bonaparte informed the Commission that she is an attorney and mediator specializing in Tree Law. She authored the legal treatise on the subject and speaks to industry groups including arborists, lawyers and municipalities on the subject.

Ms. Bonaparte referenced her letter laying out the factual background including summarizing the arborist opinions regarding the reasons the two Deodar Cedars needed to be removed and how the appellant has met the criteria in the Ordinance for their removal. She cited key takeaway from the submittal supporting two separate and valid reasons for the trees to be removed. 1) the first is that the application was made in connection with a landscape design that for various reasons called for the removal of the trees and that's why the application was submitted by a landscape contractor. The contractor and architect both offered to replace the trees. 2) Both trees are at an elevated risk of failure and added elevated risks include limb failure, trunk failure, and whole-tree failure. The findings were supported by the separate assessments of two arborists. Both reached the same conclusion.

Attorney Bonaparte introduced Dan Fix Landscape Contractor. She informed the Commission that he submitted the application and appeal, and took the photos of the failures. Also, Attorney also introduced, Tony Wayne Walcott, a registered Consulting Arborist and Board Certified Master Arborist and qualified Tree Risk Assessor who inspected the trees post failure and wrote a report detailing his findings.

Dan Fix – Vice President of Dan Fix Landscape Construction:

Mr. Fix informed the Commission that he has been in the landscape construction business for 13 years. The business handles all aspects of landscape construction including the regular installation of large specimen trees. When called to look at the project at 5850 Amy Drive, Mr. Fix stated it was clear that the two Cedars would need to be removed because it was clear that they had been poorly maintained and appeared to be in the state of decline. Mr. Fix observed the trees were too close to structures and power lines. He checked with an arborist at the onset of this project who advised that the removal of the two trees would not be a problem given the obvious issue.

Mr. Fix submitted the application and fees but was not told the application was deficient. He affirmed that he and the designer were told there would be a need for planting replacement trees. Mr. Fix was told the application had been denied due to structural

defects which he asserted did not accurately reflect the situation. An appeal was filed along with an arborist report which confirmed the trees were at an elevated risk of failure. Following the filing of the Appeal, a wind event occurred on January 28, 2021 during which several limbs failed.

Tony Wayne Walcott is a Register Consulting Arborist with the American Society of Consulting Arborists, a Board Certified Master Arborist with the ISA, is Tree Risk Assessment qualified as well as a Certified Landscape Horticulturalist and a Certified Irrigation Designer. Mr. Walcott owned a landscape company, taught all the arboreal classes at Diablo Valley College and worked for the Friends of the Urban Forest in San Francisco on Project Ninja and been called to testify on tree disputes.

Deodar Cedar trees were described as large parkland trees maturing at 80-feet with a 40-foot spread. The planting resulted in years of poor arboreal practices including topping and heading. After the denial of the permit, large tree limbs and stems failed and crashed down near the front door in the front yard.

Topping and heading back of the Cedars has allowed for multiple decay entry points where decaying branches die and fall. Topping causes decay into the mainstem and the immediate response is to establish an essential leader. Top branches turn up to compete with advantageous budding the result being numerous stems. These leaders are weak due to poor attachment points and fast growth. The risk of branch failure is very high. That has been observed already and more can be expected. There is also an elevated risk of trunk and or whole tree failure. The trunks and roots are unhealthy due to a lack of space, competition with grass, and other plants and shrubs and sunlight exposure. The smaller Cedar suffered from some damage to the trunk, causing swelling at the near the base decay and dead cambium on one side. Sounding the trunk found hollow areas causing more concern for complete tree failure.

A Deodar Cedar growing in a typical setting one would note a striking difference. A healthy well-structured Deodar will have a single main stem with numerous branches coming off that stem. The foliage full providing a complete shade tree. However, in this scenario, the trees are planted in a small front yard which they quickly outgrew. Branches extend into the house, over the wires, onto the street and driveway. Overtime excessive maintenance created multiple structural defects that cannot be mitigated by safety pruning or other measures. In addition, to the elevated risks of failure, these trees are now in the state of decline and accordingly, meet the criteria for removal.

Commissioner Moore, member of the Tree Committee informed the PRAC that he and Commissioner Ha met with Isaac Harvey and Tony Wayne Walcott on site. He confirmed that the Committee had opportunity to review Mr. Walcott's report.

Chair Reilly: Requested a recommendation from the Tree Committee.

Commissioner Moore: Recommended the Appeal be denied.

Public Comment: 1 Speaker

Commission Comments:

Commissioner Ha: Offered to provide context to the tree appeal discussion for the public and the rest of the Commission.

The parties that represent the property owner, wanted to seek the application to remove the trees. Public Works Tree Services came back with the decision that the trees should be preserved and denied the Permit application. Now, the owner's representatives are acting as the appellant.

Commissioner Ha confirmed that she and Commissioner Moore visited the property and saw the trees. They met with both the Public Works Arborist Isaac Harvey and Appellant Arborist Tony Wolcott with the owner's representative arborist and heard contextual information about the application. After speaking with both arborists and viewing the trees, their assessment was that the trees were of decent health and thought they were a good candidate for preservation.

The Commissioner offered that at first glance, the trees had strong central leaders and they were not leaning and had balanced branching. The Commissioners did not see any signs of decay at the roots, or vulnerable points of contact that would make them a candidate for recent failure.

Commissioner Ha referred to Tony Wolcott's assessment on tree failure and thought his reasons to be for the long term, and stated cases before PRAC have to be assessed based on the current condition of the tree, which is the reason for the original recommendation to deny the appeal and preserve the trees.

Commissioner Ha suggested the applicant follow-up and work with Public Works on submitting an updated application and proposal for replacement trees. There would be no need for the applicant to return to the PRAC.

Commissioner Tran: Referenced the Tree Committee's thoughts that Tony Wayne Wolcott's assessment of the trees spoke to their long-term condition. Commissioner Tran asked Commissioner Ha if when looking at the current tree situation for potential hazards, are falling branches factored into the assessment?

Commissioner Ha: Falling branches are definitely factored into the assessments of all of the participating arborists. The trees had been pruned since the application was submitted and the Committee did not observe any evidence that the limbs might fall.

In any natural disasters or storm event, all things are possible as has been seen with climate change. The specific storm that was cited from January, was a large windstorm in which a lot of trees in Oakland faced limb failure. Yes, limb failure was considered in the Committee's recommendation.

Chair Reilly confirmed that a vote yes is to deny the appeal was a vote to preserve the trees.

Motion: Commissioner Reilly entertained a motion to recommend approval of the Tree Services Division to deny the appeal by Green Haven House LLC, property owner of 5850 Amy Drive. **Moved by:** Commissioner Ha. **Second by:** Commissioner Moore. **Vote: 8 Yes:** Commissioners Allen, Duhe, Ha, Kos-Read, Moore, Reilly, Torres and Tran. **1 Abstain:** Commissioner Smith. **Motion:** Pass.

8. PLANNING AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS:

None

9. MEASURE Q:

- Update - Hiring Progress Tracker
- Update - Hiring Timeline
- Finance – Budget Process Overview

The following presentations were presented by Public Works and Budget Management in response to the Commission's request to receive information regarding the status of Measure Q hiring timelines, expenditures and budget process.

HIRING PROCESS

Brian Carthan: A majority of the positions funded in Measure Q are for park maintenance and will be the focus of the presentation on hiring. Mr. Carthan referred to visual aid titled *Hiring Timeline for Measure Q Funded Positions*.

Gardener Crew Leader: 7 vacancies. Responsible for leading a crew of gardeners, park attendants, and maintaining all 134 city parks. Performance examinations for this position were held in mid-March. The eligibility list was received this week. Interviews should begin the week of April 19, 2021. Offer for the positions should follow within two weeks of the interviews. Onboarding is a portion of the hiring process which includes background checks of the recruits. Oakland Public Works relies on another City

department to conduct the onboarding process and their bandwidth for processing the new recruits. this process may take a month with a possible start date of June 1, 2021.

Gardner II: This is a Journey-level position. Staff will work with a Gardener Crew Leader or independently. Performance examinations begin on March 24, 2021. From that process an eligibility list will be created. Staff will work with other City offices to schedule related interviews. The start date for this position will follow two to three weeks behind the Gardener Crew Leader start date - approximately the middle of June to early July 2021. Note: Mr. Carthan noted an error on the matrix regarding the start date for this section position.

Tree Trimmer: Recruitment for this position is currently open and will close April 25, 2021. The date for the examination is yet to be determined. Will be working with the Department of Human Resources to expedite the timeline.

Tree Worker: There will be a Civil Service Board Hearing on April 15, 2021. Changes were made to the job specs for this position including the previously required driver's license. Since staff will be working with a Tree Trimmer, and the work to be performed will be on the ground, the need for the commercial license was determined not to be necessary. If the changes are approved by the Civil Service Board tomorrow, work will begin with Human Resources to set a recruitment date.

Custodian: There are 15 vacant Custodian positions - 2 are funded by Measure Q. The department will recruit for all 15 positions. Restricted recruitment closed on April 4, 2021. The date for the performance examination has yet to be determined.

Construction Maintenance Mechanic: Requisitions for two positions have been submitted, but the eligibility list has yet to be determined. An update will be provided to the Commission once these dates have been set.

PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES:

Mr. Carthan guided the Commission through the *FUND – 2244 Measure Q – Parks & Recreation Preservation, Litter Reduction Oakland Public Works Budget and Expenditures document for – July, 1, 2020 through April 12, 2021 matrix.*

Existing Services/Personnel: To date, 63% of has been spent leaving approximately 37% for this expenditure available.

Equipment: During the first six months of the fiscal year Public Works purchased equipment including a riding mower and several trucks. On order are 37ft aerial lifts, and wide track mini loaders. Other equipment was purchased for work in all parks and was not necessarily part of Measure Q funding, but came from the overall budget for park maintenance.

The trash compactors used on the weekends at Lake Merritt was mentioned in earlier discussions. This equipment is not necessarily for the sole use of the area, but the Department wants to evaluate its value. It is working to the advantage of the park staff.

Enhanced Services: These are 36 Measure Q funded positions that have not been filled. The process has begun – Public Works plans to have many of the positions filled by fall.

BUDGET BASICS

The City's Budget Office made presentations on the City's Budget Process and Projected Estimated Revenue for Measure Q.

Budget Staff: Lisa Agustin - Budget Administrator, Brittany Hines - Budget and Management Analysts, Brad Johnson – Assistant Budget Administrator:

Presenter: Lisa Agustin

Budget Process: The City of Oakland operates on a 2 -year budget cycle. Every other year staff, the Mayor and the City Council work together to produce a balanced budget by June 30th. The Department is currently working on the fiscal year 2021 to 2023 budget. Because of the pandemic, the City is facing an unprecedented deficit where difficult choices have to be made over the next few months in order to balance the budget.

How the City is Funded: The City's revenues are primarily sourced from local tax service fees, grants and voter approved bonds. Of the taxes paid in Oakland, the City only receives a portion of that revenue. Example: For every dollar paid in property tax the City only receives 26%, the rest goes to other local agencies.

Support for the Community by Other Public Agencies: Essential services provided to the Oakland community are not provided by the City. This includes transportation which is provided by Bart, AC Transit, and education which is provided by OUSD and Peralta Colleges, clean water provided by EBMUD, public and mental health and other services are provided by the County.

Total Annual Budget: The total budget for the current fiscal year is 1.71 billion and is allocated to over 300 funds which are essentially groups of revenue and expenditures that must be individually balanced. 62% of the total budget is allocated to funds that have restrictions on types of activities they support - Measure Q is one of these funds. This is normally established by local ordinance, City Charter, State law and or granted agreements. 38% of the total budget goes to the General Purpose Fund which is unrestricted and flexible in its use.

Source of Funds: Half of the City's revenue comes from taxes including property taxes, sales taxes, hotel taxes, there are also service charges, and fines which includes parking meters, inspection fees, and parking citations. There are grant revenues from federal and state government which includes spending for Head Start, housing and workforce development programs. Transfers which include transfers between funds and use of prior year balance in the fund.

A Balanced Budget: The goal is to produce a balanced budget which means that the revenue the City projects to bring in is equal to the amount the City plans to spend. This applies to every fund included in the budget. The cost of providing City services which include salaries, benefits, and other non- personnel costs must be supported by the projected revenue.

FY 2021-23 Administrative Budget Values: Given the difficult choices that will have to be made in this budget cycle, it was important to establish the values that guide those decisions on the front end. 1) Equity - Ensuring that the City avoids reductions that disproportionately impact low-income communities of color. 2) Preservation of Core Services and Staffing Levels - Prevent cuts to critical services and preserve jobs for City workers. 3) Fiscal Responsibility - Address the current short-term crisis while also making very sound financial decisions so the City is able to rebound when the economy recovers.

Key Milestones: In January, the Council received the results of the citywide budget poll which was meant to inform the Mayor's and Council's budget priorities. The five-year budget forecast was presented in March which provided a longer term look at the potential deficits that will be faced within the years beyond the two-year budget. Earlier in April, the Mayor held a budget townhall to engage the community in the budget process. On May 1, the Department will be working to release the Mayor's proposed budget; between May and June, the City Council will hold budget community budget forums, and in June, the Council will develop their own proposals and budget amendments. By June the Council will adopt a balanced budget.

Presenter: Brittney Hines, Budget and Management

Projected Estimated Revenue - Allocation Method of Measure Q Funds.

Reference the *Measure Q – The 2020 Oakland Parks and Recreation Preservation, Litter Reduction, and Homelessness Support Act. - Projected Estimated Revenue* page at the end of the minutes document or listen and view the discussion on the recording link at 2:23:02:

http://oakland.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=0c98c549-9ef3-11eb-8549-0050569183fa

Public Comments: There were 2 speakers on this item.

Chair Reilly requested the Measure Q documents be included with future agendas and on the Measure Q website site once it is operational. Recordings will be posted on the PRAC website. Staff to post the Measure Q documents presented at this meeting with the minutes meeting.

Commission Comments

The Commission made comments and asked clarifying questions of the Budget Office staff on the Measure Q item. Listen to the minutes for the discussion at 2:35:55.

Chair Reilly: The Measure Q Committee will convene on April 15 to plan for the next meeting.

10. UPDATE FROM DIRECTOR, COMMITTEES, RECREATION ADVISORY COUNCILS (RAC) & ANNOUNCEMENTS:

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Director Williams: The City Council voted on April 12, 2021 to restore park services. TPT staff previously removed due to budget restrictions will be receive calls to return to work. The Department is working on a plan for a full reopening to include recreation centers and all other activities that happen at parks during the time of year. There will be a process to follow look to begin reopening within the next two or three weeks. The Commission will be updated.

RAC REPORTS

Commissioner Allen:

- Connected with Greg at deFremery to schedule a meeting. He is actively interviewing new staff. Looking forward to narrowing down a time.

- Unable to attend Mosswood's RAC meeting last Wednesday. However, their agenda is focused on the Mosswood Master Plan for the new recreation center. The Center will be having a Park Clean-up Day on Saturday, April 24. Reach out to Terri Westbrook for additional information or follow the Commissioner on social media.
- Has reached out to Ira Jinkins staff. Will have to follow-up for introductions. Has noticed that since the centers are close, voice mails are defaulting to the Parks and Rec Department's main number.

ANNOUNCEMENT

Commissioner Ha:

- The Public Works Tree Inventory project is completed. The report, maps and charts can be viewed on the website. Believes they are moving forward with an updated Urban Forest Master Plan which may include potential updates to the Ordinance.
- The San Antonio item may be presented at the next PRAC meeting. There will be a third and possibly final community meeting on April 27. There has been communication from concerned neighbors about the project as related to the relocation of a fire station and what the consequences might be.

Commissioner Kos-Read:

- Earth Day is coming up Saturday, April 24. Will be working with Councilmember Reid – District 7. Encouraged everybody to take part in some events coming up.
- Shout-out on Trash East Bay. They are doing phenomenal work around the Lake and across the East Bay. They have a couple cleanups and pickups this weekend both Saturday and Sunday.
- As the PRAC Liaison to parks assets on Lake Merritt, met with the Friends of the Rotary Nature Center at the Center. Wants to hear what the plan is for the site as it is sitting empty unused and underutilized. The Center should be part of the overall Lake Merritt Plan.
- Brooklyn Basin Park is a public asset and encourages everyone to check it out. Amazing programming there.

- The Park Rules Committee has met three times to revisited the history, efforts and work product of previous Commission members. Current members are committed to moving to PRAC then to the City Council for approval. The item my come to PRAC at the next meeting.

Chair Reilly:

- Regarding Park Rules the item will probably come back to PRAC with changes. A lot of time was spent on the language, but upon review, it there are a few things to be added which will require another vote. Those additions have not been determined.

Commissioner Allen:

- The Friends of Brookdale Park would like to start a Recreation Advisory Council (RAC). Staff will connect the Commissioner with the appropriate contacts.

11. CONTINUATION OF OPEN FORUM:

There was one speaker for this item.

12. ADJOURNMENT: 7:42PM

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ J. Nicholas Williams
J. Nicholas Williams
Secretary

/s/ Diane Boyd
Diane Boyd
Acting Recording Secretary

Next Meeting:

Wednesday, May 12, 2021

Zoom Teleconference

This meeting location is wheelchair accessible. To request disability-related accommodations or to request an ASL, Cantonese, Mandarin or Spanish interpreter, please email dboyd@oaklandnet.com or call (510) 238-7532 or TDD/TTY (510) 238-3254 at least five working days before the meeting. Please refrain from wearing scented products to this meeting

as a courtesy to attendees with chemical sensitivities.

Esta reunión es accesible para sillas de ruedas. Si desea solicitar adaptaciones relacionadas con

discapacidades, o para pedir un intérprete de en español, Cantones, Mandarín o de lenguaje de

señas (ASL) por favor envíe un correo electrónico a dboyd@oaklandnet.com o llame al (510)

238-7532 o (510) 238-3254 por lo menos cinco días hábiles antes de la reunión. Se le pide de

favor que no use perfumes a esta reunión como cortesía para los que tienen sensibilidad a los

productos químicos. Gracias.

會場有適合輪椅出入設施。需要殘障輔助設施, 手語, 西班牙語, 粵語或國語翻譯服務, 請在

會議前五個工作天電郵 dboyd@oaklandnet.com 或致電 (510) 238-7532 或 (510) 238-3254

TDD/TTY。請避免塗搽香氛產品, 參加者可能對化學成分敏感。

Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission Meeting – April 14, 2021

Item 9 – Measure Q Presentation Documents

- Expenditures by Categories
- Budget Basics
- Projected Estimated Revenue
- Parks and Landscape Service Levels

FUND: 2244 Measure Q - Parks & Recreation Preservation, Litter Reduction

Oakland Public Works Budget and Expenditures

July 1, 2020 through April 12, 2021 (Labor through March 19, 2021)

Note: Actuals = YTD + Encumbrances

Expenditures by Category	FTES		FY21 Adopted Budget \$	Actuals \$	% Percent Spent	Unspent \$
	FY21 Adopted Budget FTEs	Filled				
Parks, Landscape Maintenance and Recreational Services						
Existing Services	61.40	48.64	7,775,759	4,930,863	63%	2,844,896
Personnel & OH	61.40	48.64	7,698,192	4,873,538	63%	2,824,654
Administrative Assistant II.SS104	1.00	1.00	143,043	-		
Manager, Park Services.EM196	0.26	0.26	94,601	80,979		
Gardener Crew Leader.TR140	18.55	15.00	2,691,522	1,739,754		
Gardener II.TR142	12.00	6.00	1,376,175	642,550		
Irrigation Repair Specialist.TR151	4.00	4.00	615,736	427,409		
Park Attendant, PPT.SS157	2.70	1.00	269,516	144,336		
Park Attendant, PT.TR161	13.89	12.38	959,034	562,813		
Park Equipment Operator.TR162	4.00	4.00	601,543	452,718		
Park Supervisor I.SC193	4.00	4.00	722,522	615,856		
Park Supervisor II.SC194	1.00	1.00	224,500	207,124		
Operations and Materials			77,567	57,325	74%	20,242
56000 - Internal Service / Work Order Expenditures			77,567	57,325		
Enhanced Services	36.00	-	6,403,206	1,851,536	29%	4,551,670
Personnel & OH	36.00	-	2,703,485	-	0%	2,703,485
Construction & Maintenance Mechanic.TR118	2.00	-	240,472			
Custodian.TR120	2.00	-	194,336			
Painter.TR159	2.00	-	240,472			
Gardener Crew Leader.TR140	7.00	-	479,059			
Gardener II.TR142	15.00	-	866,310			
Park Equipment Operator.TR162	2.00	-	140,252			
Park Supervisor I.SC193	2.00	-	177,190			
Park Supervisor II.SC194	1.00	-	102,553			
Administrative Assistant II.SS104	1.00	-	129,110			
Tree Trimmer.TR189	1.00	-	71,840			
Tree Worker Driver.TR190	1.00	-	61,891			
Operations and Materials			925,387	104,727	16%	840,902
52000 - Supply and Material Expenditures			219,442	104,727		
53000 - Service Expenditures			85,000	-		
54000 - Contract Service Expenditures			63,071	-		
56000 - Internal Service / Work Order Expenditures			557,874	-		
Equipment (# of Units)			2,774,334	1,746,808	63%	1,027,526
16 Ft Riding Lawn Mower (1)				Received		
106 Inch Mower (3)				Received		
Wide Track Mini Loader (Dingo) (2)				Received		
Service Trucks (2)				Ordered		
4 CY Dump Truck on a F550 Chassis (1)				Ordered		
37ft Aerial (2)				Ordered		
6CY Mini Packer (2)				Received		
Transit Cargo Vans				To be Ordered		
Utility Bed Trucks				To be Ordered		
Raised Roof Transit Cargo Van				To be Ordered		
Parks, Landscape Maintenance and Recreational Services TOTAL	97.40	48.64	14,178,965	6,782,399	48%	7,396,566
Water Quality						
Enhanced Services	1.00	-	1,107,732	-	0%	1,107,732
Personnel & OH	1.00	-	166,366	-	0%	166,366
Engineer, Assistant I (Office).ET111	1.00	-	166,366	-		
Operations and Materials			941,366	-	0%	941,366
54000 - Contract Service Expenditures			941,366	-		
Water Quality TOTAL	1.00	-	1,107,732	-	0%	1,107,732
Evaluation						
Enhanced Services	1.00	1.00	185,172	69,052	37%	116,120
Personnel & OH	1.00	1.00	185,172	69,052	37%	116,120
Business Analyst II.AP117	1.00	1.00	185,172	69,052		
Evaluation TOTAL	1.00	1.00	185,172	69,052	37%	116,120
Total Measure Q - Oakland Public Works	99.40	49.64	15,471,869	6,851,451	44%	8,620,418

City of Oakland

BUDGET BASICS

Fiscal Year 2021-23

Overview of the City Budget Process



What is the City's budget process?

From February to June, every other year, City staff, the Mayor and City Council work together to create a balanced budget by June 30, as required by law.

This year's budget process will be unlike any in recent memory. The challenges of the economic and health crises will require difficult choices to balance the budget.



How is the City's budget funded?

The City of Oakland's budget is funded through local taxes, service fees, grants, voter-approved bonds, and other sources.

When you pay taxes, such as sales or property tax, a percentage comes to the City to fund local programs and services. For example, for every dollar that you pay in property tax, the City of Oakland receives a little less than 26 cents. The rest goes to other local government agencies like Alameda County, AC Transit, and Oakland Unified School District.




SUPPORT FOR THE OAKLAND COMMUNITY BY PARTNER GOVERNMENT AGENCY

Many Government Agencies provide support for the Oakland community.

Together, we provide essential services and support to our community-- from maintaining roads, sewers, and parks to providing education, transit, and clean water.


Many of the services that Oaklanders care about such as public & mental health, water, education, transportation, and homeless services are not in the City's budget.



The City of Oakland's total annual budget is approximately \$1.7B.

62% of our budget comes through grants and voter-approved bonds (like the Library or parks bond) and legally must be used for specific purposes; these are called **Restricted Funds**.

General Purpose Funds are generally supported by tax revenue and make up 38% of our budget. They are the most flexible with regards to what they can be spent on. Public safety (police and fire) are primarily funded through the General Purpose Fund, in part because we don't typically charge for these services.



The source of the funds is varied.

While over half of our revenue comes from taxes, we also earn revenue from service charges, fees, bonds, grants, and other sources.

Taxes include: Property Tax, Sales Tax, Transient Occupancy Tax, Utility Consumption Tax, Business License Tax, Real Estate Transfer Tax, and Parking Tax.


Service Charges, Fines, Licenses & Permits
15%

Grants & Subsidies
8%

Bonds & Other
14%

Transfers
12%

Taxes
51%




Total Budget
\$1.7B

THE GOAL

A BALANCED BUDGET

The budget is our plan for how we will spend City money on services that support our community.

A balanced budget ensures our "revenues" (the amount of money the City brings in) are equal to or greater than our "expenditures" (the amount of money the City spends). While other cities and government agencies have different cycles, Oakland approves a budget every two fiscal years. The budget currently under consideration runs from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2023.



FY 2021-23 ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET VALUES

As we consider difficult tradeoffs, these are the values that will guide our decision making.

- **Equity.**
We seek to avoid reductions that would be felt disproportionately on low-income communities of color.
- **Preservation of core services and staffing levels.**
We seek to prevent cuts in service levels for residents and preserve staffing levels for City workers.
- **Fiscal responsibility and long-term financial health.**
We seek to address our current fiscal health while preparing to rebound once the economy recovers.

Budget Process

Community and Council priorities, public engagement, and input from City staff inform the proposed budget that the Mayor and City Administrator present to City Council. The Council then hosts public deliberations and ultimately adopts the final budget.

JANUARY

Citywide budget poll and staff engagement commence.

APRIL

The Mayor engages the public to garner community insights.

MAY - JUNE

City Council leads public budget forums.

JUNE 30

City Council Deadline to adopt the Final Budget

MARCH

Five-Year Forecast presented to City Council's Finance Committee.

MAY 1

The Mayor releases the Proposed FY 2021-23 Budget.

JUNE

City Council develops alternative budget proposals.

JULY 1

The new fiscal year begins.

GET INVOLVED

Learn more about the budget, attend upcoming budget town halls, ask budget questions, and share your ideas.

[OAKLANDCA.GOV/BUDGET](https://oaklandca.gov/budget)

Measure Q - The 2020 Oakland Parks and Recreation Preservation, Litter Reduction, and Homelessness Support Act FY 2020-21
--

Projected Estimated Revenue	\$23,354,633.00
Less Election Costs Estimate	(\$1,200,000.00)
Remaining Estimated Revenue	\$22,154,633.00

1% - Auditing and Evaluation of Programs, Strategies and Services Undertaken Pursuant to this Measure	1%	\$221,546.33
5% - Water Quality and Litter Reduction: Including Maintaining and Cleaning Stormwater Trash Collection Systems	5%	\$1,107,731.65
30% - Homelessness: Access to Temporary Shelter, Transitional and Supportive Housing, and Permanent Housing	30%	\$6,646,389.90
64% - Parks, Landscape Maintenance, and Recreational Services* *Restrictions: No more than 55% of revenue allocated to Parks, Landscape Maintenance, and Recreation Services may be used to preserve Current parks Operational Services.	64%	\$14,178,965.12
Total Allocation		100% \$22,154,633.00

**64% - Parks, Landscape Maintenance, and Recreational Services Allocation Break
Down**

64% - Parks, Landscape Maintenance, and Recreational Services*	64%	\$14,178,965.12
Amount Available for Old Services	55%	\$7,798,430.82
Amount Available for New Services	45%	\$6,380,534.30

Fiscal Year 2019-20

Parks and Landscape Service Levels

Department	(All)
Fund and Desc	(Multiple Items)

Project and Desc	Org and Desc	Rev orExp	Values
		Expense	
		Sum of FY19-20 Biennial Adopted Ongoing	Sum of FY19-20 Biennial Adopted One Time
			Sum of FY19-20 Biennial Adopted Total
1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	30651 - Park Building Maintenance: Administration	\$ 766,567.00	\$ 766,567.00
	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	\$ 13,411,519.00	\$ 13,411,519.00
1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project Total		\$ 14,178,086.00	\$ 14,178,086.00
1000983 - LAKE MERRITT MNTNNC	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	\$ 385,047.00	\$ 385,047.00
1000983 - LAKE MERRITT MNTNNC Total		\$ 385,047.00	\$ 385,047.00
1003399 - MANDELA PKY LANDSCAPE MAINT.	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	\$ 154,000.00	\$ 154,000.00
1003399 - MANDELA PKY LANDSCAPE MAINT. Total		\$ 154,000.00	\$ 154,000.00
1004284 - OAB CFD NO. 2015-1 GATEWAY	30652 - Landscape Maintenance		
1004284 - OAB CFD NO. 2015-1 GATEWAY Total			
1004285 - WOOD STREET CFD	30652 - Landscape Maintenance		
1004285 - WOOD STREET CFD Total			
1004424 - ZERO EMISSION PROJECT	30652 - Landscape Maintenance		
1004424 - ZERO EMISSION PROJECT Total			
PJ_0000000	30651 - Park Building Maintenance: Administration		
	30652 - Landscape Maintenance		
PJ_0000000 Total			
Grand Total		\$ 14,717,133.00	\$ 14,717,133.00



**CITY OF OAKLAND
Oakland Parks & Recreation**

TO: Coire Reilly, Chair, Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission
FROM: Denise Louie, OPW
DATE: April 26, 2021
SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR THE PARKS AND RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION TO REVIEW AND ENDORSE THE DESIGN, CONDITIONAL USE, TREE REMOVAL/PROTECTION AND CREEK PROTECTION FOR THE DIGITAL ARTS AND CULINARY ACADEMY, PHASE 2

SUMMARY

Staff from the Public Works Department and Parks, Recreation & Youth Development Department request endorsement of the design and implementation of the Digital Arts & Culinary Academy (DACA) Phase 2 Remodel Project and associated Design Review, Conditional Use, Tree Removal/Protection and Creek Permit Protection permits. The proposed project includes building out the west side of the building (the interior closest to International Boulevard), improvements to meet current codes and the Equitable Climate Action Plan (ECAP) as well as improvements to the exterior, new identification exterior signs and garden.

The project originated from a 2017 Stantec report which identified the Phase 2 scope for the project. Due to a partial renovation of the facility in 2010, Phase 2 scope includes building out multi-purpose rooms, storage, gender-neutral restroom, ADA improvements to the kitchen, electrification of the building and removal of gas in accordance with the ECAP, approved by Council in July 2020.

There is a pending facility name change from Digital Arts & Culinary Academy to East Oakland Arts Center. However, at time of this report writing, the item has not been brought in front of and approved by Council.

FISCAL IMPACT

There will be no fiscal impact on the Office of Parks, Recreation & Youth Development (OPRYD). The project improvements are funded by the I-Bond (Measure KK)– Infrastructure and Affordable Housing Bond identified in FY19-21 Capital Improvement (CIP) budget and the Capital Improvement Impact Fee Fund, approved March 2021. Construction of the project is estimated at \$1.5 million.

The improved facilities will have ongoing maintenance, but is not anticipated to increase associated costs. No new OPRYD staff is required to operate the facility and programs post-project construction.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The DACA Phase 2 project is located at 5818 International Boulevard in City Council District 6. The site is adjacent to the Rainbow Recreation Center, renovation completed in 2019, and a commercial/retail building near Seminary Avenue. The Bus Rapid Transit stop is located in front of the Rainbow Center.

The project is a continuation of a 2010 renovation that did not build out the facility fully. The 2010 renovation focused mainly on the east side of the building to renovate the kitchen, office, restrooms, recording studios and community room. The Phase 2 project must address the remainder of the west side build out and code-related scope to create new multipurpose rooms, storage, all gender restroom, ADA improvements and landscape/garden improvements to meet current program needs.

BACKGROUND / LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

In October 2018, the Council approved the City's Equitable Capital Improvement Prioritization Process for use in the Fiscal Year 2019-21 budget cycle. The DACA Phase 2 project was submitted and received funding to implement the project.

In July 2020, Council approved the City's Equitable Climate Action Plan requiring immediate action to convert City facilities to all electric and the removal of gas-reliant equipment. Around the same time, the consultant team of Studio Perez was selected to work on the project. After a period to investigate and study the facility structure, building systems and utilities, the project scope and budget was refined with staff from Public Works Maintenance and OPRYD. In two zoom community meetings in April 2021 and from post-meeting input from those who could not attend, community members were able to provide input on and select:

- Building Color
- Signage Style
- Signage Color

As part of the planning permit approval process, PRAC endorsement is required.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission endorse Digital Arts and Culinary Academy, Phase 2 project and recommend approval to the Bureau of Planning's Zoning Manager for the Design Review, Conditional Use, Tree Removal/Protection and Creek Protection Permit applications.

Respectfully submitted,




Prepared by:

Denise Louie, Project Manager

Oakland Public Works, Project & Grant Management Division

Attachments: Exhibit A – *Location Map*
Exhibit B – *Project Plans, Elevations and Rendering*

EXHIBIT A - PROJECT LOCATION MAP
DIGITAL ARTS & CULINARY ACADEMY, PHASE 2






East Oakland Arts Center



Site/ Landscape Plan






East Oakland Arts Center

Floor Plan





East Oakland Arts Center

West Elevation





East Oakland Arts Center
North Elevation

STUDIO
PEREZ



East Oakland Arts Center

Model view from International





East Oakland Arts Center

Model view from Rainbow Recreation Center






East Oakland Arts Center

Rendering view from International

STUDIO
PEREZ

Oakland (Grass)roots

Play on patterning, illumination and colored reveals evokes depth and playfulness for this concept. Acronym first letters presented as internally illuminated letterforms with lime green-colored returns; uniform pattern across letterfaces (City of Oakland logo roots). Rest of message in painted acrylic cutout lettering to emulate awning/parapet green. Line alignment staggered.



PATTERN BLOW-UP



East Oakland Arts Center (Studio Perez)

Building Signage

April 21, 2021

lowercaseproductions.com

North Elevation

Painted aluminum cutout lettering centered on canopy over building entrance on North facade.



East Oakland Arts Center (Studio Perez)

Building Signage

lowercaseproductions.com



**CITY OF OAKLAND
Oakland Parks & Recreation**

TO: Coire Reilly, Chair, Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission
FROM: Michael Randolph, Parking & Mobility Division, Department of Transportation
DATE: 4/26/2021
**SUBJECT: INFORMATIONAL REPORT ON ZERO EMISSION VEHICLE (ZEV)
ACTION PLAN & CURRENT VEHICLE ELECTRIFICATION
INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS**

SUMMARY

This informational report is provided as an update on the City of Oakland's vehicle electrification and the Zero Emission Vehicle (ZEV) Action Plan. The ZEV Action Plan will provide a roadmap for transitioning to a zero-emission transportation system. The ZEV Action Plan development process and public outreach is being funded through a CALTRANS (California Department of Transportation) Sustainable Communities grant. Deliverables to date that have been completed including a curbside ZEV charging stakeholder meeting, the first in a series of ongoing workforce development stakeholder meetings, and the launching of our informational website. Public Outreach for the plan will begin Spring 2021, with the plan is estimated to be completed and presented to City Council by February 2022. Additionally, there are electric vehicle infrastructure projects under development at Lake Park and Lion's Creek Crossing/Coliseum Gardens. The Department of Transportation and the Sustainability Program are looking for feedback from the Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission on the implementation of electric vehicle charging infrastructure in and around Oakland's parks. Comments or questions about the Zero Emission Vehicle Action Plan or current electrification projects can be submitted to Marandolph@oakalndca.gov or ZEV@oakalndca.gov.

FISCAL IMPACT

Since this is an informational report there are no fiscal impacts.

PROJECT / PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The ZEV Action Plan is being developed to build a roadmap to meet the City's goals for carbon neutrality by 2045 outlined in the 2030 Equitable Climate Action Plan through a zero-emission transportation transition, as well as to address the inequities in zero emission vehicle infrastructure that currently exists in the City of Oakland. Currently most public EV chargers are in Downtown or North Oakland; there are zero EV chargers in West Oakland. Frontline communities such as West and East Oakland are heavily burdened by air pollution and climate impacts, so the plan prioritizes actions and strategies that can overcome these barriers and disparities.

Additionally, this plan acknowledges that in the loading order of zero emission transportation, active transportation modes such as walking, biking, and transit are the most important. These modes are the most straight forward and easiest ways to limit greenhouse gas emissions. The Plan will focus on actions and strategies that promote ZEV modes such as e-bikes and e-scooters, shared EVs, and eliminating barriers for vehicle electrification for those that need to use automobiles.

The Topics included in the ZEV Action Plan are listed below:

- **Existing Buildings** – What building codes or incentives could be put in place to encourage the retrofitting of EV infrastructure in existing buildings?
- **Charging in Multi-Unit Dwellings** - There is a mismatch between available charging and access for those that live in apartments. The plan will explore how to fix that – especially looking at affordable housing developments.
- **Curbside Zero Emission Vehicle Charging** - The curb has many competing uses, and curbside charging is incredibly complex and involves many stakeholders inside and outside City.
- **Micro-mobility (E-Scooters and E-Bikes)** – There is an understanding that the most efficient way to reduce emissions is to not drive at all. There are opportunities to increase access to e-bikes and e-scooters, whether they are owned or shared.
- **Zero Emission Vehicle Workforce and Economic Development** - One of our goals for the plan is to establish a ZEV Job Pipeline. We want to understand the barriers faced by employers and job seekers in ZEV related industries and come up with solutions, such as possible public-private partnerships.
- **Medium and Heavy-Duty Fleets** – What can the city do to foster the transition to zero emission fuels (both electric and hydrogen) for medium and heavy-duty fleets? This also includes polices for cargo e-bikes and delivery vehicles.
- **City Leadership** – What citywide policies and strategies can be pursued to promote a ZEV mobility system? This includes a strategy for implementing ZEV infrastructure in city-owned assets, mobility hubs, and partnerships with other organizations.

Public outreach for the project is planned throughout the 2021 calendar year. There are four stakeholder meetings planned: (1) Curbside Charging in the Public Right of Way, (2) Economic Workforce and Development, (3) Medium and Heavy-Duty Fleets, (4) Charging in Existing Buildings. Meetings (1) was held in November 2020, and meeting (2) will be a series which began on March 23rd. The stakeholder meetings are intended to bring together internal city stakeholders and relevant industry and non-profit interest together to identify policy barriers and provide a technical basis for public outreach and plan development. In addition to the stakeholder meetings, in partnership with Transform as outreach contractor, the city will be conducting a series community workshops focused on ZEV education and outreach, and then a workshop focused on the content of the draft plan in Fall 2021.

The Timeline for the ZEV Action Plan is shown below:

- **Project Kick-off** - November 2019
- **ZEV Planner Hired** – August 2020
- **Curbside Charging Stakeholder Meeting # 1** - November 2020
- **Transform joins as Outreach Consultant** – February 2021
- **ZEV Workforce Stakeholder Meeting #2** – March 2021
- **Medium and Heavy-Duty Fleets Stakeholder Meeting #3** – Spring 2021
- **Introductory Community Workshops (Education and Awareness)** - Summer 2021
- **Charging in Existing Buildings Stakeholder Meeting #4** – Fall 2021

- **Draft Plan Community Workshops** – Fall 2021
- **Draft Plan Complete** – December 2021
- **Present Plan to City Council** - January/February 2022

See below links for more information about the Zero Emission Vehicle Action Plan and other ways to get involved:

- Zero Emission Vehicle Action Plan City Website: tinyurl.com/zevplan
- Zero Emission Vehicles Infrastructure in Oakland Story Map: <https://tinyurl.com/ZEVstory>
- ZEV Action Plan Interactive Map to help identify potential locations for public electric vehicle charging stations: Tinyurl.com/zevmap
- Take the ZEV Action Plan Survey: <https://tinyurl.com/zevsurvey1>
- Join the ZEV Action Plan E-mail List for Updates: <http://tinyurl.com/zevsurvey2>

Below are summaries for ZEV charging infrastructure project currently under development. The Lion’s Creek Crossing project is still in its conceptual phase:

- **Lake Park** – At Lake Park Parking underneath MacArthur Fwy EvGo is installing a “High-Speed EV Charging Plaza”. The discovery of an underground storage tank (UST) has greatly delayed opening, but removal is planned for this Spring.
- **Lion’s Creek Crossing/Coliseum Gardens** – Chargepoint, an Electric Vehicle Charger Manufacturer, and Carbon Solutions are exploring a PG&E grant to possibly bring EV chargers to Lions Creek Crossing at no cost. This is a rare opportunity to bring fast EV charging to a community currently lacking electrification infrastructure. According to PG & E’s preliminary site visit, they have proposed a replacement of 8 current parking spaces with 6 EV parking spaces (2 of which are ADA spaces) on Lions Way, south of Hawley Street. The city will continue negotiations with PG&E to pursue a right-sized project dependent on community and stakeholder input.

BACKGROUND / LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

In July 2020, Oakland City Council unanimously voted to adopt the 2030 Equitable Climate Action Plan (ECAP) that establishes actions the City and its partners will take to equitable reduce Oakland’s climate emissions and adapt to a changing climate. One of these actions is the development of the Zero Emission Vehicle (ZEV) Action Plan led by the DOT and Sustainability Program. The development of this plan is funded that CALTRANS Sustainable Communities grant to include plan development, the hiring of a public outreach contractor (Transform), for completion by February 2022.

In November 2020, the DOT ran a virtual stakeholder meeting bringing together internal stakeholders and ZEV industry with the goals to discuss barriers that exist for installing electric vehicle charging infrastructure in the public right-of-way (PROW), explore possible solutions, equitable implementation and develop possible draft actions and next steps for research and analysis.

On March 23rd, 2021, the Sustainability Program partnered with the Economic and Workforce Development Department to have a virtual Electrification and Decarbonization Workforce Development Stakeholder Workshop Kickoff. This is the first of multiple planned sessions was an introduction and discussion space to learn about the key concerns, understand what role the City and its partners can serve, and outline what would be most helpful in future sessions.

RECOMMENDATION

This is an informational report, so there are no recommendations. The Department of Transportation and Sustainability office requests feedback from the Commission on the implementation of charging infrastructure in and around Oakland’s Parks. In the plan, staff will be defining policy for charging infrastructure implementation, and would welcome input on how to best bring these amenities to Oakland Parks in ways that mitigate potential impacts. We plan to bring more specific recommendations to the Commission at a later date.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael Randolph (Signature)

Prepared by:
Michael Randolph
Transportation Planner
Parking & Mobility Division, Department of Transportation

/s/ Kerby Olsen (Signature)
Approved by:
Kerby Olsen
Shared Mobility Coordinator
Parking & Mobility Division, Department of Transportation

Zero Emission Vehicle Action Plan



Oakland Department of Transportation – March 2021

Team Introductions



Michael Randolph
Lead, Zero Emission
Vehicle Action Plan



Kerby Olsen
New Mobility Supervisor



Michael Ford
Division Manager, Parking
and Mobility



Shayna Hirshfield-Gold
Sustainability Program
Manager



Adrienne Harris
Sustainability Fellow



Chiara Arellano
Sustainability Fellow

Why Do We Need a Zero Emission Vehicle Action Plan?




Gavin Newsom @GavinNewsom · Sep 23
NEW: We're facing a climate crisis.


- We need bold action.
- CA is phasing out the internal combustion engine.
- By 2035 every new car sold in CA will be an emission free vehicle.
- Cars shouldn't give our kids asthma.
- Make wildfires worse.
- Melt glaciers.
- Or raise sea levels.

5.5K 6.8K 29.9K


Gov. Newsom's Executive Order N-79-20



Oakland's Equitable Climate Action Plan (ECAP)



EV Chargers



Air Pollution

PRAC-05/12/21
Item #7B



City of Oakland

Department of Transportation

ZEV Action Plan Topic Areas



Existing Buildings



Medium and Heavy Duty Fleets



City Leadership



Micro-mobility (E-Scooters and E-Bikes)



Curbside ZEV Charging



Zero Emission Vehicle Workforce and Economic Development



Charging in Multi-Unit Dwellings

For more info, visit: <https://www.oaklandca.gov/projects/zero-emission-vehicle-action-plan/>



City of Oakland

PRAC-05/12/21
Item #7B
Department of Transportation

Existing Incentives

Title	Financial Incentive	Source
Tax Credit	\$2,500 - \$7,500	Federal
Fuel Cell Motor Vehicle Tax Credit	Up to \$8,000	Federal
Clean Fuel Reward	Up to \$1, 500	State
Clean Vehicle Rebate Project	\$1,000 - \$4,500	State
Clean Vehicle Assistance Program	Low-Rate Loans and Up to \$5,000 grant	State
Vehicle Retirement Consumer Assistance Program	\$1,000 - \$1,500	State
Clean Cars for All	\$5,500 - \$9,500	Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD)



DC Fast Charger

<https://ev.pge.com/incentives/>



City of
Oakland

PRAC-05/12/21
Item #7B
Department of
Transportation


Electric Vehicle Charging and Accessibility

- If available for use by the general public, Electric Charging must be accessible to individuals with disabilities [**ADA Title II**]
- Accessible route requirements connecting EV space to charger
- Overall number of spaces correlates to a minimum number of van accessible, standard accessible and ambulatory spaces



DC Fast Charger at
Lafayette Square

Plan Timeline



For more info, visit the website: tinyurl.com/ZEVplan



City of
Oakland

PRAC-05/15/21
Item #7B
Department of
Transportation

Deliverables to date


- Existing Conditions Story Map
- Interactive Map
- Outreach Contract with TransForm
- Virtual Stakeholder Group 1: ZEV Curbside Charging
- Virtual Stakeholder Group 2: ZEV Job Pipeline



TransForm Logo



ZEV Action Plan Story Map



Interactive EV Chargers Map



Future ZEV Stakeholder Meetings



**Decarbonization of Workforce
Stakeholder Meeting (Series in
Progress, Spring 2021)**



**Medium and Heavy Duty Fleets
Stakeholder Meeting (May/June
2021)**



**EV Charging in Existing Buildings
Stakeholder Meeting (Sept/Oct
2021)**

ZEV Action Plan Public Outreach

- Develop Interactive Website and Social Media Tools
- Survey of ZEV Awareness and Barriers – currently under development
- **Community Workshops 1** (Summer 2021)
 - Identifying Barriers and demystifying ZEVs, focus on West Oakland, Fruitvale, Deep East
- **Community Workshops 2** (Fall 2021)–
Creating the Roadmap



Public Outreach Meetings

Existing Projects



Lafayette Park




Lake Park



City-Owned Garages

Current Projects - Lions Creek Crossing

- Proposed replacement of 8 current parking spaces with 6 EV parking spaces (2 ADA spaces) on Lions Way, south of Hawley Street
- Potential partnership with TransForm, Chargepoint and Carbon Solutions



Map of Lions Creek Crossing Site

City Center West Garage

- *Charge!* Grant partnership with East Bay Community Energy
- ~17 Fast Chargers



City Center West Garage Entrance

Questions?



Michael Randolph

Lead, Zero Emission
Vehicle Action Plan

Mrandolph@oaklandca.gov

ZEV@oaklandca.gov

@OakDOT



More info:

tinyurl.com/ZEVplan

Join the E-mail List:

tinyurl.com/zevsurvey2



CITY OF OAKLAND
Oakland Parks, Recreation & Youth Development

TO: Corie Reilly, Chair, Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission
FROM: Terri Westbrook, Mosswood Recreation Center, Center Director
DATE: May 12, 2021
SUBJECT: REQUEST TO ALLOW OAKLAND STREET STYLERS TO COMMISSION LOCAL ARTISTS TO PAINT A MURAL ON THE FIELD HOUSE/SHED EXTERIOR WALLS AT MOSSWOOD PARK IN JUNE OF 2021.

SUMMARY

Oakland Street Stylers (OSS) is an organization that helps to promote disadvantage youth through free style soccer movement, active play, art, and education. Dania Cabello is the Co-Founder of OSS and is a long standing Mosswood Park patron. OSS brings community together to enjoy music, dance, interactive sports to youth at the park. While having a sense of belonging and connection to Mosswood, Dania realized the park outside Field House/Shed exterior walls could use a mural to reflect the park's rich history, culture, and positivity. Dania reached out to Mosswood Center inquiring about painting a mural on the outside Field House/Shed walls. Rather than see the building with negative graffiti, Dania proposed painting beautiful a mural that reflects the history of Mosswood which might include 3-on-3 tournaments, Ohlone indigenous roots, Mosswood Legends, youth and citywide sports, panther proud, and much more. This project would enrich Mosswood public visual environment and will help to promote local artists.

Approval from the Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission is required per Oakland Municipal Code-O.M.C section 12.64.08 0.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact. This is an all-volunteer effort. Oakland Public Works will provide an additional 4 trash boxes to accommodate for trash. Oakland Street Stylers will provide lighting, music, paint materials, certificate of insurance, advertising, security, and provide local artist. In

addition, OSS will maintain upkeep of mural for minimum of one year. OSS will be responsible for the maintenance of the mural. OPRYD and OPW will not maintain the mural going forward. Environmental Services has offered to provide some painting materials as an adopt-a-spot program. The mural will provide local artists to begin community dialogue, shared cultural experiences, and civic work. Community-based arts projects bring members of a community together to solve problems, build relationships, and get involved in ways that rebuild social capital.

PROJECT / PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Oakland Street Stylers is and has been a sports educational soccer program and a haven for underprivileged youth in Oakland. They also bring together the arts community in Oakland and help to inspire youth academically and socially through play and interactive games at Mosswood Park. This art mural project notion was born out of this connection to the park. OSS noticed the outside Field House/Shed exterior walls is consistently covered in negative graffiti and needs more positive messaging. The outside Field House/Shed is located on the northwest corner inside Mosswood Park, adjacent to the baseball field. The project would invite and include Mosswood RAC, youth, churches, schools, seniors, and residents to have input and participate. The mural will reflect the community in which it resides. The building is 50 by 33 square feet wide (see attached Exhibit C). A mural will be painted on three sides of the building. The OSS will incorporate community of all ages into the project for first four weeks. OSS plan to host several virtual community meetings to prep for mural design process as a collective body. The project is implementing the appropriate Alameda County Health and CDC guidelines for safety protocols (see OSS letter request). Masks will always be worn. After the approval the of PRAC, the proposal will be presented at the Public Art Advisory Committee on Monday, May 3, 2021 at 5:30pm.

BACKGROUND / LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Mosswood Park currently supports a wide variety of programs from sports to gardening to concerts. Active recreational spaces include outdoor basketball courts, tennis courts and a baseball field on the west and south sides of the park. A community garden and the public park restrooms are tucked into the northwest corner of the park. The former recreation center and the temporary portable facilities are located just south of the Moss House. A parking lot and dog park occupies the southwest corner. The park is surrounded by very different urban conditions. Webster Street to the west is a residential two-lane road. West MacArthur Boulevard and Broadway are arterial roads. Moderately sized commercial buildings line West MacArthur while tall medical buildings front the park on Broadway. An elevated freeway, I-580, borders the park to the south. The Field House/Shed is in the northwest corner of the park. Currently, the

building serves as a tool shed, storage space and is an Adopt-a-Spot for the City of Oakland Environmental Service Department. Located in the rear of the shed is a community garden near the corner of Webster and MacArthur.

RECOMMENDATION

Oakland, Parks, Recreation and Youth Development staff recommends that the Parks Recreation Advisory Commission approve the request for a mural painting on the Field House/Shed exterior walls at Mosswood Park for June 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Terri Westbrook

Prepared by:

Terri Westbrook

Mosswood Center Director

/s/ Elena Bermeo

Approved by:

Elena Bermeo

Recreation Supervisor

Attachments: Exhibit A – Oakland Street Stylers Request Letter
Exhibit B – Sample Artist Artwork
Exhibit C – Field House/Shed Schematic Design

DATE: 4/14/2021
TO: Parks Recreation Advisory Commission
SUBJECT: Request to Paint a Mural on Mosswood Park Field House/Shed Exterior Walls

This letter is a request to the Parks Recreation Advisory Commission (PRAC) to allow community members to paint a positive messaging mural on the Field House/Shed exterior walls at Mosswood Park. We are lifelong Mosswood park goers, culture keepers in the North Oakland neighborhood, and frequent users of the parks various play spaces. Oakland Street Stylers were recent recipients of Akonadi's Just City Award - to reimagine an anti-racist city through our project, Possibility of Play (of which we are fiscally sponsored by Oakland Parks and Rec Foundation).

As part of this imagining we would like to beautify and create meaningful work on the walls of the current Field House/Shed that reflects the complicated, joyful, and important histories of Mosswood park. The area in which the shed resides is one of the more visible spaces at the park - a white canvas of sorts that is weekly covered in foul images or poorly written words that are then painted white all over again. We have witnessed this cycle for the past 5 years and believe the time has come to imagine new possibilities to uplift our sacred communal space.

Due to restrictions in sports by COVID-19 we have witnessed entire new ecosystems of play emerge on these courts in response to the pandemic. From freestyle soccer players, to roller skaters, skateboarders, movement practitioners, and boxers (just to name a few) this space and the people deserve uplifting images...especially during a time of much darkness.

As educators, storytellers, and culture keepers it is important to us that the mural reflect the community in which it resides. The process would be open and announced to neighbors through intentional gatherings and story circles. These story circles will help guide the artists who will create work reflective of the past, present and future keepers of the park.

These story circles will be accompanied by community art practices led by the artists to get visual inspirations from neighbors themselves for the final designs. There will be sign ups, following COVID protocols for children and families to join the artists on paint days. All the artists we have in mind are also educators and community culture keepers who have over 10+ years engaging community and youth through visual arts processes...Most recently can be seen all over the North Oakland Building at 4400 Telegraph Ave.

We have a few local artists groups in mind - that have long histories of keeping our neighborhoods safe and vibrant: Trust Your Struggle Collective, From East Side Arts Alliance Leslie "Dime" Lopez, Dominic "Treat U Nice" Villeda. We have attached samples of their work.

We imagine an 8-week timeline - 4 weeks for community input followed by 4 weeks of planning and painting. We understand that there is no budget from OPRYD, so we will be campaigning to raise the funds to pay the artists for their labor and materials. We have a sponsorship page up to begin our campaign through the OPRF at: <https://www.oaklandparks.org/oakland-street-stylers/>. We are excited to get the process started. Waivers Artists and Participants will sign waivers prior to event start. Insurance will be provided as needed. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dania Cabello
Co-Founder Oakland Street Stylers
danial8@gmail.com

cc: Terri Westbrook, Center Director
Kristen Zarembo, Public Art Coordinator, Economic & Workforce Development

Community Activation Plan:

Here is how we plan to engage the users of Mosswood park in the story circles. 1. Flyers around the Park 2. Through the Mosswood Rec email listserv/day programs (though Bianca Posada) 3. Word of mouth to the daily park users 4. Oakland Street Stylers community listserv 5. Notice to the Temescal BID (Shifra has agreed)

COVID Protocols: In accordance with Alameda County Health Guidelines

- Participants must always wear masks
- Participants must maintain 6 ft social distance
- OSS will provide sanitation station (disinfectant wipes, hand sanitizer, gloves)
- In order to make sure sound is not an issue we can provide a mic and speaker for audio support

Example Agenda of how a day would look

11 am Set up - Sign in table, sanitation station, art activity station, banner + markers for reflections.

12-1 pm Story Circle (speakers TBD)

1-2 pm Art activity led by artist educators to capture community reflections on stories shared/ visuals ideas for the mural

2-3 pm Clean Up

Photo of shed from Basketball court as of 1/15/21



SAMPLES OF WORK IN NORTH OAKLAND, at 4400 Telegraph
Curated by Dania Cabello (at her family's old store, Baby World)
Artwork by Leslie "Dime" Lopez




^ Curated by Dania Cabello facilitating story circles for Critical Resistance, Co-created by Leslie "Dime" Lopez and Dominic "Treat U Nice" Villeda



Exhibit B

Work Samples






Exhibit C