## Design Review Committee

Case File Number: PLN22-173
June 28, 2023

| Location: | 533 Kirkham Street (See map on reverse) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Assessor's Parcel Numbers: | 004-0069-002-01 \& -002-02 |
| Proposal: | Design Review discussion for a proposal to construct an eight-story mixed use building containing 289 dwelling units and approximately 2900 square feet of ground floor commercial. The proposal involves a State Density Bonus proposal that would include 13 units designated as very-low income. |
| Applicant: | Justin Zucker / Rueben, Junius \& Rose LLP |
| Owners: | TC II 533 LLC |
| Planning Permits Required: | Regular Design Review for new construction, Minor Conditional Use Permit for driveway location, and Major Conditional Use Permit for a development project in excess of 100,000 square feet in the S-15 Zone. |
| General Plan: | Community Commercial |
| Zoning: | S-15(W) |
| Environmental Determination: | Determination Pending |
| Historic Status: | Not a historic property |
| City Council District: | 3 |
| Finality of Decision: | No decision on application, receive Committee and Public comments prior to decision on the application at a future date. |
| For further information: | Contact case planner Pete Vollmann at (510) 238-6167 or by email: pvollmann@oaklandca.gov. |

## SUMMARY

Justin Zucker of Rueben, Junius \& Rose has filed an application with the Bureau of Planning on behalf of the property owner TC II 533 LLC (Tidewater Capital) to develop an eight-story mixed use building that would include 289 dwelling units with approximately 2,900 square feet of ground floor commercial fronting on $7^{\text {th }}$ Street. The proposal includes a State Density Bonus request that would include 13 of the proposed dwelling units to be designated as affordable for very-low-income households and requests development waivers for open space and height transition at the $7^{\text {th }}$ Street frontage as allowed under the Density Bonus application.

Staff requests that the Design Review Committee receive public testimony and provide comments on the proposed design.

## CITY OF OAKLAND PLANNING COMMISSION



Case File: PLN22I73
Applicant: Kyle Winkler/Tidewater
Address: 533 Kirkham St
Zone: S-I5

## PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The subject property consists of a 50,917 square-foot site located on the south side of $7^{\text {th }}$ Street between Mandela Parkway and Kirkham Street. The southern end of the site is directly adjacent to the BART aerial tracks leading into the West Oakland BART station. The site contains the majority of the block north of the BART tracks except for a portion of the block that consists of a parcel under separate ownership at the southeast corner of $7^{\text {th }}$ Street and Mandela Parkway. The project site contains street frontage along Kirkham Street, $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, and a portion of Mandela Parkway to the rear of the aforementioned parcel adjacent to the site. The subject property is current void of any development and consists of a paved asphalt parking lot.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed Project would construct an eight-story mixed use building containing 289 dwelling units as well as a ground floor commercial space of 2,995 square feet. The residential apartments would be located on all floors of the building with the ground floor along $7^{\text {th }}$ Street containing the building's residential lobby, commercial space and tenant amenities. The rear portion of the ground floor would contain a small, structured parking garage with an adjacent surface lot that extends out to the Mandela Street frontage for a total of 40 off-street parking stalls, one residential loading berth, and a bike storage room that would accommodate 80 long term bike parking stalls. The ground level also includes dwelling units on a double loaded corridors that includes units facing into a ground floor courtyard open space on the interior side, and on the exterior side of the corridor the units would have private patios facing both the side property line and out onto the street frontage along Kirkham Street.

The applicant is taking advantage of the Affordable Housing Density bonus and would include 13 dwelling units that would be designated as affordable for very-low income households. The applicant is also looking to include development waivers, as allowed under the Density Bonus Law, to reduce the amount of required open space and to waive the height reduction setback along $7^{\text {th }}$ Street.

## ZONING ANALYSIS

The subject property is located within the S-15 W Transit Oriented Development Commercial Zone ("W" being designated to the S-15 Zone in West Oakland established through the West Oakland Specific Plan). The Transit-Oriented Development (S-15) Zones are intended to create, preserve and enhance areas devoted primarily to serve multiple modes of transportation and to feature high-density residential, commercial, and mixed-use developments to encourage a balance of pedestrian-oriented activities, transit opportunities, and concentrated development; and encourage a safe and pleasant pedestrian environment near transit stations by allowing a mixture of Residential, Civic, Commercial, and Light Industrial Activities, allowing for
amenities such as benches, kiosks, lighting, and outdoor cafes; and by limiting conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians, and is typically appropriate around transit centers such as Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) stations, AC Transit centers, and other transportation nodes.

The site is located within the 160 Height Zone, which allows for a permitted height of 160 feet and residential density of one dwelling unit per 225 square feet of lot area for regular dwelling units and one dwelling unit per 110 square feet of lot area for efficiency dwelling units. The zoning also allows a commercial FAR of 5.0.

## Affordable Housing Density Bonus

As previously mentioned, the 160 -height zone in which the Project site is located allows for a maximum residential density of one dwelling unit per 225 square feet of lot area for regular dwelling units and one dwelling per 110 square feet of lot area for efficiency dwelling units. Given that the project contains a mix of regular and efficiency dwelling units, the density is calculated by dividing the 50,917 square feet of site area based upon the percentage allocated to each dwelling type. In this case the applicant is proposing to allocate $9.5 \%$ of the site area to efficiency dwelling units (EDU) and $90.5 \%$ to regular dwelling units (RDU) which would allow for a baseline density of 249 dwelling units with a unit mix of $82 \%$ RDU's and $18 \%$ EDU's for the baseline project. The applicant's proposal would include 5\% (13 units) of the baseline project units as affordable to very low income which allows a $20 \%$ density bonus, thus allowing for a maximum density of 299 units for the project. The applicant's density bonus project would include 289 units, which would have the same unit type breakdown as the baseline project with $82 \%$ ( 237 units) of the units being RDU's and $18 \%$ ( 52 units) being EDU's. The density bonus calculation and unit type allocation are illustrated in the table below.

| Baseline Density Project |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dwelling Unit <br> Type | Site Area by Percentage | Density Calculation | Allowed Dwellings <br> $(\%)$ |
| EDU | $9.5 \% \times 50,917=4837.12$ | $4837.12 / 110=43.9$ | $43.9(18 \%)$ |
| RDU | $90.5 \% \times 50,917=46,079.89$ | $46,079.89 / 225=204.8$ | $204.8(82 \%)$ |
| Total Baseline | Density Bonus Project 289 Units |  |  |
| $248.7(\mathbf{2 4 9})^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| Baseline Density | Density Bonus (20\%) | Unit Type Percentage: RDU 82\% / EDU 18\% |  |
| 249 units | $249 \times 1.20=298.8(299)^{*}$ <br> max density allowed | RDU 289 x 82\% = 237 | EDU 289 x 18\% = 52 |

* Affordable Housing State Density Bonus Law allows fractional density calculations to round up to the next whole number.


## Development Waivers

In addition to the density bonus described above, the Affordable Housing Density Bonus allows applicants to request certain development waivers that would relax standards that would otherwise preclude the development of the number of units proposed in density bonus project. The City is
required to grant such waivers if it is demonstrated that the inclusion of the regulations would reduce the unit count in the density bonus project and findings cannot be made that would conclude that the project would result in an unavoidable impact to health and safety or upon a historic resource or if such waiver would be inconsistent with federal or state law.

The applicant has included a request for two such development waivers, 1) the applicant has requested to waive the open space standards of the Planning Code given that the expansion of the proposed open space courtyards or expansion of any other yards would result in the loss of dwelling units within the density bonus project, and 2) the applicant has requested to waive the height transition setback along $7^{\text {th }}$ Street where the maximum height of the building within the first ten feet from the front property line is required to match the lower height limit across the street. By complying with said regulation the density bonus project would lose all of the eight floor units facing $7^{\text {th }}$ Street.

Given that the applicant has demonstrated a loss of units from the proposed density bonus project with the application of both above-described development standards, and the granting of such waivers would not result in an impact upon a historic resource or health and safety, nor be inconsistent with any state or federal laws, the City is required to grant the waivers.

## Conditional Use Permits

The proposed project would include more than 100,000 square feet of new floor area, and pursuant to Planning Code Section 17.97.030 a Major Conditional Use Permit is required, thus making the Planning Commission the decision-making body on the application.

## Driveway/Parking Location

Planning Code Section 17.97.060 requires a conditional use permit whenever a parking garage, loading berth or driveway located on the ground floor is within 20 feet of a pedestrian walkway or plaza. The project includes ground floor parking and loading accessed from a driveway on Kirkham Street, thus requiring the granting of a conditional use permit. Staff feels that the granting of a conditional use permit is appropriate given that the driveway is located on Kirkham Street, which creates the least impact onto the pedestrian streetscape and is preferable over the commercial street frontage on $7^{\text {th }}$ Street or along Mandela Parkway across from the BART station.

## Parking

## Automobile Parking

The S-15(W) Zone requires . 5 parking spaces per residential dwelling unit and does not require any parking for commercial activities. Pursuant to the Planning Code, the project would be required to include 145 parking stalls. Initially the applicant had included a Concession/Incentive to waive the parking requirements as allowed under the Affordable Housing Density Bonus law. However, since the filing of the application AB2097 was adopted and went into effect on January 1, 2023. AB2097 states, "A public agency shall not impose or enforce any minimum automobile parking requirement on a residential, commercial, or other development project if
the project is located within one-half mile of public transit". Given that the project site is located directly across the street from the West Oakland BART station, the City is precluded under state law from requiring any off-street parking for automobiles. Nonetheless, the applicant has included off-street parking for 40 automobiles. In addition, the one off-street loading berth required for the residential facilities is located within the parking garage to avoid a separate garage door and curb cut location on the street frontage.

## Bike Parking

The S-15(W) Zone requires one long term bike parking stall per every four dwelling units and two stall for the commercial activity (minimum requirement) for a total of 74 stalls, and one short term bike parking stall per every 20 dwelling units and two stalls for the commercial activity (minimum requirement) for a total of 16 stalls. The project as proposed includes a bike parking room that can accommodate 80 bikes, thus complying with the requirements under the Planning Code, and the right of way surrounding the project site will easily be able to accommodate eight bike racks to provide for the 16 required short term stalls.

## Height \& Setbacks

As previously stated, the subject property is within the $\mathrm{S}-15(\mathrm{~W})$ and the 160 height zones. Other than the development waiver requested to eliminate the height transition requirement along $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, the proposed project complies with all of the height and setback regulations within the Planning Code.

## DESIGN REVIEW

The State Housing Accountability Act (HAA) states a housing project may not be denied or its density reduced if it is consistent with "objective, quantifiable, written development standards, conditions and policies;" unless specific findings are made. An "Objective standard" involves no personal or subjective judgment by a public official and is uniformly verifiable by reference to an external and uniform benchmark or criteria available and knowable by the applicant and public official. Given this legal standard under the HAA, the City is limited when performing design review of development application to those Code and Design Guideline standards that are considered "Objective" and not subject to discretionary interpretation.

Staff has prepared the following analysis of the Design Review component of this development application with the HAA limitations in mind, and has only identified Objective standards within the Design Guidelines that the project is not in complete compliance with for discussion.

## Compliance with Objective Design Standards (Code and Design Guidelines)

As explained earlier in this report the proposed project is in compliance with all of the zoning regulations with the exception of the two standards that are subject to the allowed waivers. As
such, under the Planning Code the project is compliant with all objective standards related to design.

The project site is also subject to two sets of adopted design guidelines, as both the Commercial Corridor Design Guidelines as well as the West Oakland Specific Plan (WOSP) Design Guidelines are applicable to the subject property. Many of the WOSP Design Guidelines that would be applicable to the project site are design principles that are also covered within the Commercial Corridor Design Guidelines. The project is largely in compliance with the applicable objective standards from both sets of design guidelines as provided in a high level summary below:

- Site Planning and Building Placement - The proposal locates the building, including the commercial storefronts, at the property line edge along the primary commercial street $\left(7^{\text {th }}\right.$ Street in this case per the WOSP).
- Location of Open Space - The proposed open space is integral to the building design and is easily accessible to residents. The group open space courtyards are southern facing toward solar access.
- Location of Parking and Service Elements - Parking and service elements on the site are located off of the primary commercial street to the rear of active spaces and shielded from public view (see comment below regarding recommended added landscape/ buffer for parking lot facing Mandela Parkway).
- Exterior Materials - The basis for consideration of exterior materials within the design guidelines documents is largely subjective given that the term "high-quality" is used to provide the standard. The only specific material called out as not acceptable is T-111 and as such staff is limited to critique of the proposed exterior finishes. The proposed project is proposing to use an Exterior Insulated Finish System (EIFS), which in the past has often been discouraged due to durability and maintenance issues. Given the limited list of what materials would not be considered "high-quality" within the design guidelines documents, staff must acknowledge that the proposed exterior finish is not inconsistent with any objective design guidelines. Staff will also note that the proposed granite finish to the EIFS could be viewed as a higher quality to that of a typical stucco exterior EIFS product. The design guidelines also identify the need for highly durable exterior materials along the ground floor on corridors. This could be argued to be seen as an objective standard if a particular material chosen at the ground level is a product that could be easily damaged by minimal impact. As such, this issue was raised to the applicant about the use of the EIFS panel system on the ground floor. As a result, the applicant revised the proposal to remove the foam EIFS system with a tile material along the base of the building that includes a solid substate to improve durability.


## Bay Window Projections

The WOSP Design Guidelines includes guideline Neighborhood Commercial 2: Massing, which states, "Residential upper stories are encouraged to include bay windows above the ground floor to provide light and air, and to break up the scale of buildings and convey residential use". The proposed project does not include any bay window projections as encouraged by this objective guideline and is therefore not consistent. However, any bay window projections would be required to extend into the public right of way and would require a Major Encroachment Permit processed by OakDOT and subject to approval by the City Council. Due to a number of past projects incorporating projections into the right of way that were deemed excessive, OakDOT had taken the position of putting a hold on supporting any further requests for such projections until a set of standards is established that clearly sets the parameters as to what level of dimensional projections into the right of way would be acceptable. Currently, OakDOT is investigating whether bay projections consistent with limitations specified in the California Building Code may be allowable and is reviewing bay projections on a case-by-case basis. However, given the direction from OakDOT at the time the development application was being considered under the pre-application, the design was developed without recommendation from Planning to include bay window projections into the design. Staff feels that it would be inappropriate to require the development project to incorporate bay projections at this time given that the architectural design concept has been established and the inclusion of bay windows would not work well with the current design. Furthermore, the guideline in question states that bay projections at upper stories are "encouraged" and not necessarily required, and the proposed recess on the $7^{\text {th }}$ Street frontage that includes balconies provides the break in massing and residential feel of the building as intended by this guideline.

## Ground Floor Residential along Kirkham Street

The Corridor Design Guidelines includes guideline 4.1.2 that addresses grade separation of ground floor units from the grade of the adjacent right of way. Specifically, it states, "Provide at least a 2-1/2 to three-foot vertical separation between ground floor living space and the sidewalk grade to create a sense of privacy and buffer the residences from nearby traffic. The separation is particularly important for units near the right-of-way. Use this separation to place windows above the eye level of pedestrians on the adjacent sidewalk. The bottom of a window usually needs to be about 4-6 feet above grade, depending on the setback of the building, to prevent pedestrians from easily looking into interior living space.". The proposed project includes ground floor dwelling units that face onto Kirkham Street, in which this objective design guideline is applicable, and the project does not fully comply. This issue was raised with the first submittal of the application, and the applicant revised the proposal to bring it closer into conformance by providing an 18-24 inch grade separation depending on the location along Kirkham Street. The issue that the applicant is competing with is the limitation on ramping area within the internal circulation of the building that would allow the full 30-36 inch separation as called out in the guideline. They were able to incorporate a ramping system that was able to raise the floor levels form the lobby entrance by 18 inches but were limited due to the site topography sloping down to the south where the building's garage is located, which requires additional
ramping back down in order to comply with ADA regulations. To address the privacy issue that the guidelines is intended to solve the applicant has included a six-foot recess of the unit from the property line, as well as including a raised landscape bed within the recess adjacent to the bedroom windows which adds an additional 18 inches in height as a buffer. That along with the decorative screening for the patios provides an enhanced visible separation that aims to meet the intent of the guideline. Many other commercial zones have the grade separation codified by requiring a minimum 30 -inch floor level height above the adjacent grade of the right of way, however; the $\mathrm{S}-15$ zones do not include this codified requirement. As a result, no variance is required, and the project is only subject to the design guideline cited here. It is within the discretion of City staff, and ultimately the Planning Commission as the decision-making body, as to whether the design as proposed is acceptable to meet the intent of the guideline even if not exactly meeting the dimensional requirement. Staff is comfortable with the alternative design as proposed to meet the intent of the guideline, and requests input from the Design Review Committee if there is concurrence.


## Landscape Buffer for Surface Parking Lot

Design Guideline 3.2.1 of the Corridor Design Guidelines states, "Provide planting and a screening edge between the primary right-of-way and surface parking lots and landscaping in the interior of surface parking lots". While much of the parking is located within an enclosed garage that conceals the view of automobiles from public view, there is a portion of the site that includes a thin strip of land that extends from the back of the lot and connects out to Mandela Parkway and is proposed to be retained as a surface parking. The parking area would be resurfaced, and the existing curb cut onto Mandela Parkway will be removed, but staff recommends that an additional landscape buffer be provided at the edge along the sidewalk to screen the parking from public view. Additionally, landscaping should be provided along the rear property line of the surface parking lot beyond the backing distance necessary to accommodate vehicle turning movements.

## RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Committee review the proposed project for appropriate site and building design considerations and provide direction to staff and the project applicant prior to the development application being presented before the full Planning Commission.

Prepared by:


PETERSON Z. VOLLMANN
Planner IV

## Approved:

## Catherine Payne <br> CATEHRINE PAYNE

Development Planning Manager

Attachments:
A. Project Plans

## ATTACHMENT A




SITE PHOTOS AND KEY MAP



## 533 KIRKHAM

west oakland, california

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:
8 STORY MIXED USE BULLIING FEATURING APPROXIMATELY
289 APARTMENT UNITS, 3000 SF OF RETALL, AND 24 PARKING SPACES
owner:
KYLE WINKLER
TCII 533 KIRRHAM, Lic

SAN FRANAIICCO, CA 94104
(510) 290-9901

Engineer:

(925) $940-2663$
fLOOD ZONE:
THE PROJECT IS IN THE SELECTED FLOODMAP BOUNDARAY BUT


VICIIITY MAP

| Sheet List Table |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sheet Number | Sheet Title |
| C0.0 | GRADING AND DRAINAGE TITLE SHEET |
| C1.0 | GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN |
| C1.1 | STORMWATER DRAINAGE PLAN |
| C2.0 | EROSION CONTROL PLAN |
| C2.1 | EROSION CONTROL DETAILS |
| C2.2 | BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES |
| C3.0 | STORMWATER CONTROL PLAN |
| C4.0 | CIVIL DETAILS |
|  |  |



LEGEND:

- $\overline{\overline{x \times Z}}$ - FLOW DIRECTION SAWCUT LINE GRADING LIMITS
PERVIOUS PAVING NEW SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER

ABBREVIATIONS:

| AC | ASPHALT CONCRETE |
| :--- | :--- |
| BGW | BOTTOM OF GRADE AT WALL |
| BW | BACK OF WALK |
| BS | BOTTOM OF STEP |
| EX | EXISTING |
| EG | EXITTNG GRADE |
| FF | FINSH FLOOR |
| FG | FINISHED GRADE |
| FL | FLOWLNE |
| FW | FIRE WATER |
| HP | HIGH POINT |
| LG | LIP OF GUTTER |
| GRT | GRATE |
| MA | MATCH |
| TC | TOP OF CURB |
| TGW | TOP OF GRADE AT WALL |
| TS | TOP OF STEP |
| TW | TOP OF WALL |

## NOTES:

1. FOR WALKWAYS AND ALL ACCESSIBLE AREAS
CROSS SLOPES SHALL NOT EXCEED $2 \%$ GRADE.

THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MATCHING EXISTING STREETS, SURROUNDING LANDSCAPE AND OTTER IMPROVEMENTS WITH A SMOOTH TRANSITION IN PAVNG, CURBS AND
SIDEWALKS GRADING ETC AND TO AVOID SIDEWALKS, GRADING, ETC. AND TO
ABRUPT OR APPARENT CHANGES.
3. REFER tO ARCHITECTURAL PLANS BUILDING
4. IF, DURING CONSTRUCTION, ARCHAEOLOGICAL OR NATIVE AMERICAN REMANS OR ARTIFACTS ARE ENCOUNTERED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAL CONSTRUCTION IN THE VICINITY
NOTIFY THE PROJECT OWNER.
5. ALL DISTANCES AND DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND TO FACE OF CURB UNLESS OTHERWISE
NOTED ON PI LANS.
6. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED, THE NOMINAL GUTTER TO TOP OF CURB SHAL BE ${ }^{\text {m }}$.

ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO CURRENT CITY STANDARD PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED AND APPROVED.


LEGEND:
 - - - - PATER LINE

ABBREVIATIONS:

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| AC | ASPHALT CONCRETE |
| BGW | BOTTAM OF GRADE AT WALL |
| BW | BACK OF WALK |
| BS | BOTTOM OF STEP |
| EX | EXISTNG |
| EG | EXISTING GRADE |
| FF | FNISH FLOOR |
| FG | FNISHED GRADE |
| FL | FLOWLNE |
| FW | FIRE WATER |
| HP | HIGH PONT |
| LG | LIP OF GUTTER |
| GRT | GRATE |
| MA | MATCH |
| TC | TOP OF CURB |
| TGW | TOP OF GRADE AT WALL |
| TS | TOP OF STEP |
| TW | TOP OF WALL |
| NOTES: |  |

1. FOR WALKWAYS AND ALL ACCESSIBLE AREAS CROSS SLOPES SHALL NOT EXCEED $2 \%$ GRADE.
2. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MATCHING EXISTING STREETS, SURROUNDING LANDSCAPE AND OTHER IMPROVEMENTS WITH
SMOOTH TRANSITION IN PAVING, CURBS AND SIDEWALKS, GRADING. ETC. AND TO AVOID ABRUPT OR APPARENT CHANGES.
3. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL PLANS BUILDING
4. IF, DURING CONSTRUCTION, ARCHAEOLOGICAL OR NATIVE AMERICAN REMAINS OR ARTIFACTS ARE ENCOUNTERED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAL CONSTRUCTION IN THE VICINITT
NOTIFY THE PROJECT OWNER.
5. ALL DISTANCES AND DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND TO FACE OF CURB UNLESS OTHERWISE ON PLANS
6. UNLESS OTHERWIS SPECIFIED, THE NOMINAL SPECIFIED HEIGHT OF CURB MEASURED FROM GUTTER TO TOP OF CURB SHALL BE $6{ }^{\circ}$.
. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO CURRENT CITY STANDARD PLANS AND SPECIFICATIO
OTHERWISE NOTED AND APPROVED.


LEGEND:
CONSTRUCTION FENCE FIBER ROLL ENTRANCE

$\square$STORM DRAIN INLET PROTECTION
(TO BE PLACED ON ALL EXISTING AND PROPOSED INLETS)
**REFER TO CASQA STORMWATER BMP HANDBOOK FOR MORE INFORMATION.

## NOTES:

1. ALL EROSION CONTROL WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED WTHIN THE PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL REPORT TITLED "XXX" DATED XXX AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS.
2. THIS PLAN IS INTENDED TO BE USED FOR EROSION CONTROL ONLY. OTHER INFORMATION SHOWN HEREIN MAY NOT BE THE MOST CURRENT



SECTIDN A-A

NDTES:

1. PLACE CURB TYPE SEDIMENT BARRIERS aN GENTLY SLIPING STREET SEGMENTS, WHERE WATER CAN PD
AND ALLIW SEDIMENT TI SEPARATE FRDM RUNIFF.
2. SANDBAGS DF EITHER BURLAP QR WDVEN 'GEDTEXTILE'
FABRIC, ARE FILLED WITH GRAVEL LAYERED AND PACKE FABRIC,
3. LEAVE A ONE SANDBAG GAP IN THE TIP RDW TU
4. INSPECT BARRIERS AND REMDVE SEDIMENT AFTER EACH INSPECT BARRIERS AND REMDVE SEDIMENT AFTER EACH
STRRM EVENT. SEDIMENT AND GRAVEL MUS BE REMDVED
FRIM THE TRAVELED WAY IMMEDIATELY.
(1) $\frac{\text { CURB INLET SEDIMENT BARRIER }}{\text { NOT TO SCALE }}$


ENTRENCHMENT DETAIL IN FLAT AREA
DTES

1. FIBER RDLLS ARE TUBES MADE FRDM PDRDUS
 PHDTO-DEGRADABLE
APPER
$8^{\circ}$ DIAMETETER.
2. FIBER ROLL INSTALLATION REQUIRES THE PLACEMENT AND SECURE STAKING DF THE ROLL IN A TRENCH, $2^{\prime \prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$
DEEP, DUG ON CINTIUR. RUNIFF MUST DEEP, DUG GN CDNTAUR, RUNOFF MUST NDT BE ALLLDWED ABUTTED SECURELY TI PROVIDE A TIGHT JIINT, NET ABUTTED SECU
DVERLAPPED.
(3) FIBER RDLL

## Pollution Prevention - It's Part of the Plan



Materials storage \& spill cleanup
zardous materials managemen
 Catch basiss. All construction material must be covered with a tapp and contained
witha p perimeter control during wet weather r $r$ when rin in s forcasted or when not actively beering used wibihin 14 deys.
as needed work sereas with watern
Recylle all asphalt, concrete, and aggeregate base material fom demolition activities.
Comply with Alameda
 - Cover all dumppters wimpatars at the end of every work day or during wet weat

Hazardous materials management
-Label all hazardous materials and hazardous wastes ssuch as pesticides, paints, thinests, solvents, fuel, oil, and anifireeze) in a ccordance with dity, county, state 4 sure berderme
Spproveriates seconddary contuinment, and covert them at the end of f every work day or during wet weather or when rain is forceasted.
$\checkmark$ F Fllow manufacurures's application instructions for hazardous materials and be careful not to use more than neeessary. Do not apply chemicals outdorors when
rain is forecasted witiin 24 hours.

- Be sure to a arrange for apporopt
Spill prevention and control
$\checkmark$ Kccp a stockpilic of spill clcanup materials (rags, absorbents, etc.) avvilable at
- When spills or leaks occur, contain them inmediately and be perticularly careful to prevent leaks and spills from reaching the guter, strect or stom drain
Never wash silled material into a guter, streets stom drain or creach

Dispose of all contaimentand cleanuy materials properly. County Public Works Agency dispatch at $(510) 670-5500$
Construction Entrances and Perimeter
- Establiss and maintain effective perimeter controls and stabilize all construction entrances and exist so sufficicienty control erosion and sediment discharges fiom site and drack ing offis sitt.
Thweep or vacuum any street tracking inmediaiely and securc sediment source
to preena further traccing

Make sure your crews and subs do the job right! Runoff from streets and other paved areas is a major source of pollution and damage to creeks and the San
Francisco Bay. Construction activities can directly affect the health of creeks and the Bay unless contractors and crews plan ahead to keep dirt, debris, and other construction waste away from storm drains and local creeks. Following these guidelines and the project specifications will ensure your compliance with County of Alameda requirements.
$V$ ehicle and equipment maintenance \& cleaning
Inspect veicices and equipment fien until repaiis are madc; cpair leaks

Fuul and maintain vehicles on siteo only
ma beamed area or overa dinipp
is big nough top prevent runoff
If you must clean vehicles or equipmen
an site, clean with water only in
inse wateret to run intot guturer, streets,
stom drains, or creek.
Do nons clean velicices or equipment
onsitic using soaps, solvercts, cegrease
Earthwork \& contaminated soils
Kecp excavated soil on the site where it will not collect in the street.
Transfer to dump trucks should take place on the site, not in the street.

- Use fiber olls, sill fences, or or other control measures to minimize the flow of silt ff the site.


Dewatering operations

within the sit, and all rnoff that discharges from the site. Run-on foom off site shall be directed away fom all disturred areas or shall collectively be in compliance

- Resse water for dust contro, irirgaion, or anouher on-site purpose to the greatest Contaminated groundwater must be treated of hauled offsitie for proper disposal.


## Saw cutting

 filter fabric, catch basin inlet filters, or sandderavel bases ot teen surry out of the stom drain system.- Shovel, bbocob, or vacuum saw-cut slurry and pieks up all waste as soon as you are finished in one location or at the end of each work day (whichever is soonert).

Paving/asphalt work

$\sim$ Be sure to onoify and obtain approval from the Engineer beffred discharging water to street, guter or stom drain. Filtration or diversion trrougha a basin, tanks, or sediment tap may be required.

$\checkmark$ Almays completely cover or baricicade stom drain inles when saw cutting. Use
$\checkmark$ Always cover stom drain inlets and manholes when paving or applying seal coat, tack coat, slurry sal., of fog seal.
$\sim$ Protect guters, diches $\sim$ Protect gutures, ditches, and drainage coursee Win sand gravel bags, or carthen berms fiom sand sealing into gutuers, stomm drains, or crees. Collect sand and retum it to t Stockpile, or dispose of it as trash. - Don not we water

Concrete, grout, and mortar storage \& waste disposal
areas that will not allow dischargy of wash wader onto the underlying soiil


Painting
Never rinse painitb busshes or Paiteial in in gutter or street paint before e insing b bushes,
Paint out excess oil-ased paint before cleaning bususes in thinner
Filler paint thineres and sodvens for reusese wheneverer posisile Disposs of oill-bseed paint sludge and uusabble thimener as

## Landscape Materials

Contian, cover, and store on pallets all stockpiled landscape materials (nuucch, couppos, fertilizers, etc.) during wet weather or when ain is forceasted or when not actively being used within 14 dyys
$\sim$ Disconitiuve the application of any erodiblel landscape materia

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { and away foom drainage e reas. These materials must neverereach } \\
& \text { Iom drain. } \\
& \text { Wash out concrelee exuipmenturucks offsitit or into contiined wastourt }
\end{aligned}
$$



LEGEND:

## drainage management area (dma) BOUNDARY

$4 / 1 / 1$
FLOW THROUGH PLANTER (FP)
DMA\# DMA LABEL
O rain water roof leader

## NOTES:

1. SEE GRADING PLAN FOR BIORETENTION AREA

SEEVANDSCAPE PLANS FOR PROPOSED LOCATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF LANDSCAPING AND PLAN MATERIALS.

(4) $\frac{\text { firb yropant wstallation }}{\text { scale }}$

(7) $\frac{\text { STORM AND SEWER CLEANOUT }}{\text { NTS }}$

(2) $\frac{\text { FLOW THROUGH PLANTER }}{\text { NTS }}$

(3) SANITARY OR STORM DRAIN MANHOLE

(6) CONCRETE SECTION

(9) STORM DRAIN CATCH BASIN








HISTORY AND PLACE - ART MURALS
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SURROUNDING AREA
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FLOOR PLANS \& AREA MATRIX






Bicycle Parking Calculation
Residential Per 17.117.090
Long-term 1 space for each 4 dwelling units 289 units/4 $=72.25$ spaces required 80 spaces provided
Short-term 1 space for each 20 dwelling units 289 units/20 $=14.45$ spaces required 15 spaces provided

Commercial Per 17.117.110
Long-term 1 space for each 10,000 sf floor area 2,999 sf/ $10,000=$
1 space provided
Short-term 1 space for each 20,000 sf floor area $2,999 \mathrm{sf} / 20,000=1$ space minimum 1 space provided

OPEN SPACE



Open Space Calculation
Per 17.97.070
75 sf of group open space for 1 dwelling unit 38 sf of group open space for 1 efficiency dwelling unit 289 units total
216 DU $\times 75 \mathrm{sf}=16,200 \mathrm{sf}$
21 DU $\times 15 \mathrm{sf}=315 \mathrm{sf}$
49 EDU $\times 38 \mathrm{sf}=1862 \mathrm{sf}$
3 EDU $\times 15 \mathrm{sf}=45 \mathrm{sf}$
Total Required $=18,422$ sf
Group Open Space Provided
$\mathrm{L}=2,368 \mathrm{sf}$
$\mathrm{L}=2, \mathrm{3} 6 \mathrm{sf}$
$\mathrm{L} 2=2,200 \mathrm{sf}$
Private Open Space Provided (counts 2x)
L1 $=3,035$ sf
$\mathrm{L} 1=3,035 \mathrm{sf}$
$\mathrm{L} 2=1,950 \mathrm{sf}$
Group
Private
Group
$(2,368+2,200)+2(3,035+1,950)=14,538$ sf Provided
© 2022 SOLOMON CORDWELL BUENZ


EXTERIOR CONCEPT






GRANITE TEXTURED EIFS - MEDIUM GREY
2. GRANITE TEXTURED EIFS - GREEN
3. WINDOW MULLION \& METAL PANEL - DARK GREY
4. VISION GLASS - CLEAR
5. VISION GLASS - LOW IRON
6. WALL TILE - DARK GREY
7. SMOOTH EIFS - DARK GREY
8. CORTEN STEEL
solomon cordwell buenz
255 California Street T 415.216.2450

