OAKLAND 2045 GENERAL PLAN UPDATE: HOUSING ELEMENT

PRESENTATION TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION



AGENDA

- Overview
 - General Plan Update
- Community Engagement
- Housing Element UpdateOverview
- Initial Findings:
 - Accomplishments

- Needs
- AFFH
- Housing Sites
- Discussion/Brainstorming
 - Policies and Programs
 - Housing Sites





OVERVIEW

PURPOSE AND NEED OF THE GENERAL PLAN

- The City of Oakland is required to adopt (and update) a General Plan pursuant to Section 65300 of the California Government Code.
- Many portions of Oakland's General Plan were adopted over 23 years ago.
- State law requires specific topics (elements) to be covered in a general plan.



What is a General Plan?



"Constitution" for development and conservation.

Establishes citywide vision and supporting goals, policies, and implementation measures.



Opportunity to look back to identify past challenges and accomplishments.

Provides consistent direction for future development.



Opportunity to Reduce Racial Inequities & Promote Inclusive Engagement

Engage our community in the planning and decision-making process.

TO BE UPDATED BY EARLY 2023







SAFETY
Adopted 2004
(Last amended 2021)
Required by State Law



New Requirement

May be stand-alone or integrated
Required by State Law

ENVIRONMENTAL



INDUSTRIAL LANDS POLICY

New
NOT required by State
Law

OTHER REQUIRED ELEMENTS



OPEN SPACE,
CONSERVATION
& RECREATION
(OSCAR)
Adopted 1996



NOISE Adopted 2005



LAND USE
& TRANSPORTATION
Adopted 1998
Includes Estuary Policy

Includes Estuary Policy
Plan for areas below
Interstate 880
(Adopted 1999)

OPTIONAL ELEMENTS



INFRASTRUCTURE AND FACILITIES ELEMENT

New Element

Overarching goals and policies for city infrastructure over the long term.

Reflects capital improvements identified in the LUTE, OSCAR, Safety, and other General Plan elements.



HISTORIC PRESERVATION ELEMENT

Adopted 1994

Amended 1998




SCENIC HIGHWAYS
ELEMENT
Adopted 1974


The General Plan Update serves as an opportunity to advance the City's critically important mission to "intentionally integrate, on a citywide basis, the principle of 'fair and just' in all the city does in order to achieve equitable opportunities for all people and communities."

(Oakland Municipal Code 2.29.170.1)

General Plan Update Phase I



General Plan Update Phase 2






COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT UPDATE



OAKLAND 2045 GENERAL PLAN

NOVEMBER 2021 – JANUARY 2022

- 7 Pop-ups Events
 - Fruitvale, ChinatownEastmont SanAntonio
- Number of people reached: 925
- 522 in person; 403 online*
- * Social Media interactions
 November-December
 2021: retweets, likes,
 impressions persons can be
 duplicated




MLK DAY POP UP EVENT – JAN 16, 2022



Feedback

- Neighborhoods are like villages
- Culture and village are disappearing with ongoing displacement
- Listen to the most impacted communities
- Housing: Affordability, Homelessness, and Displacement
- Environmental: Pollution, Wildfire, and Streets
- Economic: Income, Investment, Jobs, and Small Businesses


CALENDAR OF EVENTS – FEBRUARY 2022



FOR EVENT DETAILS VISIT OUR WEBSITE: BIT.LY/OAKLANDGPU



HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE



2023-2031 Future Housing Need


Income Group	5th Cycl (2015-	le RHNA -2023)	6th Cycle RHNA (2023-2031)		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Extremely-Low-Income (>30% AMI) ^I	1,030	7.0%	3,256	12.4%	
Very-Low-Income (30%- 50% AMI) ^I	1,029	7.0%	3,255	12.4%	
Low-Income (50%-80% AMI)	2,075	14.0%	3,750	14.3%	
Moderate-Income (80%- 120% AMI)	2,815	19.1%	4,457	17.0%	
Above-Moderate-Income (>120% AMI)	7,816	52.9%	11,533	43.9%	
Total	14,765	100.0%	26,25 I	100.0%	

I. Extremely-low-income housing need is assumed to be 50 percent of total very-low-income housing need.

^{2.} AMI – Area Median Income. Per HCD, the 2021 AMI for Alameda County was \$125,600.

HOUSING ELEMENT CONTENT

- Background Analysis
 - Evaluation of the 2015-2023 Housing Element (Accomplishments)
 - Housing Needs Assessment
 - Assessment of Fair Housing
 - Housing Resources and Opportunities
 - Housing Constraints
 - Opportunities for Energy Conservation
- Housing Sites Inventory
- Housing Action Plan



OAKLAND2045 Housing Element Timeline

2022 2023 Winter Fall Winter **Spring** Summer Pop-up Events, Youth Engagement, Community Hubs, Equity Working Group Meetings OUTREACH Visioning + Phase 1 Housing workshops and survey **Element Workshops** Workshops are focused specifically on the Hous-Housing may be discussed at Visioning workshops and other ing Element. Housing questions will be included workshops on Environmental in the general Visioning Survey. Justice and Safety. Public Review -Draft submitted to HCD **Public Review - Housing Element Final Housing** Draft for HCD Element 30-day review period 90-day review period

HOUSING ELEMENT: NEW REQUIREMENTS

- More equitable distribution of housing within Oakland
- Assembly Bill 686 adds a fair and equitable housing requirement
- Plan for affordable housing in high resource areas











INITIAL FINDINGS

REQUIREMENTS: HOUSING ACCOMPLISHMENTS


- The City must review the current Housing Element to evaluate the following:
 - the appropriateness of housing goals, objectives, and policies;
 - the effectiveness of the Housing Element in the attainment of the community's housing goals and objectives; and
 - the progress in implementation of the Housing Element.
- Assessment of the cumulative impact of the Housing Element on special needs groups.





PRELIMINARY FINDINGS: HOUSING ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Accomplishments
 - Success in implementing special needs housing, emergency shelters, transitional housing, senior housing, and housing for extremely-low-income households, though affordability challenges have increased significantly
 - Existing fair housing actions and affordable housing development actions are effective



PRELIMINARY FINDINGS: HOUSING ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Future Policy Considerations
 - The City has fallen short of meeting its 5th Cycle RHNA, for all categories except the above-moderateincome housing group
 - Need for consolidation of the existing 131 actions across seven goals and 46 policies
 - New or revised programs related to identified needs and constraints

REQUIREMENTS: HOUSING NEEDS

- The housing needs analysis provides a comprehensive assessment of the various factors that influence and affect the City's housing needs which include:
- Population Characteristics
- Household Characteristics
- Employment Characteristics
- Special Needs Groups
- Housing Stock Characteristics
- Housing Costs and Affordability
- Assisted Housing at Risk of Conversion

REQUIREMENTS: HOUSING NEEDS

HCD Income Levels by Household Size in Alameda County, 2021

	Maximum Income Level						
Household	Extremely	Very Low	Low	Median	Moderate		
Size	Low	very LOW	LOW	Median			
l Person	\$28,800	\$47,950	\$76,750	\$87,900	\$105,500		
2 Persons	\$32,900	\$54,800	\$87,700	\$100,500	\$120,550		
3 Persons	\$37,000	\$61,650	\$98,650	\$113,050	\$135,650		
4 Persons	\$41,100	\$68,500	\$109,600	\$125,600	\$150,700		
5 Persons	\$44,400	\$74,000	\$118,400	\$135,650	\$162,750		
6 Persons	\$47,700	\$79,500	\$127,150	\$145,700	\$174,800		
7 Persons	\$51,000	\$84,950	\$135,950	\$155,750	\$186,850		
8 Persons	\$54,300	\$90,450	\$144,700	\$165,800	\$198,900		

Source: HUD Income Limits 2021


- Household Income: In the city, nearly a quarter of households make less than 30 percent of AMI. This is compared to 15.5 percent of households in Alameda County and 14.7 percent in the Bay Area overall.
- Cost Burden (monthly shelter costs > 30 percent of a household's income): Nearly half of all residents experience some level of cost burden, although lower-income households and renters see higher than average rates.
- Housing Tenure: Households considered to be American Indian or Alaskan native, other race or multiple races, Hispanic or Latinx, and Black or African American are largely renter-occupied, as are lower-income households.

Household Tenure by Region, 2019

	Owner-Occupied		Renter-Occupied		
Region	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Oakland	66,177	40.7%	96,242	59.3%	
Alameda County	308,891	53.5%	268,286	46.5%	
San Francisco	136,239	37.6%	226,115	62.4%	
San Jose	184,600	56.8%	140,514	43.2%	
Fremont	45,912	60.1%	29,775	39.9%	
Bay Area	1,531,955	56.1%	1,199,479	43.9%	


- Housing Conditions: About 0.3 percent of owners lack complete kitchen facilities; 1.9 percent of renters do. About 0.2 percent of owners lack complete plumbing facilities while 1.02 percent of renters do.
- Overcrowding: The city experiences slightly higher rates of overcrowding (8.41 percent) than does the county (7.87 percent) or the region (6.9 percent).

Overcrowding by Race/Ethnicity, 2019



Source: ABAG-MTC Housing Needs Data Workbook (U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 5-Year Data (2015-2019), Table B25014)

Ownership Affordability Gap for the Typical Household, 2020



Note: The typical household is a three-person, two-bedroom housing unit. Typical home value refers to the ZHVI of a two-bedroom housing unit.

Source: Zillow Home Value Index, December 31, 2020; Dyett & Bhatia, 2021

Rental Affordability Gap for the Typical Household, 2020



Note: The typical household is a three-person, two-bedroom housing unit.

Median gross rent includes all monthly housing costs for renters, per the ACS.
Fair market rents are determined by HUD based on a local rent survey in the Oakland-Fremont Metro area.

Source: American Community Survey 5-Year Data (2015-2019); HUD, Fair Market Rent, 2019; Dyett &31 Bhatia 2021

NOTE: Gross rent is the contract rent plus the estimated average monthly cost of utilities and fuels. Median gross rent divides the gross rent distribution into two equal parts: one-half of the cases falling below the median gross rent and one-half above the median.

- Preliminary findings regarding statutorily defined groups with special housing needs:
 - **Extremely-Low-Income Residents.** Oakland's poverty rate (16.7%) is higher than the county (9.9%). Households more likely to be extremely low income include Black households (35%) and non-Hispanic Asian/API households (34%)
 - **Elderly Residents.** Over half of elderly renters are considered extremely-low-income.
 - Persons with Disabilities. Slightly higher proportion of persons with a disability in Oakland compared to the county and region

- Large Families. A greater proportion of large families have incomes that are less than 100% of AMI compared to all other household types in Oakland.
- Female-Headed Households. Oakland has a greater share of female-headed households (13.4 percent) than either Alameda County (11.1 percent) or the Bay Area (10.4 percent).
- Persons Experiencing Homelessness. Point-in-time counts of unhoused individuals increased 27% from 2017 to 2019.
- Farmworkers. While there are a number of students considered migrant workers in the county and Bay Area, there are none in the city

REQUIREMENTS: AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING




Affirmatively furthering fair housing means "taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity" for persons of color, persons with disabilities, and other protected classes.

AFFH analysis must cover:

- Fair housing enforcement and capacity
- Segregation and integration
- Racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty and affluence
- Disparities in access to opportunity
- Disproportionate housing needs and displacement risk

PRELIMINARY FINDINGS: AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING




Population Growth by Race/Ethnicity, Oakland: 2010-2019

- Largest percent increases: Some other race/Two or more races, American Indian and Alaska Native, White, Hispanic/Latinx
- Black or African American population declined 7.4%

SEGREGATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

Most tracts identified by the Urban Displacement Project (UDP) are a mix of three or four races/ethnicities.

Across the city, only two tracts are considered diverse (a mix of five races/ethnicities) according to UDP methodology.




ACCESS TO OPPORTUNITY

Access to positive economic, educational and environmental outcomes for low-income residents

Most tracts are Low Resource, and they surround High Segregation and Poverty tracts


Oakland is only place in Alameda County with High Segregation and Poverty tracts

Highest Resource areas clustered in north corner near hills



GENTRIFICATION AND DISPLACEMENT TYPOLOGY


- Nearly half of all households in Oakland live in tracts at risk of or experiencing gentrification
- Almost a quarter live in tracts susceptible to or experiencing displacement



PRELIMINARY FINDINGS: AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

- Most public housing units and housing choice voucher use occurs in Low Resource or High Segregation and Poverty tracts
- Subsidized is more distributed throughout opportunity areas (except Highest Resource); most units in Downtown and West Oakland
- Rates of cost burden are highest for non-Hispanic Black or African American households, followed by Hispanic or Latinx households


Cost Burden by Race in Oakland



Source: ABAG-MTC Housing Needs Data Workbook (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) ACS tabulation, 2013-2017 release)

REQUIREMENTS: HOUSING CONSTRAINTS

- Identify and analyze potential and actual governmental and nongovernmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, or development of housing
- Governmental constraints
 - codes and enforcement
 - on-site/off-site improvement standards
 - land-use controls
 - fees and exactions
 - processing and permitting procedures
- Non-governmental constraints
 - Environmental constraints
 - infrastructural and market constraints
 - Neighborhood sentiment




PRELIMINARY FINDINGS: CONSTRAINTS (GOVERNMENTAL)


- Rate of building permit approvals comparable to neighboring communities, although lower- and moderate-income housing approval falls short of the RHNA.
- Limited staffing capacity.
- Lack of funding sufficient to meet the full need for affordable housing.
- Permit processing timelines are increased by a lack of objective design guidelines.
- Requirement of conditional use permit in some zones may pose a constraint (i.e., RM-1, RM-2, RM-3)

- Other zoning regulation standards, such as parking minimums, open space requirements, and height restrictions, may pose constraints on constructing housing up to the maximum allowable density.
- Development impact fees and infrastructure improvement requirements add costs to the development of housing.
- Consider additional stakeholder and community input

BUILDING PERMIT APPROVAL BY PERCENTAGE OF THE RHNA, 2015-2020



PRELIMINARY FINDINGS: CONSTRAINTS (NON-GOVERNMENTAL)



- Environmental constraints include seismic risk, flooding and sea level rise, wildfire risks (including very high fire hazard severity zones (VHFHSZ)), air quality, and the presence of hazardous materials
- High construction, labor, and land costs
- Financing availability
- Neighborhood sentiment impeding the development of affordable housing.

REQUIREMENTS: HOUSING SITES

- Prepare an inventory of land suitable for residential development by RHNA income category
- Ensure that selected sites meet State requirements
 - Considerations for low-income sites include existing use, site size, environmental constraints, permitted maximum densities, use in a previous planning cycle, and access to opportunity

REQUIREMENTS: HOUSING SITES

- Projects that have been approved, permitted, or received a certificate of occupancy since the beginning of the RHNA projection period may be credited toward meeting the RHNA allocation based on the affordability and unit count of the development
 - Projection period: June 30, 2022 to December 31, 2030
- Projected accessory dwelling unit (ADU)
 development and anticipated SB9 lot splits may
 also be credited towards the RHNA

PRELIMINARY FINDINGS: HOUSING SITES

- Developed single spreadsheet to consolidate sites identified by City staff
 - City vacant sites inventory
 - RHNA cycle 5 sites
 - City owned surplus sites
 - Major projects
 - BART AB2923 sites
 - Specific Plan opportunity sites, as identified by staff (BVSP, LMSAP, WOSP, DOSP)
- Identified additional potential sites based on zoning
- Brought data into GIS to visualize preliminary site locations
- Full analysis of site suitability and capacity is forthcoming

PRELIMINARY FINDINGS: HOUSING SITES


- Major project capacity based on Major Project List affordability estimates
- Affordability estimates may be proposed by the developer and are reviewed and assessed by the Planning Department and City HCD


Major Projects Preliminary Capacity Estimates

	Low- and Very-Low- Income ^l	Moderate- Income	Above- Moderate- Income	Total
RHNA Cycle 6	10,261	4,457	11,533	26,251
Approved Permits Capacity ²	2,608	184	16,122	18,914
Shortfall (-)/Surplus (+)	-7,653	-4,273	+4,589	-7,337
Other Likely Permits Capacity ³	267	97	1,218	1,582

NOTES:

- 1. Includes extremely-low-income capacity estimates.
- 2. Includes projects in the Major Project List with permit status of Approved, Approved-Pending Appeal, and Extended.
- 3. Includes projects in the Major Project List with permit status of Filed, Under Review, Accepted, Assigned, and Complete.







DISCUSSION

DISCUSSION – POLICIES AND PROGRAMS

- Based on past housing accomplishments, what new policies, actions, or programs would you like the Housing Element to pursue?
 - Inclusionary housing vs. in-lieu fees
 - Addressing homelessness
 - Workforce housing
 - Preservation and rehabilitation of existing housing
 - Protections and anti-displacement measures
 - SB 9/Fourplexes in single family zones (Resolution 88554)
 - Changes to parking requirements/other development regulations
 - SBIO/up to IO units per parcel near transit rich or urban infill site
 - Others?





PRELIMINARY FINDINGS **FROM** HOUSING SITES MAP

- Specific Plan sites represent opportunity sites, as identified by City staff
 - Opportunity sites along key transportation corridors; I4th and I9th ST BART stops
- Several sites left over from RHNA cycle 5 along International Boulevard
- Major residential and mixed use projects at Brooklyn Basin, around West Oakland BART, along Broadway
 - Other sites near BART stations: Lake Merritt, Rockridge, Fruitvale, Coliseum

DISCUSSION – HOUSING SITES

- Does this general pattern of sites make sense for housing site locations, particularly keeping in mind different housing need tiers (very-low; low; moderate; above moderate)? These are sites already mostly zoned for housing:
 - Within specific plan areas
 - On transit corridors
 - Key other sites that are City-owned/identified as suitable for housing
- Are there other opportunities for housing elsewhere in the City (beyond sites identified on the map)? Note: There will be opportunities for additional housing sites (beyond eight years) identified as part of the Land Use Element Update.
 - Tradeoffs: housing sites vs. commercial land vs. industrial land
 - Proximity to hazards



VISIT THE GENERAL PLAN UPDATE WEBSITE FOR MORE INFORMATION AND TO SIGN-UP FOR REGULAR UPDATES:

WWW.OAKLANDCA.GOV/TOPICS/GENERAL-PLAN-UPDATE