

Oakland City Planning Commission

MINUTES

Clark Manus, Chair Jonathan Fearn, Vice-Chair Sahar Shirazi Tom Limon Leopold Ray-Lynch Vince Sugrue Jennifer Renk

October 6, 2021

SPECIAL Meeting

BUSINESS MEETING

2:00 PM

PM Via: Tele-Conference

PURSUANT TO THE GOVERNOR'S EXECUTIVE ORDER 4-29-20, ALL MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, CITY ATTORNEY AND PLANNING BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL JOIN THE MEETING VIA PHONE/VIDEO CONFERENCE AND NO TELECONFERENCE LOCATIONS ARE REQUIRED.

Catherine Payne

MEETING CALL TO ORDER Manus

WELCOME BY THE CHAIR Manus

ROLL CALL

Planning Commissioners Present:

Jonathan Fearn, Leopold Ray-Lynch, Jennifer Renk, Vince Sugrue, Clark Manus

Planning Commissioners Excused:

Tom Limon, Sahar Shirazi

Planning Staff Present:

Catherine. Payne, Desmona Armstrong

SECRETARY RULES OF CONDUCT

COMMISSION BUSINESS

Agenda Discussion None

• Director's Report None

Informational Reports None

• Committee Reports None

• Commission Matters None



Page 2 October 6, 2021

• City Attorney's Report None

OPEN FORUM

At this time members of the public may speak on any item of interest within the Commission's jurisdiction. At the discretion of the Chair, speakers are generally limited to two minutes or less if there are six (6) or less speakers on an item, and one minute or less if there are more than six (6) speakers.

Public Speakers: None

CONSENT CALENDAR

The Commission will take a single roll call vote on all of the items listed below in this section. The vote will be on approval of the staff report and recommendation in each case. Members of the Commission may request that any item on the Consent Calendar be singled out for separate discussion and vote.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

The hearing provides opportunity for all concerned persons to speak; the hearing will normally be closed after all testimony has been heard. If you challenge a Commission decision in court, you will be limited to issues raised at the public hearing or in correspondence delivered to the Planning and Building Department, at, or prior to, the public hearing.

The Commission will then vote on the matter based on the staff report and recommendation. If the Commission does not follow the staff recommendation and no alternate findings for decision have been prepared, then the vote on the matter will be considered a "straw" vote, which essentially is a non-binding vote directing staff to return to the Commission at a later date with appropriate findings for decision and, as applicable, conditions of approval that the Commission will consider in making a final decision.

If you wish to be notified on the decision of an agenda item, please contact the case planner for the specific agenda item.

1. Location:	Citywide
Accessor's Parcel Number:	N/A
Proposal:	Adoption of a Resolution Determining that Conducting In-Person Meetings of the Planning Commission And Its Committees Would Present Imminent Risks to Attendees' Health, And Electing to Continue Conducting Meetings Using Teleconferencing In Accordance With California Government Code Section 54953(e), A Provision of AB-361, to Allow Continuation of Planning Commission Meetings.
Applicant:	Catherine Payne, Secretary to the Planning Commission



Page 3 October 6, 2021

Phone Number:	(510_915-0577
Owner:	NA
Case File Number:	NA
Planning Permits Required:	Adopt Resolution
General Plan:	NA
Zoning:	NA
Environmental	Exempt pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines
Determination:	Section 15061(b)(3) (Common Sense Exemption).
Historic Status:	NA
City Council District:	NA
Status:	NA
Staff Recommendation:	Receive public testimony and consider adoption of Resolution.
Finality of Decision:	Decision Final.
For further information:	Contact case planner Catherine Payne at (510) 915-0577 or by e-mail at
	<u>cpayne@oaklandca.gov</u>

Motion by Fearn to: Adopt the Resolution determining that conducting In-Person meetings of the Planning Commission and its Committees would present imminent risks to attendees' health, and electing to continue conducting meetings using teleconferencing in accordance with California government code section 54953, A provision of AB-361

Seconded by: Renk

Action: 5 Ayes, 0 Noes, 2 Absent, 0 Abstention

APPEALS

The Commission will take testimony on each appeal. If you challenge a Commission decision in court, you will be limited to issues raised at the public hearing or in correspondence delivered to the Planning and Building Department, at, or prior to, to the public hearing; provided, however, such issues were previously raised in the appeal itself.

Following the testimony, the Commission will vote on the staff report and recommendation. If the Commission reverses/overturns the staff decision and no alternate findings for decisions have been prepared, then the vote on the matter will be considered a "straw" vote, which essentially is a non-binding vote directing staff to return to the Commission at a later date with appropriate findings for decision and, as applicable, conditions of approval that the Commission will consider in making a final decision. Unless otherwise noted, the decisions in the following matters are final and not administratively appealable. Any party seeking to challenge these decisions in court must do so within ninety (90) days of the date of the announcement of the final decision, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1094.6, unless a shorter period applies.

COMMISSION BUSINESS

- Approval of Minutes
- Correspondence



Page 4 October 6, 2021

• City Council Actions

ADJOURNMENT By 2:20 P.M. unless a later time is agreed upon by a majority of Commissioners present.

CATHERINE PAYNE

Acting Development Planning Manager Planning and Building Department

NEXT SPECIAL MEETING: October 6, 2021 at 3:00PM