

# OAKLAND FIRE DEPARTMENT

DRAFT VEGETATION MANAGEMENT PLAN PUBLIC MEETING – MAY 23, 2018

# **MEETING PURPOSE**



- Provide Overview of Draft Vegetation Management Plan
  - Plan Area
  - Plan Development Process
  - Plan Recommendations and Implementation
- Next Steps
- Receive Public Comment on Draft Plan

# **VEGETATION MANAGEMENT GOALS**



- Reduce fire hazard on City-owned land and along critical access/egress routes within the City's designated Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone;
- Reduce the likelihood of ignitions and extreme fire behavior to enhance public and firefighter safety;
- Implement practices to avoid or minimize impacts to natural resources;
- Maintain an active role in regional efforts to reduce fire hazard in the Oakland Hills.



# PRIORITY ROADWAYS ----Blvd





# **BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES**



Existing biological conditions within the Plan Area were documented through:

- Review of pertinent reference materials available from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, California Native Plant Society (East Bay Chapter)
- Field surveys of the Plan Area
- Mapping of vegetation and land cover
- Identification of potential habitat for specialstatus species and sensitive natural communities





# VARIABLE VEGETATION CONDITIONS









# PLAN DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

- Hazard Assessment
- Prioritization of Treatment Areas
- Vegetation Management Standards
- Treatment Techniques
- Best Management Practices
- Plan Implementation

# HAZARD ASSESSMENT

- Field Assessments
  - Terrain, fuels assessments, model typing
- GIS Analysis
  - Terrain, fuel types, structure buffers, base data development
- Fire Behavior Modeling
  - GIS-based, local terrain, fuels, and weather data
- Research
  - OFD current practices, ignition areas

- FlamMap software
- Terrain, fuels, weather
- Model flame length and crown fire potential
- Allows for identification of specific areas with potential for extreme fire behavior
- Results allow for prioritization of treatment areas





Elevation Slope Aspect Fuel Model Canopy Cover Canopy Height Crown Base Height Crown Bulk Density

#### Variable vegetation conditions across Plan Area



- Fuels classifications
- Based on field observations
- Not standardized to land cover type

Fuel Model	Description	Land Cover*	Stand Height (ft.)	Canopy Base Height (ft.)
GR1 (101)	Short, Sparse Dry Climate Grass	Annual Grassland, Closed-cone Pine-Cypress, Coast Oak	0, 35, 40, 45, 50,	0, 3, 4, 5, 8
		Woodland, Eucalyptus, Perennial Grassland, Redwood,	60, 65, 80, 100,	
		Urban	110	
GR4 (104)	Moderate Load, Dry Climate Grass	Annual Grassland	0	0
GS2 (122)	Moderate Load, Dry Climate Grass-Shrub	Coast Oak Woodland, Coastal Scrub, Eucalyptus	0, 25, 35, 40, 60	0, 2, 3, 4
SH1 (141)	Low Load, Dry Climate Shrub	Coastal Scrub	0	0
SH5 (145)	High Load, Dry Climate Shrub	Chamise-redshank Chaparral, Closed-cone Pine-Cypress,	0, 25, 30, 35, 40,	0, 2, 3, 4
		Coast Oak Woodland, Coastal Scrub, Eucalyptus	60, 100, 110	
TU1 (161)	Low Load, Dry Climate Timber-Grass-	Closed-cone Pine-Cypress, Coast Oak Woodland,	0, 45, 60, 100, 110	4, 6, 8
	Shrub	Eucalyptus, Redwood		
TU5 (165)	Very High Load, Dry Climate Timber-	Closed-cone Pine-Cypress, Coast Oak Woodland,	0, 35, 40, 45, 60,	2, 3, 4, 8
	Shrub	Eucalyptus, Urban (acacia and mixed tree stand)	75, 100, 110, 120	
TL2 (182)	Low Load Broadleaf Litter	Coast Oak Woodland, Eucalyptus, Urban, Valley/foothill	30, 35, 40, 45, 60,	3, 4, 5
		Riparian	100, 110	
TL3 (183)	Moderate Load Conifer Litter	Closed-cone Pine-Cypress, Eucalyptus, Redwood	60, 110	4
TL6 (186)	Moderate Load Broadleaf Litter	Eucalyptus, Urban	80, 110	4, 8
TL8 (188)	Long Needle Litter	Closed-cone Pine-Cypress	35, 100	4
TL9 (189)	Very High Load Broadleaf Litter	Eucalyptus	100	8
NB1 (91)	Non-burnable	Freshwater Emergent Wetland, Urban	0, 35, 40	0, 2, 4

- 3-meter terrain data
- Local weather data, Diablo Wind conditions



City of <u>Oakla</u>nd



- Model Outputs
  - Flame Length
  - Crown Fire Activity (passive, active, independent)
- Extreme Fire Behavior







#### MODELING RESULTS





#### MODELING RESULTS





#### TREATMENT PRIORITIZATION





# VEGETATION MANAGEMENT STANDARDS

- Vegetation type standards
- Treat flashy fuels/fuel continuity
- Quantifiable/measurable standards
- Location-specific recommendations

Principle	Effect	Advantage	Concerns
Reduce surface fuels	Reduces potential flame length	Control easier; less torching	Surface disturbance less with fire than other techniques
Increase height to live crown	Requires longer flame length to begin torching	Less torching	Opens understory; may allow surface wind to increase
Decrease crown density	Makes tree-to-tree crown fire less probable	Reduces crown fire potential	Surface wind may increase and surface fuels may be drier
Keep big trees of resistant species	Less mortality for same fire intensity	Generally restores historic structure	Less economical; may keep trees at risk of insect attack





# VEGETATION MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES



- Biological (e.g., grazing)
- Hand Labor (e.g., hand pulling, cutting)
- Mechanical (e.g., mowing, masticating)
- Chemical (e.g., herbicide)



# **BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES**

- By management technique
- Additional practices:
  - Stormwater/Erosion Control
  - Watercourses
  - Revegetation
  - Special-status Species





## PLAN IMPLEMENTATION



- Routinely assess field conditions to determine needs
- Develop annual work plans and budgets
- Prioritize vegetation treatment actions
- Select contractors or direct City staff to conduct identified vegetation management actions
- Monitor during and following operations:
  - Avoidance measures/BMPs implemented
  - Treatment standards achieved or follow-up needed
  - Needs for post-operations BMPs
  - Treatment success

# COMMENT ON THE PLAN



# Public Comment Period

May 11 to June 11, 2018

#### Submit your comments:



Email:

VMPcomments@oaklandvegmanagement.org



Mail:

Horizon Water & Environment Attn: Ken Schwarz 266 Grand Avenue, Suite 210 Oakland, CA 94610

#### NEXT STEPS



2018					
SUMMER	FALL	WINTER			
Draft Vegetation Manageme California Envir Quality Act (CB	ent Plan ronmental EQA) Notice of Preparation CEQA Scoping (Public Comment, Sco	ping Meetings)			

## NEXT STEPS



2019						
SPRING	SUMMER	FALL	WINTER			
Public Draft EIR (Public Comment,	Public Meetings) Final EIR Devel	opment	2			

# **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**



Resource areas to be studied, among others



Aesthetics



Land Use & Planning



Air Quality



Climate Change & Greenhouse Gas Emissions



**Cultural Resources** 



Recreation



Hydrology & Water Quality



**Biological Resources** 



Traffic & Transportation

# STAY CONNECTED







# PUBLIC COMMENT