

CITY OF OAKLAND, C A L I F O R N I A

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
A BLENDED COMPONENT UNIT OF THE CITY OF OAKLAND
FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2011 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2012




REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

of the

CITY OF OAKLAND

CALIFORNIA

(A BLENDED COMPONENT UNIT OF THE CITY OF OAKLAND)



Basic Financial Statements and Supplemental Information

For the Period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012

Prepared by Administrative Service Department

Scott P. Johnson, Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director

Osborn K. Solitei, Controller

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF OAKLAND FINANCIAL REPORT

PROJECT TEAM

Scott P. Johnson
Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director

Osborn K. Solitei *Controller*

AUDIT/FINANCIAL STATEMENT COORDINATOR

Osborn K. Solitei, Controller

FINANCIAL STATEMENT PREPARATION

Financial Statement Leaders

Rogelio Medalla Theresa Woo

Accounting Team

Erico Parras Michelle Wong Felipe Kiocho Jennifer Luong Connie Chu Andy Yang Pat Lee Sandra Tong

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

Jo Ann Tipton, Office Assistant

SPECIAL ASSISTANCE

Katano Kasaine Dawn Hort David Jones

SPECIAL ASSISTANCE - DEPARTMENTS & OFFICES

City Administrator's Office (CAO) City Attorney's Office CAO - Treasury Division

CAO – Office of Neighborhood Investment Housing and Community Development (HCD)

For the Period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Basic Financial Statements	
Government-wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets	11
Statement of Activities	12
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	13
of Net Assets for Governmental Activities	14
Governmental Funds	15
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	16
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	17
Supplementary Financial Information	
Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Governmental Funds	43
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Nonmajor Governmental Funds	44
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing	
Standards	46



Sacramento

Oakland

LA/Century City

Newport Beach

San Diego

Seattle

Independent Auditor's Report

Honorable Mayor and Members of the Council of the Redevelopment Agency City of Oakland, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland (Agency), a component unit of the City of Oakland, California (City), as of January 31, 2012 and for the period from July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012, which collectively comprise the Agency's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Agency's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Agency's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Agency as of January 31, 2012, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the period from July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the California State Legislature enacted legislation that dissolved redevelopment agencies in the State of California as of February 1, 2012. On February 1, 2012, the City, as the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland, became responsible for overseeing the dissolution process and the wind down of redevelopment activity. These financial statements do not include any adjustments as a result of the dissolution of the Agency.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 21, 2012, on our consideration of the Agency's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, as listed in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Agency's financial statements as a whole. The combining nonmajor fund financial statements are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. The combining nonmajor fund financial statements are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Oakland, California December 21, 2012

Macias Gini & C Carrel 9 LLP

Management's Discussion and Analysis - Unaudited January 31, 2012

This section of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland ("Agency") Annual Financial Report presents a narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Agency for the seven-month period ended January 31, 2012. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the additional information contained in the Agency's financial statements and related footnotes, which follow this section.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Pursuant to AB X1 26 and subsequent California Supreme Court decision in *California Redevelopment Association v. Matosantos* redevelopment agencies in California were dissolved effective February 1, 2012. On January 10, 2012, the City Council adopted Resolutions 83679 C.M.S. and 83680 C.M.S. declaring the City as the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland and as the Housing Successor, respectively. The Successor Agency is a separate legal entity. As such, by operation of law, assets, properties, contracts, leases, books, records, buildings and equipment of the former Agency were transferred to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012. Also, upon dissolution, the City Council elected to retain the housing assets, functions and powers previously performed by the former Agency.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Agency's basic financial statements. The Agency's basic financial statements comprise three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the basic financial statements. This report also contains required and other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements.

Government-wide Financial Statements

The *government-wide financial statements* are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the Agency's finances, in a manner similar to the financial statements for a private-sector business.

The *statement of net assets* presents information on all of the Agency's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether or not the financial position of the Agency is improving or deteriorating.

The *statement of activities* presents information showing how the Agency's net assets changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods, such as revenues pertaining to uncollected taxes and expenses pertaining to earned but unused vacation and sick leave.

The government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the Agency that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). The governmental activities of the Agency include urban redevelopment and housing development. The Agency does not engage in any business-type activities.

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Unaudited (Continued) January 31, 2012

Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements are designed to report information about groupings of related accounts, which are used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The Agency, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the Agency are governmental funds.

Governmental funds

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. The Agency's basic operations are reported in governmental funds. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements follow the modified accrual basis of accounting and focus on the near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on the balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating the Agency's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the Agency's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities. The Agency maintains eleven individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental funds balance sheet and in the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the Central District Fund, Coliseum Fund, Central City East Fund, Low and Moderate Housing Fund, Oakland Army Base, and Debt Service Fund, all of which are considered to be major funds. Data from the remaining funds are combined in a single, aggregated presentation as non-major governmental funds. Individual fund data for each of the non-major governmental funds is provided in the form of combining statements immediately following the notes to the basic financial statements in this report.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

The notes to the basic financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

Other Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and the accompanying notes, the combining statements referred to earlier in connection with non-major governmental funds are presented immediately following the footnotes.

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Unaudited (Continued) January 31, 2012

Government-wide Financial Analysis

This Management's Discussion and Analysis is the last period the Agency will present its financial statements. The Agency has used its prior audited financial statements as of June 30, 2011 for comparative purposes.

Shown below is a summary schedule that summarizes the Agency's net assets (deficit):

Agency's Statement of Net Assets (Deficit)
Governmental Activities
January 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011
(In thousands)

	Janu	ary 31, 2012	Ju	ne 30, 2011
Assets:		_		
Current and other assets	\$	384,182	\$	616,782
Property held for resale		48,093		179,240
Capital assets		6,359		6,448
Total assets		438,634		802,470
Liabilities:	<u> </u>			
Long-term liabilities		510,967		530,921
Other liabilities		44,097		25,474
Total liabilities		555,064		556,395
Net assets (deficit):				
Investments in capital assets, net of related debt		6,359		6,448
Restricted for:				
Debt service		15,594		77,339
Low and moderate housing		52,024		72,196
Urban redevelopment projects and housing		179,542		414,032
Unrestricted net deficit		(369,949)		(323,940)
Total net assets (deficit)	\$	(116,430)	\$	246,075

Net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of the Agency's financial position. The Agency's liabilities exceeded assets by \$116.4 million at the close of period of January 31, 2012. This represents a decrease of \$362.5 million compared to the prior year. The decrease is primarily attributed to intergovernmental transfer of \$261.7 million of assets to fund City Public improvement and other redevelopment projects and programs and a decrease of \$76.3 million in tax increment revenue as a result of the wind down of redevelopment activities pursuant to the Redevelopment Dissolution Law.

As of the end of the period, the Agency had restricted net assets of \$247.2 million. The restricted net assets include \$15.6 million restricted for debt service, \$52.0 million restricted for low and moderate housing, and the remaining balance of \$179.5 million represents resources that are subject to external restrictions. The Agency's invested in capital assets is \$6.4 million and its deficit in unrestricted net assets of \$369.9 million is attributed to the issuance of bonds and other indebtedness to fund urban redevelopment and housing projects that are not capitalized.

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Unaudited (Continued) January 31, 2012

Long-term liabilities are mainly represented by tax allocation bonds and housing set-aside bonds issued to finance redevelopment and housing projects. The change from last year's long-term obligations is net decrease of \$20.0 million, which is the net result of the total debt service payments of \$11.5 million for tax allocation bonds and \$2.9 million for housing set-aside bonds and \$5.6 million for other long-term debt.

Analysis of Changes in Net Assets:

Agency's Changes in Net Assets (Deficit)
Governmental Activities
For the Seven-month Period Ended January 31, 2012 and
Twelve-month Period Ended June 30, 2011
(In thousands)

	Ionth Ended ary 31, 2012	12 - Month Ended June 30, 2011		
Revenues:				
Program revenues:				
Charges for services	\$ 5,501	\$	14,741	
Operating grants and reimbusements	3,611		75	
General revenues:				
Property tax increment	33,345		109,673	
Investment income	654		1,242	
Other	 1,207		14,952	
Total program and general revenues	 44,318		140,683	
Expenses:			_	
Urban redevelopment	60,589		56,958	
Housing development	59,354		5,871	
Intergovernmental payments to City of Oakland	261,695		-	
Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund payments	-		8,465	
AB 1290 statutory pass-through payments	7,566		14,143	
Interest on long-term debt	 17,619		28,178	
Total expenses	406,823		113,615	
Chanage in net assets	(362,505)		27,068	
Net assets, beginning of year	 246,075		219,007	
Net assets (deficit), end of year	\$ (116,430)	\$	246,075	

The expenses in governmental activities for the Agency exceeded revenues by \$362.5 million for the seven month period ended January 31, 2012. This represents a decrease of 389.6 million compared to the prior year's change in net assets of \$27.1 million. The major decrease is mainly attributed to property tax revenue, other revenues, increase allowance in loans, and transfer of assets to the City for public improvements. The net decrease is offset by a decrease in long-term interest payment and with no Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Funds ("SERAF") payment.

As of January 31, 2012, the Agency's revenues total to \$44.3 million compared to \$140.7 million in previous fiscal year. The decrease in total revenue is primarily due to a decrease of \$76.3 million in

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Unaudited (Continued) January 31, 2012

property tax increment revenues and \$13.7 million in other income resulting mainly from the writing-off of the remaining balance of the 1966 Oak Center repayment contract.

Conversely, government-wide expenses total to \$406.8 million compared to \$113.6 million from previous fiscal year, a net increase of \$293.2 million. The increase is primarily attributable to the intergovernmental payments to the City in the amount of \$261.7 million; recorded \$47.2 million allowance on interest deferred housing activity loans; a decrease of \$10.6 million in interest payments on long-term debt from prior year is attributed to the different reporting periods, seven months versus twelve months. No SERAF payment for the seven months ended January 31, 2012.

Financial Analysis of the Agency's Fund Balances

As of January 31, 2012, the Agency's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$244.9 million, a decrease of \$318.7 million compared to \$563.6 million for the prior fiscal year. The decrease in fund balance is primarily attributable to the decrease of \$76.3 million in property tax revenues and an intergovernmental payment of \$266.7 million to the City for public improvement.

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Unaudited (Continued) January 31, 2012

Agency's Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Governmental Funds (In thousands)

		onth Ended ary 31, 2012	12 - Month Ended June 30, 2011		
Revenues:	<u> </u>				
Property tax increment	\$	33,345	\$	109,673	
Investment income		654		1,242	
Rents and reimbursements		5,506		12,517	
Federal and state grants		5,789		-	
Other		1,207		2,992	
Total revenues		46,501		126,424	
Expenditures:					
Urban redevelopment		38,841		66,974	
Housing development		22,106		29,984	
Intergovernmental payments to City of Oakland		266,695		-	
Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund payments		-		8,465	
AB 1290 statutory pass-through payments		7,566		14,143	
Payment on advances		149		79	
Retirement of long-term debt		14,440		19,365	
Interest on long-term debt		15,338		27,272	
Bond issuance cost				828	
Total expenses		365,135		167,110	
Other Financing Sources (Uses)		_			
Tax Allocation and Housing Set Aside bonds issued		-		54,370	
Discount on bonds issuance				(2,052)	
Total other financing sources				52,318	
Change in fund balances		(318,634)		11,632	
Beginning fund balances		563,567		551,935	
Fund Balances at End of the Year	\$	244,933	\$	563,567	

Capital Assets and Debt Administration

Capital Assets

The Agency has capital assets of \$8.1 million, before depreciation, which includes the Henry J. Robinson Multi-Service Center facility that provides service to the disadvantaged persons living within or near the Central District Redevelopment Project Area as major transitional housing, emergency shelter, and drop-in programs for the homeless population in Oakland; and the Fox Theater property which is leased to Fox Oakland Theater, Inc. ("FOT") through a 60 year long-term lease. Fixed assets as of January 31, 2012 are reported as \$6.4 million, net of accumulated depreciation

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Unaudited (Continued) January 31, 2012

Debt Administration

At January 31, 2012, the Agency had total outstanding long-term debt of \$511.0 million, a decrease of \$19.9 million compare to \$530.9 million from the prior fiscal year. The decrease represents a \$14.6 million principal repayment of debt and a transfer of \$5.0 million remediation obligation of the Oakland Army Base to the City. Upon dissolution of the Agency pursuant to AB X1 26, the long-term obligation was transferred to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012.

Long-term liabilities as of January 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011 are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	Janua	ary 31, 2012	Jur	ne 30, 2011
Bonds Payable:				
Tax allocation bonds payable	\$	383,590	\$	395,110
Housing set-aside revenue bonds		125,875		128,735
General obligation bonds				60
Total Bonds Payable	<u> </u>	509,465		523,905
Other Long-Term Liabilities:				
Deferred amounts, net		1,202		1,567
Remediation costs		300		5,300
Advances from City of Oakland				149
Total Other Long-Term Liabilities		1,502		7,016
Total Long-Term Obligations	\$	510,967	\$	530,921

Bond Ratings

On June 14, 2012, Moody's Investor Service ("Moody's") downgraded all California tax allocation bonds to Ba1 that are rated Baa3 or higher. All California tax allocation bonds ratings remain on review for possible withdrawal. This action reflects sharply increased uncertainty of continued, timely cash-flow for service payments under the new legislation. Also, Fitch Ratings ("Fitch") placed all California bonds secured by tax increment revenue on negative rating watch on January 24, 2012. Please note that these rating actions will not have any impact on the Agency's debt service payments because the bonds area all fixed rate bonds.

On September 12, 2012, Standard & Poor's ("S&P") removed the CreditWatch from the Redevelopment Agency's underlying ratings on investment grade tax allocation bonds and assigned Stable outlooks which were placed on CreditWatch with negative implications on July 5, 2012 after the passage of Assembly Bill 1484. The actions reflects the fact that the City reported sufficient cash to meet debt service and demonstrated sound cash flow management and prudence in addressing future cash flow issues.

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Unaudited (Continued) January 31, 2012

The table below shows ORSA bond ratings for the outstanding bonds:

Type of Bond	Moody's	S & P	Fitch
Tax Allocation Bonds:			
Central District Senior Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 1992	N/A	N/A	N/A
Central District Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2003	N/A	A-	N/A
Central District Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2005	N/A	N/A	N/A
Central District Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2006T	Ba1	A-	N/A
Central District Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2009T	Not Rated	A-	N/A
Central City East Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2006A-TE	Ba1	A-	N/A
Central City East Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2006A-T	Ba1	A-	N/A
Coliseum Area Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2006B-TE	Ba1	A	N/A
Coliseum Area Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2006B-T	Ba1	A	N/A
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2006C-TE	Ba1	A+	N/A
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2006C-T	Ba1	A+	N/A
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2010-T	Not Rated	A-	N/A
Subordinated Housing Set-Aside Bonds:			
Subordinated Housing Set-Aside Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2006A	Ba1	A	A-
Subordinated Housing Set-Aside Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2006A-T	Ba1	A	A-
Subordinated Housing Set-Aside Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2011-T	Ba1	A	N/A

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET

No information is provided on the Agency's economic factors and next year's budget since the Agency is dissolved effective February 1, 2012. All assets, properties, contracts, leases, books, records, buildings, and equipment of the Agency are transferred to the Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland as of February 1, 2012 by operation of law and also, upon dissolution, the City Council elected to retain the housing assets, functions and powers previously performed by the former Agency.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Agency's finances for all those with an interest in the City's fiscal and economic affairs. Requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Administrative Services Department, Controller's Office, City of Oakland, 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 6353; Oakland, California 94612-2093. This report is also available online at http://www.oaklandnet.com

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statement of Net Assets January 31, 2012 (In thousands)

	Governmental
ASSETS	Activities
Cash and investments	\$ 103,832
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible of \$31)	136
Advances to the City of Oakland	8,894
Restricted cash and investments	124,450
Notes receivable (net of allowance for	
uncollectibles of \$100,418)	138,876
Property held for resale	48,093
Other assets	19
Deferred charges - bond issuance costs	7,975
Capital assets:	
Land	3,377
Facilities and improvements, net of depreciation	2,982
TOTAL ASSETS	438,634
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,443
Accrued interest payable	12,614
Due to the City of Oakland	3,325
Due to other governments	26,320
Deposits and other liabilities	395
Non-current liabilities:	
Due within one year	6,185
Due in more than one year	504,782
TOTAL LIABILITIES	555,064
NET ASSETS (Deficit)	
Invested in capital assets	6,359
Restricted for:	
Debt service	15,594
Low and moderate housing	52,024
Urban redevelopment projects	176,460
Unrestricted net assets (deficit)	(366,867)
TOTAL NET AGGETG (DEFICIT)	φ /112.420\
TOTAL NET ASSETS (DEFICIT)	\$ (116,430)

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Statement of Activities For the period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 (In thousands)

			 Program Re					
Functions/Programs	F	Expenses	Charges · Services	Gr	perating ants and tributions	Net (Expense) Reven and Changes in Net Assets		
Governmental Activities:								
Urban redevelopment	\$	60,589	\$ 5,333	\$	3,611	\$	(51,645)	
Housing development		59,354	168		-		(59,186)	
Intergovernmental payments to the City of Oakland		261,695	-		-		(261,695)	
AB 1290 Statutory Pass-Through Payments		7,566	-		-		(7,566)	
Interest on long-term debt		17,619	 				(17,619)	
Total governmental activities	\$	406,823	\$ 5,501	\$	3,611		(397,711)	
General Revenues:								
Property tax increment							33,345	
Investment income							654	
Other							1,207	
Total general revenues							35,206	
Change in net assets							(362,505)	
Net assets at beginning of year							246,075	
Net assets (deficit) at end of year						\$	(116,430)	

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

Balance Sheet Governmental Funds January 31, 2012 (In thousands)

	Capital Projects																	
	Central District		C	Coliseum		Central City East		Low and Ioderate Housing	Ma	oadway cArthur n Pablo	Oakland Army Base		Debt Service		Gove	onmajor ernmental Funds		Total ernmental Funds
ASSETS																		
Cash and investments	\$	14,990	\$	14,059	\$	11,928	\$	43,831	\$	5,269	\$	1,208	\$	87	\$	12,460	\$	103,832
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for	_	- 1,22	-	- 1,000	-	,-	-	,	-	-,	*	-,	_		-	,	-	,
uncollectibles of \$31)		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		136		136
Due from other funds		-		-		-		1,429		-		-		-		-		1,429
Advances to the City of Oakland		121		-		-		7,764		-		990		-		19		8,894
Restricted cash and investments		22,504		2,662		10,896		59,655		12,721		505		15,507		-		124,450
Notes receivable (net of allowance for																		
uncollectibles of \$100,418)		4,893		649		50		132,217		6		365		-		696		138,876
Property held for resale		17,685		9,112		13,284		8,012		-		-		-		-		48,093
Other assets		-		19		-				-		-		-		-		19
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	60,193	\$	26,501	\$	36,158	\$	252,908	\$	17,996	\$	3,068	\$	15,594	\$	13,311	\$	425,729
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES LIABILITIES																		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$		\$	_	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	1,443	\$	1,443
Due to other funds	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	1,429	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	1,443	Ф	1,443
Due to other runds Due to the City of Oakland		- 757		839		389		-		244		905		-		191		3,325
Due to other governments		9,269		7,373		1,803				1,918		3,470		_		2,487		26,320
Deposits and other liabilities		297		-		-		4		25		50		_		19		395
Deferred revenue		4,893		649		50		141,225		6		365		_		696		147,884
TOTAL LIABILITIES		15,216		8,861		3,671		141,229		2,193		4,790		_		4,836		180,796
											-							
FUND BALANCES		22.504		2.662		10.006		50.655		10.701		505		15 504				104 527
Restricted		22,504		2,662		10,896		59,655		12,721		505		15,594		0.475		124,537
Assigned		22,473		14,978		21,591		52,024		2 002		(2.227)		-		8,475		119,541
Unassigned TOTAL FUND BALANCES		44,977		17,640		32,487		111,679		3,082 15,803		(2,227) (1,722)		15,594		8,475		855 244,933
TOTAL FUND DALANCES		44,977		17,040		32,467		111,079		13,003		(1,/22)		13,394		0,473		244,933
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	60,193	\$	26,501	\$	36,158	\$	252,908	\$	17,996	\$	3,068	\$	15,594	\$	13,311	\$	425,729

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

Governmental Funds

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets for Governmental Activities January 31, 2012

(In thousands)

Fund balance - total governmental funds			\$	244,933					
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the sta of net assets are different because:	tement								
Capital assets used in governmental activities therefore, are not reported in the funds.		6,359							
Bond issuance costs are expended in the governmentalized and amortized over the life of the corre									
of the governmental activities on the statement of n		7,975							
Interest on long-term debt is not accrued in the governecognized as an expenditure when due.		(12,614)							
Because the focus of governmental funds is on shor not be available to pay for current period expend deferred revenue in the governmental funds.		147,884							
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds:									
Type		Amount							
Tax allocation bonds	\$	(383,590)							

Net assets (deficit) of governmental activities \$ (116,430)

(125,875)

(7,104)

2,580

3,322

(300)

(510,967)

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

Housing set-aside revenue bonds

Issuance premiums

Issuance discounts

Remediation cost

Refunding loss

Subtotal

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds

For the Period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 (In thousands)

Capital Projects Low and Broadway Nonmajor **Total** Central **Central City** Moderate MacArthur **Oakland** Governmental Governmental District Coliseum **East** Housing San Pablo **Army Base Debt Service Funds Funds** REVENUES Tax increment \$ 18,304 \$ 6.398 \$ 3.801 \$ \$ 2.105 \$ 1.922 \$ \$ 815 \$ 33,345 306 41 27 122 65 17 57 Interest on cash and investments 11 646 Interest on notes receivable 7 8 1,649 322 168 1,249 2,117 5,506 Rents and reimbursements 122 Federal and state grants and subventions 5,667 5,789 Other 204 264 322 82 335 1,207 TOTAL REVENUES 20,463 7,032 3,829 612 7,865 3,571 139 2,990 46,501 **EXPENDITURES** Current: Urban redevelopment 11,167 8,002 5,412 2,430 5,599 166 6,065 38,841 22,106 22,106 Housing development Intergovernmental payments to the City of Oakland 68,146 38.915 82.816 266,695 65.415 1.756 9,647 AB 1290 Statutory Pass-Through Payment 2,504 936 800 923 489 7,566 1,914 Debt Service: Payment on advances 149 149 Retirement of long-term debt 14,440 14,440 15,338 Interest 15,338 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 81,227 75,921 45,263 22,106 4,986 89,338 30,093 16,201 365,135 Excess (deficiency) of revenues (60,764)(68,889)2,879 over expenditures (41,434)(21,494)(85,767)(29,954)(13,211)(318,634)OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) 2,870 36,221 39,091 Transfers in Transfers out (20,593)(4,859)(4,130)(7,035)(1,200)(200)(1,074)(39,091)(17,723)(4,859)(7,035)(1,200)(200)36,221 (1,074)TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) (4,130)Change in fund balances (78,487)(73,748)(45,564)(28,529)1,679 (85,967)6,267 (14,285)(318,634)Fund balances at beginning of year 123,464 91,388 78,051 140,208 14,124 84,245 9.327 22,760 563,567 FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) AT END OF PERIOD \$ 44,977 17,640 32,487 111,679 15,803 (1,722)15,594 8,475 244,933

Reconciliation of Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities For the Period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 (In thousands)

(In thousands	6)			
Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds			\$	(318,634)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the stat different because:	ement of activ	ities are		
Capital assets cost is allocated over their estimated reported as depreciation expenses in the current per	d		(89)	
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not printed financial resources are not reported as revenues in the made to developers and others are treated as urban housing expenditures at the time the loans are made revenues when the loans are collected in the funds.	, loans and ted as		(57.405)	
change in the deferred amounts during the current p	period.			(57,425)
Prepaid leases are expended in the governmental fur capitalized and amortized over the life of the lease activities.			(3,665)	
The issuance of long-term debt provides current fin governmental funds, while the repayment of long-term current financial resources of governmental funds. however, have no effect on net assets. The governmental funds debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferment of activities. This is the net effect of the treatment of long-term debt and related items.	imes the ions, eport the hen ized in			
Amortization of bond issuance costs	\$	(341)		
Retirement of long-term debt		14,440		
Payment of advances		149		
Amortization of premiums and discounts		521		
Amortization of refunding loss		(156)		
Net changes in remediation cost		5,000		19,613
Changes in accrued interest on bonds payable		_		(2,305)
			.	(0.50.707)

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

Change in net assets of governmental activities

\$ (362,505)

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The basic financial statements of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland (the Agency) have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant accounting policies of the Agency are described below:

A. Reporting Entity

The Agency was established on October 11, 1956, for the purpose of redeveloping certain areas of the City designated as project areas. On June 28, 2011, Assembly Bill X1 26 ("AB X1 26") was enacted. This legislation is referred to herein as the Redevelopment Dissolution Law. On December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of AB X1 26, and all redevelopment agencies in California were dissolved by operation of law effective February 1, 2012. The legislation provides for successor agencies and oversight boards that are responsible for overseeing the dissolution process and the wind down of redevelopment activity. On January 10, 2012, the City Council elected to become the Successor Agency for the former redevelopment agency in accordance with the Bill as part of City resolution number 83679 C.M.S, effective February 1, 2012.

The Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency ("ORSA") was created to serve as a custodian for the assets and to wind down the affairs of the former Agency. The ORSA is a separate public entity from the City, subject to the direction of an Oversight Board. The Oversight Board is comprised of seven-member representatives from local government bodies: two City representatives appointed by the Mayor; two County of Alameda (County) representatives; the County Superintendent of Education; the Chancellor of California Community Colleges; and the largest special district taxing entity.

Since the legislation was adopted, the City Council and Redevelopment Agency Board took several actions to comply with its requirements. One of its requirements was to develop an Enforceable Obligations Payment Schedule (EOPS) detailing the obligations of the Agency (and its 20% Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, which was administered by the City's Housing and Community Development). The EOPS lists the Agency's obligations and the amount due on the obligations. Enforceable obligations include: bonds secured by both the 80% and 20% funds; loans due to third parties; payments required by law, judgments or settlements; contracts and agreements with third parties; administrative costs, and funds borrowed from the 20% Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund. Additionally, the EOPS includes a listing of Agency obligations to the City.

The financial statements as of January 31, 2012 and for the period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012, serve as the final financial statements for the Agency. Effective February 1, 2012, all the activities related to the winding down of the former Agency's financial affairs will be reported in the financial statements for the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency and

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

included in the City of Oakland basic financial statements as a fiduciary fund (private-purpose trust fund). A separate financial report for the period February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012, containing additional information and more detailed information regarding financial position and changes in financial position will be included in the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency basic financial statements.

Prior to the enactment of AB X1 26, the Agency generally financed redevelopment projects through the issuance of tax allocation bonds. These bonds were payable from the incremental portion of property taxes collected within a project area relating to the increase in assessed valuation resulting from redevelopment. The County of Alameda (County) collects these incremental tax revenues on behalf of the Agency.

Under GASB Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, the Agency is considered a component unit of the City since the Agency Board consists exclusively of the Mayor and City Council. Consequently, the Agency's financial statements are blended in the City's basic financial statements.

B. Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement presentation

Government-wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) report all the activities of the Agency. The effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. The activities of the Agency are governmental in nature, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental transfers.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function. *Program revenues* include rents, grants, contributions, and charges for use of property owned. Taxes and other items properly not included as program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues*.

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources* measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Grants are recognized as revenue when all eligibility requirements have been met.

Fund Financial Statements

The Agency's governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be *available* when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures are generally recorded when a liability is

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

incurred, as under accrual accounting. The Agency uses the *purchases method* to account for expenditures, and accordingly, no prepaid items are reported.

Property taxes, intergovernmental revenues and interest associated with the current fiscal period, using the modified accrual basis of accounting as described above, are all considered susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. The Agency considers property tax revenues to be available for the year levied if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. All other revenues are considered available if they are collected within 120 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting, except for debt service expenditures, which are recorded only when payment is due.

The Agency reports the following major governmental funds:

Capital Project Funds:

Central District Fund – The Central District Fund accounts for the financial resources and the costs of acquisition, construction, improvement and management of commercial and residential facilities in the Central District Project area.

Coliseum Fund – The Coliseum Fund accounts for the financial resources and the costs of acquisition, construction and improvement of commercial, industrial, residential and airport related facilities in the Coliseum Project area.

Central City East Fund – The Central City East Fund accounts for the financial resources and the costs of acquisition, construction, improvement and management of commercial and residential facilities in the Central City East Project area.

Low and Moderate Housing Fund – The Low and Moderate Housing Fund accounts for 20% and 5% set-aside from all tax increments received, as mandated by State law and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency board, respectively. The fund also accounts for the proceeds from the Subordinated Housing Set-Aside Revenue Bonds, Series 2011-T and 2006A-T, and Subordinated Housing Set-Aside Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2006A. These funds are used to increase, improve and preserve the supply of housing within the City of Oakland available at affordable housing cost to persons or families of low and moderate income.

Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Fund – The Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Fund accounts for the financial resources and the costs of acquisition, construction and improvement of commercial, industrial and residential within the Broadway /MacArthur/San Pablo Project area.

Oakland Army Base Fund – The Oakland Army Base Fund accounts for the financial resources and the costs of the redevelopment and reuse of the closed military facilities into commercial and industrial facilities in the former Oakland Army Base.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

Debt Service Fund:

The Debt Service Fund – accounts for the accumulation of resources and the payment of Tax Allocation bonds, Subordinated Housing Set-Aside bonds, and General Obligation bonds' principal, interest and related costs.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Agency's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

C. Assets, Liabilities, Equity and Operations

1. Cash and Investments

The Agency's investments are stated at fair value. Fair value has been obtained by using market quotes as of January 31, 2012, and reflects the values as if the Agency were to liquidate the securities on that date. Money market investments with maturities of one year or less have been stated at amortized cost.

The Agency follows the practice of pooling cash of all operating funds for investment. Income on pooled assets is allocated to the individual fund based on the fund's average daily balance in relation to total pooled assets.

Proceeds from debt and other funds, which are restricted for the payment of debt or for use in approved projects and held by fiscal agents by agreement, are classified as restricted assets. Also, rental revenues received from the University of California Office of the President (UCOP), Ice Rink, and City Center Garage West, which are restricted for the operation of each of the facilities, are classified as restricted.

2. Property Held for Resale

Property held for resale is acquired as part of the Agency's redevelopment program. These properties are both residential and commercial. Costs of administering Agency projects are charged to capital outlay expenditures as incurred. A primary function of the redevelopment process is to prepare land for specific private development.

For financial statement presentation, property held for resale is stated at the lower of estimated cost or estimated conveyance value. Estimated conveyance value is management's estimate of net realizable value of each property parcel based on its current intended use.

During the period it is held by the Agency, property held for resale may generate rental or operating income. This income is recognized as it is earned in the Agency's statement of activities and generally is recognized in the Agency's governmental funds in the same

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

period depending on when the income becomes available on a modified accrual basis of accounting. The Agency does not depreciate property held for resale, as it is the intention of the Agency to only hold the property for a period of time until it can be resold for development.

3. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land and facilities and improvements are reported in the governmental activities column in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets, as defined by the Agency, are assets with an initial, individual cost of \$5,000 or more and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation. Capital outlay is recorded as expenditures in the Agency governmental funds and as assets in the government-wide financial statements to the extent the Agency's capitalization threshold is met.

The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend its useful life are not capitalized.

Depreciation of capital assets such as facilities and improvements is provided on the straight-line basis over useful life of 5-40 years.

4. Due to Other Governments

Due to other governments are mainly comprised of AB1290 Statutory Pass-Through payments mandated by state legislature to taxing agencies. The pass-through legislation requires redevelopment agencies to share or pass-through a portion of the property tax increment to affected local taxing entities, including schools.

5. Environmental Remediation Costs

Expenditures for environmental remediation of real properties acquired by purchase or donation are added to the recorded amounts of property held for resale when incurred. All estimated environmental remediation costs that would result in the recorded amount of property held for resale exceeding estimated net realizable values are accrued as expenses when such amounts become known.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

6. Fund Balances

Governmental funds report fund balances in classifications based primarily on the extent to which the Agency is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in the funds can be spent. As of January 31, 2012, fund balances for governmental funds are made up of the following:

- Restricted Fund Balance: includes amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by external resource providers, constitutionally or through enabling legislation. Restrictions may effectively be changed or lifted only with the consent of resource providers. It also includes a legally enforceable requirement that the resources can only be used for specific purposes enumerated in the law.
- Assigned Fund Balance: comprises amounts intended to be used by the City for specific purposes that are neither restricted nor committed. Intent is expressed by (1) the City's Council or (b) a body (for example: a Finance and Management Committee) or official to which the City's Council has delegated the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes. For example, the City's encumbrances, project carryforwards, and continuing appropriation are considered assigned fund balances.
- Unassigned Fund Balance: are amounts technically available for any purpose. It's the residual classification for the amounts not contained in the other classifications, the residual resources of the general fund, either positive or negative, as well as any negative fund balances from the governmental funds.

Under various agreements with the United States Department of the Army (Army), the use of substantially all assets of approximately 366 acres of the former Oakland Army Base and related lease income is restricted for the operation, maintenance, and economic development of real properties, facilities, and improvements from June 16, 1999 to August 7, 2010 with a two year extension. In January of 2012, the City adopted council resolution transferring Oakland Army Base project from the Agency to City totaling to \$48.6 million of net assets which includes the 366 acres land from the Army valued at \$48.2 million in property held for resale.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

Fund balances for all the major and nonmajor governmental funds as of January 31, 2012, were distributed as follows:

	entral istrict	Colis	seum	ntral City East	M	ow and loderate lousing	Broadway Mac Arthur Sa Pablo		Oakland Army Base		Debt Service		Nonma jor Govern-mental Funds		To	tal Governmental Funds
Restricted for:																
Capital projects	\$ 22,504	\$	2,662	\$ 10,896	\$	-	\$	12,721	\$	505	\$	-	\$	-	\$	49,288
Housing projects	-		-	-		59,655		-		-		-		-		59,655
Debt service	 -			-		-		-		-		15,594				15,594
subtotal	22,504		2,662	10,896		59,655		12,721		505		15,594		-		124,537
Assigned for:																
Property held																
for resale	17,685		9,112	13,284		8,012		-		-		-		-		48,093
Capital projects	4,788		5,866	8,307		-		-		-		-		8,475		27,436
Housing projects	 -		-	 -		44,012		-		-		-		-		44,012
subtotal	 22,473		14,978	 21,591		52,024		-		-		_		8,475		119,541
Unassigned	_		_	_		_		3,082		(2,227)		_		_		855
Total	\$ 44,977	\$	17,640	\$ 32,487	\$	111,679	\$	15,803	\$	(1,722)	\$	15,594	\$	8,475	\$	244,933

7. Property Tax Revenues

Incremental property tax revenues represent taxes collected on the redevelopment areas from the excess of taxes levied and collected over that amount which was levied and collected in the base year (the year of project inception) property tax assessment. The County of Alameda is responsible for assessing, collecting, and distributing property taxes in accordance with enabling state law, and for remitting such amounts to the Agency. Incremental property taxes are assessed and levied as of January 1, and result in a lien on real property. Incremental property taxes are then due in two equal installments; the first on November 1 and the second on February 1 of the following calendar year, and are delinquent after December 10 and April 10, respectively.

8. Budgetary Data

The Agency operates on a project basis and each of the capital project funds is for individual redevelopment areas consisting of several individual projects. All of the Agency's budgets are approved by the Agency's governing board. Unexpended budget appropriations are carried forward to the next year.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

9. Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue is that for which asset recognition criteria have been met, but for which revenue recognition criteria have not been met in fund statements. The Agency typically records deferred revenue in the governmental fund financial statements related to notes receivable arising from developers financing arrangement and long-term receivables.

10. Long-term Obligations

In the government-wide statement of net assets, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities. Bond premium, discount, and deferred refunding losses, as well as issuance costs, are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium, discount, and deferred refunding losses. Bond issuance costs are reported as deferred charges and amortized over the term of the related debt.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt service issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

11. Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

(2) CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The Agency maintains a common cash and investment pool for use by all funds. Each fund's portion of this pool is reported in the governmental funds balance sheet as unrestricted cash and investments.

The Agency's cash and investments consist of the following at January 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Fair Value
Cash and investments (unrestricted)	\$ 103,832
Restricted cash and investments	124,450
Total cash and investments	\$ 228,282

The Agency has adopted the investment policy of the City, which is governed by provisions of the California Government Code and the City's Municipal Code. The Agency also has investments subject to provisions of the bond indentures of its various bond issues. According to the investment policy and bond indentures, the Agency is permitted to invest in the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), obligations of the U.S. Treasury or U.S. Government agencies, time deposits, money market mutual funds invested in U.S. Government securities, along with various other permitted investments.

The Agency maintains all of its unrestricted investments in a cash and investment pool. As of January 31, 2012, the Agency's cash and investment pool totaled \$103.8 million. Income earned or losses arising from investments in the Agency's cash and investment pool are allocated on a monthly basis to the appropriate funds based on the average daily cash balance of such funds.

Custodial Credit Risk:

At January 31, 2012, the carrying amount of the Agency's deposits was \$7.13 million. Deposits include checking accounts, interest earning savings accounts, and non-negotiable certificates of deposit. Of the bank balance, \$0.25 million was FDIC insured and \$6.88 million was collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution in the Agency's name, in accordance with Section 53652 of the California Government Code.

Credit Risk:

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This risk is measured by the assignment of a rating by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The Agency's investment policy has mitigated credit risk by limiting investments to the safest types of securities. Additionally, the Agency prequalifies financial institutions, diversifies its portfolio, and has established monitoring procedures.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

The following tables show the Agency's credit risk as rated by Moody's for the Pooled and Restricted portfolios as of January 31, 2012 (in thousands):

Pooled Cash and Investments

			Ratings as of January 31, 2012								
	Fa	ir Value	A	A A/Aaa	A	A/Aaa	A	-1/P1			
U.S. Govt. Agency Securities	\$	30,002	\$	-	\$	30,002	\$	-			
U.S. Govt. Agency Securities (Disc.)		25,999		-		25,999		-			
Money Market Funds		34,700		34,700		-		-			
Negotiable CDs		6,000		-		-		6,000			
Subtotal		96,701	\$	34,700	\$	56,001	\$	6,000			
Deposits		7,131									
Total Cash and Investments	\$	103,832									

Restricted Cash and Investments

				Ratings a	31, 2012			
	Fair Value		A	A A/Aaa	A	A/Aaa	A	-1/P1
U.S. Govt. Agency Securities (Disc.)	\$	4,600	\$	-	\$	4,600	\$	-
Money Market Funds		115,850		115,850		-		-
Negotiable CDs		4,000		-		-		4,000
Total Restricted	\$	124,450	\$	115,850	\$	4,600	\$	4,000

Concentration of Credit Risk:

Concentration of credit risk is the risk that the failure of any one issuer would place an undue financial burden on the Agency. The Agency's investment policy mitigates the concentration of credit risk by diversifying the portfolio and limiting investments in single issuers. However, there is no limitation for investments issued by federal agencies or LAIF. The Agency has U.S. Government Agency Securities with Federal National Mortgage Corporation (Fannie Mae) for \$32.9 million or 14.90% of the total Agency portfolio respectively.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

The following table shows the diversification of the Agency's portfolio (in thousands):

Pooled Cash and Investments			Restricted Investments				
			% of				% of
	Fa	ir Value	Portfolio		F	air Value	Portfolio
U.S. Govt. Agency Securities	\$	30,002	31.03%	U.S. Govt. Agency Securities	\$	4,600	3.70%
U.S. Govt. Agency Securities (Disc.)		25,999	26.89%	Money Market Funds		115,850	93.09%
Money Market Funds		34,700	35.88%	Negotiable CDs		4,000	3.21%
Negotiable CDs		6,000	6.20%	Total	\$	124,450	100%
Total	\$	96,701	100%				

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market rates will adversely affect the fair market value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market rates. The average days to maturity of the Agency's pooled portfolio is 176 days. The Agency's investment policy has mitigated interest rate risk by establishing policies over liquidity, including maturity limits by investment classification.

The Agency has elected to use the segmented time distribution method of disclosure for its interest rate risk. As of January 31, 2012, the Agency had the following investments and original maturities (in thousands):

Pooled Cash and Investments

				 Matu	rities		
	Fa	ir Value	Interest Rates	 Months r Less	1-3 Years		
U.S. Govt. Agency Securities	\$	30,002	0.58% - 2.53%	\$ 8,053	\$ 21,949		
U.S. Govt. Agency Securities (Disc.)		25,999	0.03% - 0.083%	25,999	-		
Money Market Funds		34,700	0.07%	34,700	-		
Negotiable CDs		6,000	0.15% - 0.24%	6,000	-		
Total	\$	96,701		\$ 74,752	\$ 21,949		

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

Restricted Investments

			M	aturities
	Fair Value	Interest Rates	12	Months or Less
U.S.Govt. Agency Securities (Disc.)	\$ 4,600	0.550%	\$	4,600
Money Market Funds	115,850	0.01% - 0.07%		115,850
Negotiable CD's	4,000	0.55%		4,000
Total	\$ 124,450		\$	124,450

Restricted Investments in Capital Project and Debt Service Funds:

Under the provisions of the bond indentures, certain accounts with trustees were established for repayment of debt, amounts required to be held in reserve, and amounts to be held for the withdrawal of qualified reimbursements. These accounts are reported in capital projects and debt service funds. As of January 31, 2012, the amounts held by the trustees aggregated to \$124.5 million of which \$108.9 million is available to be used for restricted projects and \$15.5 million for debt service payment. All restricted investments held by trustees as of January 31, 2012 were invested in U.S. government agency securities discount note, money market mutual funds, negotiable CD's, that were in compliance with the bond indentures.

Total Agency cash and investments as of January 31, 2012, are as follow (in thousands):

Fund	Poo	quity in oled Cash Investment	Inves	ted Cash and tment With cal Agent	Total Governmental Funds		
Central District	\$	14,990	\$	22,504	\$	37,494	
Coliseum		14,059		2,662		16,721	
Central City East		11,928		10,896		22,824	
Low and moderate housing		43,831		59,655		103,486	
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo		5,269		12,721		17,990	
Oakland Army Base		1,208		505		1,713	
Debt Service		87		15,507		15,594	
Nonmajor governmental funds		12,460				12,460	
TOTAL	\$	103,832	\$	124,450	\$	228,282	

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

(3) NOTES RECEIVABLE

Notes receivable consisted of advances to developers of various Agency housing and redevelopment projects. These advances are evidenced by promissory notes. A summary of notes receivable at January 31, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

				C	entral	L	_ow and	Broa	adway	O	akland	N	onmajor		Total
	Central				City	Ν	/lod erate	Mac	Arthur	/	Army	Gov	ernmental	Gov	/ernmental
	 District	Col	iseum		East		Housing	San	Pablo		Base		Funds		Funds
Housing development project	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	219,338	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,463	\$	220,801
Development loans	16,899		649		50		-		6		365		368		18,337
Small business loans	128		-		-		-		-		-		28		156
Gross notes receivable	17,027		649		50		219,338		6		365		1,859		239,294
Less: Allowance for uncollectible															
accounts	(12,134)		-		-		(87,121)		-		-		(1,163)		(100,418)
Total Notes receivable, net	\$ 4,893	\$	649	\$	50	\$	132,217	\$	6	\$	365	\$	696	\$	138,876

As of January 31, 2012, the Agency has a total of \$138.9 million of net notes and loans receivable, which is not expected to be received in the next twelve months. All of the Agency's notes and loan receivables are offset with deferred revenue in the governmental funds as the collection of those notes and loans are not expected within the near future. The decrease of \$49.0 million for development loans from prior year is attributed to the loan assignment and assumption agreement entered between the Agency and the City to assign various commercial loans to the City.

(4) PROPERTY HELD FOR RESALE

A summary of changes in property held for resale follows (in thousands):

	B a la nc e						
	July 1, 2011	July 1, 2011 Increases Decrea		July 1, 2011 Increases Decreases			
Property held for resale	\$ 179,240	\$ 32,483	\$ 163,630	\$ 48,093			

The decreases in Property Held for Resale represent of the transfer of public facilities and Oakland Army Base assets to the City totaling to \$163.6 million and the acquisition of \$32.5 million in properties including Kaiser Conversion Center and other properties for the development within the Central District, Coliseum Area and Central City East Project Area.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

(5) CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets activity of the Agency for the period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012, is as follows (in thousands):

	Balance July 1, 2011		Increases		Decreases		Balance January 31, 2012		
Governmental activities:	- July 1, 201					-		<i>y</i> 01, 2012	
Capital assets, not being depreciated:									
Land	\$	3,377	\$		\$		\$	3,377	
Capital assets, being depreciated:									
Facilities and improvements		4,740		-		-		4,740	
Less accumulated depreciation		(1,669)		(89)				(1,758)	
Total capital assets, being									
depreciated, net		3,071		(89)				2,982	
Governmental activities capital									
assets, net	\$	6,448	\$	(89)	\$		\$	6,359	

The Agency has \$6.36 million capital assets, net of depreciation, as of January 31, 2012. The decrease represents the seven month of depreciation for The Henry J. Robinson Multi-Service Center Facility.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

(6) INTERGOVERNMENTAL RECEIVABLES, PAYABLES, AND INTERFUND TRANSFERS

"Due to" and "due from" other funds are interfund loans between the project area. "Advances to" the City of Oakland balances have primarily been recorded as it relates to pass through loans transactions made by the Agency primarily for projects such as first-time homebuyer programs and redevelopment programs. The loan repayments made from those programs will be repaid to the Agency's funds which originally funded the program. "Due to" the City of Oakland balances are AB 1290 Statutory Pass-Through payments due to the City. The composition of interfund balance and "advance to" and "due to" the City as of January 31, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

Due to/from other funds (in thousands):

Receivable fund	Payable fund	Amount
Low and Moderate Housing	Central City East	\$ 1,429

Advance to The City of Oakland (in thousands):

Receivable Project Areas	Descriptions	Aı	mount
Central District	Other advances	\$	121
Oakland Army Base	Reimbursement from TIGER II grants		990
Low and Moderate Housing	Pass through loans to City		7,586
	Advance for project reimbursement		178
Nonmajor governmental funds	Other advances		19
Total Advance to City		\$	8,894

Due to the City of Oakland (in thousands):

Payable Project Areas	Descriptions	Amount
Central District	AB 1290 Pass Through Payment	\$ 757
Coliseum	AB 1290 Pass Through Payment	839
Central City East	AB 1290 Pass Through Payment	389
Oakland Army Base	AB 1290 Pass Through Payment	333
	Due to City	572
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo	AB 1290 Pass Through Payment	244
Nonmajor governmental funds	AB 1290 Pass Through Payment	191
Total due the City		\$ 3,325

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

Interfund Transfers (in thousands):

	Transfers In											
	-	Central District	Total Governmental Fund									
Transfers out:												
Central District	\$	-	\$	20,593	\$	20,593						
Coliseum		520		4,339		4,859						
Central City East		925		3,205		4,130						
Low and Moderate Housing		-		7,035		7,035						
Oakland Army Base		200		-		200						
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo		325		875		1,200						
Nonmajor Governmental Funds		900		174		1,074						
TOTAL	\$	2,870	\$	36,221	\$	39,091						

The Central District, Coliseum, Central City East, Low and Moderate Housing, and Nonmajor Governmental Funds transferred \$36.2 million into the Debt Service Fund for payment of City advances, principal and interest on tax allocation and housing set-aside revenue bonds, and for set-aside required debt service reserve. The \$2.9 million transfer from Coliseum, Central City East, OBRA and Nonmajor Governmental Funds into Central District Project Area is for the purchase of the Kaiser Conversion Center.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

(7) LONG-TERM DEBT

Changes in Long-Term Obligations

The changes in long-term obligations for the period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012, are as follows (in thousands):

ı	Jul	y 1, 2011	Additions		Deductions		Janu	ıary 31, 2012	Due within One Year	
Bonds Payable:	-	,	-				-			_
Tax allocation bonds	\$	395,110	\$	-	\$	(11,520)	\$	383,590	\$	5,925
Housing set-aside revenue bonds		128,735		-		(2,860)		125,875		-
General obligation bond		60		-		(60)		-		-
Less deferred amounts:										
Issuance premiums		7,704		-		(600)		7,104		429
Issuance discount		(2,659)		-		79		(2,580)		(57)
Refunding loss		(3,478)				156		(3,322)		(112)
Total bonds payable		525,472		-		(14,805)		510,667		6,185
Environmental Remediation costs ¹		5,300		-		(5,000)		300		-
Advances from City of Oakland		149				(149)		-		_
TOTAL	\$	530,921	\$		\$	(19,954)	\$	510,967	\$	6,185

¹ As disccussed in Note 8, the Agency and the City entered into a purchase and sale agreement and as part of the agreement the Oakland Army Base asset and environmental liability was transferred to the City.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

The following is a summary of long-term obligations as of January 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Final		
Type of Obligation	Maturity Year	Interest Rates	Amount
Tax Allocation Bonds:			
Central District Senior Tax Allocation Refunding Series 1992	2014	2.5 % - 6.0%	\$ 18,900
Central District Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Series 2003	2020	3.0% - 5.50%	87,865
Central District Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Series 2005	2023	5.00%	31,970
Central District Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Series 2006T	2022	5.252 - 5.411%	20,610
Central District Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Series 2009T	2021	5.3% - 8.5%	37,370
Central City EastTax Allocation Refunding Series 2006A-TE	2037	5.00%	13,780
Central City EastTax Allocation Refunding Series 2006A-T	2034	5.263% - 5.537%	56,150
Coliseum Area Tax Allocation Refunding Series 2006B-TE	2037	4.00% - 5.00%	26,290
Coliseum Area Tax Allocation Refunding Series 2006B-T	2036	5.263% - 5.537%	67,430
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Tax Allocation Bonds Series 2006C-TE	2037	5.00%	4,945
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Tax Allocation Bonds Series 2006C-T	2032	5.283% - 5.587%	10,890
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Tax Allocation Bonds Series 2010-T	2041	7.200 - 7.400%	7,390
Total Tax Allocation Bonds			383,590
Subordinated Housing Set-Aside Bonds:			
Revenue Series 2006A	2019	5.00%	2,195
Revenue Series 2006A-T	2037	5.030% - 5.927%	76,700
Revenue Series 2011T	2042	3.25% - 9.25%	46,980
Total Subordinated Housing Set-Aside Bonds			125,875
SUB TOTAL			509,465
Deferred Amounts:			
Unamortized bond premium (discount), net			4,524
Unamortized bond refunding loss, net			(3,322)
TOTAL BONDS PAYABLE			\$ 510,667

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

Revenues Pledged for the Repayment of Debt Service

Tax Allocation Bonds

The Tax Allocation Bonds (TAB), which are comprised of Series 1992, Series 2003, Series 2005, Series 2006T, Series 2009T, Series 2006A TE/T, Series 2006B TE/T, Series 2006C TE/T, and Series 2010T are issued primarily to finance redevelopment projects and are all secured by pledge of redevelopment property tax revenues (i.e. former tax increment), consisting of a portion of taxes levied upon all taxable properties within each the tax increment generating redevelopment project areas, and are equally and ratably secured on a parity with each TAB series.

As of January 31, 2012, assuming no growth in assessed valuation throughout the term of each project area, the total projected accumulated tax increment revenue through the period of the bonds would be estimated at \$2,949,755,000. These revenues have been pledged until the year 2041, the final maturity date of the bonds. The total principal and interest remaining on these TABs as of January 31, 2012 is estimated at \$620,658,000, which is 21.0 percent of the total projected tax increment revenues. The pledged tax increment revenues recognized during the reporting period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 was \$33,345,000 of which \$22,672,317 (principal and interest) was used to pay debt service.

Historically, upon receipt of property tax increment, the Agency calculated the 80 percent and 20 percent and the voluntary 5 percent amount of tax increment and would then transfer the 20 percent and 5 percent portion to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, as required by the California Health and Safety Code and the Agency board resolution. The previous requirement to bifurcate the tax increment into 80 percent and 20 percent portions was eliminated in AB X1 26. However, in order to maintain compliance with bond indentures secured by the 80 percent and 20 percent tax increment, the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency plans to request the funds through the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund ("RPTTF") pursuant to Health and Safety Code 34183 (a)(2)(A), and segregate the funds in the debt service funds accordingly until the debt obligations have been satisfied.

Housing Set-Aside Bonds

The Housing set-aside bonds, which is comprised of Series 2006A, Series 2006A-T and Series 2011T are issued to finance affordable housing projects and are secured by a pledge and lien upon the 20% redevelopment property tax revenue (i.e. former tax increment) set-aside for the low and moderate income housing fund.

As of January 31, 2012, assuming no growth in assessed valuation throughout the term of each project area, the total projected accumulated tax increment revenue through the period of the bonds would be estimated at \$779,962,000. These revenues have been pledged until the year 2042, the final maturity date of the bonds. The total principal and interest remaining on these

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

Housing Set-Aside Bonds as of January 31, 2012 is estimated at \$252,046,287, which is 32.3 percent of the total projected tax increment revenues. The pledged tax increment revenues recognized during the reporting period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 was zero. The total debt service payment for the reporting period was \$7,035,172 (principal and interest). The Agency used restricted fund balance on the Low and Moderate Housing Fund to pay the debt service for the subject reporting period.

In the future, in order to maintain compliance with bond indentures secured by the 20 percent tax increment, the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency plans to request the funds through the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) from the RPTTF pursuant to Health and Safety Code 34183 (a)(2)(A), and segregate the funds in the debt service funds accordingly until the debt obligations have been satisfied

Advances from City to the Redevelopment Agency

The City has made various advances to the Agency for redevelopment projects. As of January 31, 2012 the Agency has paid off its advances from the City in the amount of \$149 thousand.

Outstanding Defeased Bonds

For financial reporting purposes, the Agency's advanced-refunded debt is considered defeased and therefore removed as a liability from the Agency's government-wide financial statements. Cumulatively, the defeased bonds had an outstanding debt balance of \$32.8 million at January 31, 2012.

Bond Indentures

There are a number of limitations and restrictions contained in the various bond indentures. The Agency believes it is in compliance with all significant limitations and restrictions.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

Annual Future Payments

The following table presents the Agency's aggregate annual amount of principal and interest payments required to amortize the outstanding debt as of January 31, 2012 (in thousands).

Year ending	Governmental Activities									
June 30:	P	rincipal]	Interest	Total					
2012 1	\$	5,925	\$	15,033	\$	20,958				
2013		22,545		29,313		51,858				
2014		24,870		28,053		52,923				
2015		19,865		26,651		46,516				
2016		27,140		25,334		52,474				
2017 - 2021		163,300		99,311		262,611				
2022 - 2026		77,825		59,811		137,636				
2027 - 2031		54,080		43,688		97,768				
2032 - 2036		69,505		26,672		96,177				
2037 - 2041		39,035		9,126		48,161				
2042 - 2042		5,375		248		5,623				
TOTAL	\$	\$ 509,465		\$ 363,240		872,705				

Represents amount required for the remaining 5 months of fiscal year 2012.

Conduit Debt

The following long-term debt has been issued by the Agency on behalf of named agents of the Agency. The bonds do not constitute an indebtedness of the Agency. The bonds are payable solely from revenue sources defined in the individual bond documents, and from other monies held for the benefit of the bond holders pursuant to the bond indentures. In the opinion of City officials, these bonds are not payable from any revenues or assets of the Agency, and neither the full faith and credit nor the taxing authority of the Agency, State, or any political subdivision thereof is obligated for the payment of the principal or interest on the bonds. Accordingly, no liability has been recorded. The City has recorded the Oakland Museum as an asset and the debt on its government-wide statement of net assets.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

The conduit debt issued and outstanding at January 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Αι	ıthorized		Outs	tanding at
	an	nd Issued	Maturity	January 31, 201 2	
City of Oakland Refunding Certificates of Participation					
(Oakland Museum) 2002 Series A	\$	16,295	04/01/12	\$	3,895
Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland, Multifamily Housing					
Revenue Bonds (Uptown Apartment Project), 2005 Series A		160,000	10/01/50		160,000
TOTAL				\$	163,895

(8) TRANSACTIONS WITH THE CITY OF OAKLAND

The Agency and the City are closely related but are separate legal entities. The City Council members serve as the governing board for the Agency. The Agency does not have employees nor does it have administrative facilities separate from the City. A substantial portion of the Agency's expenditures represent reimbursement to the City for both the services of employees and the use of City facilities. For the year ended January 31, 2012, the Agency reimbursed the City \$22.5 million for these expenditures.

March 3, 2011, Agency Agreements with the City

On March 3, 2011 the Agency and the City entered into the following agreements under the California Community Redevelopment Law.

a) The Funding Agreement

The Agency and the City entered into a funding agreement for the Agency to fund City public improvements and other redevelopment projects and programs within the City.

b) The Purchase and Sale Agreement

The Agency and the City entered into a purchase and sale agreement of various Agency properties to the City at a purchase price of a dollar.

c) Loan Assignment and Assumption Agreement

The Agency and the City entered into a loan assignment and assumption agreement, under the agreement the City was assigned various commercial loans from the Agency.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

The table below shows the intergovernmental transfers pursuant to the funding and purchase and sale agreement and the loan assignment and assumption agreement (in thousands):

	Types of Assets												
Payable Project Area		Property Held for Resale		Restricted Cash and Investments		Pool Cash and Investments		otes and Loan ceivables	Others		TOTAL		
Central District	\$	61,845	\$	5,037	\$	1,264	\$	47,330	\$	-	\$	115,476	
Coliseum		39,750		14,416		11,249		1,256		-		66,671	
Central City East		8,683		28,021		2,211		-		-		38,915	
Oakland Army Base		48,940		-		34,687		-		(811)		82,816	
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo		-		-		1,756		-		-		1,756	
Nonmajor Governmental funds		4,411		-		5,236		704		-		10,351	
Sub-Total		163,629		47,474		56,403		49,290		(811)		315,985	
Less obligations associated with assets transferred:													
Notes and Loans deferred collections								(49,290)				(49,290)	
Total Intergovernmental Transfers by													
Governmental Funds	\$	163,629	\$	47,474	\$	56,403	\$	-	\$	(811)	\$	266,695	

In addition to the assets transferred, the Successor Agency assumed the environmental remediation obligation in the Oakland Army Base project area in the amount of \$5 million.

(9) TRANSACTIONS WITH THE FOX OAKLAND THEATER, INC. ("FOT") DEVELOPMENT

FOT is an Internal Revenue Code section 501(C) (3) organization set up by and for the benefit of the Agency and the City to renovate the Fox Theater. The Agency transferred the Fox Theater property to FOT in August 2006 through a long-term lease and a Disposition and Development Agreement ("DDA") which included a \$25,500,000 loan. The Fox Theater property was held by the Agency as property held for resale. During 2008, the property was transferred to FOT as a long-term capital lease which was valued at \$6,500,000 in the lease and DDA. All FOT board members are City employees and FOT has no staff. FOT set up a for profit entity, Fox Theater Manager, Inc ("FT Manager"), and then two LLCs managed by FT Manager, Fox Theater Landlord LLC, and Fox Theater Master Tenant LLC. These new entities were used to syndicate Historic and New Markets Tax Credits. The Fox Theater property was transferred to the LLCs in December 2006, but the loan remains with FOT and is secured by a pledge and assignment of borrowers ninety nine and nine-tenths percent (99.9%) interests in the Community Development Entities (CDEs) loans entered into between FOT and Fox Oakland Investment Fund (FOIF). In FY 2009-10 the Agency loaned an additional of \$2.0 million to Fox Oakland Theater Inc and \$1.4 million to Fox Theater Master Tenant LLC to complete the project. The \$1.4 million Fox Theater Master Tenant LLC loan has a 15 year term.

The outstanding principal balance of the FOT loan shall accrue interest at the rate of 2.5 percent, commencing on the date of disbursement and compounded annually, which will only be payable to the extent of borrower's net cash flow from operations.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

The loan terminates at the end of ten years unless the borrower defaults on the agreement in which case the lender declares an acceleration of the maturity.

On March 3, 2011, the Agency and the City entered into a loan assignment and assumption agreement and the City was assigned various commercial loans that included the FOX Theater.

(10) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland

As of January 31, 2012, the Agency has entered into several contractual commitments for materials and services relating to various redevelopment projects and affordable housing projects within the City of Oakland. In the future years these commitments will be funded by future redevelopment property tax revenues (former tax increment). The Successor Agency assets can only be used to pay enforceable obligations as defined by the Redevelopment Dissolution Law revenue and other sources.

On March 1, 2006, the Agency entered into the Jack London Gateway, Enhanced Enterprise Community Section 108 loan and grant agreement with City of Oakland as a guarantor of the promissory note. Under this agreement, the Agency committed to pay a portion of the note equal to \$160 thousand per year for a ten year period. As of January 31, 2012, the remaining balance of \$1.2 million has been included as part of the Successor Agency Recognized Obligation Payments Schedule (ROPS) submitted to the State Department of Finance.

The Agency is exposed to various risks of loss related to: torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the government carries commercial insurance. Liabilities of the Agency are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

Wood Street Affordable Housing Project Environmental Remediation

The Wood Street Affordable Housing Project analytical results show concentrations of arsenic, lead, total petroleum hydrocarbons such as diesel and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in site soils and or ground water sample. As of January 31, 2012, environmental remediation clean up activities have not been completed yet. The Agency has set-aside \$300 thousand in escrow to cover the remaining environmental obligations.

Oakland Army Base Environmental Remediation

Land originally conveyed to Oakland Base Reuse Authority (OBRA) from the Army, portions of which were subsequently conveyed to the Agency and the Port of Oakland, may be subject to environmental remediation as required by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act. If and when such environmental remediation is required, OBRA

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

then, and subsequently the Agency and the Port, are responsible for the first \$13.0 million of environmental remediation costs, including environmental remediation insurance. OBRA received a federal grant of \$13.0 million to pay for the above-mentioned environmental remediation costs including the \$3.5 million insurance premium. As of January 31, 2012, the Agency has spent approximately \$13 million on this project, of which \$10.9 million has been received from the US Department of the Army. The Agency is working with the US Department of Army on collecting the remaining balance of \$2.1 million.

The next \$11.0 million of environmental remediation costs are to be shared equally by the Agency and the Port. As a result, the Agency reports its share of \$5.0 million remediation obligation on Oakland Army Base project. The next \$9.0 million will be paid from insurance proceeds from the environmental remediation policy. If subsequent environmental remediation is required after the initially-required remediation is complete, then the environmental site liability policy will cover up to \$30 million in environmental remediation-related costs. The Agency and the Port have agreed to share equally in any environmental remediation-related costs above \$21.0 million that are not covered by insurance. In January of 2012, The Agency transferred Oakland Army Base Operation to the City including the remediation liabilities.

(11) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Recent Changes in Legislation Affecting California Redevelopment Agencies:

a) Invalidation of Loans with the City

AB X1 26 specifically invalidates existing agreements between the former Agency and the City, except for 1) those entered into at the time of issuance of debt, for the purpose of securing repayment of such debt, and 2) loans or advances from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund. On February 1, 2012, the City did not have any long-term loans or receivables with the former Agency. Subsequent legislation adopted on June 28, 2012 provides that loans between the City and ORSA may be re-established when the Successor Agency receives a "finding of completion" from the State Department of Finance and approval of the Oversight Board.

b) Dissolution Legislation "True up" Process

The provisions of AB1484, which was a trailer bill to the FY 2012-13 State Budget, required that the County Auditor Controller determine if the tax revenues received by the Agency in January 2012 (before dissolution) were in excess of the amount spent by the former Agency and the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency ("ORSA") on enforceable obligations as defined by the Redevelopment Dissolution Law during the period from January 1 through June 30, 2012. If there was an excess, the ORSA must remit the residual amounts to the County by July 12, 2012, for allocation to the taxing entities. This is referred to as the "true up" process. Due to the manner in which some of the former Agency's bond obligations were categorized on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) during this period, the County Auditor-Controller's calculations indicated a \$21.5 million "overpayment" of tax revenues to the Successor Agency. On July 12, 2012, the Successor Agency issued a payment of \$21.5 million of residual amount to the

Notes to Basic Financial Statements January 31, 2012

County-auditor controller to be deposited into the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund ("Trust Fund") for distribution to the taxing entities.

COMBINING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS and COMPLIANCE SECTION

Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds January 31, 2012 (In thousands)

	Acorn		West Oakland		Other Projects		Redevelopment Planning Fund		Total Nonmajor Governmenta Funds	
ASSETS										
Cash and investments	\$	795	\$	4,098	\$	5,758	\$	1,809	\$	12,460
Accounts receivable, net Advances to the City of Oakland		-		-		136 19		-		136 19
Notes receivable, net		533		100		28		35		696
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	1,328	\$	4,198	\$	5,941	\$	1,844	\$	13,311
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES LIABILITIES Accounts payable Due to the City of Oakland Due to other governments Deposits and other liabilities Deferred revenue	\$	- - 12 533	\$	144 1,932 - 100	\$	47 555 1 28	\$	1,443 - - 6 35	\$	1,443 191 2,487 19 696
TOTAL LIABILITIES		545		2,176		631		1,484		4,836
FUND BALANCES										
Assigned		783		2,022		5,310		360		8,475
TOTAL FUND BALANCES		783		2,022		5,310		360		8,475
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	1,328	\$	4,198	\$	5,941	\$	1,844	\$	13,311

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

For the Period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 (In thousands)

	Acorn		West Oakland		Other Projects		Redevelopment Planning Fund		Total Nonmajor Governmenta Funds	
REVENUES										
Tax increment	\$	-	\$	611	\$	204	\$	_	\$	815
Interest on cash and investments	·	3		14		16	·	24		57
Interest on notes receivable		-		_		1		_		1
Rents and reimbursements		-		_		2,117		_		2,117
TOTAL REVENUES		3		625		2,338		24		2,990
EXPENDITURES										
Current:										
Urban redevelopment		866		2,212		2,963		24		6,065
Intergovernmental payments to the City of Oakland		-		3,335		6,312		-		9,647
AB 1290 Statutory Pass-Through Payment		-		357		132		-		489
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		866		5,904		9,407		24		16,201
Excess (deficiency) of revenues										
over expenditures		(863)		(5,279)		(7,069)		-		(13,211)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)										
Transfers out		(18)		(556)		(500)		_		(1,074)
Tuisiois out		(10)		(330)		(300)				(1,0/+)
Change in Could always		(001)		(5.925)		(7.560)				(14.205)
Change in fund balances		(881)		(5,835)		(7,569)		260		(14,285)
Fund balances at beginning of year FUND BALANCES AT END OF YEAR	•	1,664	•	7,857	•	12,879	Φ.	360	Φ.	22,760
FUND DALANCES AT END OF TEAK	\$	783	\$	2,022	\$	5,310	\$	360	\$	8,475

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK





Sacramento

Oakland

LA/Century City

Newport Beach

San Diego

Seattle

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements

Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Honorable Mayor and Members of the Council of the Redevelopment Agency City of Oakland, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland (Agency), a component unit of the City of Oakland, California, as of January 31, 2012 and for the period from July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012, which collectively comprise the Agency's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2012. Our report includes an explanatory paragraph referring to Note 1 to the financial statements relating to the recent legislation dissolving California redevelopment agencies as of February 1, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Agency and the City as Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Agency's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Agency's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Agency's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Agency's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results or our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management of the Agency and the State Controller's Office and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Oakland, California

Macias Gini & C Carrel LLP

December 21, 2012