

## Attachment A: Mobile ID

### Background

The City Council adopted [Resolution 88095 C.M.S.](#) on April 7, 2020, which approved the OPD Mobile ID Surveillance Use Policy as well as the Surveillance Impact Report.

OPD does not currently possess any Mobile Identification Devices (MID)s and there was zero (0) MID usage by OPD in 2022. The Alameda County Sheriff's Office (ACSO), the lead sponsor of the MID program, is currently upgrading the devices with technology provider. OPD will appoint an internal MID Coordinator when OPD is able to receive and deploy upgraded units.

### 2022 Annual Report Details

- A. A description of how the surveillance technology was used, including the type and quantity of data gathered or analyzed by the technology:

OPD did not possess nor deploy MIDs in 2022.

- B. Whether and how often data acquired through the use of the surveillance technology was shared with outside entities, the name of any recipient entity, the type(s) of data disclosed, under what legal standard(s) the information was disclosed, and the justification for the disclosure(s):

There was no usage and no data generated in 2022.

- C. Where applicable, a breakdown of what physical objects the surveillance technology hardware was installed upon; using general descriptive terms so as not to reveal the specific location of such hardware; for surveillance technology software, a breakdown of what data sources the surveillance technology was applied to:

MIDs are not attached to any fixed objects.

- D. Where applicable, a breakdown of where the surveillance technology was deployed geographically, by each police area in the relevant year:

OPD did not deploy MIDs anywhere in the City in 2022.

- E. A summary of community complaints or concerns about the surveillance technology, and an analysis of the technology's adopted use policy and whether it is adequate in protecting civil rights and civil liberties. The analysis shall also identify the race of each person that was subject to the technology's use. The Privacy Advisory Commission may waive this requirement upon making a determination that the probative value in gathering this information to evaluate the technology's impact on privacy interests is outweighed by the City's administrative burden in collecting or verifying this information and the potential greater invasiveness in capturing such data. If the Privacy Advisory Commission makes such a determination, written findings in support of the determination shall be included in the annual report submitted for City Council review.

There were no community complaints or concerns.

- F. The results of any internal audits, any information about violations or potential violations of the Surveillance Use Policy, and any actions taken in response unless the release of such information is prohibited by law, including but not limited to confidential personnel file information:

There was no usage of MIDs and no data or usage to audit.

- G. Information about any data breaches or other unauthorized access to the data collected by the surveillance technology, including information about the scope of the breach and the actions taken in response:

There were no identifiable data breaches or unauthorized access during the year of 2022.

- H. Information, including crime statistics, that helps the community assess whether the surveillance technology has been effective at achieving its identified purposes:

Non-applicable based on zero usage.

- I. Statistics and information about Public Records Act requests regarding the relevant subject surveillance technology, including response rates:

There were no PRRs regarding this technology in 2022.

- J. Total annual costs for the surveillance technology, including personnel and other ongoing costs, and what source of funding will fund the technology in the coming year:

There was no MID usage and no cost to OPD.

- K. Any requested modifications to the Surveillance Use Policy and a detailed basis for the request:

No requests for changes at this time.