CITY OF OAKLAND Oakland Public Works

TO: Tam Tran, Chair, Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission (PRAC)

FROM: Liam Garland, Assistant to the Director of Public Works (OPW)

DATE: July 10, 2024

SUBJECT: Informational Report: OPW Measure Q Update

SUMMARY

This report provides:

- 1. OPW's updates to PRAC regarding the hiring of staff related to Measure Q-funded park maintenance services.
- 2. Delivery of Measure Q-funded services.
- 3. Update on Measure Q-funded stormwater initiatives.
- 4. Status update on parks assessments.

BACKGROUND / LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Measure Q, approved by Oakland voters in March 2020, provides funding for City of Oakland services related to parks maintenance, homelessness services, and water quality, subject to oversight. The Oakland City Council assigned PRAC the oversight role regarding the Measure Q expenditures related to parks maintenance and water quality services. As a component of this oversight function, OPW routinely submits updates to PRAC on Measure Q-related items.

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

At the June 12, 2024 meeting, Assistant to the Public Works Director Liam Garland presented the monthly maintenance report. This month's update focuses on six areas:

1. **Staffing:** Measure Q Section 3(B)(1)(m): "Providing dedicated staff at major parks. 'Major Parks' means City operated Community Parks, Region-serving parks, and Resource Conservation Areas, as those terms are used in the Open Space Conservation & Recreation (OSCAR) Element of the Oakland General Plan."

Attachment A provides a list of Measure Q-funded positions and whether the positions are filled or vacant. Attachment B provides a list of positions primarily within Parks and Trees Division and Facilities Services Division that are funded by sources besides Measure Q, and whether those positions are filled or vacant. These lists do not include potential changes being considered by City Council in its adoption of a FY 2024/2025 budget.

Hiring. The table below shows Measure Q-related hiring and vacancies for May and prior months. Future reports will complete the remaining months of this fiscal year.

Measure Q Funded Positions	FY 2023/2024				
	Dec	Mar	Apr	May	June
# of Filled Positions	81	83	82	79	_
# of Vacant Positions	22	17	18	21	IBD
% Vacant Positions	21%	17%	18%	21%	

Dedicated Staff. Staff define Measure Q's dedicated staffing goal as at least one full-time equivalent (FTE) devoted per month at each major park. ¹ Staff also developed a preliminary industry standard for number of parks maintenance FTEs per acre of park maintained. In 2008, the International City/County Management Association recommended a best practice of one park maintenance staff member per 12 acres of parkland and indicated a higher ratio if population density is higher. Oakland has a high population density and these major parks face frequent illegal dumping, so staff adjusted the industry standard to one parks' maintenance staff to 10 acres.

To approximate FTEs per major park, staff track total labor hours worked by staff at each major park. Then these total labor hours per park are converted into a FTE count per park by dividing the total work hours per park by the number of hours a FTE works per month. This results in an approximate number of FTEs per park per month. For example, Arroyo Viejo Park's FTE count for the month of May is 3.4. It is calculated by work orders showing 589.5 hours worked in May at this park, divided by a FTE's approximate 173 hours per month (2080 annual work hours divided by 12 months).

Attachment C shows the results. In May, 91% (or 10) parks met the Measure Q-derived goal of 1 FTE per major park, and 9% (or 1) did not. This shows improvement over the prior month of May, where a significant maintenance push was made at Lakeside Park that made it harder to meet the staffing goal at other major parks. In addition, 64% (or 7) of the parks met the preliminary industry standard of 1 FTE/10 acres and 36% (or 4) did not.

Future reports will continue updating this data for relevant months. After several months of data collection, staff and the PRAC can begin to evaluate trends over time and compare them with the monthly and quarterly maintenance reports, and cross-reference these trends with the maintenance findings from the parks assessments referenced at the end of this report. At that point, the preliminary industry standard goal for baseline FTEs per park can be updated.

2. **Ballfield Mowing:** Measure Q Section 3(B)(1)(a): "Mowing City operated sports fields frequently, every other week, or as may be required by the time of year."

The Parks and Trees Division in Public Works' Bureau of the Environment is responsible for ballfield mowing. Measure Q sets a priority to mow "frequently" and defines frequently as every other week (or roughly two times per month), or "as [frequently as] it may be required by the time of year."

Public Works sets a different goal based on time of the year. During the off peak (or rainy) season from November to March, rain is likely and ballfields are used less, thus mowing is less frequent. Staff's goal in the off-peak season is one mow per month at each field.

Off Peak (Rainy Season)					Peak	(Dry Sea	son)				
Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct
ballfield mowing 1x/month				ballfield	d mowing	g 2x/mon	th at min	imum			

¹ OSCAR identified three resource conservation areas. Two of the three resource conservation areas have since been stewarded by the East Bay Regional Parks District. The third is the undeveloped portions of Joaquin Miller Park, where Public Works responds to service requests but does not provide proactive maintenance.

Peak (or dry) season is April to October. Rain is unlikely, ballfield use is high, and mowing is, and should be, occurring regularly. Measure O says moving should be occurring "every other week." In peak season, staff's goal is moving ballfields twice per month at minimum, 100% of the time.

Attachment D shows the results. The May data shows the number of ballfield mows increased by 12 (74 in May versus 62 in April) and the total number of mows exceeded the peak-season goal of a minimum of 2 mows per month per park 100% of the time. In the parkby-park analysis, 73% of parks met the peak-season goal in May versus 53% in April. In addition, whereas the April mow data showed several parks receiving no mows, every park in May was mowed at least once.

3. Trash and Litter: Measure Q Section 3(B)(1)(b): "Collecting trash and litter several times per week, including Saturdays and Sundays, from the City's most used parks, assuring equitable distribution of resources..."

Staff define the City's most used parks as the community parks and regional-serving parks in the General Plan's OSCAR element. Trash cans are emptied and litter removed at these parks every Monday and Friday by the Parks and Trees Division.

Staff set two goals for trash and litter pickup. The baseline goal is emptying of cans and picking up litter two times per week (or eight times per month), 100% of the time. To derive a Measure Q goal, staff took the baseline goal of two pickups, added a pickup on Saturday and Sunday to reflect Measure Q's language "including Saturdays and Sundays...", and then included an overall completion rate of 75% of the time. This Measure Q-derived goal translates into three or more weekly pickups (four pickups multiplied by 75%) at the most used parks.

Attachment E shows the results. The overall count of 1,924 trash cans emptied in May is slightly above the baseline goal of 1,888. For the park-by-park analysis, 55% of the individual parks are meeting that baseline goal and 45% are not. This rate of trash can emptying also falls short of the Measure Q-derived goal. Staff would have to empty 908 additional cans in order to meet the Measure O-derived goal. Given this is the first report of data on trash can emptying, we may see results closer to stated goals based on better record keeping and reporting in future months.

4. **Restroom Cleaning:** Measure Q Section 3(B)(1)(e): "Cleaning Park Restrooms more than once per day, especially on weekends."

The Facilities Services Division of Public Works' Bureau of Maintenance and Internal Services is responsible for outdoor restroom cleaning.

Staff set a baseline goal of cleaning every parks' outdoor restroom once per day, 100% of the time. This month's reporting is based on data collected in May 2024. With 31 days in the reporting period, the baseline goal is 31 cleanings per outdoor restroom (or one daily cleaning per restroom).

To derive a Measure Q goal, staff considered the ballot text's language to clean park restrooms "more than once per day, especially on weekends." Staff set a target of twice per

day cleanings including weekends and then included the goal of meeting this twice-per-day standard 80% of the time. This Measure O-derived target for May's reporting is 50 cleanings per park restroom (two cleanings per day for 31 days multiplied by 80%).

Each cleaning count represents the restroom or restrooms being cleaned at the listed location. Where only one bathroom exists at a location, one count equals one restroom cleaning. In locations with multiple restrooms, one count represents multiple restrooms being cleaned.

Attachment F shows the results. The overall count of 918 cleanings in May is above the baseline goal of 868 cleanings. However, cleanings are falling short both in the baseline goal at 67% of the individual parks and several hundred cleanings short of the Measure Q-derived goal of twice per day cleanings, 80% of the time.

No reporting is provided for parks restrooms that are closed or operate only seasonally or by event. These include Arroyo Viejo (McConnell Field), Caldecott, Carter Gilmore, DeFremery, Joaquin Miller-Sequoia Arena, Lafayette Park, Oakport Field, Otis Spunkmeyer Field, Raimondi, Officer Willie Wilkins, Willow Park, and Woodminster.

5. Update on Stormwater and Water Quality Initiatives: Measure Q Section 3(B) and (3)(B)(a)+(f)+(k): "Services to address water quality and related litter reduction....[including] [u]pdating the City Storm Drainage Master Plan...[a]cquiring, installing and maintaining full trash capture systems...[and] performing routine operations and maintenance for the City's stormwater system..."

The Watershed and Stormwater Management Division of Public Works' Bureau of Design and Construction is responsible for updating the City's Storm Drainage Master Plan, which is the initial primary beneficiary of Measure O funding for water quality and litter reduction. The plan's budget is \$3,681,000 of which \$1,839,976 is funded by Measure Q. The plan is estimated to be completed by Winter 2026.

This plan assesses the condition of the City's storm drainage system and identifies areas prone to flooding. It includes an inventory and assessment of the City's storm drainage system; studies to evaluate if drains and pipes have capacity to handle storm drainage flows; a prioritized list of improvements to reduce local flooding and to add green stormwater infrastructure designed to clean stormwater and provide urban greening benefits; preliminary cost estimates of storm drainage system improvements; and suggestions for funding sources to implement the planned storm drainage improvements.

To date, the plan's hydrology and hydraulic modeling effort is 99% complete. The storm drainage system's inspection and inventory is 58% complete, and a project to capture video footage of storm drainage pipes is 6% complete. The inspection and video information will be used to develop condition ratings. The consultant is also identifying major system capacity deficiencies based on modeling results, complaints, and maintenance information. For the highest priority deficiencies, watershed-based capacity improvement project alternatives will be developed, e.g. upsized storm drainage pipes, diversions, detention, floodwalls, pump station improvements, etc. Designs to 10% will be developed for each selected alternative to better quantify the cost and to serve as the basis for future funding applications.

This process was completed for one section of Oakland and two flood control/storm drainage capacity improvement projects were developed that are receiving initial design development funds through Measure U (Empire Road and Bernhardt Drive). In addition, the consultant has completed assessments of the City's stormwater pump stations and existing large trash capture devices, which informs future capital planning and maintenance needs. The consultant also completed a Lake Merritt bathymetry analysis and dissolved oxygen and temperature monitoring. They are working on a tree canopy model (39% complete), design standard updates, sea level rise alternatives, CEQA, and a maintenance and inspection plan. The plan also has identified five channel daylighting sites and nine regional green infrastructure sites with flood control and trash capture benefits.

Besides the Storm Drainage Master Plan, Measure O contributes to other significant stormwater initiatives. These include the procurement and installation of 250-350 full trash capture devices in storm drains in high trash generating areas (\$1 million); the Lake Merritt Water Quality Management Pilot Project that installed devices to protect aquatic life from the potential impacts of harmful algal blooms (\$400,000 in FY 23/24 and \$400,000 in FY 24/25); and Courtland Creek Restoration Project improvements that reduce sediment discharges to the Courtland Creek (\$150,000).

Measure Q has also contributed to the following initiatives related to the stormwater system's repair, maintenance, or regulation:

- a complex culvert cleanup at International Boulevard from 57th to 62nd Ave (\$289,662),
- a storm drain line cleaning at San Leandro Street and 62nd Ave (\$257,723),
- consultant assistance in trash load reduction for stormwater permit (\$85,000),
- a sinkhole repair at Dimond Park next to Sausal Creek (\$79,350),
- an urgent Camino Lenada Street repair protecting Palo Seco Creek (\$76,484), and
- the cleanup of excessive sediment on streets after winter storms (\$2,200).

Another progress update on stormwater and water quality initiatives will be presented at the December PRAC meeting.

6. Status Update on Parks Assessments: Measure Q Section 3(B)(1)(1): "Developing measurable tools to ensure equitable maintenance of all parks and open spaces..."

Staff are developing park assessments prompted by the Measure Q section cited above and by the City Auditor's December 21, 2023 report at Recommendation #6, agreed to by the City Administrator, that recommends "a baseline condition for parks maintenance conditions..."

The Parks and Trees Division of Public Works' Bureau of Environment is responsible for park maintenance. It is currently soliciting a consultant to conduct an updated inventory of park assets under their purview and some assets that are under the purview of the Facilities Division (that is part of the Bureau of Maintenance and Internal Services) and then conduct condition assessments of those assets. The assessments will categorize the City's parks and grounds by size, amenities, and facilities. The inventory will be geo-coded and incorporated into the City's computerized maintenance management system, Cityworks.

The assessments will first establish baseline acceptable conditions by asset type (i.e., pool, outdoor restroom, ballfield, pathway) and then provide recommendations for maintenance services necessary to restore park assets to fully operational status as measured against established baseline conditions. If baseline condition of an asset or assets cannot be achieved through additional maintenance services, the asset will be noted for potential inclusion in future capital work.

OPW is coordinating the development of these assessments with the Parks, Recreation, and Youth Development Department. These assessments will include park-by-park ratings and engage community partners such as the Oakland Parks and Recreation Foundation. A nonprofit may be hired as a sub-consultant to conduct the individual park inspections.

The assessments will be developed after reviewing best practices from San Francisco and San Jose who have recently conducted similar exercises. Park assets include, but are not necessarily limited to, tot lots, ballfields and other sports fields, restrooms, drinking fountains, pools, basketball, tennis, or other sports court, garden beds, picnic areas, seating, pathways, open lawn areas, stairs, fencing, litter containers, light poles or other lighting, parking areas, signage, decorative fountains or other artwork, stormwater treatment systems (such as bioretention swales), and vegetated areas such as border shrubbery or planting strips. The comprehensive list of assets for inventory and evaluation will be developed by the consultant in consultation with City staff. The interiors of recreation centers and natural water features are excluded given budget constraints.

As noted above, where it is not possible to achieve baseline conditions of an asset through maintenance services, the assessments will note the need for capital work and will include recommendations for replacement and repair, including high-level scope and cost estimates, and a suggested schedule it would reasonably take to conduct the repair or replacement of the deficiencies found in inspections. An executive summary will aggregate the findings and methodology of the assessments.

The consultant also will recommend an approach to Measure Q's requirement to "[develop] measurable tools to ensure equitable maintenance of all parks and open spaces..." after reviewing the OakDOT's Geographic Equity Toolbox and in consultation with the departments of Public Works; Parks, Recreation, and Youth Development; and Race and Equity.

Staff are issuing a solicitation, will interview consultants in July, and expect to issue a notice to proceed in August. The results of these assessments will be presented to PRAC in March 2025, especially the portions relevant to PRAC's Measure Q FY 2025-2027 budget recommendations to the City Council.

Finally, the anticipated staff reporting schedule at Attachment G has been updated to reflect the park assessment returning to PRAC in March 2025 and to show quarterly maintenance reporting.

RECOMMENDATION

OPW recommends that PRAC receive this informational report.

Respectfully submitted by:

/s/ Michael Kashiwagi

Michael Kashiwagi

Interim Director of Public Works

Respectfully prepared by:

/s/ Liam Garland

Liam Garland

Assistant to the Public Works Director

- Attachments: A List of Measure Q Funded Positions
 - B List of Positions from Parks, Trees, and Facilities Services
 - C Dedicated Staffing at Major Parks
 - D Ballfield Mows
 - E Trash Can Emptying
 - F Restroom Cleaning
 - G Anticipated Staff Reporting Schedule for FY 2024-2025

Attachment A – List of Measure Q-Funded Positions

Fund	Classification	#Filled	#Vacant	%Vacant
Measure Q	Administrative Assistant II	1		
Measure Q	Business Analyst II	1		
Measure Q	Capital Imp Proj Coord, Asst	1		
Measure Q	Construction & Maintenance Mechanic	1	1	50.00%
Measure Q	Custodian	3	2	40.00%
Measure Q	Electrician		1	100.00%
Measure Q	Engineer, Assistant I (Office)		1	100.00%
Measure Q	Gardener Crew Leader	20	6	23.08%
Measure Q	Gardener II	29	2	6.45%
Measure Q	Irrigation Repair Specialist	2	2	50.00%
Measure Q	Painter	2		
Measure Q	Park Attendant, PPT	3	1	25.00%
Measure Q	Park Equipment Operator	6	1	14.29%
Measure Q	Park Supervisor I	6		
Measure Q	Park Supervisor II	1	1	50.00%
Measure Q	Tree Trimmer	2	1	33.33%
Measure Q	Tree Worker	1	2	66.67%
Total		79	21	21.00%

Attachment B – List of Positions Primarily from Parks, Trees, and Facilities Services

Fund	Classification	#Filled	#Vacant	%Vacant
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Administrative Analyst I	1		
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Administrative Analyst II	2		
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Administrative Assistant II	1	1	50.00%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Electrical Painter		1	100.00%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Environmental Enforcement Officer	6	1	14.29%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Facility Security Assistant, PPT		1	100.00%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Heavy Equipment Operator	1		
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Painter	3	1	25.00%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Public Works Maintenance Worker	22	2	8.33%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Public Works Operations Manager	1		
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Public Works Supervisor I	3	1	25.00%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Public Works Supervisor II	2		
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Street Maintenance Leader	11	2	15.38%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Street Sweeper Operator	17	3	15.00%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Tree Supervisor I		1	100.00%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Tree Supervisor II	1		
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Tree Trimmer	4	1	20.00%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Tree Trimmer Crew Leader		2	100.00%
Comprehensive Cleanup Fund	Tree Worker	1		
Facilities	Administrative Analyst II	2		
Facilities	Administrative Assistant II		1	100.00%
Facilities	Capital Improvement Project Coordinator	1		
Facilities	Carpenter	5		
Facilities	Construction & Maintenance Mechanic	6	1	14.29%
Facilities	Construction & Maintenance Supv I	3		
Facilities	Custodial Services Supervisor I	5		
Facilities	Custodian	45	5	10.00%
Facilities	Custodian Supervisor		1	100.00%
Facilities	Custodian, PPT	5	1	16.67%
Facilities	Electrical Engineer II	1		
Facilities	Electrician	1	1	50.00%
Facilities	Flectrician Leader	1		20.00.0
Facilities	Facilities Complex Manager	1	1	50.00%
Facilities	Facilities Complex Manager, Assistant		1	100.00%
Facilities	Maintenance Mechanic	2		
Facilities		1		11.10/0
	Manager, Building Services			
Facilities	Painter	4		
Facilities	Plumber	3		100.000
Facilities	Pool Technician		2	100.00%
Facilities	Pool Technician, PPT		1	100.00%

Facilities	Project Manager		1	100.00%
Facilities	Stationary Engineer	8	3	18.18%
Facilities	Stationary Engineer, Chief	3		
LLAD	Administrative Assistant I	1		
LLAD	Arboricultural Inspector	1		
LLAD	Gardener Crew Leader	2		
LLAD	Gardener II	3	1	25.00%
LLAD	Manager, Park Services	1		
LLAD	Park Supervisor I	1		
LLAD	Park Supervisor II	1		
LLAD	Tree Trimmer Crew Leader		1	100.00%
Total		183	45	19.30%

10 PRAC – 07/10/2024 Item 7

Attachment C – Dedicated Staffing at Major Parks

Staff FTEs at Major Parks	Acres	May 2024 FTE Total	Msr Q Goal: at least 1 FTE/park	Met goal?	Industry standard: 1 FTE/10 acres	Met goal?
Arroyo Viejo Park	10.1	3.4 1		Yes	1.0	Yes
Brookdale Park	4.7	1.9	1	Yes	0.5	Yes
Brookfield Park	14	3.5	1	Yes	1.4	Yes
Bushrod Park	10.1	2.9	1	Yes	1.0	Yes
Defremery Park	14	0.2	1	No	1.4	No
Dimond Park	14.3	1.2	1	Yes	1.4	No
Joaquin Miller Park*	150	2.9	1	Yes	15.0	No
Lakeside Park	122	11.9	1	Yes	12.2	No
Montclair Park	6.7	2.7	1	Yes	0.7	Yes
Mosswood Park	11	1.3	1	Yes	1.1	Yes
San Antonio Park	11.62	2.3	1	Yes	1.2	Yes
	91%		64%			
		10		7		
		C	ount "No" =	1		4

^{*}Joaquin Miller Park has 500 total acres, but 350 acres are resource conservation areas.

Attachment D – May Ballfied Mows

Monthly Mows of Ballfields	# of May mows (peak season)	Goal: off peak, 1x/mo; peak at least 2x/mo, 100% of time	Goal Met?
Allendale Rec Center Field	2	2	Yes
Arroyo Viejo-McConnell Field	4	2	Yes
Arroyo Viejo-Ricky Henderson A's Field	1	2	No
Brookdale Rec Center Field	4	2	Yes
Brookfield Rec Center Field	3	2	Yes
Burckhalter Park Field	1	2	No
Bushrod- Lower Field	4	2	Yes
Bushrod-Upper Field	2	2	Yes
Central Reservoir Park Field	3	2	Yes
Concordia Park Field	2	2	Yes
Curt Flood Field	3	2	Yes
Franklin Field	1	2	No
Garfield Ballfield	4	2	Yes
Golden Gate Rec Center Field	3	2	Yes
Greenman Field/Carter Gilmore	4	2	Yes
Jefferson Square Field	1	2	No
Joaquin Miller (Robin Perry) Field	1	2	No
Lowell Park Field	1	2	No
Montclair Recreation Center Field	3	2	Yes
Mosswood Rec Center Field	3	2	Yes
Oakport Field	4	2	Yes
Otis Spunkmeyer Field	3	2	Yes
Poplar Recreation Center Field	1	2	No
Pinto Park (Jones Field)	1	2	No
Raimondi Field	2	2	Yes
San Antonio Field	3	2	Yes
Shepherd Canyon Park Field	2	2	Yes
Sobrante Park Field	3	2	Yes
Tassafaronga Rec Center Field	3	2	Yes
Wade Johnson Park Field	2	2	Yes
Totals	74	60	
	% to Goal	123%	73%
		Count "Yes" =	22
		Count "No"=	8

Attachment E- May Trash Can Emptying

Monthly Emptying of Trash Cans at Most Used Parks	# of trash cans	May: times/month cans emptied	Baseline goal: 8x/mo, 100% of time	Goal met?	Msr. Q-derived Goal: 16x/mo emptying of cans, 75% of time	Goal met?
Arroyo Viejo	5	67	40	Yes	60	Yes
Brookdale Park	6	27	48	No	72	No
Brookfield Park	6	83	48	Yes	72	Yes
Bushrod Park	10	227	80	Yes	120	Yes
Defremery Park	13	117	104	Yes	156	No
Dimond Park	16	60	128	No	192	No
Joaquin Miller Park	49	395	392	Yes	588	No
Lakeside Park	95	786	760	Yes	1140	No
Montclair Rec Center Field	13	16	104	No	156	No
Mosswood Park	2	12	16	No	24	No
San Antonio Field	21	134	168	No	252	No
		1,924	1,888		2,832	
		% To Goal	102%	55%	68%	27%
		Count "Yes" =		6	Count "Yes" =	3
		Co	unt "No" =	5	Count "No" =	8

Attachment F - May Restroom Cleaning

Monthly Restroom Cleanings	May 2024 Cleanings	Baseline Goal: 1x daily cleaning, 100% of time (31)	Goal met?	Measure Q-derived Goal: 2x daily cleanings, 80%+ of time (37)	Goal met?
12th St & Lake Merrit Blvd	37	31	Yes	50	No
Astro Park / Eastshore Park	51	31	Yes	50	Yes
Bella Vista Park	19	31	No	50	No
Brookdale Park	19	31	No	50	No
Burckhalter Park	30	31	No	50	No
Central Reservoir Recreation Area	16	31	No	50	No
Cesar Chavez Park	26	31	No	50	No
Concordia Park	17	31	No	50	No
Davie Tennis Stadium	21	31	No	50	No
Dimond Park	42	31	Yes	50	No
Estuary Park	29	31	No	50	No
Frog Park Rockridge-Temescal Greenbelt	28	31	No	50	No
Joaquin Miller - Fire Circle	39	31	Yes	50	No
Joaquin Miller - Redwood Glen	30	31	No	50	No
Joaquin Miller Park - Meadow	79	31	Yes	50	Yes
Lakeside Park - Sailboat House	47	31	Yes	50	No
Lowell Park	25	31	No	50	No
Madison/Wilma Chan Park	35	31	Yes	50	No
Maxwell Park	24	31	No	50	No
McCrea Park	26	31	No	50	No
Montclair Park	46	31	Yes	50	No
Morcom Rose Garden	36	31	Yes	50	No
Mosswood Park - Theater Building	28	31	No	50	No
Pine Knoll	40	31	Yes	50	No
San Antonio	43	31	Yes	50	No
Shepherd Canyon Park	31	31	Yes	50	No
Snow Park	28	31	No	50	No
Union Point	26	31	No	50	No
Total	918	868		1389	
	% To Goal	106%	43%	66%	7%
	·	Count "Yes"=	12		2
		Count "No"=	16		26

Attachment G – Anticipated Staff Reporting Schedule for FY 2024-2025

September	Close of FY 2024 Budget
	Adopted FY 2025 Budget
	Measure Q Minor CIPs
November	Quarter 1 Maintenance Review
December	Stormwater and Water Quality Update
January	Measure Q Budget Update
Feb	Quarter 2 Maintenance Review
March	Park Assessments
April	PRAC Develops Measure Q FY 2025-2027 Budget
_	Recommendations
May	Quarter 3 Maintenance Review
June	City Council Adopts FY 2025-2027 Budget