# ATTACHMENT I Non-CEQA Transportation Analysis

# Fehr & Peers

# Draft Memorandum

Subject:	CCA Oakland Campus Project – Non CEQA Elements
From:	Bill Burton, Inder Grewal & Diwu Zhou, Fehr & Peers
To:	Carla Violet, Urban Planning Partners Brandon Northart, Urban Planning Partners
Date:	December 21, 2020

WC19-3574

This memorandum summarizes our traffic analysis, site plan assessment, collision analysis, and a transportation and parking demand management plan for the proposed mixed-use development (hereby referred to as the project) at the current California College of the Arts (CCA) campus located at the southeast corner of the Broadway/Clifton Street intersection in Oakland, California. The project site is shown in **Figure 1** (all figures and attachments are included at the end of the memorandum).

This analysis examines the project's proposed site plan, provided in **Attachment A**, to develop the CCA Oakland campus property with the following key initial plan elements:

- Construction of 462 residential units focused in two building complexes, one located along the site's eastern edge and one at the corner of Clifton Street and Broadway; and
- Construction of 16,945 square feet of office space and 1,408 square feet of ground floor café/retail space fronting Broadway
- Total of 272 off-street parking spaces, with 255 dedicated to residents and 17 dedicated to employees.

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 2 of 28

# **Traffic Analysis**

This section evaluates how project traffic may affect the neighboring intersections along the Broadway corridor.

#### **Project Travel Characteristics**

The amount of traffic associated with the project considers:

- 1. Trip Generation The amount of vehicle traffic entering/exiting the project site.
- 2. **Trip Distribution and Assignment** The *direction and amount* of vehicle trips added to roadways as they approach and depart the project site is projected.

The proposed project trip generation and trip distribution forms the basis for evaluating potential project effects on the surrounding roadway network.

#### Trip Generation

Trip generation for the proposed project was estimated using the *Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition* (2017) published by the ITE, as presented in **Table 1**. The proposed project's on-site residential, office, and retail uses are expected to generate 2,076 vehicle trips, including 163 morning and 157 evening peak hour trips on a typical weekday. The number of vehicle trips generated by existing CCA uses to be removed was estimated through site observations of travel to and from on-site parking lots. These observations identified approximately 100 daily vehicle trips, including 14 morning and 10 evening peak hour trips on a typical weekday. The net new trips forecast to be generated by the proposed project include 1,976 daily vehicle trips, including 149 morning and 147 evening peak hour trips on a typical weekday.

The project described above and evaluated in Table 1 is the project as proposed and evaluated in the environmental documentation. However, we understand that the project applicant is considering several potential development options which include varying levels of residential and office land uses. The options under consideration would all have similar transportation outcomes. The detailed intersection analysis presented herein evaluates the development option which would represent the "worst case" from a trip generation and intersection operations perspective. That option would entail an alternative including 300 residential units, 70,000 square feet of office space and 1,408 square feet of ground floor commercial. **Table 2** presents the results of the trip generation analysis prepared for that "worst case" option.

Use	Setting/	Size	Daily		Weekda I Peak I	-	Weekday PM Peak Hour			
	Location			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) <sup>1</sup>	Dense Multi- Use Urban	462 Occupied Dwelling Units	1,770	35	96	131	79	47	126	
Office <sup>2</sup>	General Urban/ Suburban	16,945 sq. ft.	170	17	3	20	3	16	19	
Café/Retail <sup>3</sup>	General Urban/ Suburban	1,408 sq. ft.	160	8	6	14	9	5	14	
Café/Retail (In	ternalization – 15	%)	-24	-1	-1	-2	-1	-1	-2	
	Proj	ect Trip Generation	2,076	59	104	163	90	67	157	
CCA Campus	CCA Campus Urban Existing to be removed		100	12	2	14	2	8	10	
Existing CCA Campus Trip Generation:			-100	-12	-2	-14	-2	-8	-10	
		Net New Trips:	1,976	47	102	149	88	59	147	

#### Table 1: Project Trip Generation – CEQA Analysis

Notes:

1. Land use category 221 – Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) in a Dense Multi-Use Urban Setting

2. Land use category 710 – General Office Building in a General Urban/Suburban Setting

3. Land Use Category 932 - High Turnover (Sit Down) Restaurant in a General Urban/Suburban Setting

Source: *Trip Generation Manual* (10<sup>th</sup> Edition), ITE, 2017; Fehr & Peers, 2020.

As presented in Table 2, the "worst case" option would generate 1,966 daily vehicle trips, including 179 morning and 174 evening peak hour trips on a typical weekday. The net new trips forecast to be generated by this option include 1,866 daily vehicle trips, including 165 morning and 164 evening peak hour trips on a typical weekday. The transportation analysis summarized in this memorandum is based on this "worst case" trip generation. However, it should be noted that the options under consideration have similar trip generation characteristics and would result in similar transportation outcomes and recommendations.

Use	Setting/ Location	Size	Daily		Weekda I Peak I	-	Weekday PM Peak Hour			
	Location			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) <sup>1</sup>	Dense Multi- Use Urban	300 Occupied Dwelling Units	1,150	23	63	86	51	30	81	
Office <sup>2</sup>	General Urban/ Suburban	70,000 sq. ft.	680	70	11	81	13	68	81	
Café/Retail <sup>3</sup>	General Urban/ Suburban	1,408 sq. ft.	160	8	6	14	9	5	14	
Café/Retail (In	ternalization – 15	%)	-24	-1	-1	-2	-1	-1	-2	
	Proje	ect Trip Generation	1,966	100	79	179	72	102	174	
CCA Campus	CCA Campus Urban Existing to be removed		100	12	2	14	2	8	10	
Existing CCA Campus Trip Generation:			-100	-12	-2	-14	-2	-8	-10	
		Net New Trips:	1,866	88	77	165	70	94	164	

#### Table 2: Project Trip Generation (Worst Case Option)

Notes:

1. Land use category 221 – Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) in a Dense Multi-Use Urban Setting

 Land use category 710 – General Office Building in a General Urban/Suburban Setting
 Land Use Category 932 - High Turnover (Sit Down) Restaurant in a General Urban/Suburban Setting Source: *Trip Generation Manual* (10<sup>th</sup> Edition), ITE, 2017; Fehr & Peers, 2020.

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 5 of 28

#### Trip Distribution

Trip distribution for proposed project was estimated by isolating a transportation analysis zone with the proposed project land-use and conducting a select-link analysis using the Alameda County Travel Demand Model. Trip distribution plots based on this tool are provided in **Attachment B**. The expected trip assignment for the proposed project is presented on **Figure 2**.

#### Selection of Study Intersections

Study Intersections are defined within the City of Oakland's *Transportation Impact Review Guidelines* for Land Use Development Projects (2017) as:

- All intersection(s) of streets adjacent to the project site;
- All signalized intersections(s), all-way stop-controlled intersection(s) or roundabouts where 100 or more peak hour trips are added by the project;
- All signalized intersection(s) with 50 or more project-related peak hour trips AND existing LOS D-E-F; and
- Side-street stop-controlled intersection(s) where 50 or more peak hour trips are added by the project to any individual movement other than the major-street through movement.

The following intersections satisfy the above criteria:

- 1. Broadway/Broadway Terrace [Adjacent]
- 2. Broadway/Clifton Street [Adjacent]
- 3. Broadway/College Avenue [Adjacent]
- 4. Broadway/Coronado Avenue [100 Trips Added]
- 5. Broadway/51<sup>st</sup> Street/Pleasant Valley Avenue [100 Trips Added]
- 6. Clifton Street/Project Driveway [Adjacent]

#### Project Impact Assessment

We evaluated traffic operations at the study intersections along the Broadway corridor for the following scenarios:

- Existing No Project Condition Existing conditions based on multimodal traffic counts collected on Tuesday, January 29, 2019 (**Figures 3 and 4**).
- Existing Plus Project Condition Existing conditions traffic plus net new traffic generated by the Project (**Figure 5**);
- Cumulative No Project Condition Cumulative year conditions based on forecast traffic growth using the Alameda County Travel Demand Model (**Figure 6**); and

• Cumulative Plus Project Condition – Cumulative traffic volumes plus traffic generated by the Project (**Figure 7**).

The Cumulative conditions analysis reflects overall increases in population and employment growth across the City and region per current projections.

#### Analysis Tools

The traffic operations analysis uses the Synchro/SimTraffic 10.0 software, based on the procedures outlined in the Transportation Research Board's *Highway Capacity Manual*, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition. Intersection operation inputs include vehicle, bicycle, and pedestrian volumes, lane geometry, signal phasing and timing, pedestrian crossing times, and peak hour factors.

Intersection operations are described using the term "Level of Service" (LOS). LOS is a quantitative measure of the average delay experienced by a driver at the intersection. It ranges from LOS A, with no congestion and little delay, to LOS F, with excessive congestion and delay. **Tables 3** and **4** provide descriptions of various LOS and the corresponding ranges of delay.

#### Intersection Level of Service

**Table 5** shows that the addition of project traffic would worsen vehicle delays at the study intersections. The intersection of *Broadway/51<sup>st</sup> Street* serves as a downstream bottleneck for vehicles traveling southbound along the Broadway corridor, causing upstream queueing impacts at the intersection of *Broadway/Broadway Terrance* in the morning peak hour in both the Existing and Cumulative scenarios. The intersection of *Broadway/51<sup>st</sup> Street* also becomes a downstream bottleneck in the evening peak hour in the Cumulative scenario due to the projected growth in vehicle volumes; the intersection lacks the capacity to serve the projected demand.

The intersection of *Broadway/51st Street* also serves as an upstream bottleneck for vehicles traveling northbound along the Broadway corridor in the evening peak hour. This intersection currently operates independently and is not coordinated with any of the other intersections along the corridor. Simulation results are provided in **Attachment C**.

**Consultant Recommendation 1**: Traffic signals at the four signalized study intersections along the Broadway corridor should be interconnected to provide coordination in the southbound direction during the morning peak period and in the northbound direction during the evening peak period.

Level of Service	Description	Delay in Seconds
A	Progression is extremely favorable and most vehicles arrive during the green phase. Most vehicles do not stop at all. Short cycle lengths may also contribute to low delay.	< 10.0
В	Progression is good, cycle lengths are short, or both. More vehicles stop than with LOS A, causing higher levels of average delay.	> 10.0 to 20.0
С	Higher congestion may result from fair progression, longer cycle lengths, or both. Individual cycle failures may begin to appear at this level, though many still pass through the intersection without stopping.	> 20.0 to 35.0
D	The influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Longer delays may result from some combination of unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, or high V/C ratios. Many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not stopping declines. Individual cycle failures are noticeable.	> 35.0 to 55.0
E	This level is considered by many agencies to be the limit of acceptable delay. These high delay values generally indicate poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high V/C ratios. Individual cycle failures are frequent occurrences.	> 55.0 to 80.0
F	This level is considered unacceptable with oversaturation, which is when arrival flow rates exceed the capacity of the intersection. This level may also occur at high V/C ratios below 1.0 with many individual cycle failures. Poor progression and long cycle lengths may also be contributing factors to such delay levels.	> 80.0

#### Table 3: Signalized Intersection LOS Criteria

Source: *Highway Capacity Manual*, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition.

<b>_</b>		
Level of Service	Description	Delay in Seconds
А	Little or no delays	< 10.0
В	Short traffic delays	> 10.0 to 15.0
С	Average traffic delays	> 15.0 to 25.0
D	Long traffic delays	> 25.0 to 35.0
E	Very long traffic delays	> 35.0 to 50.0
F	Extreme traffic delays with intersection capacity exceeded	> 50.0

#### Table 4: Unsignalized Intersection LOS Criteria

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition.

	Intersection	Control	Control	Peak	Exist No Pr		Existin Plus Proj	-	Cumulative No Project				
			Hour	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS		
1	Broadway/ Broadway Terrace	Signal	AM PM	13.7 8.7	B A	19.6 13.3	B B	85.3 72.6	F E	91.4 76.4	F E		
2	Broadway/ Clifton Street	SSSC <sup>1</sup>	AM PM	5 (19) 8 (27)	A (C) A (D)	5.6 (24.1) 8.6 (26.1)	A (C) A (D)	10 (26) 17 (26)	B (D) C (D)	12.7 (37.8) 18.9 (52.2)	B (E) C (F)		
3	Broadway/ College Ave	Signal	AM PM	12.6 17.3	B B	13.7 17.6	B B	20.0 37.0	B D	22.2 39.0	C D		
4	Broadway/ Coronado Ave	Signal	AM PM	12.2 21.8	B C	14.8 23.1	B C	20.3 40.8	C D	22.0 42.6	C D		
5	Broadway/ 51 <sup>st</sup> St	Signal	AM PM	43.2 51.3	D D	46.3 <b>62.0</b>	D E	58.2 89.9	E F	65.4 91.9	E F		
6	Clifton Street/ Project Driveway	SSSC <sup>1</sup>	AM PM	-	-	7.9 (19.0) <b>23.3 (40.8)</b>	A (C) <b>B (E)</b>	-	-	64.4 (>99) 87.8 (>99)	F (F) F (F)		

#### **Table 5: Intersection Level of Service Results**

Notes:

1. SSSC = side street stop-controlled intersection; average delay or LOS is followed by the delay or LOS for the worst movement in parentheses.

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2020.

#### Vehicle Queuing at Clifton Street

The addition of project traffic would substantially increase queuing on the westbound approach at the intersection of *Broadway/Clifton Street*, as presented in **Table 6**. The finding above is contingent upon vehicles obeying the existing "KEEP CLEAR" striping on Broadway at the Clifton Street intersections to allow left turn movements out. Observations have found that this striping is not always followed.

Table 6:	Queuing	Results –	Clifton	Street	(westbound)
----------	---------	-----------	---------	--------	-------------

	Intersection	Control	Peak Hour	Existing No Project		Existing Plus Project		Cumulative No Project		Cumulative Plus Project	
				Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.
2	Broadway/ Clifton Street	SSSC <sup>1</sup>	AM PM	50 25	75 75	51 48	65 65	50 50	75 75	55 53	76 58

Notes:

1. SSSC = side street stop-controlled intersection;

2. Queue lengths are measured in feet. The average vehicle occupies 25' feet in queue.

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2020.

**Consultant Recommendation 2**: Construct a raised median on Broadway between College Avenue and Broadway Terrance. Left turns into and out of Clifton Street at the intersection of *Broadway/Clifton Street* would be prohibited with this installation.

On-street parking on the east side of Broadway between College Avenue and Clifton Street should be removed and converted to additional queue storage for the northbound right-turn pocket at the intersection of *Broadway/Broadway Terrace* and into the project site. Paint "KEEP CLEAR" pavement markings at the intersection of Broadway/Clifton Street in the right-turn pocket.

#### Implementation of Recommendations

Implementation of the above recommendation in the existing scenario would improve the project site access, as presented in **Table 7**, and minimize queuing along the westbound approach at the intersection of *Broadway/Clifton Street*, as presented in **Table 9**.

	Intersection	Control	Peak Hour	Exist No Pre	-	Existi Plus Pre		Existing Plu Plus Miti	_
			HOUI	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1	Broadway/ Broadway Terrace	Signal	AM PM	13.7 8.7	B A	19.6 13.3	B B	12.1 8.3	B A
2	Broadway/ Clifton Street	SSSC <sup>1</sup>	AM PM	5 (19) 8 (27)	A (C) A (D)	5.6 (9.4) 8.6 (26.1)	A (C) A (D)	3.7 (7.1) 4.9 (12)	A (C) A (B)
3	Broadway/ College Ave	Signal	AM PM	12.6 17.3	B B	13.7 17.6	B B	10.4 13.5	B B
4	Broadway/ Coronado Ave	Signal	AM PM	12.2 21.8	B C	14.8 23.1	B C	7.8 17	D B
5	Broadway/ 51 <sup>st</sup> St	Signal	AM PM	43.2 51.3	D D	46.3 <b>62.0</b>	D E	32.7 43.4	C D
6	Clifton Street/ Project Driveway	SSSC <sup>1</sup>	AM PM	-	-	7.9 (19.0) <b>23.3 (40.8)</b>	A (C) <b>B (E)</b>	2.1 (6.4) 5.4 (10.5)	A (A) A (B)

#### Table 7: Mitigated Intersection Level of Service Results – Existing Conditions

Notes:

1. SSSC = side street stop-controlled intersection; average delay or LOS is followed by the delay or LOS for the worst movement in parentheses.

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2020.

Implementation of the recommendations would similarly not mitigate the failing operating conditions with the project in the cumulative scenario due to capacity limitations at the intersection

of *Broadway/51<sup>st</sup> Street*, as presented in **Table 8**. This finding is consistent with the results of the Shops at the Ridge EIR analysis (i.e. cumulative LOS F/significant and unavoidable).

	Intersection	Control	Peak	Cumul No Pre		Cumulative Plus Project		Cumulative Plus Project Plus Mitigation		
			Hour	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	
1	Broadway/ Broadway Terrace	Signal	AM PM	85.3 72.6	F E	89.8 76.8	F E	67.9 56.0	E E	
2	Broadway/ Clifton Street	SSSC <sup>1</sup>	AM PM	10 (26) 17 (26)	B (D) C (D)	14 (48) 18 (44)	B (E) C (E)	8.6 (11.8) 10.6 (22.3)	A (B) B (C)	
3	Broadway/ College Ave	Signal	AM PM	20.0 37.0	B D	22.3 36.5	C D	19.0 43.9	B D	
4	Broadway/ Coronado Ave	Signal	AM PM	20.3 40.8	C D	23.2 40.7	C D	16.0 26.6	B C	
5	Broadway/ 51 <sup>st</sup> St	Signal	AM PM	58.2 89.9	E F	66.0 91.4	E F	71.3 82.0	E F	
6	Clifton Street/ Project Driveway	SSSC <sup>1</sup>	AM PM	-	-	64.4 (>99) 87.8 (>99)	F (F) F (F)	3.8 (10.6) <b>17.5 (36.1</b> )	A (B) <b>C (E)</b>	

Table 8: Mitigated Intersection Level of Service Results – Cumulative Conditions

Notes:

1. SSSC = side street stop-controlled intersection; average delay or LOS is followed by the delay or LOS for the worst movement in parentheses.

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2020.

	Intersection	Control	Peak Hour	Existing Plus Project		Existing Plus Project Plus Mitigation		Cumulative Plus Project		Cumulative Plus Project Plus Mitigation	
				Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.
2	Broadway/ Clifton Street	SSSC <sup>1</sup>	AM PM	51 48	65 65	43 42	66 57	55 53	76 58	46 47	69 60

#### Table 9: Mitigated Queuing Results – Clifton Street (westbound)

Notes:

1. SSSC = side street stop-controlled intersection;

2. Queue lengths are measured in feet. The average vehicle occupies 25' feet in queue.

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2020.

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 11 of 28

# **Collision History**

Collision data, for the five years between January 01, 2011 and December 31, 2015, was downloaded from the Transportation Injury Management System (TIMS) database. **Table 10** summarizes the collision data by type and location, and **Table 11** summarizes the collision data by severity.

			Collision	Severity	
	Location	Fatal	Injured (severely)	Injured (visible)	Injured (complained of pain)
Inte	ersection				
1	Broadway/Broadway Terrace	0	0	0	1
2	Broadway/Clifton Street	0	1	0	0
3	Broadway/College Ave	0	0	0	2
4	Broadway/Coronado Ave	0	0	0	0
5	Broadway/51 <sup>st</sup> St	0	0	0	8
Roa	adway Segment				
6	Broadway from Broadway Tr to Clinton S	0	0	0	0
7	Broadway from Clifton St to College Ave	0	0	0	0
8	Broadway from College Ave to Coronado Ave	0	0	1	0
9	Broadway from Coronado Ave to 51st St	0	0	2	0
	Total Collisions:	0	1	3	11

Table 10: Collision History by Severity

Source: Transportation Injury Management System, 2011-2015; Fehr & Peers, 2020.

Table 10 shows fifteen collisions reported during the five-year timeframe at the study roadway segments and intersections. Four of the fifteen collisions involved bicycles and/or pedestrians, with three of them being bicycle collisions (See Table 11). Eighty percent of the collisions occurred at intersections, with the *Broadway/51<sup>st</sup> Street* and *Broadway/College Avenue* intersections being the top two collision prone locations. Of the fifteen collisions, approximately 60 percent of the collisions were either broadside or rear end collisions.

					Collis	sion Type			
	Location	Head- On	Side- swipe	Rear End	Broad- side	Hit Object	Over- turned	Vehicle/ Pedestrian	Other
Int	ersection								
1	Broadway/Broadway Terrace	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
2	Broadway/Clifton Street	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
3	Broadway/College Ave	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
4	Broadway/Coronado Ave	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	Broadway/51 <sup>st</sup> St	0	0	4	1	1	0	1	1
Ro	adway Segment								
6	Broadway from Broadway Tr to Clinton S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Broadway from Clifton St to College Ave	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Broadway from College Ave to Coronado Ave	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Broadway from Coronado Ave to 51st St	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Total Collisions:	0	1	6	3	1	0	1	3

#### Table 11: Collision History by Type

Source: Transportation Injury Management System, 2011-2015; Fehr & Peers, 2020.

The following collision trends were noted:

- Motor vehicle collisions resulting from complex design geometry at the intersection of Broadway/ Broadway Terrace;
- Motor vehicle rear end collisions at the Broadway/51st Avenue intersection;
- Motor vehicle collisions resulting from unsafe speeds at the Broadway/Broadway Terrace intersection;
- Motor vehicle collisions resulting from improper turning at the Broadway/College Avenue intersection;
- Motor vehicle collisions resulting from unsafe speeds at the Broadway/51st Street intersection;
- Pedestrian-involved collisions on the College Avenue roadway segment; and
- Bicycle-involved collisions resulting from bicycles travelling the wrong way.

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 13 of 28

#### **Predictive Crash Frequency**

The *Highway Safety Manual* (HSM, 2010) provides a methodology to predict the number of collisions for intersections and street segments based on roadway and intersection characteristics, such as vehicle and pedestrian volumes, number of lanes, signal phasing, on-street parking, and number of driveways. **Table 12** presents the predicted collision frequencies for the five intersections and identified segments using the HSM Predictive Method for Urban and Suburban Arterials and compares predicted and reported collision frequencies; refer to **Attachment D**.

Since the data was collected between 2011 and 2015, the City of Oakland has made improvements to the study intersections along Broadway. To maintain a direct comparison between the reported and predicted collision frequencies, this analysis assumes uses the intersection geometry and control type from 2015.

	Location	Type <sup>1</sup>	AADT <sup>2</sup> (major)	AADT <sup>2</sup> (minor)	Total Collisions (Actual)	Collisions per year (Actual)	Predicted Collision Frequency	Difference <sup>3</sup>
Inte	ersection							
1	Broadway/Broadway Terrace	3-leg SG	16,400	7,300	1	0.2	1.4	-1.2
2	Broadway/Clifton Street	3-leg ST	16,600	500	1	0.2	0.4	-0.2
3	Broadway/College Ave	3-leg SG	22,400	7,300	2	0.4	1.1	-0.7
4	Broadway/Coronado Ave	4-leg ST	20,000	1.400	0	0	0.9	-0.9
5	Broadway/51 <sup>st</sup> St	4-leg SG	20,000	20,700	8	1.6	3.8	-2.2
Roa	adway Segment							
8	Broadway from College Ave to Coronado Ave	4D	22,4	400	1	0.2	0.1	+0.1
9	Broadway from Coronado Ave to 51st St	4D	20,	000	2	0.4	0.2	+0.2

#### **Table 12: Predicted Collision Frequencies vs Actual**

Notes:

1. SG = 3 signalized intersection; ST = unsignalized intersection; 4D = 4-lane divided arterial.

2. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) was estimated using the existing PM peak hour counts collected in 2019 multiplied by ten.

3. Negative values indicate that the actual collision frequency is less than the predicted collision frequency for a typical intersection with similar attributes. Positive values indicate that the actual collision frequency is greater than the predicted collision frequency for a typical intersection with similar attributes.

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2020.

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 14 of 28

#### **HSM Countermeasures**

**Table 13** presents potential countermeasures from the HSM that could address some of the issues identified.

#### Table 13: Potential Countermeasures for Consideration

Countermeasure	CMF Value
Provide a southbound left-turn pocket at the intersection of Broadway/Broadway Terrace.	0.91
Eliminate left turn movements at the intersection of Broadway/Clifton Avenue (See Consultant Recommendation 2)	0.49
Install red light cameras at the intersection of Broadway/51 <sup>st</sup> Street	0.84

Source: Highway Safety Manual, 2010; Fehr & Peers, 2020.

Each countermeasure provides a multiplicative crash-modification factor (CMF) that provides an estimated reduction in collisions per year.

- **Consultant Recommendation 3**: Construct a southbound left-turn pocket at the intersection of *Broadway/Broadway Terrace* while maintaining the existing vehicle and bicycle lanes. This can be accomplished by removing on-street parking and realigning the existing through lanes.
- **Consultant Recommendation 4**: At the intersection of *Broadway/College Avenue*, modify the College Avenue approach to align orthogonally with Broadway and relocate the crosswalk to improve pedestrian visibility. Realigning the intersection will also slow vehicle turning speeds.

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 15 of 28

## **Site Analysis**

This section provides a review of site access, circulation, and parking based on the project's conceptual site plan (Attachment A).

#### Site Access and Circulation

#### Vehicular

Vehicular access to and from the site would be provided by three driveways on Clifton Street, accessed via an existing unsignalized intersection at Broadway. The unsignalized intersection of *Broadway/Clifton Street* is located between the closely spaced signalized intersections of *Broadway/Broadway Terrace* and *Broadway/College Avenue*. Freeway access is provided via Broadway and 51<sup>st</sup> Street.

The westernmost project driveway, located approximately 185 feet west of Broadway, would provide vehicular access into (outbound movements would not be allowed) the main building's parking garage; the driveway also forms an internal loop with the center driveway – creating a one-way passenger loading zone for passenger pickup/drop-off (for TNCs and other users) and moving vans. Vehicles may exit from the center driveway but may not enter. The easternmost driveway would provide vehicular access into and out of the eastern building's parking garage.

**Consultant Recommendation 5**: The final site plan should retain three driveways and designate curb space for loading for passenger loading and/or commercial vehicles along the internal loop formed by the westernmost and center project driveways. As shown on the conceptual site plan, the delineation of inbound and outbound movements from the garage versus pick-up and drop-off activity is not well defined. This area should be designed and defined to adequately segregate garage movements from pick-up and drop-off activities.

The westernmost driveway as shown is located approximately 185 feet west of Broadway. Queuing calculations, presented in a previous section of this report, find that this location is adequately spaced, provided that certain mitigation measures are provided (turn restrictions and signal interconnect). Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 16 of 28

#### Pedestrian

Pedestrian access to the project site is provided by sidewalks along the project frontage on Broadway and Clifton Street. The preliminary site plan shows pedestrian site access points from both Broadway and Clifton Street. Pedestrian facilities around the site are shown on **Figure 8**.

**Consultant Recommendation 6**: Along the project frontage, curb extensions should be constructed at the intersection of *Broadway/Clifton Street* and *Broadway/College Avenue*.

#### Bicycle

Bicycle access to the site is provided by Class II bike lanes on Broadway that extend from 25<sup>th</sup> Street in the south to the freeway overpass prior to the Caldecott Tunnel. Broadway between 25<sup>th</sup> Street and West Grand Avenue is a Class III bicycle route. The preliminary site plan shows bicycle site access points from both Broadway and Clifton Street. The proposed project also includes 460 bicycle parking spaces. The nearest bike share (Ford Go Bike) station is located on the corner of Broadway and Coronado Avenue. Existing and planned bicycle facilities are presented in **Figure 9**.

#### Transit

Local and regional transit access to the project site is provided by the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (AC Transit) bus service and Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) train service. AC transit provides local service to the area via routes 51A and 851 and regional service to San Francisco via routes CB and V. The bus stop nearest to the project site is located at the intersections of *Broadway/College Avenue*, as shown on **Figure 10**. Local school bus services are also provided by AC Transit (Lines 605, 660, 662, 682, and 696).

**Consultant Recommendation 7**: Additional transit amenities are required at the bus stop located along the project frontage, including the construction of a bus boarding island, bus shelter, and concrete bus pad at the intersection of *Broadway/College Avenue*.

The Rockridge BART Station is located approximately 0.5 miles northeast of the project site. AC Transit bus routes 51A and 851 provide service between the Rockridge BART Station and the project site.

#### Emergency Vehicle Access

Factors such as number of access points, roadway width, and proximity to fire stations determine whether a project provides sufficient emergency access. The main project building is contiguous to Broadway and Clifton Street. Access to the eastern building is provided via Clifton Street and a fire Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 17 of 28

access road which runs along its eastern boundary. Emergency vehicle access to the interior of the site is available via the main north-south promenade if necessary.

The fire station most likely to serve the site is Oakland Fire Station No. 8 located on 51<sup>st</sup> Street, 0.7 miles from the project site. Emergency vehicles would travel along 51<sup>st</sup> Street and Broadway to access the project site.

**Consultant Recommendation 8**: The final site plan should ensure adequate clearance and roadway widths are provided for emergency vehicles access throughout the project site.

#### Off-Street Parking

The project proposes to provide 272 vehicular parking spaces, 255 for residents and 17 for employees. Of the residential spaces, 220 spaces would be in Building A and 35 in Building B. The proposed vehicular parking supply for the project was evaluated based on available parking demand at similar developments. The proposed parking supply was also compared to the City of Oakland Municipal Code requirements.

#### Estimated Vehicle Parking Demand

The estimated peak parking demand was predicted using the *Parking Generation Manual*, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition (2019), published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), as presented in **Table 14**.

Use	Size	Parking Spaces
Residential <sup>1</sup>	462 Dwelling Units	465
Office <sup>2</sup>	16,945 sq. feet	40
Retail/Cafe <sup>3</sup>	1,408 sq. feet	13
	Parking Demand:	518 spaces

#### **Table 14: Estimated Peak Parking Demand**

Notes:

- Land use category 221 Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) in a Dense Multi-Use Urban Setting; P = 1.04 \* (X) – 15.22; X = Dwelling Units
- Land use category 710 General Office Building in a General Urban/Suburban Setting; P = 2.39 \* (X); X = 1000 sq. ft. GFA
- 3. Land use category 932 High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant in a General Urban/Suburban Setting; P = 9.44 \* (X); X = 1,000 square feet

Source: *Parking Generation Manual* (5<sup>th</sup> Edition), ITE, 2019; Fehr & Peers, 2020.

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 18 of 28

Based on the ITE methodology and statistics the residential portion of the project is expected to generate demand for approximately 465 spaces (approximately 1.0 vehicle per household). Compared to automobile ownership statistics from the American Community Survey for the census tract<sup>1</sup>, this is significantly lower than the local average (approximately 1.9 vehicles per household). The entirety of the project is expected to generate demand for approximately 518 spaces.

Parking demand data in the *Parking Generation Manual*, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition was largely collected prior to the introduction of Transportation Networking Companies (TNC). With the proliferation of TNC and fleet services, ownership of vehicles will likely decrease in areas that can support alternatives such as walking, biking, and transit for some trip purposes. MTC's Vital Signs, which monitors key trends in the Bay Area, shows that land-use density decreases the need to own a vehicle. Permitted off-street parking reductions are discussed further in the next section.

#### Municipal Code (Vehicle Parking)

Chapter 17.116 of the City of Oakland's Municipal Code provides off-street parking requirements based on zoning. The project site, currently zoned RM-3 (Residential - Mixed Housing), is required to provide one parking space for each dwelling unit, one parking space for each six hundred square feet of floor area on the ground floor of a building for the commercial uses, and one parking space for each one thousand square feet of floor area not on the ground floor of a building for the commercial uses. As presented in **Table 15**, the project is required to provide 490 parking spaces.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Automobile ownership for the project Census Tract (4042) was taken from the American Community Survey (2016) – <1% of households have no vehicle, 28% have one, 53% have two, and 18% have three+ vehicles.

Use	Size	Base Parking	Number o	f Spaces
Use	5120	Requirement	Base Requirement	35% Reduction <sup>1</sup>
Residential	462 Dwelling Units	1 space per Dwelling Unit	462	301
Office (Ground Floor)	10,330 sq. feet	1 space per 600 sq. feet	18	12
Office (Above Ground Floor)	6,615	1 space per 1,000 sq. feet	7	5
Commercial Use (Ground Floor)	1,408 sq. feet	1 space per 600 sq. feet	3	2
	Off-Stro	eet Parking Requirement:	490 spaces	320 spaces

#### **Table 15: Municipal Code Off-Street Vehicular Parking Requirements**

Notes:

1. Off-street parking requirement may be reduced with a conditional use permit if the development is located in a commercial corridor zone by up to fifty percent.

Source: City of Oakland Municipal Code; Fehr & Peers, 2020.

If the development incorporates parking demand management measures (Subsection 17.116.110.C), the Code allows for reductions of up to 50% in the parking requirement. The parking reduction percentages for the demand management measures described below can be added together to create a greater parking reduction:

- 1. Affordable housing units that have a base parking minimum of three-quarter space per dwelling unit or more may provide:
  - a. One-half (1/2) space per affordable housing unit if within a Transit Accessible Area; and
  - b. Three-quarters (3/4) space per affordable housing unit if not within a Transit Accessible Area.
- 2. A project that is within a Transit Accessible Area receives a thirty percent (30%) reduction in the parking requirement. This reduction cannot be applied to the parking ratio for affordable housing that already receives a reduction above.
- 3. On-site public or private car share spaces<sup>2</sup> reduces the requirement by twenty percent (20%).
- 4. Off-site public or private car share spaces<sup>2</sup> reduces the requirement by ten percent (10%).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The project is required to provide three (3) car-share parking spaces that will be counted towards the minimum required parking spaces. The car-share space can be privately operated and maintained by the property owner or provided to a public car-share organization that is accessible to both non-residents and resident subscribers. If off-site, the car-share spaces must be within 600 feet of the building site.

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 20 of 28

- 5. The provision of month transit passes (placed on a Regional Transit Connection Clipper Card) to each dwelling unit in an amount equal to either one-half the price of an Adult 31-Day AC Transit Pass or an AC Transit EasyPass, reduces the requirement by ten percent (10%).
- Subsection 17.117.150 allows a reduction in the total number of off-street automobile parking spaces at the ratio of one automobile space for six bicycle spaces provided in excess of the bicycle parking requirements. (up to 5%).

The project is located directly adjacent to a high-quality transit corridor (Route 51A operates along the Broadway/College Avenue corridors with 10 to 15-minute peak headways during both the morning and afternoon peak commute periods), and therefore <u>is located within a Transit Accessible</u> <u>Area</u> (30% reduction). The project also provided enough excess bicycle parking to satisfy an additional 5% reduction in the vehicular parking supply. With these reductions the project is required to provide at minimum 301 residential and 19 commercial parking spaces.

#### Municipal Code (Bicycle Parking)

Chapter 17.117 of the City of Oakland's Municipal Code provides bicycle parking requirements for new developments based on zoning. The project (zone RM-3) is required to provide one long-term bicycle space for each four dwelling units and one short-term bicycle space for each twenty dwelling units, one long-term bicycle space for each 12,000 square feet of floor area and one short-term bicycle space for each 2,000 square feet of floor area reserved for a limited service café and one long-term bicycle space for each 10,000 square feet of floor area and one short-term bicycle space for each 20,000 square feet of floor area reserved for office.

The project (462 dwelling units) is required to provide 116 long-term bicycle spaces and 24 shortterm bicycle spaces for the residential units, two long-term bicycle spaces and two short-term bicycle spaces for the limited service café (minimum requirement), and two long-term bicycle spaces and two short-term bicycle spaces for the office minimum requirement). In total the development is required to provide 148 bicycle parking spaces - 120 long-term and 28 short-term.

Since the project proposes to provide a total of 460 bicycle parking spaces, the municipal code requirements for quantity of bicycle parking are met as long as the breakdown of long-term and short-term spaces meet the requirements described previously.

The project will also provide an excess of 312 bicycle parking spaces; therefore, the project is allowed to reduce the vehicular parking space requirement by 5%.

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 21 of 28

#### **On-Street Parking**

Most streets in the project vicinity provide on-street parking on both sides of the roadway. **Figure 11** summarizes the parking conditions on the major streets in the vicinity of the site.

Metered parking is available on Broadway, between Coronado Avenue and Broadway Terrace, and College Avenue. Unmetered parking is available on Clifton Street, Broadway Terrace, other portions of Broadway, and various local streets.

## **Transportation Demand Management Plan**

Per the City of Oakland Standard Conditions of Approval, all land use projects that generate more than 50 net new morning or evening peak hour vehicle trips must prepare a Transportation and Parking Demand Management (TDM) Plan. The following TDM Strategies are required under the *Transportation Impact Review Guidelines* (City of Oakland, 2017):

- Improvements to the existing bus stop located along the project frontage at the intersection of *Broadway/College Avenue*, including:
  - Construction of a bus boarding island with a concrete bus pad to allow buses to stop and board passengers without ever leading the travel lane. The existing bicycle lane would be relocated behind the boarding island.
  - Installation of a bus shelter to include benches, trash receptacles, and real-time transit information.

The consultant recommends moving the bus stop to the stop bar once the project is constructed; the project will remove the existing driveway on Broadway.

- Installation of amenities consistent with the *Oakland Walks! Pedestrian Plan Update* (City of Oakland, 2017) including pedestrian-scale lighting, trees along the roadway, and public art.
- Construction of new sidewalks, curb ramps, curb, and gutter along the project frontage. Curb extensions should be constructed along the project frontage when feasible; construct curb extensions at the intersection of *Broadway/Clifton Street* and *Broadway/College Avenue*.
- Paving and restriping of roadway to midpoint of street sections adjacent to the project and to accommodate any improvements to improvement safety and site access for vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians.
- Pedestrian crossing improvements at the intersection of *Broadway/College Avenue*, including:

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 22 of 28

- Construction of curb extension at the crosswalk located along the project frontage;
- Construction of raised median on Broadway between College Avenue and Broadway Terrace;
- Realignment of the College Avenue approach to align orthogonally with Broadway and relocating the crosswalk to improve pedestrian visibility.
- Signal upgrades to the intersection of *Broadway/College Avenue* (assuming the signal infrastructure is older than 15 years), which could include upgrading existing signal equipment and poles to current standards; and
- Trenching and placement of conduit for providing traffic signal interconnect along Broadway if not already constructed.

In addition, the consultant recommends the following TDM measures:

- Inclusion of shower and locker facilities for employees who walk or bike to work;
- Free designated parking spaces for on-site car-sharing programs and/or car-sharing memberships for employees or tenants;
- Direct on-site sale of transit passes purchased and sold at a bulk rate (through programs such as AC Transit Easy Pass) and/or provision of a transit subsidy to residents;
- Distribution of information concerning alternative transportation options to residents and employees; and
- Unbundled parking for residents to separate the cost to rent a parking space from the cost to rent an apartment.

Projects that generate 100 or more net new morning or evening peak hour vehicle trips are required to submit an annual compliance report for the first five years following completion of the project. The annual report shall document the status and effectiveness of the TDM program, including the actual vehicle trip reduction achieved by the project during operation.

# Potential Traffic Diversions onto Residential Streets Due to Broadway/Clifton Turn Restrictions

The project proposes to take all vehicular access from the Broadway and Clifton Street intersection, which provides access challenges due to the configuration of Broadway. Due to existing inadequate intersection spacing and other geometric issues, turn restrictions are proposed at the Broadway/Clifton intersection (Consultant Recommendation 2). If implemented, these turn restrictions will only allow access to Clifton Street via right turns in and right turns out. The restrictions would create the potential for traffic diversions onto neighborhood streets, namely

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 23 of 28

Thomas Avenue, Monroe Avenue, Manila Avenue, and Bryant Avenue. To evaluate these potential diversions, the following analysis was conducted:

- Weekday morning and evening peak hour turning movement counts were assembled for the Thomas Avenue/Broadway Terrace, Thomas Avenue/Monroe Avenue, Broadway/Monroe Avenue/Manila Avenue, Manila Avenue/Bryant Avenue and Bryant Avenue/College Avenue intersections. Intersection movement counts were collected using StreetLight Data, with data from Fall 2019 being used as the basis of the counts (current manual turning movement counts were not collected due to the on-going Covid-19 pandemic).
- Potential travel diversions onto local area streets as a result of the turn restrictions at Broadway/Clifton Street were estimated. The anticipated project trip distribution from was used to estimate potential trip diversions onto local area streets along with vehicular travel time runs conducted on potential routes of travel.
- Existing peak hour levels of service at the five intersections listed above were calculated using the methodology set forth in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual.

#### Potential Traffic Diversions

**Table 16** presents the estimated weekday morning and evening peak hour traffic that may choose to divert onto Thomas Avenue, Monroe Avenue, Manila Avenue, and Bryant Avenue. Estimates were developed by calculating travel times on alternative routes from the Broadway/Broadway Terrace intersection to where project trips are likely to be distributed. As an example, trips that want to turn left out of the project site and travel south on Broadway would have options to complete their trip with this turn being prohibited. Options for restricted outbound left turn movements include:

- Turn right onto Broadway Terrace, left on Thomas Avenue, left on Monroe Avenue, and left back onto Broadway.
- Turn right onto Broadway, left onto Manila Avenue, left onto Bryant Avenue, left onto College Avenue, and back onto Broadway.
- Trips heading toward SR-24, 51st Street, and the City of Berkeley are considered unlikely to use Thomas Avenue or Monroe Avenue, and instead could travel eastbound down Broadway before turning onto left onto Manila Avenue, depending on their ultimate destination. Many of these trips would choose to continue to travel northbound down Broadway to complete their trip via SR-24.
- Trips destined for northbound College Avenue would likely use Broadway to Manila Avenue before turning right onto College Avenue.

Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 24 of 28

Options for restricted inbound left turn movements include:

- Most inbound left turn movements would adjust their paths of travel to arrive from the south on Broadway. As the majority of vehicle trips generated by the project are expected to be residents who would be knowledgeable of turn restrictions, this is considered to be the most likely outcome.
- Trips arriving from the east on Broadway could choose to make a legal u-turn at the Broadway/51<sup>st</sup> Street intersection to complete their right turn movement into the project site. This would be the quickest path of travel for a restricted inbound left turn movement.

Table 16 presents the maximum anticipated weekday peak hour diversions of traffic onto local neighborhood streets associated with the left turn restrictions.

Roadway	Morning Peak Hour	Evening Peak Hour
Thomas Avenue	30	40
Monroe Avenue	30	40
Manila Avenue	50	60
Bryant Avenue	40	50

#### Table 16: Weekday Peak Hour Potential Neighborhood Traffic Diversions

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2020.

#### Intersection Levels of Service

**Table 17** summarizes morning and evening peak hour vehicle delay for existing conditions and existing plus project reflecting the maximum anticipated trip diversions for the five intersections mentioned above. The roadway operations analysis indicates that the proposed project is unlikely to degrade intersection operations or contribute to an increase in vehicle delays. All intersections are expected to function at Levels of Service A or B which is indicative of traffic conditions with low levels of vehicle delay.

				AM Pe	ak Hour		1	PM Pe	ak Hour	
	Intersection	Control	Existing Proj		Existin Project M		Existing Proj		Existin Project M	
			Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1	Thomas Avenue/Broadway Terrace	SSSC	1.3 (12.7)	A (B)	1.6 (13)	A (B)	0.8 (11.6)	A (B)	1.1 (12.4)	A (B)
2	Thomas Avenue/Monroe Avenue	SSSC	1.7 (9.5)	A (A)	2.6 (9.9)	A (A)	1.5 (10.5)	A (B)	2.5(11)	A (B)
3	Broadway/Manila Avenue	Signal	8.8	A	9.3	А	10.6	В	11	В
4	Bryant Avenue/Manila Avenue	SSSC	3.2 (9.4)	A (A)	3.5 (9.5)	A (A)	2.4 (9.8)	A(A)	2.5 (10.1)	A (B)
5	Bryant Avenue/College Avenue	SSSC	1.5 (14.4)	A (B)	2.2 (15.5)	A (C)	0.9 (14)	A (B)	1.7 (17.5)	A(C)

# Table 17: Weekday Peak Hour LOS with Potential Trip Diversions (Due to Left Turn Restrictions at Broadway/Clifton)

Notes:

SSSC = side street stop-controlled intersection; average delay or LOS is followed by the delay or LOS for the worst movement in parentheses. Delay reported in seconds per vehicle.

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2020.

#### Alameda County Transportation Commission Roadway Analysis

A separate analysis of regional roadway was prepared to comply with the requirements of the Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC). The Alameda CTC requires the analysis of project impacts to Metropolitan Transportation System (MTS) roadways identified in the congestion management plan (CMP) for development projects that would generate more than 100 PM peak hour trips. As shown in earlier sections, the proposed project would generate more than 100 PM peak hour trips.

This section outlines this roadway analysis, which considers the potential effect of the project on freeways, major arterials, and other major roadways as designated by Alameda CTC. Main items of discussion include the geographic scope of the Alameda CTC roadway analysis, the analysis method, and the results for 2020 and 2040.

#### Alameda CTC Roadway Analysis Study Area

The following freeway and surface street segments in Oakland were included in this analysis:

- 1. SR-13 from south of the SR-24 interchange to the I-580 interchange (6 segments)
- 2. SR-24 from east of the I-580 interchange to west of Broadway (4 segments)
- 3. Broadway from east of 27<sup>th</sup> Street to west of Keith Avenue (5 segments)
- 4. Claremont Avenue from north of Telegraph Avenue to South of College Avenue (5 segments)
- 5. Grand Avenue from east of MacArthur Boulevard to west of Oakland Avenue (4 segments)

#### Traffic Forecasts

The Alameda Countywide Travel Demand Model was used to forecast 2020 and 2040 traffic volumes on the MTS roadway system. The forecasts for the MTS system differ from the intersection forecasts previously discussed in the following aspects:

- Regional model may not include some minor streets, potentially overstating traffic volumes on the roadways included in the model.
- The MTS roadway analysis reports the outputs of the Alameda CTC model directly on a roadway segment level and the analysis does not consider the added capacity from turn pockets at intersections.

The results of the Alameda CTC model were used to forecast the No Project condition for 2020 and 2040. Project trips were distributed to the MTS roadway segments (including both freeways and surface streets) identified above using the project trip distribution presented in earlier sections. The distribution of project trips onto the MTS segments results in the Project volumes for 2020 and 2040.

#### Analysis Method

Operations of the MTS freeway and surface street segments were assessed based on volume-tocapacity (V/C) ratios. For freeway segments, a per-lane capacity of 2,000 vehicles per hour was used. For surface streets, a per-lane capacity of 800 vehicles per hour was used. These capacities do not reflect additional capacity provided at intersections through turn pockets. Roadway segments with a V/C ratio greater than 1.0 are assigned LOS F. Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 27 of 28

#### Performance Criteria

Alameda CTC strives to maintain the performance of the MTS roadway network. Performance issues related to Alameda CTC policy may arise if the project results in the following:

- The addition of project traffic causes a segment's operation to degrade to LOS F.
- The addition of project trips causes the V/C ratio to increase by 0.02 or more on a segment that already operates at LOS F without the project traffic.

#### Analysis Results

The MTS PM Peak Hour roadway segment analysis under 2020 and 2040 conditions are provided in **Attachment E**.

Results of the 2020 analysis indicate that the proposed project would not degrade roadway segments to unacceptable levels, nor do any of the roadway segments operate below a LOS E.

In 2040, the addition of project trips would not degrade roadway segments to unacceptable levels. SR-13 southbound between Broadway Terrace and Moraga Avenue is projected to operate at a LOS F. However, project trips would only result in a 0.0012 increase in the V/C ratio on that segment, well below the 0.02 threshold. The 2040 roadway segment analysis indicates that the proposed project would not result in any policy violations on the roadway segments analyzed.

## Conclusions


This completes our traffic analysis, site plan assessment, collision analysis, and a transportation and parking demand management plan for the proposed mixed-use development at the current California College of the Arts (CCA) campus located at the southeast corner of the Broadway/Clifton Street intersection in Oakland, California. Please call Bill at (510) 834-3200 with questions.

#### Attachments:

Figure 1	Project Site Vicinity
Figure 2	Project Trip Assignment
Figure 3	Existing Conditions Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes
Figure 4	Existing Peak Hour Bicycle and Pedestrian Volumes
Figure 5	Existing with Project Conditions Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes
Figure 6	Cumulative without Conditions Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes
Figure 7	Cumulative with Project Conditions Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes


Carla Violet & Brandon Northart December 21, 2020 Page 28 of 28


Figure 8	Pedestrian Facilities
Figure 9	Existing and Planned Bicycle Facilities
Figure 10	Existing Transit Service Near Site
Figure 11	Parking Conditions on Major Streets
Attachment A	CCA Oakland Conceptual Site Plan
	CCA Oakland Conceptual Site Plan Project Trip Distribution
	•
Attachment B	Project Trip Distribution
Attachment B Attachment C Attachment D	Project Trip Distribution Traffic Simulation Results





Transportation Study Area









# Project Trip Assignment








Existing Conditions Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes, Lane Configurations and Traffic Controls







# Existing Peak Hour Bicycle and Pedestrian Volumes



151 (171) 577 (345) 290 (464) \_\_249 (280) \_\_369 (358) 119 (93) 142 (188) 44× 0 (0) 0 (0) = 0 (2) 12 (48) 4 51st S Clifton S nt Valley Ave oronado Ave 11° 11P Y 135 (187) **\_** 241 (596) **\_** 103 (67) 68 (17) 0 (12) 684 (1,136) 31 (41) 83 (89) 331 (722) 97 (191) 77 (94) 0 (0) ¥ 88 (70)

Figure 5



Existing with Project Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes, Lane Configurations and Traffic Controls

÷

4

16 (56) 1 (16) **-**8 (38)





Figure 6



Cumulative without Project Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes, Lane Configurations and Traffic Controls





Cumulative with Project Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Volumes, Lane Configurations and Traffic Controls



Crosswalk 💻 Side Crossing 🛆 Corner Crossing

\_

\_

Sidewalks



...Graphics\Figures\Non-CEQA Memo Figures\Figure 8

Figure 8

# **Pedestrian Facilities**



...Graphics\Figures\Non-CEQA Memo Figures\Figure 9

Existing and Planned Bicycle Facilities






Figure 10

# Existing Transit Service Near Site



No Parking

📃 🛛 Bus Stop

Ford GoBike Station

Unrestricted Parking

Metered Parking

Figure 11




...Graphics\Figures\Non-CEQA Memo Figures\Figure 11

Parking Conditions on Major Streets

# Attachment A –

# **CCA Oakland Conceptual Site Plan**

Fehr / Peers




Attachment A




Graphics\Figures\TrafficCalmingUpdate\WC20-3715\_A\_SitePlan

# Attachment B – Project Trip Distribution

Fehr / Peers





(Licensed to Fehr & Peers)

# Attachment C –

# **Traffic Simulation Results**

Fehr / Peers

Intersection 1

# Broadway/Broadway Terrace

Signal

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

**Existing No Project** 

AM Peak Hour

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	304	326	107.3%	10.7	0.8	В
IND	Right Turn	187	189	101.1%	3.5	0.3	А
	Subtotal	491	515	104.9%	8.1	0.8	А
	Left Turn	19	21	109.5%	13.0	6.5	В
SB	Through	484	472	97.6%	10.1	3.1	В
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	503	493	98.0%	10.3	3.2	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	402	412	102.4%	24.2	13.0	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	18	21	115.0%	24.3	11.2	С
	Subtotal	420	433	103.0%	24.2	12.8	С
	Total	1,414	1,441	101.9%	13.7	5.3	В

Intersection 2

#### Broadway/Clifton St

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	473	494	104.5%	6.6	1.3	А
ND	Right Turn	44	51	115.2%	4.1	1.1	А
_	Subtotal	517	545	105.4%	6.4	1.2	А
	Left Turn	24	23	95.0%	5.5	3.4	Α
SB	Through	862	861	99.8%	2.8	1.0	А
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	886	883	99.7%	2.9	1.0	А
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	27	25	93.3%	18.6	7.4	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	18	20	113.3%	10.7	8.5	В
	Subtotal	45	46	101.3%	15.4	8.1	С
	Total	1,448	1,474	101.8%	4.6	0.8	А

**Intersection 3** 

## Broadway/College Ave

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Tota	l Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	246	249	101.2%	14.7	1.8	В
NB	Through	517	546	105.6%	10.2	1.4	В
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	763	795	104.2%	11.6	1.2	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	805	799	99.3%	13.4	3.2	В
30	Right Turn	84	88	104.4%	2.9	0.8	А
	Subtotal	889	887	99.7%	12.4	3.0	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
ED	Right Turn	245	241	98.4%	16.5	2.7	В
	Subtotal	245	241	98.4%	16.5	2.7	В
	Left Turn						
W/B	Through						

#### **Intersection 4**

WB

Right Turn

Total

Subtotal

# Broadway/Coronado Ave

1,923

101.4%

12.6

1.5

1,897

#### Signal

В

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Tota	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	605	632	104.4%	7.4	1.5	А
IND	Right Turn	31	32	101.9%	8.1	5.6	А
	Subtotal	636	663	104.3%	7.4	1.5	А
	Left Turn	107	106	98.8%	26.8	8.2	С
SB	Through	937	929	99.1%	14.0	2.7	В
30	Right Turn	6	7	115.0%	8.6	9.4	А
	Subtotal	1,050	1,041	99.2%	15.3	2.9	В
	Left Turn	16	18	111.9%	26.3	8.0	С
EB	Through	1	1	140.0%	8.6	16.7	А
LD	Right Turn	8	10	118.8%	20.0	21.6	В
	Subtotal	25	29	115.2%	21.4	7.9	С
	Left Turn	12	11	90.8%	26.4	17.2	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	142	149	104.6%	9.1	2.2	А
	Subtotal	154	159	103.5%	10.2	2.3	В
	Total	1,865	1,893	101.5%	12.2	1.6	В

**CCA Campus Reuse Existing No Project** AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

## Broadway/51st St

CCA Campus Reuse Existing No Project AM Peak Hour

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	83	83	100.5%	45.5	5.3	D
NB	Through	296	307	103.6%	46.8	5.5	D
IND	Right Turn	97	100	103.2%	3.2	0.5	А
	Subtotal	476	490	103.0%	38.0	3.2	D
	Left Turn	282	277	98.1%	45.6	7.1	D
SB	Through	547	529	96.7%	43.0	2.7	D
30	Right Turn	128	128	99.8%	27.8	9.1	С
	Subtotal	957	934	97.6%	41.4	1.8	D
	Left Turn	100	110	109.9%	72.8	5.4	Е
EB	Through	241	239	99.3%	42.5	4.6	D
LD	Right Turn	103	104	101.1%	9.0	4.4	А
	Subtotal	444	453	102.1%	43.5	4.2	D
	Left Turn	119	117	98.6%	76.3	7.3	Е
WB	Through	369	379	102.7%	47.3	5.4	D
VVD	Right Turn	240	246	102.4%	35.8	4.5	D
	Subtotal	728	742	101.9%	48.4	3.3	D
	Total	2,605	2,619	100.5%	43.2	1.5	D

Fehr & Peers

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LR	Т	TR	LT	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	58	116	132	73	106
Average Queue (ft)	28	33	55	36	35
95th Queue (ft)	55	95	127	79	90
Link Distance (ft)	53	122	122	49	49
Upstream Blk Time (%)	2	0	1	8	7
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1	1	3	36	32
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection 1

# Broadway/Broadway Terrace

Signal

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

**Existing No Project** 

**PM Peak Hour** 

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	581	575	99.0%	7.7	1.0	А
IND	Right Turn	466	475	101.9%	3.9	0.3	А
	Subtotal	1,047	1,050	100.3%	6.0	0.6	А
	Left Turn	47	46	97.9%	20.8	10.4	С
SB	Through	394	394	100.0%	10.3	7.7	В
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	441	440	99.8%	11.5	7.8	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	202	204	101.0%	16.8	3.0	В
WB	Through						
VVB	Right Turn	18	20	110.0%	16.6	7.2	В
	Subtotal	220	224	101.7%	16.7	3.1	В
	Total	1,708	1,714	100.3%	8.7	2.3	А

Intersection 2

# Broadway/Clifton

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	1,034	1,037	100.3%	9.4	1.1	А
IND	Right Turn	11	12	110.0%	5.8	5.0	А
_	Subtotal	1,045	1,049	100.4%	9.4	1.1	А
	Left Turn	6	5	81.7%	8.8	8.5	Α
SB	Through	590	593	100.4%	4.2	3.9	А
30	Right Turn						
_	Subtotal	596	597	100.2%	4.2	3.9	А
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	21	22	102.9%	24.0	11.0	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	13	12	89.2%	26.8	36.0	D
	Subtotal	34	33	97.6%	24.5	12.8	С
	Total	1,675	1,680	100.3%	8.0	1.5	А

Intersection 3

# Broadway/College Ave

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Tota	l Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	272	280	102.8%	21.2	2.7	С
NB	Through	1,045	1,049	100.4%	13.8	2.1	В
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,317	1,329	100.9%	15.3	1.9	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	538	544	101.0%	20.8	9.6	С
30	Right Turn	73	72	98.6%	3.5	2.2	А
	Subtotal	611	616	100.7%	19.0	9.2	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	388	385	99.1%	22.0	3.8	С
	Subtotal	388	385	99.1%	22.0	3.8	С
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VV D	Right Turn						

#### **Intersection 4**

Subtotal

Total

# Broadway/Coronado Ave

2,316

2,329

100.6%

17.3

2.3

#### Signal

В

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Tota	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	12	12	103.3%	78.4	26.4	Е
NB	Through	1,073	1,092	101.8%	17.4	4.2	В
ND	Right Turn	41	40	98.5%	18.3	8.4	В
	Subtotal	1,126	1,145	101.7%	18.0	4.1	В
	Left Turn	95	96	101.2%	56.8	16.2	E
SB	Through	818	822	100.5%	20.1	3.2	С
30	Right Turn	13	12	90.8%	12.3	12.0	В
	Subtotal	926	930	100.5%	23.9	4.0	С
	Left Turn	56	54	96.1%	38.9	10.4	D
EB	Through	16	15	95.0%	35.0	13.2	D
LD	Right Turn	38	43	112.4%	23.8	11.7	С
	Subtotal	110	112	101.5%	33.2	8.2	С
	Left Turn	48	52	109.2%	27.3	6.5	С
WB	Through	2	3	125.0%	12.1	18.1	В
VVD	Right Turn	188	182	96.6%	27.3	15.2	С
	Subtotal	238	237	99.4%	26.9	11.9	С
	Total	2,400	2,423	101.0%	21.8	3.8	С

Fehr & Peers

# CCA Campus Reuse Existing No Project

PM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

# Broadway/51st St

CCA Campus Reuse Existing No Project PM Peak Hour

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	89	87	97.9%	67.5	7.5	E
NB	Through	694	702	101.1%	65.7	9.6	Е
IND	Right Turn	191	190	99.4%	23.1	13.4	С
	Subtotal	974	979	100.5%	58.0	8.9	Е
	Left Turn	455	469	103.0%	47.6	2.9	D
SB	Through	306	307	100.5%	47.1	1.7	D
30	Right Turn	143	144	100.8%	25.1	8.4	С
	Subtotal	904	920	101.8%	43.5	2.5	D
	Left Turn	159	161	101.0%	100.1	14.9	F
EB	Through	596	587	98.5%	44.4	3.6	D
LD	Right Turn	67	67	99.7%	22.4	11.8	С
	Subtotal	822	815	99.1%	54.9	4.8	D
	Left Turn	93	88	95.1%	71.4	10.7	E
WB	Through	358	359	100.2%	49.2	5.9	D
VVD	Right Turn	273	276	101.1%	35.8	11.5	D
	Subtotal	724	723	99.9%	46.7	6.4	D
	Total	3,424	3,437	100.4%	51.3	3.6	D

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
MOVEMENT	VVD	ND	ND		30
Directions Served	LR	Т	TR	LT	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	54	139	155	78	100
Average Queue (ft)	25	94	117	27	31
95th Queue (ft)	54	159	161	76	87
Link Distance (ft)	54	122	122	49	49
Upstream Blk Time (%)	3	4	8	7	8
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1	23	41	22	23
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

#### Intersection 1

#### Broadway/Broadway Terrace

Signal
--------

CCA Campus Reuse

Existing Plus Project AM Peak Hour

		Demand	Served Vo	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn							
NB	Through	312	307	98.5%	10.1	1.5	В	
IND	Right Turn	187	188	100.6%	3.5	0.5	А	
	Subtotal	499	496	99.3%	7.6	1.0	А	
	Left Turn	19	18	96.8%	17.8	11.4	В	
SB	Through	493	477	96.8%	13.6	6.7	В	
	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	512	496	96.8%	13.7	6.8	В	
	Left Turn							
EB	Through							
LD	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Left Turn	402	400	99.4%	39.9	41.6	D	
WB	Through							
VVD	Right Turn	18	19	107.8%	36.7	47.5	D	
	Subtotal	420	419	99.8%	39.8	41.8	D	
	Total	1,431	1,410	98.5%	19.6	14.7	В	

#### Intersection 2

# Broadway/Clifton St

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	473	468	98.9%	5.5	1.2	А
ND	Right Turn	123	122	98.8%	3.1	1.2	А
	Subtotal	596	589	98.9%	5.0	1.2	А
	Left Turn	33	33	98.5%	6.7	3.6	А
SB	Through	862	844	97.9%	3.6	1.9	А
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	895	877	98.0%	3.7	2.0	А
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	96	91	94.5%	24.1	9.4	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	26	30	115.8%	12.7	6.3	В
	Subtotal	122	121	99.0%	21.2	8.3	С
	Total	1,613	1,587	98.4%	5.6	1.8	А

#### Intersection 3

## Broadway/College Ave

	I	Demand	Served Vo	Served Volume (vph)		Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	246	245	99.7%	19.8	2.2	В
NB	Through	596	591	99.1%	9.5	1.7	А
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	842	836	99.3%	12.4	1.2	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	866	852	98.3%	14.6	3.8	В
30	Right Turn	92	83	90.4%	3.3	1.2	А
	Subtotal	958	935	97.6%	13.5	3.5	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	245	246	100.4%	19.2	2.6	В
	Subtotal	245	246	100.4%	19.2	2.6	В
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	2,045	2,017	98.6%	13.7	1.9	В

#### Intersection 4

# Broadway/Coronado Ave

#### Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	684	683	99.9%	9.3	3.0	А
IND	Right Turn	31	35	111.3%	8.0	4.3	А
	Subtotal	715	718	100.4%	9.3	3.0	А
	Left Turn	107	102	94.9%	31.8	11.5	С
SB	Through	998	992	99.4%	17.2	4.4	В
20	Right Turn	6	7	111.7%	17.8	15.5	В
	Subtotal	1,111	1,100	99.0%	18.4	4.6	В
	Left Turn	16	13	82.5%	31.0	13.1	С
EB	Through	1	1	120.0%	13.0	19.4	В
LD	Right Turn	8	10	121.3%	16.5	9.3	В
	Subtotal	25	24	96.4%	24.4	7.5	С
	Left Turn	12	12	98.3%	29.1	15.9	С
WB	Through						
V D	Right Turn	142	142	100.3%	8.8	1.8	А
	Subtotal	154	154	100.1%	9.9	2.0	А
	Total	2,005	1,996	99.6%	14.8	3.1	В

Intersection 5

#### Broadway/51st St

		AM Pea	k Hour
			Signal
d Volume (vnh)	1	Total Delay (sec/yeh)	

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	83	82	98.8%	53.0	9.1	D
NB	Through	331	335	101.3%	57.3	6.1	Е
IND	Right Turn	97	93	96.1%	14.4	6.6	В
	Subtotal	511	510	99.9%	48.2	5.7	D
	Left Turn	290	283	97.7%	52.3	4.9	D
SB	Through	577	561	97.2%	44.0	1.8	D
30	Right Turn	151	152	100.9%	31.8	6.5	С
	Subtotal	1,018	997	97.9%	44.4	1.9	D
	Left Turn	135	133	98.7%	71.3	8.4	E
EB	Through	241	249	103.4%	40.2	6.2	D
LD	Right Turn	103	106	102.4%	9.5	3.2	А
	Subtotal	479	488	101.9%	42.3	4.5	D
	Left Turn	119	119	100.0%	69.8	10.5	E
WB	Through	369	371	100.6%	49.3	4.1	D
000	Right Turn	249	249	100.0%	42.3	9.2	D
	Subtotal	737	739	100.3%	50.0	4.6	D
	Total	2,745	2,734	99.6%	46.3	1.2	D

#### Intersection 6

# Project Driveway/Clifton St

#### Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn	77	80	103.6%	19.0	20.6	С	
NB	Through							
IND	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	77	80	103.6%	19.0	20.6	С	
	Left Turn							
SB	Through							
30	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Left Turn							
EB	Through	68	64	93.7%	0.4	0.2	А	
LD	Right Turn	88	91	103.2%	0.2	0.1	А	
	Subtotal	156	155	99.0%	0.3	0.1	А	
	Left Turn							
WB	Through	45	41	90.9%	11.5	9.3	В	
VVD	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	45	41	90.9%	11.5	9.3	В	
	Total	278	275	99.0%	7.9	7.4	А	

# Signal

CCA Campus Reuse

**Existing Plus Project** 

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
		т Т			
Directions Served	LR		TR	LT	
Maximum Queue (ft)	65	122	138	84	67
Average Queue (ft)	51	30	40	49	36
95th Queue (ft)	66	93	114	82	77
Link Distance (ft)	53	122	122	49	49
Upstream Blk Time (%)	25	0	1	13	8
Queuing Penalty (veh)	30	0	2	58	33
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection 1

#### Broadway/Broadway Terrace

Signal

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

**Existing Plus Project** 

**PM Peak Hour** 

	I	Demand	Served Vo	Served Volume (vph)		Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	590	484	82.1%	8.1	1.9	А
IND	Right Turn	466	387	83.1%	3.8	0.3	А
	Subtotal	1,056	872	82.5%	6.1	1.1	А
	Left Turn	47	45	94.9%	29.0	26.8	С
SB	Through	401	395	98.4%	18.3	17.4	В
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	448	439	98.1%	19.3	18.0	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
ED	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	202	191	94.6%	33.5	18.9	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	18	19	105.0%	20.8	17.8	С
	Subtotal	220	210	95.5%	32.4	18.7	С
	Total	1,724	1,521	88.2%	13.3	7.2	В

Intersection 2

# Broadway/Clifton St

	[	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)			
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn							
NB	Through	1,034	848	82.0%	7.4	1.4	А	
ND	Right Turn	74	57	76.9%	5.7	1.8	А	
	Subtotal	1,108	905	81.6%	7.3	1.3	А	
	Left Turn	13	13	99.2%	7.0	4.5	А	
SB	Through	590	573	97.1%	7.1	5.8	А	
30	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	603	586	97.2%	7.2	5.5	А	
	Left Turn							
EB	Through							
LD	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Left Turn	106	109	102.5%	26.1	7.1	D	
WB	Through							
VVD	Right Turn	22	23	105.5%	20.9	11.8	С	
	Subtotal	128	132	103.0%	25.0	6.8	D	
	Total	1,839	1,622	88.2%	8.6	2.7	А	

Intersection 3

#### Broadway/College Ave

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	272	225	82.5%	17.6	2.8	В
NB	Through	1,108	906	81.7%	9.7	1.7	А
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,380	1,130	81.9%	11.3	1.5	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	614	603	98.2%	26.4	14.7	С
30	Right Turn	82	79	96.8%	6.3	4.4	Α
	Subtotal	696	682	98.0%	24.4	14.1	С
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	388	388	99.9%	25.3	3.9	С
	Subtotal	388	388	99.9%	25.3	3.9	С
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VV B	Right Turn						

2,200

89.3%

17.6

#### Intersection 4

Subtotal

Total

# Broadway/Coronado Ave

2,464

#### Signal

В

4.3

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	12	8	66.7%	29.8	24.5	С
NB	Through	1,136	881	77.6%	12.0	2.3	В
IND	Right Turn	41	32	78.5%	13.2	6.1	В
	Subtotal	1,189	922	77.5%	12.2	2.1	В
	Left Turn	95	94	99.1%	59.8	20.7	E
SB	Through	894	881	98.5%	21.4	7.4	С
30	Right Turn	13	14	108.5%	15.7	10.6	В
	Subtotal	1,002	989	98.7%	25.0	7.7	С
	Left Turn	56	58	102.7%	34.3	6.2	С
EB	Through	16	16	101.9%	31.4	18.8	С
LD	Right Turn	38	35	91.3%	23.9	7.6	С
	Subtotal	110	109	98.6%	30.4	5.3	С
	Left Turn	48	49	102.5%	29.5	8.1	С
WB	Through	2	2	100.0%	11.7	15.2	В
VVD	Right Turn	188	192	102.2%	13.7	3.2	В
	Subtotal	238	243	102.2%	17.6	2.3	В
	Total	2,539	2,262	89.1%	23.1	3.8	В

CCA Campus Reuse Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Signal

7/23/2020

**Intersection 5** 

#### Broadway/51st St

	I	Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Tota	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	89	57	64.0%	95.3	12.0	F
NB	Through	722	468	64.8%	106.4	4.3	F
INB	Right Turn	191	120	62.6%	79.5	14.2	E
	Subtotal	1,002	645	64.3%	100.2	5.1	F
	Left Turn	464	450	97.0%	46.5	3.3	D
SB	Through	345	337	97.5%	45.6	4.0	D
30	Right Turn	171	172	100.6%	28.9	5.1	С
	Subtotal	980	959	97.8%	43.1	2.6	D
	Left Turn	187	185	98.7%	110.5	24.9	F
EB	Through	596	606	101.6%	42.3	4.6	D
EB	Right Turn	67	65	97.2%	25.0	7.6	С
	Subtotal	850	855	100.6%	55.9	7.3	E
	Left Turn	93	92	98.6%	78.6	12.1	E
WB	Through	358	360	100.6%	55.9	9.6	Е
VVB	Right Turn	280	268	95.7%	57.6	19.9	Е
	Subtotal	731	720	98.5%	59.8	13.0	Е

#### **Intersection 6**

Total

# Project Driveway/Clifton St

3,178

89.2%

62.0

3,563

#### Side-street Stop

Е

5.0

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	94	99	105.6%	40.8	41.7	Е
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	94	99	105.6%	40.8	41.7	E
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	17	14	84.7%	0.3	0.2	А
LD	Right Turn	70	55	78.9%	0.2	0.1	А
	Subtotal	87	70	80.0%	0.2	0.1	А
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	34	34	99.1%	14.6	19.5	В
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	34	34	99.1%	14.6	19.5	В
	Total	215	203	94.2%	23.3	24.9	С

**CCA Campus Reuse Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour** 

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

		ND	ND	0.0	0.0
Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LR	Т	TR	LT	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	65	137	150	61	129
Average Queue (ft)	53	84	102	46	46
95th Queue (ft)	61	148	168	82	97
Link Distance (ft)	53	122	122	49	49
Upstream Blk Time (%)	62	2	5	35	33
Queuing Penalty (veh)	80	13	27	108	100
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					
••••					

Intersection 1

# Broadway/Broadway Terrace

Signal

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

AM Peak Hour

**Cumulative No Project** 

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	470	468	99.7%	10.3	1.5	В
IND	Right Turn	250	251	100.4%	3.7	0.3	А
	Subtotal	720	719	99.9%	8.0	1.0	А
	Left Turn	30	23	76.0%	56.7	17.0	E
SB	Through	778	573	73.6%	58.2	5.7	Е
28	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	808	596	73.7%	58.1	5.3	Е
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	530	297	56.1%	312.3	32.8	F
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	30	18	60.7%	320.1	49.6	F
	Subtotal	560	315	56.3%	313.0	31.5	F
	Total	2,088	1,630	78.1%	85.3	6.5	F

Intersection 2

# Broadway/Clifton St

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	700	697	99.5%	8.9	1.3	А
ND	Right Turn	50	48	95.2%	6.1	2.8	А
	Subtotal	750	744	99.3%	8.6	1.4	А
	Left Turn	58	37	63.6%	10.3	2.8	В
SB	Through	1,250	833	66.6%	11.0	1.9	В
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,308	870	66.5%	11.0	1.8	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	30	30	99.7%	25.9	12.8	D
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	20	22	109.5%	23.1	13.6	С
	Subtotal	50	52	103.6%	24.1	8.9	С
	Total	2,108	1,666	79.0%	10.3	1.6	В

Intersection 3

#### Broadway/College Ave

	I	Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	300	299	99.6%	17.5	3.9	В
NB	Through	750	745	99.4%	10.8	2.4	В
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,050	1,044	99.4%	12.7	2.0	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	1,170	789	67.5%	31.0	4.6	С
30	Right Turn	110	74	67.2%	7.7	4.5	А
	Subtotal	1,280	863	67.4%	29.0	4.4	С
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	300	297	99.0%	18.9	3.6	В
	Subtotal	300	297	99.0%	18.9	3.6	В
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	2,630	2,204	83.8%	20.0	2.0	В

**Intersection 4** 

#### Broadway/Coronado Ave

#### Signal

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	890	891	100.2%	11.1	2.6	В
IND	Right Turn	40	41	103.3%	9.8	5.0	А
	Subtotal	930	933	100.3%	11.0	2.7	В
	Left Turn	110	82	74.6%	48.0	4.0	D
SB	Through	1,350	997	73.8%	26.3	2.6	С
30	Right Turn	10	8	77.0%	32.4	28.2	С
	Subtotal	1,470	1,087	73.9%	28.0	2.2	С
	Left Turn	20	20	99.0%	41.7	16.0	D
EB	Through	10	10	97.0%	47.7	27.7	D
LD	Right Turn	10	10	102.0%	30.7	26.7	С
	Subtotal	40	40	99.3%	39.7	15.3	D
	Left Turn	20	20	101.5%	38.0	12.3	D
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	140	134	95.8%	13.9	4.1	В
	Subtotal	160	154	96.5%	16.9	3.4	В
	Total	2,600	2,213	85.1%	20.3	1.7	С

CCA Campus Reuse Cumulative No Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

# Broadway/51st St

CCA Campus Reuse
Cumulative No Project
AM Peak Hour

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	120	125	104.3%	68.3	15.5	Е
NB	Through	430	445	103.6%	75.4	20.2	Е
IND	Right Turn	140	138	98.6%	42.2	23.3	D
	Subtotal	690	709	102.7%	67.6	19.7	E
	Left Turn	410	303	73.9%	54.7	3.4	D
SB	Through	780	556	71.3%	42.9	3.6	D
30	Right Turn	190	144	76.0%	27.5	4.8	С
	Subtotal	1,380	1,003	72.7%	44.3	2.3	D
	Left Turn	150	151	100.7%	77.7	11.5	Е
EB	Through	350	352	100.5%	44.4	5.6	D
LD	Right Turn	150	149	99.4%	17.6	5.9	В
	Subtotal	650	652	100.3%	46.0	5.0	D
	Left Turn	170	167	98.2%	90.9	9.2	F
WB	Through	530	525	99.0%	67.1	8.3	Е
VVB	Right Turn	350	340	97.2%	73.2	10.4	Е
	Subtotal	1,050	1,032	98.3%	73.1	7.4	E
	Total	3,770	3,396	90.1%	58.2	5.2	E

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
WOVEITIENL	VVD	IND	IND	30	৩০
Directions Served	LR	Т	TR	LT	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	59	131	155	86	125
Average Queue (ft)	33	78	95	59	66
95th Queue (ft)	61	148	166	71	98
Link Distance (ft)	54	122	122	49	49
Upstream Blk Time (%)	7	3	5	50	49
Queuing Penalty (veh)	4	10	19	330	323
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection 1

# Broadway/Broadway Terrace

Signal

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

**PM Peak Hour** 

**Cumulative No Project** 

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	900	707	78.6%	10.1	1.1	В
IND	Right Turn	590	460	78.0%	4.2	0.2	А
	Subtotal	1,490	1,168	78.4%	7.8	0.5	А
	Left Turn	60	37	61.2%	89.7	15.9	F
SB	Through	610	351	57.6%	86.4	14.7	F
28	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	670	388	57.9%	86.7	13.6	F
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	280	182	64.9%	399.6	51.5	F
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	30	20	65.0%	408.7	85.3	F
	Subtotal	310	201	64.9%	402.7	51.3	F
	Total	2,470	1,757	71.1%	72.6	3.9	E

Intersection 2

#### Broadway/Clifton St

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	1,470	1,149	78.1%	14.2	1.1	В
IND	Right Turn	20	15	74.0%	7.7	5.7	А
	Subtotal	1,490	1,164	78.1%	14.1	1.1	В
	Left Turn	10	5	50.0%	4.4	9.6	Α
SB	Through	880	528	60.0%	23.8	5.2	С
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	890	533	59.9%	23.7	5.2	С
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	30	29	97.7%	25.5	9.1	D
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	20	20	97.5%	23.6	19.2	С
	Subtotal	50	49	97.6%	24.9	9.4	С
	Total	2,430	1,745	71.8%	16.9	1.5	С

Intersection 3

#### Broadway/College Ave

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total	Delay (sec/vel	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	330	258	78.2%	18.1	4.0	В
NB	Through	1,490	1,164	78.1%	22.0	3.1	С
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,820	1,422	78.1%	21.3	2.5	С
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	820	504	61.4%	66.1	10.8	Е
30	Right Turn	90	53	58.6%	19.1	7.8	В
	Subtotal	910	556	61.1%	61.7	10.5	E
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	510	502	98.3%	58.8	26.9	E
	Subtotal	510	502	98.3%	58.8	26.9	E
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	3,240	2,480	76.5%	37.0	6.9	D

**Intersection 4** 

#### Broadway/Coronado Ave

#### Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	15	72.5%	86.5	20.4	F
NB	Through	1,560	1,165	74.7%	36.6	3.9	D
IND	Right Turn	50	34	68.0%	43.9	7.1	D
	Subtotal	1,630	1,214	74.5%	37.3	4.0	D
	Left Turn	100	77	77.4%	72.9	18.5	E
SB	Through	1,210	911	75.3%	34.1	3.9	С
30	Right Turn	20	18	88.0%	29.5	13.0	С
	Subtotal	1,330	1,006	75.7%	36.9	4.3	D
	Left Turn	60	61	101.2%	112.0	72.6	F
EB	Through	20	19	93.5%	93.6	51.3	F
LD	Right Turn	40	39	96.3%	83.3	60.0	F
	Subtotal	120	118	98.3%	97.8	56.9	F
	Left Turn	50	46	92.0%	38.7	7.8	D
WB	Through	10	9	92.0%	30.6	22.0	С
VVD	Right Turn	200	198	98.9%	47.1	14.2	D
	Subtotal	260	253	97.3%	45.4	11.8	D
	Total	3,340	2,591	77.6%	40.8	5.3	D

# CCA Campus Reuse Cumulative No Project PM Peak Hour

Total

Intersection 5

# Broadway/51st St

4,940

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/vel	n)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	130	94	72.2%	101.9	9.0	F
NB	Through	1,000	704	70.4%	102.9	3.9	F
IND	Right Turn	280	198	70.7%	65.8	14.2	Е
	Subtotal	1,410	996	70.6%	95.0	4.8	F
	Left Turn	650	508	78.2%	46.9	2.7	D
SB	Through	440	331	75.1%	44.7	5.1	D
30	Right Turn	210	158	75.4%	28.8	5.3	С
	Subtotal	1,300	997	76.7%	43.4	2.7	D
	Left Turn	230	162	70.6%	221.3	59.1	F
EB	Through	850	694	81.6%	74.8	5.8	Е
LD	Right Turn	100	80	79.5%	49.5	12.4	D
	Subtotal	1,180	936	79.3%	97.7	10.8	F
	Left Turn	140	121	86.3%	178.2	43.7	F
WB	Through	510	449	88.0%	107.1	13.4	F
VV D	Right Turn	400	336	84.1%	139.0	21.0	F
	Subtotal	1,050	906	86.3%	129.4	9.9	F

3,834

77.6%

89.9

3.2

F

Cumulative No Project PM Peak Hour

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

		ND	ND	0.0	0.0
Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
<b>Directions Served</b>	LR	Т	TR	LT	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	57	160	168	78	118
Average Queue (ft)	33	126	136	58	64
95th Queue (ft)	62	146	158	68	93
Link Distance (ft)	53	122	122	49	49
Upstream Blk Time (%)	10	17	20	62	67
Queuing Penalty (veh)	5	127	146	274	299
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					

#### Intersection 1

## Broadway/Broadway Terrace

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

AM Peak Hour

**Cumulative Plus Project** 

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	478	478	100.0%	10.4	1.3	В
IND	Right Turn	250	248	99.4%	3.7	0.4	А
	Subtotal	728	726	99.8%	8.0	0.9	А
	Left Turn	30	18	59.0%	91.6	59.4	F
SB	Through	759	485	63.9%	74.1	9.9	Е
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	789	503	63.7%	74.5	10.2	Е
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	530	268	50.5%	334.2	53.4	F
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	30	15	50.0%	346.2	129.0	F
	Subtotal	560	283	50.5%	335.1	52.8	F
	Total	2,077	1,512	72.8%	91.4	6.2	F

#### Intersection 2

# Broadway/Clifton St

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)			
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn							
NB	Through	700	697	99.5%	9.1	1.6	А	
IND	Right Turn	129	123	95.0%	5.8	1.8	А	
	Subtotal	829	819	98.8%	8.5	1.6	А	
	Left Turn	39	22	57.2%	15.9	8.2	С	
SB	Through	1,250	731	58.4%	13.4	1.8	В	
20	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	1,289	753	58.4%	13.4	1.8	В	
	Left Turn							
EB	Through							
LD	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Left Turn	99	98	98.5%	37.8	10.4	Е	
WB	Through							
000	Right Turn	28	30	105.7%	28.0	8.5	D	
	Subtotal	127	127	100.1%	35.7	10.7	E	
	Total	2,245	1,699	75.7%	12.7	1.4	В	

#### Intersection 3

#### Broadway/College Ave

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	300	316	105.4%	19.3	2.4	В
NB	Through	829	820	98.9%	11.4	1.6	В
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,129	1,136	100.6%	13.6	1.5	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	1,231	757	61.5%	35.6	4.8	D
30	Right Turn	118	73	61.7%	12.0	6.0	В
	Subtotal	1,349	830	61.5%	33.6	4.8	С
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	300	301	100.3%	23.3	3.6	С
	Subtotal	300	301	100.3%	23.3	3.6	С
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	2,778	2,267	81.6%	22.2	1.3	С

#### Intersection 4

# Broadway/Coronado Ave

# Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	969	977	100.8%	11.5	2.3	В
IND	Right Turn	40	41	102.8%	10.9	5.0	В
	Subtotal	1,009	1,018	100.9%	11.5	2.4	В
	Left Turn	110	77	69.9%	65.8	12.8	Е
SB	Through	1,411	978	69.3%	29.5	3.8	С
30	Right Turn	10	7	71.0%	16.6	24.1	В
	Subtotal	1,531	1,062	69.4%	32.4	3.6	С
	Left Turn	20	20	97.5%	46.1	25.5	D
EB	Through	10	9	94.0%	33.1	25.3	С
LD	Right Turn	10	9	93.0%	22.2	22.0	С
	Subtotal	40	38	95.5%	44.2	18.7	D
	Left Turn	20	20	100.5%	31.9	15.0	С
WB	Through						
000	Right Turn	140	144	103.1%	16.2	7.8	В
	Subtotal	160	164	102.8%	18.2	7.3	В
	Total	2,740	2,283	83.3%	22.0	2.0	С

# CCA Campus Reuse Cumulative Plus Project AM Peak Hour

Intersection 5

#### Broadway/51st St

		Demand	Served Volume (vph) Total Delay (sec/veh)				h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	120	119	99.1%	71.4	18.4	E
NB	Through	465	467	100.5%	82.7	16.4	F
IND	Right Turn	140	142	101.4%	43.2	17.1	D
	Subtotal	725	728	100.4%	73.2	16.4	E
	Left Turn	418	287	68.7%	53.3	3.9	D
SB	Through	810	552	68.2%	44.6	3.3	D
30	Right Turn	213	148	69.5%	29.5	9.9	С
	Subtotal	1,441	987	68.5%	45.0	3.2	D
	Left Turn	185	195	105.4%	84.3	14.4	F
EB	Through	350	346	98.7%	41.3	5.1	D
ED	Right Turn	150	148	98.3%	14.9	7.4	В
	Subtotal	685	688	100.4%	48.5	7.3	D
	Left Turn	170	173	101.6%	113.8	16.1	F
WB	Through	530	528	99.5%	79.8	18.1	Е
VVD	Right Turn	359	361	100.6%	89.3	23.0	F
	Subtotal	1,059	1,062	100.3%	88.7	17.0	F

#### **Intersection 6**

Total

# Project Driveway/Clifton St

3,465

88.6%

65.4

3,910

# Side-street Stop

Е

5.0

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	77	76	98.1%	182.5	113.8	F
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	77	76	98.1%	182.5	113.8	F
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
50	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	80	66	82.6%	0.3	0.1	А
LD	Right Turn	88	79	90.0%	0.2	0.1	А
	Subtotal	168	145	86.5%	0.2	0.1	А
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	50	53	105.0%	35.4	27.5	Е
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	50	53	105.0%	35.4	27.5	E
	Total	295	273	92.6%	64.4	42.8	F

CCA Campus Reuse Cumulative Plus Project AM Peak Hour

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

Mayramant				00	CD.
Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LR	Т	TR	LT	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	76	126	177	94	127
Average Queue (ft)	55	85	97	59	66
95th Queue (ft)	66	157	183	72	98
Link Distance (ft)	53	122	122	49	49
Upstream Blk Time (%)	66	5	8	60	57
Queuing Penalty (veh)	84	20	32	385	370
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					
dabaling i briandy (rom)					

Intersection 1

### Broadway/Broadway Terrace

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

**PM Peak Hour** 

**Cumulative Plus Project** 

	1	Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	907	667	73.6%	9.8	0.4	А
IND	Right Turn	590	444	75.2%	4.2	0.3	А
	Subtotal	1,497	1,111	74.2%	7.7	0.3	А
	Left Turn	60	33	54.7%	102.8	20.1	F
SB	Through	620	318	51.3%	110.3	17.0	F
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	680	351	51.6%	109.4	16.8	F
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	280	168	60.0%	425.1	47.1	F
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	30	16	52.0%	424.9	133.2	F
	Subtotal	310	184	59.2%	426.9	50.9	F
	Total	2,487	1,646	66.2%	76.4	3.2	E

Intersection 2

# Broadway/Clifton

# Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)			
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn							
NB	Through	1,470	1,084	73.7%	15.1	1.9	С	
ND	Right Turn	112	87	77.3%	9.3	2.3	А	
	Subtotal	1,582	1,171	74.0%	14.6	1.8	В	
	Left Turn	20	13	63.0%	20.3	11.1	С	
SB	Through	880	475	53.9%	22.9	3.4	С	
50	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	900	487	54.1%	22.8	3.3	С	
	Left Turn							
EB	Through							
LD	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Left Turn	88	86	97.3%	52.2	14.7	F	
WB	Through							
VVD	Right Turn	27	27	100.4%	46.1	13.2	Е	
	Subtotal	115	113	98.0%	51.0	13.2	F	
	Total	2,597	1,771	68.2%	18.9	1.3	С	

Intersection 3

### Broadway/College Ave

	1	Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	330	244	73.9%	16.1	3.1	В
NB	Through	1,582	1,172	74.1%	22.8	1.5	С
	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,912	1,416	74.0%	21.7	1.1	С
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	871	508	58.3%	59.7	8.6	Е
30	Right Turn	97	53	54.9%	18.4	8.4	В
	Subtotal	968	561	57.9%	55.6	8.0	E
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	510	510	100.0%	67.6	44.7	Е
	Subtotal	510	510	100.0%	67.6	44.7	E
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	3,390	2,487	73.4%	39.0	10.7	D

### Intersection 4

### Broadway/Coronado Ave

# Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	13	64.5%	71.9	28.7	E
NB	Through	1,652	1,160	70.2%	38.9	4.4	D
IND	Right Turn	50	33	65.0%	51.4	9.0	D
	Subtotal	1,722	1,206	70.0%	39.7	4.3	D
	Left Turn	100	68	68.3%	62.3	20.0	E
SB	Through	1,261	930	73.8%	35.3	5.1	D
50	Right Turn	20	16	82.0%	26.6	11.0	С
	Subtotal	1,381	1,015	73.5%	36.9	5.1	D
	Left Turn	60	58	96.0%	112.0	86.0	F
EB	Through	20	21	104.0%	105.2	111.2	F
LD	Right Turn	40	40	99.5%	119.7	85.4	F
	Subtotal	120	118	98.5%	114.5	91.7	F
	Left Turn	50	49	97.0%	42.3	21.5	D
WB	Through	10	9	86.0%	53.5	40.4	D
VVD	Right Turn	200	199	99.7%	49.2	12.4	D
	Subtotal	260	257	98.7%	48.0	12.2	D
	Total	3,483	2,596	74.5%	42.6	6.3	D

# CCA Campus Reuse Cumulative Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Through

Total

Right Turn

Subtotal

Intersection 5

### Broadway/51st St

	I	Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	130	85	65.2%	101.1	9.9	F
NB	Through	1,041	704	67.6%	105.6	5.1	F
ND	Right Turn	280	195	69.6%	63.8	13.0	Е
	Subtotal	1,451	984	67.8%	97.1	5.3	F
	Left Turn	657	485	73.9%	47.3	4.8	D
SB	Through	464	350	75.5%	45.5	4.4	D
30	Right Turn	230	178	77.5%	30.9	5.3	С
	Subtotal	1,351	1,014	75.0%	43.8	3.4	D
	Left Turn	271	152	56.2%	267.4	38.7	F
EB	Through	850	633	74.5%	76.5	5.3	Е
EВ	Right Turn	100	78	77.7%	43.6	12.0	D
	Subtotal	1,221	863	70.7%	106.5	7.5	F
	Left Turn	140	113	80.4%	182.9	48.0	F

**Intersection 6** 

WB

### **Project Driveway/Clifton St**

432

341

886

3,746

84.7%

83.2%

83.6%

73.7%

100.5

150.7

130.7

91.9

510

410

1,060

5,083

# Side-street Stop

F

F

F

F

16.2

20.5

10.4

3.6

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)			
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn	65	64	99.1%	211.0	145.7	F	
NB	Through							
IND	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	65	64	99.1%	211.0	145.7	F	
	Left Turn							
SB	Through							
30	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Left Turn							
EB	Through							
LD	Right Turn	102	78	76.7%	0.3	0.4	А	
	Subtotal	102	78	76.7%	0.3	0.4	А	
	Left Turn							
WB	Through							
VVD	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Total	167	143	85.4%	87.8	61.0	F	

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LR	Т	TR	LT	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	58	148	153	128	110
Average Queue (ft)	53	124	139	59	62
95th Queue (ft)	61	133	157	81	84
Link Distance (ft)	53	122	122	49	49
Upstream Blk Time (%)	72	13	17	68	75
Queuing Penalty (veh)	82	99	136	305	339
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					
,					

### Intersection 1

### **Broadway/Broadway Terrace**

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	408	398	97.6%	10.4	1.7	В
IND	Right Turn	187	186	99.4%	2.6	0.3	А
	Subtotal	595	584	98.2%	8.0	1.3	А
	Left Turn	19	19	100.0%	10.4	6.1	В
SB	Through	460	456	99.1%	7.4	1.4	А
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	479	475	99.1%	7.5	1.3	А
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	402	397	98.8%	22.6	5.0	С
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	18	16	87.8%	17.5	10.1	В
	Subtotal	420	413	98.3%	22.5	4.9	С
	Total	1,494	1,472	98.5%	12.1	1.8	В

# Intersection 2

# Broadway/Clifton St

# Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)			
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn							
NB	Through	473	463	97.8%	7.1	1.7	А	
IND	Right Turn	156	154	98.8%	4.4	1.1	А	
	Subtotal	629	617	98.1%	6.5	1.5	А	
	Left Turn							
SB	Through	862	853	99.0%	1.0	0.1	А	
20	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	862	853	99.0%	1.0	0.1	А	
	Left Turn							
EB	Through							
LD	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Left Turn							
WB	Through							
VVD	Right Turn	122	121	98.8%	6.7	1.9	А	
	Subtotal	122	121	98.8%	6.7	1.9	А	
	Total	1,613	1,591	98.6%	3.7	0.7	А	

# Signal

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

AM Peak Hour

**Existing Plus Project Plus Mitigation** 

### Intersection 3

### Broadway/College Ave

#### Demand Served Volume (vph) Total Delay (sec/veh) Direction Movement Volume (vph) Percent Average Std. Dev. LOS Average Left Turn 246 239 97.3% 18.3 2.1 В Through 629 617 98.0% 9.8 0.7 А NB **Right Turn** Subtotal 875 856 97.8% 12.2 0.7 В Left Turn Through 778 768 98.7% 6.2 0.8 А SB 84 86 102.3% 2.0 0.2 Right Turn А Subtotal 862 854 99.0% 5.8 0.7 А Left Turn Through EΒ Right Turn 245 241 98.5% 20.4 1.7 С С Subtotal 245 241 98.5% 20.4 1.7 Left Turn Through WB Right Turn

#### **Intersection 4**

Subtotal

Total

### Broadway/Coronado Ave

1,951

98.4%

10.4

0.4

1,982

# Signal

В

		Demand	Served Vo	Served Volume (vph)		Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	717	698	97.4%	4.0	0.8	А
ND	Right Turn	31	29	93.5%	2.7	1.5	А
	Subtotal	748	727	97.2%	3.9	0.8	А
	Left Turn	107	103	96.4%	21.8	7.6	С
SB	Through	910	899	98.8%	7.5	1.2	Α
30	Right Turn	6	7	113.3%	3.7	3.4	А
	Subtotal	1,023	1,009	98.6%	9.0	2.1	А
	Left Turn	16	17	103.8%	42.4	14.3	D
EB	Through	1	1	50.0%	12.7	27.4	В
LD	Right Turn	8	9	113.8%	13.6	12.3	В
	Subtotal	25	26	104.8%	36.0	16.8	D
	Left Turn	12	13	111.7%	38.2	25.5	D
WB	Through						
00	Right Turn	142	142	100.3%	12.1	4.9	В
	Subtotal	154	156	101.2%	14.0	4.5	В
	Total	1,950	1,918	98.4%	7.8	1.2	А

**CCA Campus Reuse Existing Plus Project Plus Mitigation** AM Peak Hour

Left Turn

Through

Total

Right Turn

Subtotal

**Intersection 5** 

Broadway/51st St

		Demand	Served Vol	ume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn	83	83	100.5%	45.1	4.2	D
	Through	331	330	99.8%	43.8	5.4	D
	Right Turn	97	99	101.9%	8.0	5.1	А
	Subtotal	511	513	100.3%	36.8	4.8	D
	Left Turn	282	284	100.7%	26.5	3.6	С
SB	Through	520	518	99.7%	27.3	2.9	С
30	Right Turn	128	123	95.9%	20.9	9.0	С
	Subtotal	930	925	99.4%	26.3	2.3	С
	Left Turn	168	157	93.2%	63.9	12.8	E
EB	Through	241	233	96.6%	28.4	5.5	С
EB	Right Turn	103	99	95.8%	6.1	2.5	А
	Subtotal	512	488	95.3%	35.2	5.8	D

### **Intersection 6**

WB

### **Project Driveway/Clifton St**

110

374

242

726

2,651

92.4%

101.3%

97.1%

98.5%

98.5%

53.6

36.1

28.5

36.3

32.7

119

369

249

737

2,690

# Side-street Stop

D

D

С

D

С

4.4

3.2

8.1

3.6

2.2

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Tota	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	77	78	101.3%	6.4	2.4	А
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	77	78	101.3%	6.4	2.4	А
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
50	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	68	65	95.3%	0.4	0.2	А
LD	Right Turn	88	89	101.6%	0.2	0.1	А
	Subtotal	156	154	98.8%	0.2	0.1	А
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	45	42	94.2%	0.4	0.3	А
VV B	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	45	42	94.2%	0.4	0.3	А
	Total	278	275	98.8%	2.1	0.8	А

**CCA Campus Reuse Existing Plus Project Plus Mitigation** AM Peak Hour

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	R	Т	TR	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	66	137	138	57	57
Average Queue (ft)	43	51	71	9	9
95th Queue (ft)	64	123	153	41	38
Link Distance (ft)	55	126	126	44	44
Upstream Blk Time (%)	5	1	2	1	1
Queuing Penalty (veh)	6	3	6	3	6
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

### Intersection 1

### **Broadway/Broadway Terrace**

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

PM Peak Hour

**Existing Plus Project Plus Mitigation** 

		Demand	Served Vo	Served Volume (vph)		Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn						
	Through	696	682	98.0%	5.8	0.9	А
IND	Right Turn	466	470	100.8%	2.7	0.3	А
	Subtotal	1,162	1,152	99.1%	4.5	0.6	А
	Left Turn	47	49	103.8%	22.4	4.9	С
SB	Through	388	389	100.2%	7.5	1.8	А
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	435	438	100.6%	9.1	2.1	А
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	202	205	101.2%	25.5	3.1	С
	Through						
WB	Right Turn	18	17	95.6%	22.2	9.4	С
	Subtotal	220	222	100.8%	25.1	3.2	С
	Total	1,817	1,811	99.7%	8.3	1.0	А

### Intersection 2

# Broadway/Clifton St

# Side-street Stop

	[	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
NB	Left Turn						
	Through	1,034	1,023	99.0%	6.1	1.1	А
ND	Right Turn	87	86	98.3%	5.0	1.8	А
	Subtotal	1,121	1,109	98.9%	6.0	1.1	А
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	590	592	100.3%	1.4	0.4	А
50	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	590	592	100.3%	1.4	0.4	А
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	128	127	99.2%	11.9	3.2	В
	Subtotal	128	127	99.2%	11.9	3.2	В
	Total	1,839	1,828	99.4%	4.9	0.8	А

### Intersection 3

### Broadway/College Ave

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	272	273	100.4%	16.7	2.7	В
NB	Through	1,121	1,104	98.5%	11.1	2.0	В
ND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,393	1,377	98.9%	12.1	1.9	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	517	513	99.1%	13.5	3.4	В
30	Right Turn	73	77	105.6%	2.6	1.0	А
	Subtotal	590	590	99.9%	12.1	3.1	В
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
ED	Right Turn	388	389	100.1%	20.6	4.9	С
	Subtotal	388	389	100.1%	20.6	4.9	С
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	2,371	2,355	99.3%	13.5	2.3	В

### Intersection 4

### Broadway/Coronado Ave

# Signal

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Tota	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	12	12	101.7%	73.5	15.8	E
NB	Through	1,149	1,131	98.5%	6.0	1.4	А
IND	Right Turn	41	46	112.9%	6.6	3.7	А
	Subtotal	1,202	1,190	99.0%	6.8	1.7	А
SB	Left Turn	95	99	104.4%	58.7	20.8	E
	Through	797	785	98.5%	13.9	3.1	В
50	Right Turn	13	13	99.2%	9.6	9.5	А
	Subtotal	905	897	99.1%	18.7	4.7	В
	Left Turn	56	57	102.3%	60.3	17.2	E
EB	Through	16	16	100.0%	64.4	18.2	E
LD	Right Turn	38	40	104.7%	33.6	15.6	С
	Subtotal	110	113	102.8%	52.8	15.4	D
	Left Turn	48	46	94.8%	48.2	10.9	D
WB	Through	2	2	95.0%	39.2	46.3	D
VV D	Right Turn	188	187	99.3%	37.5	10.3	D
	Subtotal	238	234	98.3%	40.1	8.8	D
	Total	2,455	2,434	99.1%	17.0	2.9	В

# CCA Campus Reuse Existing Plus Project Plus Mitigation PM Peak Hour

### Intersection 5

Broadway/51st St

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Tota	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	89	81	91.1%	58.7	7.2	Е
NB	Through	722	716	99.2%	52.6	4.7	D
IND	Right Turn	191	183	95.8%	17.2	4.6	В
	Subtotal	1,002	980	97.8%	46.8	4.7	D
	Left Turn	455	456	100.2%	32.3	1.3	С
SB	Through	285	286	100.5%	32.0	2.8	С
30	Right Turn	143	141	98.5%	20.4	3.0	С
	Subtotal	883	883	100.0%	30.2	1.2	С
	Left Turn	200	196	97.8%	84.2	9.4	F
EB	Through	596	593	99.6%	40.9	3.3	D
ED	Right Turn	67	71	106.1%	21.2	4.8	С
	Subtotal	863	860	99.7%	48.8	2.8	D
	Left Turn	93	90	96.8%	66.6	9.2	E
WB	Through	358	362	101.1%	50.0	8.8	D
VVD	Right Turn	280	283	101.0%	44.0	17.4	D
	Subtotal	731	735	100.5%	49.8	11.2	D

### **Intersection 6**

Total

# Project Driveway/Clifton St

3,458

99.4%

43.4

3,479

### Side-street Stop

D

3.0

		Demand	Served Volume (vph)		Total Delay (sec/veh)		h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	94	94	100.0%	10.5	10.2	В
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	94	94	100.0%	10.5	10.2	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	17	16	95.9%	0.2	0.1	А
LD	Right Turn	70	70	99.3%	0.1	0.1	А
	Subtotal	87	86	98.6%	0.2	0.1	А
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	34	34	101.2%	2.8	4.9	А
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	34	34	101.2%	2.8	4.9	А
	Total	215	214	99.6%	5.4	6.3	А

# CCA Campus Reuse Existing Plus Project Plus Mitigation PM Peak Hour

Signal

7/23/2020

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	R	Т	TR	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	57	129	148	58	84
Average Queue (ft)	42	73	91	12	26
95th Queue (ft)	62	146	171	44	70
Link Distance (ft)	55	126	126	44	44
Upstream Blk Time (%)	7	1	3	1	3
Queuing Penalty (veh)	9	6	16	4	8
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection 1

## **Broadway/Broadway Terrace**

Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	577	548	95.0%	13.9	1.4	В
ND	Right Turn	250	251	100.2%	3.7	0.6	А
	Subtotal	827	799	96.6%	10.5	1.0	В
SB	Left Turn	30	25	84.3%	36.9	6.8	D
	Through	720	719	99.9%	27.1	6.8	С
20	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	750	745	99.3%	27.4	6.8	С
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	530	369	69.7%	283.3	49.3	F
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	30	20	67.3%	270.4	63.5	F
	Subtotal	560	390	69.6%	283.0	47.0	F
	Total	2,137	1,933	90.4%	67.9	3.6	E

### Intersection 2

# Broadway/Clifton St

### Side-street Stop

	[	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
ND	Through	700	672	96.0%	11.8	3.1	В
ND	Right Turn	168	166	98.7%	7.5	3.4	А
NB SB EB	Subtotal	868	838	96.5%	11.0	3.1	В
	Left Turn						
CD	Through	1,250	1,087	87.0%	6.3	1.5	А
20	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,250	1,087	87.0%	6.3	1.5	А
	Left Turn						
FR	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	127	126	99.3%	10.8	3.8	В
	Subtotal	127	126	99.3%	10.8	3.8	В
	Total	2,245	2,051	91.3%	8.6	1.8	А

Intersection 3

Broadway/College Ave

Signal
--------

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	300	290	96.8%	18.6	2.2	В
NB	Through	868	837	96.4%	14.4	3.7	В
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	1,168	1,127	96.5%	15.5	2.6	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	1,140	987	86.6%	23.4	3.1	С
30	Right Turn	110	97	88.5%	6.3	1.6	А
	Subtotal	1,250	1,084	86.7%	22.0	3.0	С
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn	300	298	99.4%	22.1	3.0	С
	Subtotal	300	298	99.4%	22.1	3.0	С
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VV D	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Total	2,718	2,510	92.3%	19.0	2.0	В

Intersection 4

### Broadway/Coronado Ave

	I	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Tota	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn							
NB	Through	1,008	962	95.4%	8.9	1.6	А	
IND	Right Turn	40	39	97.3%	8.1	2.4	А	
	Subtotal	1,048	1,001	95.5%	8.9	1.7	А	
	Left Turn	110	101	91.7%	43.1	10.0	D	
SB	Through	1,320	1,174	88.9%	18.0	1.4	В	
50	Right Turn	10	10	102.0%	11.3	3.6	В	
	Subtotal	1,440	1,285	89.2%	19.8	1.4	В	
	Left Turn	20	17	85.5%	45.1	15.5	D	
EB	Through	10	11	112.0%	38.6	19.5	D	
LD	Right Turn	10	10	103.0%	36.1	20.0	D	
	Subtotal	40	39	96.5%	39.6	11.1	D	
	Left Turn	20	19	93.5%	51.1	18.4	D	
WB	Through							
VVD	Right Turn	140	146	104.3%	18.5	5.1	В	
	Subtotal	160	165	102.9%	22.0	4.8	С	
	Total	2,688	2,489	92.6%	16.0	1.1	В	

Intersection 5

Broadway/51st St

		Demand	Served Volume (vph) Total Delay (sec/veh				h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	120	114	94.8%	110.3	18.9	F
NB	Through	465	432	93.0%	128.7	10.4	F
IND	Right Turn	140	124	88.6%	84.3	17.3	F
	Subtotal	725	670	92.4%	117.4	13.1	F
	Left Turn	410	369	90.1%	37.3	4.6	D
SB	Through	750	674	89.9%	33.5	1.8	С
30	Right Turn	190	175	91.9%	19.3	5.7	В
	Subtotal	1,350	1,218	90.2%	32.5	1.8	С
	Left Turn	224	216	96.5%	127.5	46.5	F
EB	Through	350	357	101.9%	34.8	3.7	С
LD	Right Turn	150	157	104.4%	13.9	4.2	В
	Subtotal	724	730	100.8%	59.0	14.2	E
	Left Turn	170	169	99.3%	78.3	10.7	E
WB	Through	530	525	99.0%	92.2	13.1	F
VVD	Right Turn	359	349	97.3%	109.1	14.0	F
	Subtotal	1,059	1,043	98.5%	95.6	11.5	F

### Intersection 6

Total

# Project Driveway/Clifton St

3,661

94.9%

71.3

3,858

### Side-street Stop

Е

5.8

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Tota	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	77	75	97.9%	10.6	6.4	В
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	77	75	97.9%	10.6	6.4	В
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	80	81	101.5%	0.4	0.2	А
LD	Right Turn	88	86	98.0%	0.5	0.7	А
	Subtotal	168	167	99.6%	0.4	0.4	А
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	50	52	103.6%	2.4	1.5	А
VVB	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	50	52	103.6%	2.4	1.5	А
	Total	295	295	99.9%	3.8	2.2	А

CCA Campus Reuse Cumulative Plus Project Plus Mitigation AM Peak Hour

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	R	Т	TR	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	69	146	177	105	98
Average Queue (ft)	46	101	111	64	62
95th Queue (ft)	68	160	170	86	79
Link Distance (ft)	55	126	126	44	44
Upstream Blk Time (%)	18	4	6	36	40
Queuing Penalty (veh)	23	20	28	228	249
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection 1

**Cumulative Plus Project Plus Mitigation** 

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

**PM Peak Hour** 

Signal

	1	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	1,024	876	85.6%	7.3	0.6	А
IND	Right Turn	590	496	84.1%	3.1	0.2	А
	Subtotal	1,614	1,373	85.0%	5.8	0.4	А
	Left Turn	60	51	84.2%	99.4	16.1	F
SB	Through	600	495	82.5%	60.7	9.9	Е
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	660	546	82.7%	64.5	9.5	E
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn	280	127	45.3%	472.7	79.2	F
WB	Through						
VV B	Right Turn	30	14	45.3%	502.1	155.0	F
	Subtotal	310	141	45.3%	472.4	80.1	F
	Total	2,584	2,059	79.7%	56.0	5.3	E

Broadway/Broadway Terrace

Intersection 2

# Broadway/Clifton

# Side-street Stop

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn						
NB	Through	1,470	1,236	84.0%	7.4	1.1	А
IND	Right Turn	100	90	89.9%	5.6	0.6	Α
	Subtotal	1,570	1,325	84.4%	7.3	1.1	А
	Left Turn						
SB	Through	880	623	70.7%	15.4	2.4	С
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	880	623	70.7%	15.4	2.4	С
	Left Turn						
EB	Through						
LD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
WB	Through						
VVD	Right Turn	144	137	95.0%	22.3	7.2	С
	Subtotal	144	137	95.0%	22.3	7.2	С
	Total	2,594	2,085	80.4%	10.6	1.0	В

# Intersection 3

# Broadway/College Ave

**PM Peak Hour** 

**CCA Campus Reuse** 

**Cumulative Plus Project Plus Mitigation** 

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS	
	Left Turn	330	279	84.6%	18.3	1.8	В	
NB	Through	1,570	1,325	84.4%	10.3	2.9	В	
IND	Right Turn							
	Subtotal	1,900	1,604	84.4%	11.7	2.4	В	
	Left Turn							
SB	Through	790	558	70.6%	49.9	7.1	D	
30	Right Turn	90	65	72.3%	12.3	7.4	В	
	Subtotal	880	623	70.8%	46.0	6.5	D	
	Left Turn							
EB	Through							
ED	Right Turn	510	489	95.9%	150.9	54.8	F	
	Subtotal	510	489	95.9%	150.9	54.8	F	
	Left Turn							
WB	Through							
VVD	Right Turn							
	Subtotal							
	Total	3,290	2,716	82.6%	43.9	9.3	D	

### **Intersection 4**

### Broadway/Coronado Ave

# Signal

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	20	16	79.0%	43.0	8.0	D
NB	Through	1,640	1,344	82.0%	17.4	4.1	В
IND	Right Turn	50	45	89.2%	21.5	6.2	С
	Subtotal	1,710	1,404	82.1%	17.8	4.1	В
	Left Turn	100	82	81.6%	105.0	36.4	F
SB	Through	1,180	950	80.5%	33.2	3.2	С
30	Right Turn	20	16	78.5%	28.7	6.1	С
	Subtotal	1,300	1,047	80.5%	39.6	4.5	D
	Left Turn	60	62	103.5%	28.6	10.7	С
EB	Through	20	21	103.5%	25.3	9.8	С
LD	Right Turn	40	40	100.3%	21.3	3.4	С
	Subtotal	120	123	102.4%	25.2	5.6	С
	Left Turn	50	48	96.4%	24.6	6.0	С
WB	Through	10	10	104.0%	27.8	11.8	С
VVD	Right Turn	200	196	98.2%	22.0	6.3	С
	Subtotal	260	255	98.1%	22.6	5.4	С
	Total	3,390	2,829	83.5%	26.6	2.3	С

Subtotal

Subtotal

Subtotal

Left Turn

Through

Right Turn

Left Turn

Through

Total

Right Turn

Intersection 5

Direction

NB

SB

EB

WB

ı 5	Broadway/51	st St				Signal
	Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Tota	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
Left Turn	130	104	80.2%	84.0	9.5	F
Through	1,028	852	82.9%	84.1	2.4	F
Right Turn	280	224	80.1%	53.6	4.9	D
Subtotal	1,438	1,180	82.1%	78.4	1.8	E
Left Turn	650	531	81.6%	34.8	1.7	С
Through	410	328	80.1%	33.7	3.5	С
Right Turn	210	173	82.1%	20.9	5.2	С

81.2%

72.7%

77.1%

77.0%

76.1%

87.9%

86.6%

85.7%

86.5%

81.3%

32.2

182.7

81.3

54.4

102.1

141.9

118.7

123.4

124.3

82.0

### **Project Driveway/Clifton St**

1,270

275

850

100

1,225

140

510

407

1,057

4,990

1,032

200

656

77

933

123

442

349

914

4,058

# Side-street Stop

С

F

F

D

F

F

F

F

F

F

		Demand	Served Vo	lume (vph)	Total	Delay (sec/ve	h)
Direction	Movement	Volume (vph)	Average	Percent	Average	Std. Dev.	LOS
	Left Turn	94	89	94.8%	36.1	32.3	Е
NB	Through						
IND	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	94	89	94.8%	36.1	32.3	Е
	Left Turn						
SB	Through						
30	Right Turn						
	Subtotal						
	Left Turn						
EB	Through	30	27	91.0%	0.2	0.1	А
LD	Right Turn	70	63	89.7%	0.1	0.0	А
	Subtotal	100	90	90.1%	0.1	0.0	А
	Left Turn						
WB	Through	50	48	95.4%	16.6	15.2	С
VVD	Right Turn						
	Subtotal	50	48	95.4%	16.6	15.2	С
	Total	244	227	93.0%	17.5	14.4	С

1.3

19.3

1.8

10.5

5.2

28.2

9.4

9.6

7.3

2.1

# Intersection: 2: Broadway & Clifton St

Movement	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	R	T	TR	T	T
Maximum Queue (ft)	60	126	142	68	100
Average Queue (ft)	47	94	110	57	59
95th Queue (ft)	67	148	161	65	72
Link Distance (ft)	55	126	126	44	44
Upstream Blk Time (%)	22	2	4	47	60
Queuing Penalty (veh)	33	15	28	207	264
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Int Delay, s/veh	1.3						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		ŧ	¢Î,		Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	30	140	460	10	10	40	
Future Vol, veh/h	30	140	460	10	10	40	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	)
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	J
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	32	151	495	11	11	43	

Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	1ajor2	1	Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	506	0	-	0	716	501
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	501	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	215	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1059	-	-	-	397	570
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	609	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	821	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	-	384	570
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	384	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	589	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	821	-
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	1.5		0		12.7	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)		1059	-	-	-	520
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.03	-	-	-	0.103
HCM Control Delay (s)	)	8.5	0	-	-	12.7
HCM Lane LOS		А	А	-	-	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	)	0.1	-	-	-	0.3

Int Delay, s/veh	1.7						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	t,			ŧ	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	60	40	10	110	20	20	1
Future Vol, veh/h	60	40	10	110	20	20	I
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	I
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	,
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	65	43	11	118	22	22	

Major/Minor M	Major1	Ν	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	108	0	227	87
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	87	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	140	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1483	-		971
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	936	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	887	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1483	-	755	971
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	755	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	936	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	880	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0.6		9.5	
HCM LOS					A	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	4 N	VBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
			EDI			
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio		849 0.051	-	-	1483 0.007	-
		9.5	-	-		- 0
HCM Control Delay (s) HCM Lane LOS		9.5 A	-	-	7.4 A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	-	-	0	- A
		0.2	_	-	0	-

	▲	<b>→</b>	*	1	Ŧ	•	1	1	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$		٦	¢Î,		٦	¢Î,	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	80	40	30	60	40	30	60	250	10	50	630	60
Future Volume (veh/h)	80	40	30	60	40	30	60	250	10	50	630	60
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1900	1863	1900	1900	1863	1900	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1900
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	86	43	32	65	43	32	65	269	11	54	677	65
Adj No. of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	231	79	48	207	96	56	463	1177	48	813	1108	106
Arrive On Green	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66
Sat Flow, veh/h	778	539	327	645	659	386	715	1777	73	1095	1674	161
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	161	0	0	140	0	0	65	0	280	54	0	742
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1645	0	0	1690	0	0	715	0	1850	1095	0	1834
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	2.8	1.0	0.0	10.7
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	4.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	13.4	0.0	2.8	3.8	0.0	10.7
Prop In Lane	0.53		0.20	0.46		0.23	1.00		0.04	1.00		0.09
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	358	0	0	359	0	0	463	0	1225	813	0	1215
V/C Ratio(X)	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.23	0.07	0.00	0.61
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1156	0	0	1172	0	0	463	0	1225	813	0	1215
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	18.8	0.0	0.0	18.5	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	3.1	3.9	0.0	4.5
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.0	2.3
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	2.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	1.5	0.3	0.0	6.1
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	19.7	0.0	0.0	19.2	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.0	3.6	4.1	0.0	6.8
LnGrp LOS	В			В			А		А	А		А
Approach Vol, veh/h		161			140			345			796	
Approach Delay, s/veh		19.7			19.2			4.6			6.6	
Approach LOS		В			В			А			А	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		36.0		10.8		36.0		10.8				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0		4.0		5.0		4.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		31.0		32.0		31.0		32.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		15.4		6.0		12.7		5.4				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		1.9		0.9		5.4		0.8				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			8.8									
HCM 2010 LOS			А									

Int Delay, s/veh	3.2						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	l I
Lane Configurations	et.			ŧ	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	80	10	40	120	10	70	)
Future Vol, veh/h	80	10	40	120	10	70	)
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	)
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	,
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	÷
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93	;
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	86	11	43	129	11	75	;

Major/Minor I	Major1	1	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	97	0	307	92
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	92	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	215	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1496	-	685	965
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	932	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	821	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1496	-	664	965
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	664	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	932	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	796	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		1.9		9.4	
HCM LOS	v		1.0		A	
						MOT
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		913	-	-		-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.094	-		0.029	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.4	-	-	7.5	0
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	A	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.3	-	-	0.1	-

Int Delay, s/veh	1.5					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		ţ,			ŧ
Traffic Vol, veh/h	30	20	280	30	50	330
Future Vol, veh/h	30	20	280	30	50	330
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	32	22	301	32	54	355

Major/Minor	Minor1	N	lajor1	М	ajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	780	317	0	0	333	0
Stage 1	317	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	463	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	- 2	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	364	724	-	-	1226	-
Stage 1	738	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	634	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	344	724	-	-	1226	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	344	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	738	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	599	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	14.4		0		1.1	
	_					

HCM LOS В

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	435	1226	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.124	0.044	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	14.4	8.1	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	А	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.4	0.1	-	

09/15/2020

Int Delay, s/veh	0.8						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		ŧ	et.		Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	30	530	160	10	10	20	
Future Vol, veh/h	30	530	160	10	10	20	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	32	570	172	11	11	22	

Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	/lajor2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	183	0	-	0	812	178
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	178	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	634	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1392	-	-	-	348	865
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	853	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	529	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	-	336	865
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· -	-	-	-	336	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	824	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	529	-
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0.4		0		11.7	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	mt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)		1392	-	-	-	567
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.023	-	-	-	0.057
HCM Control Delay (s	5)	7.6	0	-	-	11.7
HCM Lane LOS		А	А	-	-	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	ר)	0.1	-	-	-	0.2

Int Delay, s/veh	1.5					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1.			÷.	Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	180	20	10	90	30	10
Future Vol, veh/h	180	20	10	90	30	10
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	194	22	11	97	32	11

Major/Minor N	1ajor1	Ν	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	216	0	324	205
Stage 1	U	U	210	-	205	205
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	119	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	4.12	-	5.42	0.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	- 2.218		3.518	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		-		-	670	836
-	-	-	1554		829	030
Stage 1 Stage 2	-	-	-	-	906	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-		900	-
	-	-	1354	-	664	836
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	1304		664	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	829	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-		-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	898	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0.8		10.5	
HCM LOS					В	
N /:		IDL 4	EDT			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	: N	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		700	-	-	1354	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.061	-		0.008	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		10.5	-	-	7.7	0
HCM Lane LOS		В	-	-	A	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	-	-	0	-

	≯	<b>→</b>	1	4	↓	•	1	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$		٦	et 🕯		7	¢Î,	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	160	40	20	30	80	10	10	630	10	150	380	70
Future Volume (veh/h)	160	40	20	30	80	10	10	630	10	150	380	70
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1900	1863	1900	1900	1863	1900	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1900
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	172	43	22	32	86	11	11	677	11	161	409	75
Adj No. of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	342	66	29	143	285	32	572	1122	18	433	941	173
Arrive On Green	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61
Sat Flow, veh/h	1053	319	140	259	1373	152	908	1828	30	751	1532	281
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	237	0	0	129	0	0	11	0	688	161	0	484
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1512	0	0	1784	0	0	908	0	1858	751	0	1813
Q Serve(g_s), s	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	11.5	8.4	0.0	7.1
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	7.1	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	0.0	11.5	19.9	0.0	7.1
Prop In Lane	0.73	0.0	0.09	0.25	0.0	0.09	1.00	0.0	0.02	1.00	0.0	0.15
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	437	0	0	460	0	0	572	0	1140	433	0	1113
V/C Ratio(X)	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.60	0.37	0.00	0.43
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1031	0	0	1172	0	0	572	0	1140	433	0	1113
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	18.5	0.0	0.0	17.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	6.0	12.1	0.0	5.1
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.4	2.4	0.0	1.2
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	6.5	2.0	0.0	3.9
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	19.5	0.0	0.0	17.4	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	8.3	14.5	0.0	6.4
LnGrp LOS	B	0.0	0.0	B	0.0	0.0	A	0.0	A	B	0.0	A
Approach Vol, veh/h		237			129			699			645	
Approach Delay, s/veh		19.5			17.4			8.3			8.4	
Approach LOS		13.3 B			В			A			A	
		-									П	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		36.0		14.5		36.0		14.5				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0		4.0		5.0		4.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		31.0		32.0		31.0		32.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		13.5		9.1		21.9		5.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		4.6		1.4		2.9		0.7				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			10.6									
HCM 2010 LOS			В									

Int Delay, s/veh	2.4						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	2
Lane Configurations	ţ,			ŧ	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	150	10	20	140	10	70	)
Future Vol, veh/h	150	10	20	140	10	70	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	)
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	)
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	)
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	•
Veh in Median Storage	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	•
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93	•
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	161	11	22	151	11	75	;

Major/Minor	Major1	P	Major2	I	Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	172	0	362	167
Stage 1	-	U	172	-	167	- 107
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	195	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
	-	-	4. IZ		0.42 5.42	0.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-		-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218		3.518	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1405	-	637	877
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	863	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	838	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	1405	-	626	877
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r -	-	-	-	626	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	863	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	824	-
Annroach	EB		WB		NB	
Approach						
HCM Control Delay, s	s 0		1		9.8	
HCM LOS					A	
Minor Lane/Major Mvi	mt N	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		835		-	1405	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.103	-		0.015	-
HCM Control Delay (s		9.8	-	-	7.6	0
HOM COntrol Delay (3		3.0	_	_	1.0	0

HCM Lane LOS А А А --HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) 0.3 0 -

Int Delay, s/veh	0.9						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		ħ			ŧ	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	10	20	420	40	40	340	
Future Vol, veh/h	10	20	420	40	40	340	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	1
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	11	22	452	43	43	366	

Major/Minor	Minor1	Ν	1ajor1	Ν	lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	926	474	0	0	495	0
Stage 1	474	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	452	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	298	590	-	-	1069	-
Stage 1	626	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	641	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	283	590	-	-	1069	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	283	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	626	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	609	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	14		0		0.9	
Approach	WB					

14 HCM LOS В

Fehr & Peers

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRV	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	433	1069	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.074	0.04	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	14	8.5	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	А	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.2	0.1	-

Int Delay, s/veh	1.6					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		ŧ	t,		Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	60	140	460	10	10	40
Future Vol, veh/h	60	140	460	10	10	40
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	65	151	495	11	11	43

Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	/lajor2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	506	0	-	0	782	501
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	501	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	281	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1059	-	-	-	363	570
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	609	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	767	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	-	339	570
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r -	-	-	-	339	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	568	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	767	-
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	s 2.6		0		13	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvi	mt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBI n1
Capacity (veh/h)		1059	-		-	502
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.061	_	_		0.107
HCM Control Delay (s		8.6	0	-	-	13
HCM Lane LOS	5)	A	Ă	-	-	B
HCM 95th %tile Q(vel	h)	0.2	-	-	-	0.4

Int Delay, s/veh	2.6						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	f.			ŧ	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	60	40	10	110	50	20	
Future Vol, veh/h	60	40	10	110	50	20	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	65	43	11	118	54	22	

Major/Minor I	Major1	I	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	108	0	227	87
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	87	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	140	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1483	-	761	971
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	936	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	887	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1483	-	755	971
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	755	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	936	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	880	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0.6		9.9	
HCM LOS	v		0.0		A	
			EDT			MOT
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt r	VBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		806	-		1483	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.093	-		0.007	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.9	-	-		0
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	A	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.3	-	-	0	-

	٠	+	7	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$		٢	¢Î,		7	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	80	40	30	90	40	30	100	260	10	50	630	60
Future Volume (veh/h)	80	40	30	90	40	30	100	260	10	50	630	60
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1900	1863	1900	1900	1863	1900	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1900
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	86	43	32	97	43	32	108	280	11	54	677	65
Adj No. of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	236	85	51	248	77	47	456	1170	46	795	1100	106
Arrive On Green	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66
Sat Flow, veh/h	784	561	334	843	505	308	715	1780	70	1084	1674	161
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	161	0	0	172	0	0	108	0	291	54	0	742
Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln	1679	0	0	1656	0	0	715	0	1850	1084	0	1834
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	3.0	1.0	0.0	11.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	3.9	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	15.8	0.0	3.0	4.0	0.0	11.0
Prop In Lane	0.53	0.0	0.20	0.56	0.0	0.19	1.00	0.0	0.04	1.00	0.0	0.09
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	373	0	0.20	371	0	0.10	456	0	1216	795	0	1205
V/C Ratio(X)	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.24	0.07	0.00	0.62
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1153	0.00	0.00	1146	0.00	0.00	456	0.00	1216	795	0.00	1205
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	18.6	0.0	0.0	18.7	0.0	0.0	9.2	0.0	3.3	4.1	0.0	4.7
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.0	2.4
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	1.7	0.3	0.0	6.1
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	19.4	0.0	0.0	19.6	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	3.8	4.3	0.0	7.0
LnGrp LOS	B	0.0	0.0	нэ.о В	0.0	0.0	B	0.0	A	ч.5 А	0.0	7.0 A
Approach Vol, veh/h	0	161			172			399			796	
Approach Delay, s/veh		19.4			19.6			5.6			6.8	
Approach LOS		19.4 B			19.0 B			3.0 A			0.0 A	
		_			_						Λ	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		36.0		11.2		36.0		11.2				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0		4.0		5.0		4.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		31.0		32.0		31.0		32.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		17.8		5.9		13.0		6.3				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		2.1		0.9		5.4		1.0				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			9.3									
HCM 2010 LOS			А									

Int Delay, s/veh	3.5					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f,			ŧ	Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	80	10	70	130	10	70
Future Vol, veh/h	80	10	70	130	10	70
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	86	11	75	140	11	75

Major/Minor M	Major1	Ν	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	97	0	382	92
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	92	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	290	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-		6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-		-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1496	-	620	965
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	932	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	759	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1496	-	587	965
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	587	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	932	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	718	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		2.6		9.5	
HCM LOS	Ū		2.0		A	
					73	
			EDT			
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	it M	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		893	-	-	1496	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.096	-	-	0.05	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.5	-	-	7.5	0
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	A	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.3	-	-	0.2	-

Int Delay, s/veh	2.2					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		ħ			ŧ
Traffic Vol, veh/h	50	30	280	30	50	330
Future Vol, veh/h	50	30	280	30	50	330
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	54	32	301	32	54	355

Major/Minor	Minor1	Μ	lajor1	Ν	/lajor2						
Conflicting Flow All	780	317	0	0	333	0					
Stage 1	317	-	-	-	-	-					
Stage 2	463	-	-	-	-	-					
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-					
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-					
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-					
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-					
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	364	724	-	-	1226	-					
Stage 1	738	-	-	-	-	-					
Stage 2	634	-	-	-	-	-					
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-					
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	344	724	-	-	1226	-					
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	344	-	-	-	-	-					
Stage 1	738	-	-	-	-	-					
Stage 2	599	-	-	-	-	-					
Approach	WB		NB		SB						

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	15.5	0	1.1
HCM LOS	С		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	428	1226	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.201	0.044	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	15.5	8.1	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	А	Α	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.7	0.1	-	

### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	1.1					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		ŧ	t,		Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	70	530	160	10	10	20
Future Vol, veh/h	70	530	160	10	10	20
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	75	570	172	11	11	22

Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	/lajor2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	183	0	-	0	898	178
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	178	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	720	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1392	-	-	-	310	865
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	853	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	482	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1392	-	-	-	286	865
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	286	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	786	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	482	-
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0.9		0		12.4	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)		1392	-	-	-	516
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.054	-	-	-	0.063
HCM Control Delay (s)	)	7.7	0	-	-	12.4
HCM Lane LOS	,	А	А	-	-	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	ı)	0.2	-	-	-	0.2

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	2.5						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	ţ,			ŧ	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	180	20	10	90	70	10	
Future Vol, veh/h	180	20	10	90	70	10	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	)
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	J
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	194	22	11	97	75	11	

Major/Minor N	/lajor1	Ν	/lajor2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	216	0	324	205
Stage 1	U	U	210	-	205	205
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	119	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	4. IZ	-	5.42	0.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	- 2.218		3.518	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1354	-	670	836
	-	-	1504		829	030
Stage 1 Stage 2	-	-	-	-	906	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-		900	-
	-	-	1354	-	664	836
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-			664	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	829	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-		-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	898	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0.8		11	
HCM LOS					В	
			EDT			WDT
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t N	IBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		682	-	-	1354	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.126	-		0.008	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		11	-	-	7.7	0
HCM Lane LOS		В	-	-	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.4	-	-	0	-

	≯	+	7	4	↓	•	1	Ť	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			\$		٦	f,		7	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	140	30	60	60	60	40	130	640	20	150	380	70
Future Volume (veh/h)	140	30	60	60	60	40	130	640	20	150	380	70
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1900	1863	1900	1900	1863	1900	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1900
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	151	32	65	65	65	43	140	688	22	161	409	75
Adj No. of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	300	57	86	196	170	89	571	1100	35	416	939	172
Arrive On Green	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61
Sat Flow, veh/h	887	270	411	469	811	423	908	1795	57	736	1532	281
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	248	0	0	173	0	0	140	0	710	161	0	484
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1568	0	0	1703	0	0	908	0	1853	736	0	1813
Q Serve(g_s), s	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	12.2	8.9	0.0	7.1
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	7.1	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	12.2	21.1	0.0	7.1
Prop In Lane	0.61	0.0	0.26	0.38	0.0	0.25	1.00	0.0	0.03	1.00	0.0	0.15
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	443	0	0	454	0	0	571	0	1135	416	0	1111
V/C Ratio(X)	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.63	0.39	0.00	0.44
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1039	0	0	1106	0	0	571	0	1135	416	0	1111
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	18.4	0.0	0.0	17.5	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	6.2	12.8	0.0	5.2
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	2.6	2.7	0.0	1.2
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	3.3	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	6.9	2.1	0.0	3.9
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	19.5	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	9.4	0.0	8.8	15.5	0.0	6.4
LnGrp LOS	B	0.0	0.0	B	0.0	0.0	A	0.0	A	B	0.0	A
Approach Vol, veh/h		248			173			850			645	
Approach Delay, s/veh		19.5			18.0			8.9			8.7	
Approach LOS		10.0 B			В			A			A	
		2									~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		36.0		14.6		36.0		14.6				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0		4.0		5.0		4.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		31.0		32.0		31.0		32.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s		14.2		9.1		23.1		6.3				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		5.5		1.5		2.7		1.0				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			11.0									
HCM 2010 LOS			В									

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	2.5						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	ł
Lane Configurations	Þ			÷.	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	160	10	60	200	10	70	)
Future Vol, veh/h	160	10	60	200	10	70	)
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	)
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	)
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	ę
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93	3
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	)
Mvmt Flow	172	11	65	215	11	75	5

Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	183	0	523	178
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	178	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	345	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1392	-	514	865
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	853	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	717	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1392	-	487	865
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	487	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	853	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	679	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		1.8		10.1	
HCM LOS	Ŭ		1.0		В	
					_	
			EDT			
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt M	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		788	-	-	1392	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.109	-		0.046	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		10.1	-	-	7.7	0
HCM Lane LOS	<b>`</b>	В	-	-	A	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	)	0.4	-	-	0.1	-

## Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	1.7					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y		t,			ŧ
Traffic Vol, veh/h	40	30	420	40	40	340
Future Vol, veh/h	40	30	420	40	40	340
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	43	32	452	43	43	366

Major/Minor	Minor1	Ν	1ajor1	Μ	ajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	926	474	0	0	495	0
Stage 1	474	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	452	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	- 2	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	298	590	-	-	1069	-
Stage 1	626	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	641	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		590	-	-	1069	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	283	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	626	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	609	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	17.5		0		0.9	
HCM LOS	С					

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	364	1069	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.207	0.04	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	17.5	8.5	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	А	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.8	0.1	-

Attachment D -

# Urban and Suburban Predictive Method Collision Worksheets



Worksheet	1A General Ir	nformation	and Input D	ata for Urban and Suburba	n Roadway	Segments		
General Information					L	Location Information		
Analyst		KKD		Roadway		1		
Agency or Company		OSU		Roadway Section		Broadway( College Av/ Coronado Av)		
Date Performed		03/25/10		Jurisdiction		Oakland, CA		
				Analysis Year		2019		
Input Data			Base Conditions		Site Conditions			
Roadway type (2U, 3T, 4U, 4D, ST)						4D		
Length of segment, L (mi)						0.06		
AADT (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> =	66,000	(veh/day)			22,400		
Type of on-street parking (none/parallel/angle)				None		Parallel (Comm/Ind)		
Proportion of curb length with on-street parking						0.22		
Median width (ft) - for divided only				15		10		
Lighting (present / not present)				Not Present		Present		
Auto speed enforcement (present / not present)				Not Present		Not Present		
Major commercial driveways (number)						0		
Minor commercial driveways (number)						2		
Major industrial / institutional driveways (number)						0		
Minor industrial / institutional driveways (number)						0		
Major residential driveways (number)						0		
Minor residential driveways (number)						0		
Other driveways (number)						0		
Speed Category						Posted Speed 30 mph or Lower		
Roadside fixed object density (fixed objects / mi)				0		10		
Offset to roadside fixed objects (ft) [If greater than 30 or Not P	resent, input 30]			30		6		
Calibration Factor, Cr				1.00		1.00		

	Worksheet 1B Crash Modification Factors for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments												
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)								
CMF for On-Street Parking	CMF for Roadside Fixed Objects	CMF for Median Width	CMF for Lighting	CMF for Automated Speed Enforcement	Combined CMF								
CMF 1r	CMF 2r	CMF 3r	CMF 4r	CMF 5r	CMF comb								
from Equation 12-32	from Equation 12-33	from Table 12-22	from Equation 12-34	from Section 12.7.1	(1)*(2)*(3)*(4)*(5)								
1.16	1.01	1.01	0.91	1.00	1.07								

	Worksheet 1C Multiple-Vehicle Nondriveway Collisions by Severity Level for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments									
(1)	(2)		(2) (3) (4) (5)		(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Crash Severity Level	SPF Coefficients		Overdispersion		Proportion of Total	Adjusted	Combined	Calibration	Predicted	
			Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>brmv</sub>	Crashes	N <sub>brmv</sub>	CMFs	Factor, Cr	N <sub>brmv</sub>	
	from Ta	ble 12-3	from Table 12-3	from Equation 12-10		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(6) from		(6)*(7)*(8)	
	а	b				("NOTAL (")	Worksheet 1B			
Total	-12.34	1.36	1.32	0.216	1.000	0.216	1.07	1.00	0.232	
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-12.76	1.28	1.31	0.064	$(4)_{\rm FI}/((4)_{\rm FI}+(4)_{\rm PDO})$	0.060	1.07	1.00	0.065	
	-12.70	1.20	1.51	0.004	0.279	0.000	1.07	1.00	0.000	
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-12.81	1.38	1.34	0.165	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub>	0.156	1.07	1.00	0.168	
Froperty Damage Only (PDO)	-12.01	1.30	1.34	0.100	0.721	0.150	1.07	1.00	0.100	

Wo	rksheet 1D Multiple-Vehicle No	ondriveway Collisions by	Collision Type for Urban a	nd Suburban Roadway S	egments
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	Predicted N brmv (FI) (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type <sub>(PDO)</sub>	Predicted N brmv (PDO) (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>brmv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)
	from Table 12-4	(9) <sub>FI</sub> from Worksheet 1C	from Table 12-4	(9)PDO from Worksheet 1C	(9)TOTAL from Worksheet 1C
Total	1.000	0.065	1.000	0.168	0.232
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)
Rear-end collision	0.832	0.054	0.662	0.111	0.165
Head-on collision	0.020	0.001	0.007	0.001	0.002
Angle collision	0.040	0.003	0.036	0.006	0.009
Sideswipe, same direction	0.050	0.003	0.223	0.037	0.041
Sideswipe, opposite direction	0.010	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.048	0.003	0.071	0.012	0.015

	Worksheet 1E Single-Vehicle Collisions by Severity Level for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments									
(1)	(	2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Crash Severity Level	SPF Coe	efficients	Overdispersion Parameter, k			Adjusted N <sub>brsv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, Cr	Predicted N <sub>brsv</sub>	
Clash Seventy Level	from Ta	ble 12-5 b	from Table 12-5	from Equation 12-13		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(6) from Worksheet 1B		(6)*(7)*(8)	
Total	-5.05	0.47	0.86	0.043	1.000	0.043	1.07	1.00	0.046	
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-8.71	0.66	0.28	0.007	(4) <sub>FI</sub> /((4) <sub>FI</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.173	0.007	1.07	1.00	0.008	
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-5.04	0.45	1.06	0.035	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.827	0.035	1.07	1.00	0.038	

W	orksheet 1F Single-Vehi	cle Collisions by Collision	n Type for Urban and Subu	rban Roadway Segments	3	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	Predicted N <sub>brsv (FI)</sub> (crashes/year)			Predicted N <sub>brsv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)	
	from Table 12-6	(9) <sub>FI</sub> from Worksheet 1E	from Table 12-6	(9)PDO from Worksheet 1E	(9)TOTAL from Worksheet 1E	
Total	1.000	0.008	1.000	0.038	0.046	
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)	
Collision with animal	0.001	0.000	0.063	0.002	0.002	
Collision with fixed object	0.500	0.004	0.813	0.031	0.035	
Collision with other object	0.028	0.000	0.016	0.001	0.001	
Other single-vehicle collision	0.471	0.004	0.108	0.004	0.008	

Wor (1)		way-Related Collisions by	(4)	and Suburban Roadway Segments	(0)	
(1) Driveway Type	(2) Number of driveways,	(3) Crashes per driveway per year, N <sub>j</sub>	(4) Coefficient for traffic adjustment, t	(5) Initial N <sub>brdwy</sub>	(6) Overdispersion parameter, k	
	n <sub>i</sub>	from Table 40.7		Equation 12-16	from Table 12-7	
	,	from Table 12-7	from Table 12-7	n <sub>i</sub> * N <sub>i</sub> * (AADT/15,000) <sup>t</sup>	from Table 12-7	
Major commercial	0	0.033	1.106	0.000		
Minor commercial	2	0.011	1.106	0.034		
Major industrial/institutional	0	0.036	1.106	0.000		
Minor industrial/institutional	0	0.005	1.106	0.000		
Major residential	0	0.018	1.106	0.000		
Minor residential	0	0.003	1.106	0.000		
Other	0	0.005	1.106	0.000		
Total				0.034	1.39	

Workshee	Worksheet 1H Multiple-Vehicle Driveway-Related Collisions by Severity Level for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments										
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)					
Crash Severity Level	Initial N <sub>brdwy</sub>	Proportion of total crashes (f <sub>dwy</sub> )	Adjusted N <sub>brdwy</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibratian factor C	Predicted N <sub>brdwy</sub>					
	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> from Worksheet 1G	from Table 12-7	(2) <sub>TOTAL</sub> * (3)	(6) from Worksheet 1B	Calibration factor, C <sub>r</sub>	(4)*(5)*(6)					
Total	0.034	1.000	0.034	1.07	1.00	0.037					
Fatal and injury (FI)		0.284	0.010	1.07	1.00	0.010					
Property damage only (PDO)		0.716	0.025	1.07	1.00	0.026					

	Worksheet 11 Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments									
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)			
	Predicted N <sub>brmv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>brsv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>brdwy</sub>	Predicted N <sub>br</sub>	<b>f</b> <sub>pedr</sub>	Calibration	Predicted N <sub>pedr</sub>			
Crash Severity Level	(9) from Worksheet 1C	(9) from Worksheet 1E	(7) from Worksheet 1H	(2)+(3)+(4)	from Table 12-8	factor, C <sub>r</sub>	(5)*(6)*(7)			
Total	0.232	0.046	0.037	0.315	0.067	1.00	0.021			
Fatal and injury (FI)						1.00	0.021			

Worksheet 1J Vehicle-Bicycle Collisions for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments									
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
	Predicted N <sub>brmv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>brsv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>brdwy</sub>	Predicted N <sub>br</sub>	<b>f</b> <sub>biker</sub>	Calibration	Predicted N <sub>biker</sub>		
Crash Severity Level	(9) from Worksheet 1C	(9) from Worksheet 1E	(7) from Worksheet 1H	(2)+(3)+(4)	from Table 12-9	factor, C <sub>r</sub>	(5)*(6)*(7)		
Total	0.232	0.046	0.037	0.315	0.013	1.00	0.004		
Fatal and injury (FI)						1.00	0.004		

Worksheet 1K Cr	ash Severity Distribution for Urban and	Suburban Roadway Segments								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)							
	Fatal and injury (FI)	Property damage only (PDO)	Total							
Collision type	(3) from Worksheet 1D and 1F;	(5) from Worksheet 1D and 1F; and	(6) from Worksheet 1D and 1F;							
considir type	(7) from Worksheet 1H; and	(7) from Worksheet 1H	(7) from Worksheet 1H; and							
	(8) from Worksheet 1I and 1J		(8) from Worksheet 1I and 1J							
MULTIPLE-VEHICLE										
Rear-end collisions (from Worksheet 1D)	0.054	0.111	0.165							
Head-on collisions (from Worksheet 1D)	0.001	0.001	0.002							
Angle collisions (from Worksheet 1D)	0.003	0.006	0.009							
Sideswipe, same direction (from Worksheet 1D)	0.003	0.037	0.041							
Sideswipe, opposite direction (from Worksheet 1D)	0.001	0.000	0.001							
Driveway-related collisions (from Worksheet 1H)	0.010	0.026	0.037							
Other multiple-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 1D)	0.003	0.012	0.015							
Subtotal	0.075	0.194	0.269							
	SINGLE-VEHICLE									
Collision with animal (from Worksheet 1F)	0.000	0.002	0.002							
Collision with fixed object (from Worksheet 1F)	0.004	0.031	0.035							
Collision with other object (from Worksheet 1F)	0.000	0.001	0.001							
Other single-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 1F)	0.004	0.004	0.008							
Collision with pedestrian (from Worksheet 1I)	0.021	0.000	0.021							
Collision with bicycle (from Worksheet 1J)	0.004	0.000	0.004							
Subtotal	0.033	0.038	0.071							
Total	0.108	0.232	0.340							

Worksheet 1L Summary Results for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
rash Severity Level	Predicted average crash frequency, N <sub>predicted rs</sub> (crashes/year)	Roadway segment length, L (mi)	Crash rate (crashes/mi/year)					
	(Total) from Worksheet 1K		(2) / (3)					
Total	0.3	0.06	5.7					
Fatal and injury (FI)	0.1	0.06	1.8					
Property damage only (PDO)	0.2	0.06	3.9					

Worksheet	1A General In	formation	and Input D	ata for Urban and Suburba	n Roadway	Segments		
General Information					L	_ocation Information		
Analyst		KKD		Roadway		2		
Agency or Company		OSU		Roadway Section Broadway (Corona		Broadway (Coronado Av to Pleasant Valley Av)		
Date Performed		03/25/10		Jurisdiction		Oakland, CA		
				Analysis Year		2019		
Input Data				Base Conditions		Site Conditions		
Roadway type (2U, 3T, 4U, 4D, ST)						4D		
Length of segment, L (mi)						0.09		
AADT (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> =	66,000	(veh/day)			20,000		
Type of on-street parking (none/parallel/angle)				None		Parallel (Comm/Ind)		
Proportion of curb length with on-street parking						0.44		
Median width (ft) - for divided only				15		10		
Lighting (present / not present)				Not Present		Present		
Auto speed enforcement (present / not present)				Not Present		Not Present		
Major commercial driveways (number)						2		
Minor commercial driveways (number)						1		
Major industrial / institutional driveways (number)						0		
Minor industrial / institutional driveways (number)						0		
Major residential driveways (number)						0		
Minor residential driveways (number)						0		
Other driveways (number)						0		
Speed Category						Posted Speed 30 mph or Lower		
Roadside fixed object density (fixed objects / mi)				0		10		
Offset to roadside fixed objects (ft) [If greater than 30 or Not P	resent, input 30]			30		5		
Calibration Factor, Cr				1.00		1.00		

Worksheet 1B Crash Modification Factors for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments								
(1)	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)							
CMF for On-Street Parking	CMF for Roadside Fixed Objects	CMF for Median Width	CMF for Lighting	CMF for Automated Speed Enforcement	Combined CMF			
CMF 1r	CMF 2r	CMF 3r	CMF 4r	CMF 5r	CMF comb			
from Equation 12-32	from Equation 12-33	from Table 12-22	from Equation 12-34	from Section 12.7.1	(1)*(2)*(3)*(4)*(5)			
1.31	1.01	1.01	0.91	1.00	1.23			

	Workshee	et 1C Multip	le-Vehicle Nondriveway C	ollisions by Severity Leve	for Urban and Suburba	an Roadway S	Segments		
(1)	(2	2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Crash Severity Level	SPF Coe	efficients	Overdispersion Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>brmv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>brmv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, Cr	Predicted N <sub>brmv</sub>
	from Ta	ble 12-3 b	from Table 12-3	from Equation 12-10		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(6) from Worksheet 1B		(6)*(7)*(8)
Total	-12.34	1.36	1.32	0.278	1.000	0.278	1.23	1.00	0.341
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-12.76	1.28	1.31	0.083	(4) <sub>FI</sub> /((4) <sub>FI</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.281	0.078	1.23	1.00	0.096
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-12.81	1.38	1.34	0.212	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.719	0.200	1.23	1.00	0.245

Wo	rksheet 1D Multiple-Vehicle No	ondriveway Collisions by	Collision Type for Urban a	nd Suburban Roadway S	egments
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	Predicted N brmv (FI) (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type <sub>(PDO)</sub>	Predicted N brmv (PDO) (crashes/year)	Predicted N brmv (TOTAL) (crashes/year)
	from Table 12-4	(9) <sub>FI</sub> from Worksheet 1C	from Table 12-4	(9)PDO from Worksheet 1C	(9)TOTAL from Worksheet 1C
Total	1.000	0.096	1.000	0.245	0.341
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)
Rear-end collision	0.832	0.080	0.662	0.162	0.242
Head-on collision	0.020	0.002	0.007	0.002	0.004
Angle collision	0.040	0.004	0.036	0.009	0.013
Sideswipe, same direction	0.050	0.005	0.223	0.055	0.059
Sideswipe, opposite direction	0.010	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.048	0.005	0.071	0.017	0.022

	Worksheet 1E Single-Vehicle Collisions by Severity Level for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments								
(1)	(2	2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Crash Severity Level	SPF Coe	efficients	Overdispersion Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>brsv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>brsv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, Cr	Predicted N <sub>brsv</sub>
Clash Seventy Level	from Ta	ble 12-5 b	from Table 12-5	from Equation 12-13		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(6) from Worksheet 1B		(6)*(7)*(8)
Total	-5.05	0.47	0.86	0.061	1.000	0.061	1.23	1.00	0.074
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-8.71	0.66	0.28	0.010	(4) <sub>FI</sub> /((4) <sub>FI</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.169	0.010	1.23	1.00	0.013
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-5.04	0.45	1.06	0.050	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.831	0.050	1.23	1.00	0.062

W	orksheet 1F Single-Vehi	cle Collisions by Collision	n Type for Urban and Subu	rban Roadway Segments	6
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Proportion of Collision	Predicted N brsv (FI)	Proportion of Collision	Predicted N brsv (PDO)	
	Type(FI)	(crashes/year)	Type (PDO)	(crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>brsv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)
Collision Type					
	from Table 12-6	(9)FI from Worksheet 1E	from Table 12-6	(9)PDO from Worksheet 1E	(9)TOTAL from Worksheet 1E
Total	1.000	0.013	1.000	0.062	0.074
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)
Collision with animal	0.001	0.000	0.063	0.004	0.004
Collision with fixed object	0.500	0.006	0.813	0.050	0.056
Collision with other object	0.028	0.000	0.016	0.001	0.001
Other single-vehicle collision	0.471	0.006	0.108	0.007	0.013

	(*)	way-related Collisions by	(4)	and Suburban Roadway Segments	(6)	
Driveway Type	(2) Number of driveways,	(3) Crashes per driveway per year, N <sub>j</sub>	(4) Coefficient for traffic adjustment, t	(5) Initial N <sub>brdwy</sub>	(6) Overdispersion parameter, k	
	n <sub>i</sub>	from Table 40.7	from Table 12-7	Equation 12-16	( T     10 7	
	,	from Table 12-7		n <sub>i</sub> * N <sub>i</sub> * (AADT/15,000) <sup>t</sup>	from Table 12-7	
Major commercial	2	0.033	1.106	0.091		
Minor commercial	1	0.011	1.106	0.015	1	
Major industrial/institutional	0	0.036	1.106	0.000		
Minor industrial/institutional	0	0.005	1.106	0.000		
Major residential	0	0.018	1.106	0.000	1	
Minor residential	0	0.003	1.106	0.000	1	
Other	0	0.005	1.106	0.000		
Total				0.106	1.39	

Worksheet	1H Multiple-Vehicle Drive	way-Related Collisions I	by Severity Lev	vel for Urban and Subur	ban Roadway Segments	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Crash Severity Level	Initial N <sub>brdwy</sub>	Proportion of total crashes (f <sub>dwy</sub> )	Adjusted N <sub>brdwy</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibratian factor C	Predicted N <sub>brdwy</sub>
	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> from Worksheet 1G	from Table 12-7			Calibration factor, C <sub>r</sub>	(4)*(5)*(6)
Total	0.106	1.000	0.106	1.23	1.00	0.130
Fatal and injury (FI)		0.284	0.030	1.23	1.00	0.037
Property damage only (PDO)		0.716	0.076	1.23	1.00	0.093

	Worksheet 11 Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
	Predicted N <sub>brmv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>brsv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>brdwy</sub>	Predicted N <sub>br</sub>	<b>f</b> <sub>pedr</sub>	Calibration	Predicted N <sub>pedr</sub>		
Crash Severity Level	(9) from Worksheet 1C	(9) from Worksheet 1E	(7) from Worksheet 1H	(2)+(3)+(4)	from Table 12-8	factor, C <sub>r</sub>	(5)*(6)*(7)		
Total	0.341	0.074	0.130	0.545	0.067	1.00	0.037		
Fatal and injury (FI)						1.00	0.037		

	Worksheet 1J Vehicle-Bicycle Collisions for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
	Predicted N <sub>brmv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>brsv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>brdwy</sub>	Predicted N <sub>br</sub>	<b>f</b> <sub>biker</sub>	Calibration	Predicted N <sub>biker</sub>		
Crash Severity Level	(9) from Worksheet 1C	(9) from Worksheet 1E	(7) from Worksheet 1H	(2)+(3)+(4)	from Table 12-9	factor, C <sub>r</sub>	(5)*(6)*(7)		
Total	0.341	0.074	0.130	0.545	0.013	1.00	0.007		
Fatal and injury (FI)						1.00	0.007		

Worksheet 1K Cra	ash Severity Distribution for Urban and	Suburban Roadway Segments	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Fatal and injury (FI)	Property damage only (PDO)	Total
Collision type	(3) from Worksheet 1D and 1F;	(5) from Worksheet 1D and 1F; and	(6) from Worksheet 1D and 1F;
Considir type	(7) from Worksheet 1H; and	(7) from Worksheet 1H	(7) from Worksheet 1H; and
	(8) from Worksheet 1I and 1J		(8) from Worksheet 1I and 1J
	MULTIPLE-VEHICLE		
Rear-end collisions (from Worksheet 1D)	0.080	0.162	0.242
Head-on collisions (from Worksheet 1D)	0.002	0.002	0.004
Angle collisions (from Worksheet 1D)	0.004	0.009	0.013
Sideswipe, same direction (from Worksheet 1D)	0.005	0.055	0.059
Sideswipe, opposite direction (from Worksheet 1D)	0.001	0.000	0.001
Driveway-related collisions (from Worksheet 1H)	0.037	0.093	0.130
Other multiple-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 1D)	0.005	0.017	0.022
Subtotal	0.133	0.338	0.471
	SINGLE-VEHICLE		
Collision with animal (from Worksheet 1F)	0.000	0.004	0.004
Collision with fixed object (from Worksheet 1F)	0.006	0.050	0.056
Collision with other object (from Worksheet 1F)	0.000	0.001	0.001
Other single-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 1F)	0.006	0.007	0.013
Collision with pedestrian (from Worksheet 1I)	0.037	0.000	0.037
Collision with bicycle (from Worksheet 1J)	0.007	0.000	0.007
Subtotal	0.056	0.062	0.118
Total	0.189	0.400	0.588

	Worksheet 1L Summary Results for Urban and Suburban Roadway Segments							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
Crash Severity Level	Predicted average crash frequency, N <sub>predicted rs</sub> (crashes/year)	Roadway segment length, L (mi)	Crash rate (crashes/mi/year)					
•	(Total) from Worksheet 1K		(2) / (3)					
Total	0.6	0.09	6.5					
Fatal and injury (FI)	0.2	0.09	2.1					
Property damage only (PDO)	0.4	0.09	4.4					

Works	neet 2A General Information and Input	Data for Urban and Suburban A	rterial Inters	ections
General Informa	tion		Locat	tion Information
Analyst	KKD	Roadway		1
Agency or Company	OSU	Intersection Broadway/Broadway Terrad		Broadway/Broadway Terrace
Date Performed	03/25/10	Jurisdiction Oakland,CA		Oakland,CA
		Analysis Year		2019
Input Data		Base Conditions		Site Conditions
Intersection type (3ST, 3SG, 4ST, 4SG)				3SG
AADT <sub>major</sub> (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> = 58,100 (veh/day)			16,400
AADT <sub>minor</sub> (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> = 16,400 (veh/day)			7,300
Intersection lighting (present/not present)		Not Present		Present
Calibration factor, C <sub>i</sub>		1.00		1.00
Data for unsignalized intersections only:				
Number of major-road approaches with left-turn land	0		0	
Number of major-road approaches with right-turn la	nes (0,1,2)	0		0
Data for signalized intersections only:				
Number of approaches with left-turn lanes (0,1,2,3,4	) [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0	2	
Number of approaches with right-turn lanes (0,1,2,3	,4) [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0		0
Number of approaches with left-turn signal phasing	[for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]			2
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #1		Permissive		Protected
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #2				Protected
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #3				Not Applicable
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #4 (if applica				Not Applicable
Number of approaches with right-turn-on-red prohib	ited [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0		0
Intersection red light cameras (present/not present)		Not Present		Not Present
Sum of all pedestrian crossing volumes (PedVol)				8,000
Maximum number of lanes crossed by a pedestrian	( lanosty			5
Number of bus stops within 300 m (1,000 ft) of the i		0	3	
Schools within 300 m (1,000 ft) of the intersection (p		Not Present	Present	
Number of alcohol sales establishments within 300	m (1,000 ft) of the intersection	0		1

	Worksheet 2B Crash Modification Factors for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
CMF for Left-Turn Lanes	CMF for Left-Turn Signal	CMF for Right-Turn Lanes	CMF for Right Turn on Red	CMF for Lighting	CMF for Red Light Cameras	Combined CMF			
	Phasing	_	-		_				
CMF 1i	CMF 2i	CMF 3i	CMF 4i	CMF 5i	CMF 6i	CMF <sub>COMB</sub>			
from Table 12-24	from Table 12-25	from Table 12-26	from Equation 12-35	from Equation 12-36	from Equation 12-37	(1)*(2)*(3)*(4)*(5)*(6)			
0.86	0.88	1.00	1.00	0.91	1.00	0.69			

		Worksheet	2C Multiple	-Vehicle Collisions by Sev	verity Level for Urbar	n and Suburban Arterial	Intersections			
(1)	(2) Vel SPF Coefficients		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Crash Severity Level					Initial N <sub>bimv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>bimv</sub>		Calibration Factor, C <sub>i</sub>	
	fi a	rom Table 12-1 b	0 C	from Table 12-10	from Equation 12- 21		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(7) from Worksheet 2B	(	(6)*(7)*(8)
Total	-12.13	1.11	0.26	0.33	2.600	1.000	2.600	0.69	1.00	1.799
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-11.58	1.02	0.17	0.30	0.845	(4) <sub>FI</sub> /((4) <sub>FI</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.341	0.885	0.69	1.00	0.613
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-13.24	1.14	0.30	0.36	1.636	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.659	1.715	0.69	1.00	1.187

	Worksheet 2D Multiple-	Vehicle Collisions by Collis	sion Type for Urban and Suburt	oan Arterial Intersections		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision         Predicted N bimv (FI)           Type(FI)         (crashes/year)		Proportion of Collision Type (PDO)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (PDO)</sub> (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)	
	from Table 12-11	(9) <sub>FI</sub> from Worksheet 2C	from Table 12-11	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2C	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2C	
Total	1.000	0.613	1.000	1.187	1.799	
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)	
Rear-end collision	0.549	0.336	0.546	0.648	0.984	
Head-on collision	0.038	0.023	0.020	0.024	0.047	
Angle collision	0.280	0.172	0.204	0.242	0.414	
Sideswipe	0.076	0.047	0.032	0.038	0.085	
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.057	0.035	0.198	0.235	0.270	

		Worksheet	t 2E Single-\	/ehicle Collisions by Seve	rity Level for Urban	and Suburban Arterial II	ntersections			
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	S	SPF Coefficients		Overdispersion Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>bisv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, C <sub>i</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>
Crash Severity Level	fr	om Table 12-1 b	12 c	from Table 12-12	from Eqn. 12-24; (FI) from Eqn. 12-		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(7) from Worksheet 2B		(6)*(7)*(8)
Total	-9.02	0.42	0.40	0.36	24 or 12-27 0.250	1.000	0.250	0.69	1.00	0.173
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-9.75	0.42	0.51	0.24	0.075	(4) <sub>FI</sub> /((4) <sub>FI</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.307	0.077	0.69	1.00	0.053
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-9.08	0.45	0.33	0.53	0.169	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.693	0.173	0.69	1.00	0.120

	Worksheet 2F Single-V	ehicle Collisions by Collis	ion Type for Urban and Suburb	an Arterial Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (FI)</sub> (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type (PDO)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (PDO)</sub> (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)
	from Table 12-13	(9) <sub>FI</sub> from Worksheet 2E	from Table 12-13	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2E	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2E
Total	1.000	0.053	1.000	0.120	0.173
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)
Collision with parked vehicle	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
Collision with animal	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000
Collision with fixed object	0.653	0.035	0.895	0.107	0.142
Collision with other object	0.091	0.005	0.069	0.008	0.013
Other single-vehicle collision	0.045	0.002	0.018	0.002	0.005
Single-vehicle noncollision	0.209	0.011	0.014	0.002	0.013

Worksheet 2G Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Stop-Controlled Intersections								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		
Crash Severity Level	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bi</sub>	f <sub>pedi</sub>	Calibration factor, C	Predicted N <sub>pedi</sub>		
	(9) from Worksheet 2C	(9) from Worksheet 2E	(2) + (3)	from Table 12-16		(4)*(5)*(6)		
Total					1.00			
Fatal and injury (FI)					1.00			

Worksheet 2H Crash M	Worksheet 2H Crash Modification Factors for Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Signalized Intersections							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
CMF for Bus Stops	CMF for Schools	CMF for Alcohol Sales Establishments	Combined CMF					
CMF <sub>1p</sub>	CMF <sub>2p</sub>	CMF <sub>3p</sub>	Combined CMF					
from Table 12-28	from Table 12-29	from Table 12-30	(1)*(2)*(3)					
4.15	1.35	1.12	6.27					

	Worksheet 2I Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Signalized Intersections										
(1)		(2)				(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
Crach Soverity Level		S	PF Coefficien	its		Overdispersion	N <sub>pedbase</sub>	N <sub>pedbase</sub> Combined CMF			
Crash Severity Level	а	f	rom Table 12- <sup>.</sup> c	14 d	e	Parameter, k	meter, k from Equation 12-29 (4) from Worksheet 2H		factor, C <sub>i</sub>	N <sub>pedi</sub> (4)*(5)*(6)	
Total	-6.60	0.05	0.24	0.41	0.09	0.52	0.116	6.27	1.00	0.727	
Fatal and Injury (FI)									1.00	0.727	

Worksheet 2J Vehicle-Bicycle Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		
Crash Severity Level	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bi</sub>	<b>f</b> <sub>bikei</sub>	Calibration factor, C	Predicted N <sub>bikei</sub>		
	(9) from Worksheet 2C	(9) from Worksheet 2E	(2) + (3)	from Table 12-17		(4)*(5)*(6)		
Total	1.799	0.173	1.972	0.011	1.00	0.022		
Fatal and injury (FI)					1.00	0.022		

Workshe	Worksheet 2K Crash Severity Distribution for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
	Fatal and injury (FI)	Property damage only (PDO)	Total					
Collision type	(3) from Worksheet 2D and 2F;	(5) from Worksheet 2D and 2F	(6) from Worksheet 2D and 2F;					
	(7) from 2G or 2I and 2J		(7) from 2G or 2I and 2J					
	MULTIPLE-VEHICLE							
Rear-end collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.336	0.648	0.984					
Head-on collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.023	0.024	0.047					
Angle collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.172	0.242	0.414					
Sideswipe (from Worksheet 2D)	0.047	0.038	0.085					
Other multiple-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 2D)	0.035	0.235	0.270					
Subtotal	0.613	1.187	1.799					
	SINGLE-VEHICLE							
Collision with parked vehicle (from Worksheet 2F)	0.000	0.000	0.000					
Collision with animal (from Worksheet 2F)	0.000	0.000	0.000					
Collision with fixed object (from Worksheet 2F)	0.035	0.107	0.142					
Collision with other object (from Worksheet 2F)	0.005	0.008	0.013					
Other single-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 2F)	0.002	0.002	0.005					
Single-vehicle noncollision (from Worksheet 2F)	0.011	0.002	0.013					
Collision with pedestrian (from Worksheet 2G or 2I)	0.727	0.000	0.727					
Collision with bicycle (from Worksheet 2J)	0.022	0.000	0.022					
Subtotal	0.801	0.120	0.921					
Total	1.414	1.307	2.721					

Worksheet 2L Summary Results for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections							
(1)	(2)						
Crash severity level	Predicted average crash frequency, N <sub>predicted int</sub> (crashes/year)						
	(Total) from Worksheet 2K						
Total	2.7						
Fatal and injury (FI)	1.4						
Property damage only (PDO)	1.3						

Works	neet 2A General Information and Input	Data for Urban and Suburban A	Arterial Inters	sections	
General Informa	ion		Loca	tion Information	
Analyst	KKD	Roadway		2	
Agency or Company	OSU	Intersection		Broadway/Clifton St	
Date Performed	03/25/10	Jurisdiction		Oakland,CA	
		Analysis Year		2019	
Input Data		Base Conditions		Site Conditions	
Intersection type (3ST, 3SG, 4ST, 4SG)				3ST	
AADT <sub>major</sub> (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> = 45,700 (veh/day)	-		16,600	
AADT <sub>minor</sub> (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> = 9,300 (veh/day)			500	
Intersection lighting (present/not present)		Not Present		Present	
Calibration factor, C <sub>i</sub>		1.00		1.00	
Data for unsignalized intersections only:					
Number of major-road approaches with left-turn lane	0		0		
Number of major-road approaches with right-turn la	nes (0,1,2)	0		0	
Data for signalized intersections only:					
Number of approaches with left-turn lanes (0,1,2,3,4	) [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0	0		
Number of approaches with right-turn lanes (0,1,2,3	4) [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0	0		
Number of approaches with left-turn signal phasing	for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]		0		
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #1		Permissive	Not Applicable		
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #2				Not Applicable	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #3				Not Applicable	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #4 (if applica				Not Applicable	
Number of approaches with right-turn-on-red prohib	ted [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0		0	
Intersection red light cameras (present/not present)		Not Present		Not Present	
Sum of all pedestrian crossing volumes (PedVol)					
Maximum number of lanes crossed by a pedestrian	( ianoox)			2	
Number of bus stops within 300 m (1,000 ft) of the in		0	3		
Schools within 300 m (1,000 ft) of the intersection (p		Not Present		Present	
Number of alcohol sales establishments within 300	n (1,000 ft) of the intersection	0		1	

Worksheet 2B Crash Modification Factors for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections								
(1)	(2)	(2) $(3)$ $(4)$ $(5)$ $(6)$ $(7)$						
CMF for Left-Turn Lanes	CMF for Left-Turn Signal	CMF for Right-Turn Lanes	CMF for Right Turn on Red	CMF for Lighting	CMF for Red Light Cameras	Combined CMF		
	Phasing	_	-		_			
CMF 1i	CMF 2i	CMF 3i	CMF 4i	CMF 5i	CMF 6i	CMF <sub>COMB</sub>		
from Table 12-24	from Table 12-25	from Table 12-26	from Equation 12-35	from Equation 12-36	from Equation 12-37	(1)*(2)*(3)*(4)*(5)*(6)		
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.91	1.00	0.91		

		Worksheet	2C Multiple	-Vehicle Collisions by Sev	verity Level for Urbar	n and Suburban Arterial	Intersections			
(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Crash Severity Level	s	SPF Coefficients		Overdispersion Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>bimv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, C <sub>i</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>
	fr a	rom Table 12-1 b	0 c	from Table 12-10	from Equation 12- 21		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(7) from Worksheet 2B		(6)*(7)*(8)
Total	-13.36	1.11	0.41	0.80	0.974	1.000	0.974	0.91	1.00	0.886
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-14.01	1.16	0.30	0.69	0.417	(4) <sub>Fl</sub> /((4) <sub>Fl</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.420	0.409	0.91	1.00	0.372
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-15.38	1.20	0.51	0.77	0.577	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.580	0.565	0.91	1.00	0.514

	Worksheet 2D Multiple-	Vehicle Collisions by Collis	sion Type for Urban and Suburt	oan Arterial Intersections		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)         Predicted N bimv (FI) (crashes/year)		Proportion of Collision Type (PDO)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (PDO)</sub> (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)	
	from Table 12-11	(9) <sub>FI</sub> from Worksheet 2C	from Table 12-11	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2C	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2C	
Total	1.000	0.372	1.000	0.514	0.886	
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)	
Rear-end collision	0.421	0.157	0.440	0.226	0.383	
Head-on collision	0.045	0.017	0.023	0.012	0.029	
Angle collision	0.343	0.128	0.262	0.135	0.262	
Sideswipe	0.126	0.047	0.040	0.021	0.067	
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.065	0.024	0.235	0.121	0.145	

	Worksheet 2E Single-Vehicle Collisions by Severity Level for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections										
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
	SPF Coefficients Overdispersion Parameter, k		Overdispersion Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>bisv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, C <sub>i</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>		
Crash Severity Level		om Table 12-1 b		from Table 12-12	from Eqn. 12-24; (FI) from Eqn. 12-		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(7) from Worksheet 2B		(6)*(7)*(8)	
	а	D	С	(	24 or 12-27						
Total	-6.81	0.16	0.51	1.14	0.124	1.000	0.124	0.91	1.00	0.113	
Fatal and Injury (FI)					0.039	(4) <sub>FI</sub> /((4) <sub>FI</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.322	0.040	0.91	1.00	0.036	
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-8.36	0.25	0.55	1.29	0.081	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.678	0.084	0.91	1.00	0.077	

	Worksheet 2F Single-V	ehicle Collisions by Collis	ion Type for Urban and Suburb	an Arterial Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (FI)</sub> (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type (PDO)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (PDO)</sub> (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)
	from Table 12-13	(9)⊧ı from Worksheet 2E	from Table 12-13	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2E	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2E
Total	1.000	0.036	1.000	0.077	0.113
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)
Collision with parked vehicle	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000
Collision with animal	0.003	0.000	0.018	0.001	0.001
Collision with fixed object	0.762	0.028	0.834	0.064	0.092
Collision with other object	0.090	0.003	0.092	0.007	0.010
Other single-vehicle collision	0.039	0.001	0.023	0.002	0.003
Single-vehicle noncollision	0.105	0.004	0.030	0.002	0.006

Worksheet 2G Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Stop-Controlled Intersections								
(1)	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)							
Crash Severity Level	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub> Predicted N <sub>bi</sub>		f <sub>pedi</sub>	Calibration factor, C	Predicted N <sub>pedi</sub>		
Clash Seventy Level	(9) from Worksheet 2C	(9) from Worksheet 2E	(2) + (3)	from Table 12-16		(4)*(5)*(6)		
Total	0.886	0.113	0.999	0.021	1.00	0.021		
Fatal and injury (FI)					1.00	0.021		

Worksheet 2H Crash M	Worksheet 2H Crash Modification Factors for Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Signalized Intersections								
(1)	(2) (3) (4)								
CMF for Bus Stops	CMF for Schools	CMF for Alcohol Sales Establishments	Combined CMF						
CMF <sub>1p</sub>	CMF <sub>2p</sub>	CMF <sub>3p</sub>	Combined CMF						
from Table 12-28	from Table 12-29	from Table 12-30	(1)*(2)*(3)						

Worksheet 21 Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Signalized Intersections										
(1)		(2)				(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
SPF Coefficients				Overdispersion N <sub>pedbase</sub>	N <sub>pedbase</sub>	Combined CMF	Calibration	Predicted N <sub>pedi</sub>		
Crash Severity Level	а	fi b	rom Table 12-1 c	14 d	е	Parameter, k	from Equation 12-29 (4) from Worksheet 2H		factor, C <sub>i</sub>	(4)*(5)*(6)
Total									1.00	
Fatal and Injury (FI)									1.00	

Worksheet 2J Vehicle-Bicycle Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections								
(1)	(2) (3) (4) (5) (6)					(7)		
Crash Severity Level	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bi</sub>	<b>f</b> <sub>bikei</sub>	Calibration factor, C	Predicted N <sub>bikei</sub>		
Clash Seventy Level	(9) from Worksheet 2C	(9) from Worksheet 2E	(2) + (3)	from Table 12-17		(4)*(5)*(6)		
Total	0.886	0.113	0.999	0.016	1.00	0.016		
Fatal and injury (FI)					1.00	0.016		

Worksheet	2K Crash Severity Distribution for Urban an	d Suburban Arterial Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Fatal and injury (FI)	Property damage only (PDO)	Total
Collision type	(3) from Worksheet 2D and 2F;	(5) from Worksheet 2D and 2F	(6) from Worksheet 2D and 2F;
	(7) from 2G or 2I and 2J		(7) from 2G or 2I and 2J
	MULTIPLE-VEHICLE	· · ·	
Rear-end collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.157	0.226	0.383
Head-on collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.017	0.012	0.029
Angle collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.128	0.135	0.262
Sideswipe (from Worksheet 2D)	0.047	0.021	0.067
Other multiple-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 2D)	0.024	0.121	0.145
Subtotal	0.372	0.514	0.886
	SINGLE-VEHICLE		
Collision with parked vehicle (from Worksheet 2F)	0.000	0.000	0.000
Collision with animal (from Worksheet 2F)	0.000	0.001	0.001
Collision with fixed object (from Worksheet 2F)	0.028	0.064	0.092
Collision with other object (from Worksheet 2F)	0.003	0.007	0.010
Other single-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 2F)	0.001	0.002	0.003
Single-vehicle noncollision (from Worksheet 2F)	0.004	0.002	0.006
Collision with pedestrian (from Worksheet 2G or 2I)	0.021	0.000	0.021
Collision with bicycle (from Worksheet 2J)	0.016	0.000	0.016
Subtotal	0.073	0.077	0.150
Total	0.445	0.591	1.036

Worksheet 2L Summary Resu	Worksheet 2L Summary Results for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections						
(1)	(2)						
Crash severity level	Predicted average crash frequency, N <sub>predicted int</sub> (crashes/year)						
	(Total) from Worksheet 2K						
Total	1.0						
Fatal and injury (FI)	0.4						
Property damage only (PDO)	0.6						

Works	neet 2A General Information and Input	Data for Urban and Suburban A	rterial Inters	ections	
General Informa	tion		Locat	tion Information	
Analyst	KKD	Roadway		3	
Agency or Company	OSU	Intersection		Broadway/College Av	
Date Performed	03/25/10	Jurisdiction		Oakland,CA	
		Analysis Year		2019	
Input Data		Base Conditions		Site Conditions	
Intersection type (3ST, 3SG, 4ST, 4SG)				3SG	
AADT <sub>major</sub> (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> = 58,100 (veh/day)			22,400	
AADT <sub>minor</sub> (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> = 16,400 (veh/day)			7,300	
Intersection lighting (present/not present)		Not Present		Present	
Calibration factor, C <sub>i</sub>		1.00		1.00	
Data for unsignalized intersections only:					
Number of major-road approaches with left-turn lan	es (0,1,2)	0		0	
Number of major-road approaches with right-turn la	nes (0,1,2)	0		0	
Data for signalized intersections only:					
Number of approaches with left-turn lanes (0,1,2,3,4	) [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0		1	
Number of approaches with right-turn lanes (0,1,2,3	,4) [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0		0	
Number of approaches with left-turn signal phasing	[for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]			1	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #1		Permissive		Not Applicable	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #2				Not Applicable	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #3				Not Applicable	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #4 (if application of the second secon				Not Applicable	
Number of approaches with right-turn-on-red prohib	ited [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0		1	
Intersection red light cameras (present/not present)		Not Present		Not Present	
Sum of all pedestrian crossing volumes (PedVol)				6	
Maximum number of lanes crossed by a pedestrian	( lanosty				
Number of bus stops within 300 m (1,000 ft) of the i		0		0	
Schools within 300 m (1,000 ft) of the intersection (		Not Present		Not Present	
Number of alcohol sales establishments within 300	m (1,000 ft) of the intersection	0		0	

Worksheet 2B Crash Modification Factors for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections									
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
CMF for Left-Turn Lanes	CMF for Left-Turn Signal	CMF for Right-Turn Lanes	CMF for Right Turn on Red	CMF for Lighting	CMF for Red Light Cameras	Combined CMF			
	Phasing	_	-		_				
CMF 1i	CMF 2i	CMF 3i	CMF 4i	CMF 5i	CMF 6i	CMF <sub>COMB</sub>			
from Table 12-24	from Table 12-25	from Table 12-26	from Equation 12-35	from Equation 12-36	from Equation 12-37	(1)*(2)*(3)*(4)*(5)*(6)			
0.93	0.99	1.00	0.98	0.91	1.00	0.82			

		Worksheet	2C Multiple	-Vehicle Collisions by Sev	verity Level for Urbar	n and Suburban Arterial	Intersections			
(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Crash Severity Level	s	SPF Coefficients		Overdispersion Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>bimv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, C <sub>i</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>
	fi	rom Table 12-1	0	from Table 12-10	from Equation 12- 21		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(7) from Worksheet 2B		(6)*(7)*(8)
Total	-12.13	1.11	0.26	0.33	3.675	1.000	3.675	0.82	1.00	3.020
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-11.58	1.02	0.17	0.30	1.161	(4) <sub>FI</sub> /((4) <sub>FI</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.332	1.221	0.82	1.00	1.003
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-13.24	1.14	0.30	0.36	2.335	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.668	2.454	0.82	1.00	2.017

	Worksheet 2D Multiple-	Vehicle Collisions by Collis	sion Type for Urban and Suburt	oan Arterial Intersections		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision         Predicted N bimv (FI)           Type(FI)         (crashes/year)		Proportion of Collision Type (PDO)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (PDO)</sub> (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)	
	from Table 12-11	(9) <sub>FI</sub> from Worksheet 2C	from Table 12-11	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2C	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2C	
Total	1.000	1.003	1.000	2.017	3.020	
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)	
Rear-end collision	0.549	0.551	0.546	1.101	1.652	
Head-on collision	0.038	0.038	0.020	0.040	0.078	
Angle collision	0.280	0.281	0.204	0.411	0.692	
Sideswipe	0.076	0.076	0.032	0.065	0.141	
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.057	0.057	0.198	0.399	0.456	

		Worksheet	2E Single-	Vehicle Collisions by Seve	rity Level for Urban	and Suburban Arterial I	ntersections			
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	S	SPF Coefficients		Overdispersion Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>bisv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, C <sub>i</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>
Crash Severity Level	f	from Table 12-12			from Eqn. 12-24;		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(7) from		
	а	b	с	from Table 12-12	(FI) from Eqn. 12-		(+)TOTAL (U)	Worksheet 2B		(6)*(7)*(8)
	a	d	U U		24 or 12-27					
Total	-9.02	0.42	0.40	0.36	0.285	1.000	0.285	0.82	1.00	0.234
Fotol and Iniuny (FI)	0.75	0.07	0.51	0.24	0.081	$(4)_{\rm FI}/((4)_{\rm FI}+(4)_{\rm PDO})$	0.084	0.82	1.00	0.069
Fatai and injury (FI)	atal and Injury (FI) -9.75 0.27 0.51	0.24	0.001	0.295	0.064	0.02	1.00	0.069		
Property Damage Only	-9.08	0.45	0.33	0.53	0.195	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub>	0.201	0.82	1.00	0.165
(PDO)						0.705				

	Worksheet 2F Single-V	ehicle Collisions by Collis	ion Type for Urban and Suburb	an Arterial Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (FI)</sub> (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type (PDO)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (PDO)</sub> (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)
	from Table 12-13	(9)⊧ı from Worksheet 2E	from Table 12-13	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2E	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2E
Total	1.000	0.069	1.000	0.165	0.234
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)
Collision with parked vehicle	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
Collision with animal	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.001
Collision with fixed object	0.653	0.045	0.895	0.148	0.193
Collision with other object	0.091	0.006	0.069	0.011	0.018
Other single-vehicle collision	0.045	0.003	0.018	0.003	0.006
Single-vehicle noncollision	0.209	0.014	0.014	0.002	0.017

Worksheet 2G Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Stop-Controlled Intersections								
(1)	(2) (3) (4) (5) (6)							
Creek Coverity Lovel	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub> Predicted N <sub>bi</sub>		Calibration factor, C	Predicted N <sub>pedi</sub>		
Crash Severity Level	(9) from Worksheet 2C				(4)*(5)*(6)			
Total					1.00			
Fatal and injury (FI)					1.00			

Worksheet 2H Crash M	Worksheet 2H Crash Modification Factors for Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Signalized Intersections							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
CMF for Bus Stops	CMF for Schools	CMF for Alcohol Sales Establishments	Combined CMF					
CMF <sub>1p</sub>	CMF <sub>2p</sub>	CMF <sub>3p</sub>	Combined CMF					
from Table 12-28	from Table 12-29	from Table 12-30	(1)*(2)*(3)					
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					

		Workshe	et 2I Vehicle	e-Pedestrian C	Collisions for	Urban and Suburba	an Arterial Signalized Inte	ersections		
(1)		(2)					(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Creab Savarity Laval		S	PF Coefficien	its		Overdispersion	N <sub>pedbase</sub>	N <sub>pedbase</sub> Combined CMF		
Crash Severity Level	а	f b	rom Table 12- <sup>·</sup> c	14 d	е	Parameter, k	from Equation 12-29 (4) from Worksheet 2H		factor, C <sub>i</sub>	(4)*(5)*(6)
Total	-6.60	0.05	0.24	0.41	0.09	0.52	0.004	1.00	1.00	0.004
Fatal and Injury (FI)									1.00	0.004

Worksheet 2J Vehicle-Bicycle Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections									
(1)	(2)	(6)	(7)						
Crash Severity Level	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bi</sub>	<b>f</b> <sub>bikei</sub>	Calibration factor, C	Predicted N <sub>bikei</sub>			
Clash Seventy Level	(9) from Worksheet 2C	(9) from Worksheet 2E	(2) + (3)	from Table 12-17		(4)*(5)*(6)			
Total	3.020	0.234	3.254	0.011	1.00	0.036			
Fatal and injury (FI)					1.00	0.036			

Worksheet	Worksheet 2K Crash Severity Distribution for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
	Fatal and injury (FI)	Property damage only (PDO)	Total					
Collision type	(3) from Worksheet 2D and 2F;	(5) from Worksheet 2D and 2F	(6) from Worksheet 2D and 2F;					
	(7) from 2G or 2I and 2J		(7) from 2G or 2I and 2J					
	MULTIPLE-VEHICLE		·					
Rear-end collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.551	1.101	1.652					
Head-on collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.038	0.040	0.078					
Angle collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.281	0.411	0.692					
Sideswipe (from Worksheet 2D)	0.076	0.065	0.141					
Other multiple-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 2D)	0.057	0.399	0.456					
Subtotal	1.003	2.017	3.020					
	SINGLE-VEHICLE							
Collision with parked vehicle (from Worksheet 2F)	0.000	0.000	0.000					
Collision with animal (from Worksheet 2F)	0.000	0.000	0.001					
Collision with fixed object (from Worksheet 2F)	0.045	0.148	0.193					
Collision with other object (from Worksheet 2F)	0.006	0.011	0.018					
Other single-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 2F)	0.003	0.003	0.006					
Single-vehicle noncollision (from Worksheet 2F)	0.014	0.002	0.017					
Collision with pedestrian (from Worksheet 2G or 2I)	0.004	0.000	0.004					
Collision with bicycle (from Worksheet 2J)	0.036	0.000	0.036					
Subtotal	0.109	0.165	0.274					
Total	1.112	2.182	3.293					

Worksheet 2L Summary Results for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections							
(1)	(2)						
Crash severity level	Predicted average crash frequency, N <sub>predicted int</sub> (crashes/year)						
	(Total) from Worksheet 2K						
Total	3.3						
Fatal and injury (FI)	1.1						
Property damage only (PDO)	2.2						

Works	heet 2A General Information and Input	Data for Urban and Suburban	Arterial Inters	sections	
General Informa	tion		Loca	tion Information	
Analyst	KKD	Roadway		4	
Agency or Company	OSU	Intersection		Broadway/Coronado Ave	
Date Performed	03/25/10	Jurisdiction		Oakland,CA	
		Analysis Year		2019	
Input Data		Base Conditions		Site Conditions	
Intersection type (3ST, 3SG, 4ST, 4SG)				4ST	
AADT <sub>major</sub> (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> = 46,800 (veh/day)			20,000	
AADT <sub>minor</sub> (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> = 5,900 (veh/day)			1,400	
Intersection lighting (present/not present)		Not Present		Present	
Calibration factor, C <sub>i</sub>		1.00		1.00	
Data for unsignalized intersections only:					
Number of major-road approaches with left-turn land	es (0,1,2)	0		1	
Number of major-road approaches with right-turn la	0		0		
Data for signalized intersections only:					
Number of approaches with left-turn lanes (0,1,2,3,4	4) [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0	1		
Number of approaches with right-turn lanes (0,1,2,3	,4) [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0	0		
Number of approaches with left-turn signal phasing	[for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]		0		
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #1		Permissive	Not Applicable		
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #2				Not Applicable	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #3				Not Applicable	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #4 (if applica				Not Applicable	
Number of approaches with right-turn-on-red prohib	ited [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0		0	
Intersection red light cameras (present/not present)		Not Present		Not Present	
Sum of all pedestrian crossing volumes (PedVol)		4			
Maximum number of lanes crossed by a pedestrian	( Idirooxy			6	
Number of bus stops within 300 m (1,000 ft) of the i		0	3		
Schools within 300 m (1,000 ft) of the intersection (p		Not Present	Present		
Number of alcohol sales establishments within 300	m (1,000 ft) of the intersection	0		1	

Worksheet 2B Crash Modification Factors for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		
CMF for Left-Turn Lanes	CMF for Left-Turn Signal	CMF for Right-Turn Lanes	CMF for Right Turn on Red	CMF for Lighting	CMF for Red Light Cameras	Combined CMF		
	Phasing	_	-		_			
CMF 1i	CMF 2i	CMF 3i	CMF 4i	CMF 5i	CMF 6i	CMF <sub>COMB</sub>		
from Table 12-24	from Table 12-25	from Table 12-26	from Equation 12-35	from Equation 12-36	from Equation 12-37	(1)*(2)*(3)*(4)*(5)*(6)		
0.73	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.91	1.00	0.67		

		Worksheet	2C Multiple	-Vehicle Collisions by Sev	verity Level for Urbar	n and Suburban Arterial	Intersections			
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Crash Severity Level	s	SPF Coefficients		Overdispersion Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>bimv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, C <sub>i</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>
	fi a	rom Table 12-1 b	0 c	from Table 12-10	from Equation 12- 21		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(7) from Worksheet 2B		(6)*(7)*(8)
Total	-8.90	0.82	0.25	0.40	2.806	1.000	2.806	0.67	1.00	1.870
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-11.13	0.93	0.28	0.48	1.115	(4) <sub>FI</sub> /((4) <sub>FI</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.391	1.097	0.67	1.00	0.731
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-8.74	0.77	0.23	0.40	1.736	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.609	1.709	0.67	1.00	1.139

	Worksheet 2D Multiple-	Vehicle Collisions by Collis	sion Type for Urban and Suburt	oan Arterial Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (FI)</sub> (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type (PDO)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (PDO)</sub> (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)
	from Table 12-11	(9)⊧ from Worksheet 2C	from Table 12-11	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2C	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2C
Total	1.000	0.731	1.000	1.139	1.870
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)
Rear-end collision	0.338	0.247	0.374	0.426	0.673
Head-on collision	0.041	0.030	0.030	0.034	0.064
Angle collision	0.440	0.322	0.335	0.382	0.703
Sideswipe	0.121	0.088	0.044	0.050	0.139
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.060	0.044	0.217	0.247	0.291

		Worksheet	t 2E Single-\	Vehicle Collisions by Seve	rity Level for Urban	and Suburban Arterial In	ntersections			
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	S	SPF Coefficients		Overdispersion Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>bisv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, C <sub>i</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>
Crash Severity Level	from Table 12-12			from Eqn. 12-24;		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(7) from		(6)*(7)*(8)	
	а	b	с	from Table 12-12	(FI) from Eqn. 12- 24 or 12-27		( )TOTAL ( )	Worksheet 2B		
Total	-5.33	0.33	0.12	0.65	0.303	1.000	0.303	0.67	1.00	0.202
Fatal and Injury (FI)					0.085	(4) <sub>FI</sub> /((4) <sub>FI</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.310	0.094	0.67	1.00	0.063
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-7.04	0.36	0.25	0.54	0.189	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.690	0.209	0.67	1.00	0.140

	Worksheet 2F Single-V	ehicle Collisions by Collis	ion Type for Urban and Suburb	an Arterial Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type <sub>(FI)</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv (FI)</sub> (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type (PDO)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (PDO)</sub> (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)
	from Table 12-13	(9)FI from Worksheet 2E	from Table 12-13	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2E	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2E
Total	1.000	0.063	1.000	0.140	0.202
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)
Collision with parked vehicle	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
Collision with animal	0.001	0.000	0.026	0.004	0.004
Collision with fixed object	0.679	0.043	0.847	0.118	0.161
Collision with other object	0.089	0.006	0.070	0.010	0.015
Other single-vehicle collision	0.051	0.003	0.007	0.001	0.004
Single-vehicle noncollision	0.179	0.011	0.049	0.007	0.018

Worksheet 2G Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Stop-Controlled Intersections								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		
Crash Severity Level	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bi</sub>	f <sub>pedi</sub>	Calibration factor, C	Predicted N <sub>pedi</sub>		
	(9) from Worksheet 2C	(9) from Worksheet 2E	from Worksheet 2E (2) + (3)			(4)*(5)*(6)		
Total	1.870	0.202	2.073	0.022	1.00	0.046		
Fatal and injury (FI)					1.00	0.046		

Worksheet 2H Crash M	Worksheet 2H Crash Modification Factors for Vehicle-Pedestrian Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Signalized Intersections								
(1)	(1) (2) (3) (4)								
CMF for Bus Stops	CMF for Schools	CMF for Alcohol Sales Establishments	Combined CMF						
CMF <sub>1p</sub>	CMF <sub>2p</sub>	CMF <sub>3p</sub>	Combined CMF						
from Table 12-28	from Table 12-29	from Table 12-30	(1)*(2)*(3)						

		Workshe	et 2I Vehicle	e-Pedestrian C	ollisions for l	Urban and Suburba	an Arterial Signalized Inte	ersections		
(1)		(2)				(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
SPF Coefficients				Overdispersion N <sub>pedbase</sub>		Combined CMF	Calibration	Predicted N <sub>pedi</sub>		
Crash Severity Level	а	f b	rom Table 12-1 c	14 d	е	Parameter, k	from Equation 12-29 (4) from Worksheet 2H		factor, C <sub>i</sub>	(4)*(5)*(6)
Total									1.00	
Fatal and Injury (FI)									1.00	

Worksheet 2J Vehicle-Bicycle Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections								
(1)	) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)				(6)	(7)		
Crash Severity Level	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bi</sub>	<b>f</b> <sub>bikei</sub>	Calibration factor, C	Predicted N <sub>bikei</sub>		
Clash Seventy Level	(9) from Worksheet 2C	(9) from Worksheet 2E	(2) + (3)	from Table 12-17		(4)*(5)*(6)		
Total	1.870	0.202	2.073	0.018	1.00	0.037		
Fatal and injury (FI)					1.00	0.037		

Worksho	eet 2K Crash Severity Distribution for Urban a	nd Suburban Arterial Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Fatal and injury (FI)	Property damage only (PDO)	Total
Collision type	(3) from Worksheet 2D and 2F;	(5) from Worksheet 2D and 2F	(6) from Worksheet 2D and 2F;
	(7) from 2G or 2I and 2J		(7) from 2G or 2I and 2J
	MULTIPLE-VEHICLE		•
Rear-end collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.247	0.426	0.673
Head-on collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.030	0.034	0.064
Angle collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.322	0.382	0.703
Sideswipe (from Worksheet 2D)	0.088	0.050	0.139
Other multiple-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 2D)	0.044	0.247	0.291
Subtotal	0.731	1.139	1.870
	SINGLE-VEHICLE		
Collision with parked vehicle (from Worksheet 2F)	0.000	0.000	0.000
Collision with animal (from Worksheet 2F)	0.000	0.004	0.004
Collision with fixed object (from Worksheet 2F)	0.043	0.118	0.161
Collision with other object (from Worksheet 2F)	0.006	0.010	0.015
Other single-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 2F)	0.003	0.001	0.004
Single-vehicle noncollision (from Worksheet 2F)	0.011	0.007	0.018
Collision with pedestrian (from Worksheet 2G or 2I)	0.046	0.000	0.046
Collision with bicycle (from Worksheet 2J)	0.037	0.000	0.037
Subtotal	0.146	0.140	0.285
Total	0.877	1.279	2.156

Worksheet 2L Summary Results for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections						
(1)	(2)					
Crash severity level	Predicted average crash frequency, N <sub>predicted int</sub> (crashes/year)					
	(Total) from Worksheet 2K					
Total	2.2					
Fatal and injury (FI)	0.9					
Property damage only (PDO)	1.3					

Works	neet 2A General Information and Input	Data for Urban and Suburban Ar	rterial Interse	ctions	
General Informa	tion		Locati	on Information	
Analyst	KKD	Roadway		5	
Agency or Company	OSU	Intersection		Broadway/Pleasant Valley Av	
Date Performed	03/25/10	Jurisdiction		Oakland, CA	
		Analysis Year		2019	
Input Data		Base Conditions		Site Conditions	
Intersection type (3ST, 3SG, 4ST, 4SG)				4SG	
AADT <sub>major</sub> (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> = 67,700 (veh/day)			20,000	
AADT <sub>minor</sub> (veh/day)	AADT <sub>MAX</sub> = 33,400 (veh/day)			20,700	
Intersection lighting (present/not present)		Not Present		Present	
Calibration factor, C <sub>i</sub>		1.00		1.00	
Data for unsignalized intersections only:					
Number of major-road approaches with left-turn land	0		0		
Number of major-road approaches with right-turn la	Number of major-road approaches with right-turn lanes (0,1,2)			0	
Data for signalized intersections only:					
Number of approaches with left-turn lanes (0,1,2,3,4	) [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0	4		
Number of approaches with right-turn lanes (0,1,2,3	,4) [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0	0		
Number of approaches with left-turn signal phasing	[for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]		0		
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #1		Permissive		Protected	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #2				Protected	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #3				Protected	
Type of left-turn signal phasing for Leg #4 (if applica				Protected	
Number of approaches with right-turn-on-red prohib	ted [for 3SG, use maximum value of 3]	0		0	
Intersection red light cameras (present/not present)		Not Present	Not Present		
Sum of all pedestrian crossing volumes (PedVol)		ļ		8,000	
Maximum number of lanes crossed by a pedestrian	( lanoox)			7	
Number of bus stops within 300 m (1,000 ft) of the i		0	3		
Schools within 300 m (1,000 ft) of the intersection (p		Not Present	Present		
Number of alcohol sales establishments within 300	m (1,000 ft) of the intersection	0		1	

Worksheet 2B Crash Modification Factors for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections								
(1)	(2)	(2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)						
CMF for Left-Turn Lanes	CMF for Left-Turn Signal	CMF for Right-Turn Lanes	CMF for Right Turn on Red	CMF for Lighting	CMF for Red Light Cameras	Combined CMF		
	Phasing	_	-		_			
CMF 1i	CMF 2i	CMF 3i	CMF 4i	CMF 5i	CMF 6i	CMF <sub>COMB</sub>		
from Table 12-24	from Table 12-25	from Table 12-26	from Equation 12-35	from Equation 12-36	from Equation 12-37	(1)*(2)*(3)*(4)*(5)*(6)		
0.66	0.94	1.00	1.00	0.91	1.00	0.56		

		Worksheet	2C Multiple	-Vehicle Collisions by Sev	erity Level for Urbar	n and Suburban Arterial	Intersections				
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Crash Severity Level SPF Coefficients				Overdispersion Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>bimv</sub>	Proportion of Total Crashes	Adjusted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Combined CMFs	Calibration Factor, C <sub>i</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>	
	fr a	rom Table 12-1 b	0 c	from Table 12-10	from Equation 12- 21		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(7) from Worksheet 2B		(6)*(7)*(8)	
Total	-10.99	1.07	0.23	0.39	6.636	1.000	6.636	0.56	1.00	3.749	
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-13.14	1.18	0.22	0.33	2.080	(4) <sub>Fl</sub> /((4) <sub>Fl</sub> +(4) <sub>PDO</sub> ) 0.324	2.152	0.56	1.00	1.216	
Property Damage Only (PDO)	-11.02	1.02	0.24	0.44	4.335	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub> 0.676	4.484	0.56	1.00	2.533	

	Worksheet 2D Multiple-	Vehicle Collisions by Collis	sion Type for Urban and Suburb	oan Arterial Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (FI)</sub> (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type (PDO)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (PDO)</sub> (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>bimv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)
	from Table 12-11	(9)⊧ from Worksheet 2C	from Table 12-11	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2C	(9)₽D0 from Worksheet 2C
Total	1.000	1.216	1.000	2.533	3.749
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)
Rear-end collision	0.450	0.547	0.483	1.224	1.771
Head-on collision	0.049	0.060	0.030	0.076	0.136
Angle collision	0.347	0.422	0.244	0.618	1.040
Sideswipe	0.099	0.120	0.032	0.081	0.201
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.055	0.067	0.211	0.535	0.601

		Worksheet	2E Single-	/ehicle Collisions by Seve	rity Level for Urban	and Suburban Arterial I	ntersections				
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
	SPF Coefficients			Overdispersion		Proportion of Total	Adjusted	Combined	Calibration	Predicted	
			Parameter, k	Initial N <sub>bisv</sub>	Crashes	N <sub>bimv</sub>	CMFs	Factor, C <sub>i</sub>	N <sub>bisv</sub>		
Crash Severity Level	fr	om Table 12-1			from Eqn. 12-24;		(4) <sub>TOTAL</sub> *(5)	(7) from		(6)*(7)*(9)	
	a b c		from Table 12-12	(FI) from Eqn. 12-		(+)TOTAL (3)	Worksheet 2B		(6)*(7)*(8)		
	a	D	U U		24 or 12-27						
Total	-10.21	0.68	0.27	0.36	0.453	1.000	0.453	0.56	1.00	0.256	
Fatal and Injury (FI)	-9.25	0.43	0.29	0.09	0.121	$(4)_{\rm FI}/((4)_{\rm FI}+(4)_{\rm PDO})$	0.124 0.56		1.00	0.070	
r atai anu injury (r i)	-9.25	0.45	0.29	0.09	0.121	0.273	0.124	0.50	1.00	0.070	
Property Damage Only	44.04	0.70	0.05	0.44	0.000	(5) <sub>TOTAL</sub> -(5) <sub>FI</sub>	0.000	0.50	1.00	0.400	
(PDO)	-11.34	0.78	0.25	0.44	0.323	0.727	0.329	0.56	1.00	0.186	

	Worksheet 2F Single-V	ehicle Collisions by Collis	ion Type for Urban and Suburb	an Arterial Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (FI)</sub> (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type (PDO)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (PDO)</sub> (crashes/year)	Predicted N <sub>bisv (TOTAL)</sub> (crashes/year)
	from Table 12-13	(9)⊧ from Worksheet 2E	from Table 12-13	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2E	(9)PDO from Worksheet 2E
Total	1.000	0.070	1.000	0.186	0.256
		(2)*(3) <sub>FI</sub>		(4)*(5) <sub>PDO</sub>	(3)+(5)
Collision with parked vehicle	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
Collision with animal	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.001
Collision with fixed object	0.744	0.052	0.870	0.162	0.214
Collision with other object	0.072	0.005	0.070	0.013	0.018
Other single-vehicle collision	0.040	0.003	0.023	0.004	0.007
Single-vehicle noncollision	0.141	0.010	0.034	0.006	0.016

	Worksheet 2G Vehicle-P	edestrian Collisions for Url	oan and Suburban	Arterial Stop-Controlled	Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Crash Severity Level	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bisv</sub>	Predicted N <sub>bi</sub>	f <sub>pedi</sub>	Calibration factor, C	Predicted N <sub>pedi</sub>
Clash Seventy Level	(9) from Worksheet 2C	(9) from Worksheet 2E	(2) + (3)	from Table 12-16		(4)*(5)*(6)
Total					1.00	
Fatal and injury (FI)					1.00	-

Workshee	et 2H Crash Modification F	actors for Vehicle-Pedestria	n Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arter	al Signalized Intersections							
(1)	(1) (2) (3) (4)										
CMF for Bus S	tops	CMF for Schools	CMF for Alcohol Sales Establishments	Combined CMF							
CMF <sub>1p</sub>		CMF <sub>2p</sub>	CMF <sub>3p</sub>	Combined CMF							
from Table 12	-28	rom Table 12-29	from Table 12-30	(1)*(2)*(3)							
4.15		1.35	1.12	6.27							

		Workshe	et 2l Vehicle	e-Pedestrian C	Collisions for	Urban and Suburba	an Arterial Signalized Inte	ersections		
(1)			(2)			(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Crash Severity Level		S	PF Coefficien	its		Overdispersion Parameter, k	N <sub>pedbase</sub>	Combined CMF	Calibration	Predicted N <sub>pedi</sub>
Crash Severity Level	а	f b	rom Table 12-′ c	14 d	e		from Equation 12-29	(4) from Worksheet 2H	factor, C <sub>i</sub>	(4)*(5)*(6)
Total	-9.53			0.24	0.386	6.27	1.00	2.424		
Fatal and Injury (FI)									1.00	2.424

	Worksheet 2J Vehicle-Bicycle Collisions for Urban and Suburban Arterial Intersections												
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)							
Crash Severity Level	Predicted N <sub>bimv</sub> Predicted		Predicted N <sub>bi</sub>	<b>f</b> <sub>bikei</sub>	Calibration factor, C	Predicted N <sub>bikei</sub>							
Clash Seventy Level	(9) from Worksheet 2C	(9) from Worksheet 2E	(2) + (3)	from Table 12-17		(4)*(5)*(6)							
Total	3.749	0.256	4.005	0.015	1.00	0.060							
Fatal and injury (FI)					1.00	0.060							

Workshe	et 2K Crash Severity Distribution for Urban a	nd Suburban Arterial Intersections	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Fatal and injury (FI)	Property damage only (PDO)	Total
Collision type	(3) from Worksheet 2D and 2F;	(5) from Worksheet 2D and 2F	(6) from Worksheet 2D and 2F;
	(7) from 2G or 2I and 2J		(7) from 2G or 2I and 2J
	MULTIPLE-VEHICLE		
Rear-end collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.547	1.224	1.771
Head-on collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.060	0.076	0.136
Angle collisions (from Worksheet 2D)	0.422	0.618	1.040
Sideswipe (from Worksheet 2D)	0.120	0.081	0.201
Other multiple-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 2D)	0.067	0.535	0.601
Subtotal	1.216	2.533	3.749
	SINGLE-VEHICLE		
Collision with parked vehicle (from Worksheet 2F)	0.000	0.000	0.000
Collision with animal (from Worksheet 2F)	0.000	0.000	0.001
Collision with fixed object (from Worksheet 2F)	0.052	0.162	0.214
Collision with other object (from Worksheet 2F)	0.005	0.013	0.018
Other single-vehicle collision (from Worksheet 2F)	0.003	0.004	0.007
Single-vehicle noncollision (from Worksheet 2F)	0.010	0.006	0.016
Collision with pedestrian (from Worksheet 2G or 2I)	2.424	0.000	2.424
Collision with bicycle (from Worksheet 2J)	0.060	0.000	0.060
Subtotal	2.554	0.186	2.740
Total	3.769	2.719	6.489

(1)	(2)
Crash severity level	Predicted average crash frequency, N <sub>predicted int</sub> (crashes/year)
	(Total) from Worksheet 2K
Total	6.5
Fatal and injury (FI)	3.8
Property damage only (PDO)	2.7

# Attachment E –

**MTS Roadway Segment Analysis** 

Fehr / Peers

	CCA Oakland Alameda CTC Roadway System Analysis Summary - 2020 PM															
Link Location	Segmei	nt Limits		B node		Model Volume	Project Trips	No Project Volume	With Project Volume	% Increase	V/C Ratio - No Project	V/C Ratio - With Project	No Project LOS	With Project LOS	Change from LOS E or better to LOS F	LOS F and Change in V/C≥2%
Freeway	Segments															
SR-13 Sout	hbound															
Between	SR 24 Interchange	Broadway Terrace	27994	27985	3	4,195	5	4,195	4,200	0.12%	0.70	0.70	С	С	No	-
Between	Broadway Terrace	Moraga Avenue	27984	27983	2	3,589	5	3,589	3,594	0.14%	0.90	0.90	D	D	No	-
Between	Moraga Avenue	Park Blvd	28006	28004	2	3,218	4	3,218	3,222	0.12%	0.80	0.81	D	D	No	-
Between	Park Blvd	Joaquin Miller Road	28030	28029	2	3,342	4	3,342	3,346	0.12%	0.84	0.84	D	D	No	-
Between	Joaquin Miller Road	Mountain Blvd	28145	28152	2	3,080	4	3,080	3,084	0.13%	0.77	0.77	D	D	No	-
Between	Mountain Blvd	I-580	28129	28137	2	3,026	4	3,026	3,030	0.13%	0.76	0.76	D	D	No	-
SR-13 North					_								-	_		
Between	I-580	Mountain Blvd	28138	28130	2	3,470	4	3,470	3,474	0.12%	0.87	0.87	D	D	No	-
Between	Mountain Blvd	Joaquin Miller Road	28153	28044	2	3,412	4	3,412	3,416	0.12%	0.85	0.85	D	D	No	-
Between	Joaquin Miller Road	Park Blvd	28028	28031	2	3,633	4	3,633	3,637	0.11%	0.91	0.91	E	E	No	-
Between Between	Park Blvd	Moraga Avenue	28033 28007	28005 28010	2 2	3,248 3,451	5 5	3,248 3.451	3,253 3,456	0.15% 0.14%	0.81 0.86	0.81 0.86	D D	D D	No No	-
	Moraga Avenue Broadway Torraco	Broadway Terrace				., .		., .								-
Between SR-24 East		SR 24 Interchange	28011	28012	3	3,667	5	3,667	3,672	0.14%	0.61	0.61	С	С	No	-
Between	I-580 Interchange	51st Street/MLK Blvd	27706	27680	4	6,700	15	6,700	6,715	0.22%	0.84	0.84	D	D	No	-
Between	51st Street/MLK Blvd	Claremont Avenue	27680	27674	4	5,842	4	5,842	5,846	0.07%	0.73	0.73	С	С	No	-
Between	Claremont Avenue	Broadway	27674	27672	4	7,420	4	7,420	7,424	0.05%	0.93	0.93	E	E	No	-
Between	Broadway	SR-13 Interchange	27996	27993	5	7,892	4	7,892	7,896	0.05%	0.79	0.79	D	D	No	-
SR-24 West		Desertions	07007	07005	-	0.7.0	40	0.7.0	0 755	0.070	0.07	0.00	~	-		
Between Between	SR-13 Interchange	Broadway	27987 27673	27995 27675	5 4	3,748 3,473	10 8	3,748 3,473	3,758	0.27% 0.23%	0.37 0.43	0.38 0.44	B B	B B	No No	-
Between	Broadway	Claremont Avenue 51st Street/MLK Blvd	27673	27675	4	3,473 2,954	8	3,473 2,954	3,481 2,962	0.23%	0.43	0.44	В	В	No	-
Between	Claremont Avenue 51st Street/MLK Blvd	I-580 Interchange	27675 27681	27681 27705	4	2,954 3,898	8 40	2,954 3,898	2,962 3,938	0.27%	0.37	0.37	В	В	NO NO	-
Arterials		1 000 mileronange	27001	21105	4	3,030	40	3,030	3,930	1.03%	0.43	0.43	5	5	INU	-
Broadway B	Easthound															
Between	27th Street	W MacArthur Blvd	33256	27914	3	661	10	661	671	1.51%	0.28	0.28	А	А	No	-
Between	W MacArthur Blvd	40th Street	33215	27923	3	614	20	614	634	3.25%	0.26	0.26	A	A	No	-
Between	40th Street	51st Street	33201	27925	3	976	25	976	1,001	2.56%	0.41	0.42	в	в	No	-
Between	51st Street	College Avenue	27925	27988	3	658	30	658	688	4.56%	0.27	0.29	A	A	No	-
Between	College Avenue	Keith Avenue	27462	12076	2	233	10	233	243	4.30%	0.15	0.15	A	A	No	-
Broadway V	Westbound															
Between	Keith Avenue	College Avenue	12076	27462	2	439	50	439	489	11.38%	0.27	0.31	А	А	No	-
Between	College Avenue	51st Street	27988	27925	3	503	40	503	543	7.96%	0.21	0.23	А	А	No	-
Between	51st Street	40th Street	27925	33201	3	294	35	294	329	11.89%	0.12	0.14	А	А	No	-
Between	40th Street	W MacArthur Blvd	27923	33215	3	388	30	388	418	7.73%	0.16	0.17	А	А	No	-
Between Claremont	W MacArthur Blvd Avenue Northbound	27th Street	27914	33256	3	482	15	482	497	3.11%	0.20	0.21	A	A	No	-
Between	Telegraph Avenue	Clifton Street/SR-24 Off-Ramp	33546	27677	2	164	17	164	181	10.39%	0.10	0.11	А	А	No	-
Between	Clifton Street	Hudson Street/SR-24 On-Ramp	27677	27676	2	963	13	963	976	1.35%	0.60	0.61	С	С	No	-
Between	Hudson Street/SR-24 On-Ramp	Forest Street	27676	27667	2	917	13	917	930	1.42%	0.57	0.58	в	в	No	-
Between	Forest Street	Chabot Road	33238	30179	2	1,161	10	1,161	1,171	0.86%	0.73	0.73	С	С	No	-
Between	Chabot Road	College Avenue	33242	27666	2	905	10	905	915	1.10%	0.57	0.57	в	в	No	-
	Avenue Southbound															
Between	College Avenue	Chabot Road	27666	33242	2	786	24	786	810	3.05%	0.49	0.51	в	в	No	-
Between	Chabot Road	Forest Street	30179	33238	2	975	28	975	1,003	2.87%	0.61	0.63	С	С	No	-
Between	Forest Street	Hudson Street/SR-24 On-Ramp	27667	27676	2	1,232	28	1,232	1,260	2.27%	0.77	0.79	D	D	No	-
Between	Hudson Street/SR-24 On-Ramp	Clifton Street	27676	27677	2	334	11	334	345	3.29%	0.21	0.22	A	A	No	-
Between	Clifton Street/SR-24 Off-Ramp	Telegraph Avenue	27677	33546	2	317	10	317	327	3.16%	0.20	0.20	A	А	No	-
	nue Eastbound		07000	0700-		70-	-	70-		0.007	0.45	0.50				
Between	MacArthur Blvd	Lake Park Avenue	27900	27966	2	788	7	788	795	0.89%	0.49	0.50	B	В	No	-
Between	Lake Park Avenue	Mandana Avenue	27966	12073	2	393	7	393	400	1.78%	0.25	0.25	A	A	No	-
Between Between	Mandana Avenue Sunny Slope Avenue	Sunny Slope Avenue Oakland Avenue	12073 33265	33265 33249	2 2	399 405	7 7	399 405	406 412	1.75% 1.73%	0.25 0.25	0.25 0.26	A	A A	No No	-
	sunny slope Avenue		55205	55249	2	403	1	403	412	1.73%	0.20	0.20	A	~	INU	-
Between	Oakland Avenue	Sunny Slope Avenue	33249	33265	2	698	12	698	710	1.72%	0.44	0.44	в	в	No	
Between	Sunny Slope Avenue	Mandana Avenue	33265	12073	2	430	12	430	442	2.79%	0.44	0.44	A	A	No	-
Between	Mandana Avenue	Lake Park Avenue	12073	27966	2	395	10	395	442	2.53%	0.27	0.25	Ā	Ā	No	_
			.20.0	2.000	-	000		000	.55	2.0070	0.20	0.20	~			

	CCA Oakland Alameda CTC Roadway System Analysis Summary - 2020 PM															
Link Location					# Lanes	Model Volume	Project Trips			% Increase	V/C Ratio - No Project	V/C Ratio - With Project	No Project LOS		Change from LOS E or better to LOS F	
Between Fehr & Peer	Setween         Lake Park Avenue         MacArthur Blvd         27966         27900         2         397         10         397         407         2.52%         0.25         A         A         No         -           Fehr & Peers, 2020.															

				Alore		CC oadway Sy	CA Oaklan		nary 204							
Link Location	Segme	ent Limits	A node		# Lanes	Model Volume	Project Trips	No Project Volume	With Project Volume	% Increase	V/C Ratio - No Project	V/C Ratio - With Project	No Project LOS	With Project LOS	Change from LOS E or better to LOS F	LOS F and Change in V/C≥2%
Freeway S	Segments															
SR-13 South	bound															
Between	SR 24 Interchange	Broadway Terrace	27994	27985	3	4,689	5	4,689	4,694	0.11%	0.78	0.78	D	D	No	-
Between	Broadway Terrace	Moraga Avenue	27984	27983	2	4,079	5	4,079	4,084	0.12%	1.02	1.02	F	F	-	No
	Moraga Avenue	Park Blvd	28006	28004	2	3,538	4	3,538	3,542	0.11%	0.88	0.89	D	D	No	-
	Park Blvd	Joaquin Miller Road	28030	28029	2	3,806	4	3,806	3,810	0.11%	0.95	0.95	E	E	No	-
	Joaquin Miller Road	Mountain Blvd	28145	28152	2	3,526	4	3,526	3,530	0.11%	0.88	0.88	D	D	No	-
	Mountain Blvd	I-580	28129	28137	2	3,530	4	3,530	3,534	0.11%	0.88	0.88	D	D	No	-
SR-13 North													_	_		
	1-580	Mountain Blvd	28138	28130	2	3,750	4	3,750	3,754	0.11%	0.94	0.94	E	E	No	-
	Mountain Blvd	Joaquin Miller Road	28153	28044	2	3,713	4	3,713	3,717	0.11%	0.93	0.93	E	E	No	-
	Joaquin Miller Road	Park Blvd	28028	28031	2	3,926	4	3,926	3,930	0.10%	0.98	0.98	E D	E	No	-
	Park Blvd	Moraga Avenue Broadway Terrace	28033 28007	28005 28010	2 2	3,616 3,832	5 5	3,616 3,832	3,621 3,837	0.14% 0.13%	0.90 0.96	0.91 0.96	E	E	No No	-
	Moraga Avenue Broadway Terrace	Broadway Terrace	28007 28011	28010 28012	2	3,832 4,095	5 5				0.96 0.68	0.96	E C	E C		-
Between SR-24 Eastb	Broadway Terrace	SR 24 Interchange	20011	20012	3	4,095	3	4,095	4,100	0.12%	0.00	0.00	U	C	No	-
Between	I-580 Interchange	51st Street/MLK Blvd	27706	27680	4	7,109	15	7,109	7,124	0.21%	0.89	0.89	D	D	No	-
		Claremont Avenue	27680	27674	4	6,298	4	6,298	6,302	0.06%	0.79	0.79	D	D	No	-
	Claremont Avenue	Broadway	27674	27672	4	7,911	4	7,911	7,915	0.05%	0.99	0.99	E	E	No	-
	Broadway	SR-13 Interchange	27996	27993	5	8,425	4	8,425	8,429	0.05%	0.84	0.84	D	D	No	-
SR-24 Westb																
		Broadway	27987	27995	5	4,206	10	4,206	4,216	0.24%	0.42	0.42	В	в	No	-
	Broadway	Claremont Avenue	27673	27675	4	3,915	8	3,915	3,923	0.20%	0.49	0.49	В	В	No	-
	Claremont Avenue	51st Street/MLK Blvd	27675	27681	4	3,142	8	3,142	3,150	0.25%	0.39	0.39	В	В	No	-
	51st Street/MLK Blvd	I-580 Interchange	27681	27705	4	4,124	40	4,124	4,164	0.97%	0.52	0.52	В	В	No	
Arterials																
Broadway Ea	27th Street	W MacArthur Blvd	33256	27914	3	696	10	696	706	1.44%	0.29	0.29	А	А	No	
	W MacArthur Blvd	40th Street	33215	27914	3	731	20	731	700	2.73%	0.29	0.29	A	A	No	
	40th Street	51st Street	33201	27925	3	1,066	25	1,066	1,091	2.35%	0.44	0.45	В	В	No	
	51st Street	College Avenue	27925	27988	3	744	30	744	774	4.03%	0.31	0.32	A	A	No	
	College Avenue	SR-24 On-Ramp	27462	12076	2	257	10	257	267	3.89%	0.16	0.17	A	A	No	
Broadway W	-	on 2 ron namp	27.102	.2010	-	207	.0	207	201	0.0070	0.10	0.17				
	SR-24 Off-Ramp	College Avenue	12076	27462	2	446	50	446	496	11.20%	0.28	0.31	А	А	No	
	College Avenue	51st Street	27988	27925	3	532	40	532	572	7.52%	0.22	0.24	А	А	No	
Between	51st Street	40th Street	27925	33201	3	315	35	315	350	11.12%	0.13	0.15	А	А	No	-
	40th Street	W MacArthur Blvd	27923	33215	3	620	30	620	650	4.84%	0.26	0.27	Α	А	No	-
	W MacArthur Blvd	27th Street	27914	33256	3	599	15	599	614	2.51%	0.25	0.26	Α	А	No	-
	venue Northbound															
Between	Telegraph Avenue	Clifton Street/SR-24 Off-F	33546	27677	2	199	17	199	216	8.53%	0.12	0.14	A	A	No	-
	Clifton Street	Hudson Street/SR-24 On-	27677	27676	2	1,075	13	1,075	1,088	1.21%	0.67	0.68	С	С	No	-
	Hudson Street/SR-24 C		27676	27667	2	1,001	13	1,001	1,014	1.30%	0.63	0.63	С	С	No	-
	Forest Street	Chabot Road	33238	30179	2	1,321	10	1,321	1,331	0.76%	0.83	0.83	D	D	No	-
	Chabot Road	College Avenue	33242	27666	2	1,064	10	1,064	1,074	0.94%	0.66	0.67	С	С	No	-
	Venue Southbound	Ohahari Daaal	07000	00046	•	007		007	000	0.000	0.50	0.50			N.,	
	College Avenue	Chabot Road	27666	33242	2	805	24	805	829	2.98%	0.50	0.52	В	В	No	-
	Chabot Road	Forest Street	30179	33238	2 2	1,028 1,298	28 28	1,028	1,056	2.72%	0.64	0.66	C D	C D	No	-
	Forest Street	Hudson Street/SR-24 On-	27667	27676	2			1,298	1,326	2.16%	0.81	0.83			No	-
	Hudson Street/SR-24 C Clifton Street/SR-24 Of		27676 27677	27677 33546	2	390 351	11 10	390 351	401 361	2.82% 2.85%	0.24 0.22	0.25 0.23	A	A A	No No	-
	ue Eastbound	rolograph Avenue	21011	33340	2	331	10	331	301	2.00%	0.22	0.23	м	A	NU	-
	MacArthur Blvd	Lake Park Avenue	27900	27966	2	886	7	886	893	0.79%	0.55	0.56	в	в	No	
	Lake Park Avenue	Mandana Avenue	27966	12073	2	405	7	405	412	1.73%	0.25	0.26	A	A	No	-
	Mandana Avenue	Sunny Slope Avenue	12073	33265	2	411	7	411	418	1.70%	0.26	0.26	A	A	No	-
		Oakland Avenue	33265	33249	2	412	7	412	419	1.70%	0.26	0.26	A	A	No	-
	ue Westbound					_		-	-						-	
	Oakland Avenue	Sunny Slope Avenue	33249	33265	2	893	12	893	905	1.34%	0.56	0.57	в	в	No	-
	Sunny Slope Avenue	Mandana Avenue	33265	12073	2	492	12	492	504	2.44%	0.31	0.31	A	A	No	-

CCA Oakland Alameda CTC Roadway System Analysis Summary - 2040 PM																
Link Location	Segr	nent Limits	A node	B node	# Lanes	Model Volume	Project Trips		With Project Volume	% Increase	- No	V/C Ratio - With Project	No Project LOS		from LOS E or better to LOS F	
Between Fehr & Peer	Lake Park Avenue s, 2018.	MacArthur Blvd	27966	27900	2	441	10	441	451	2.27%	0.28	0.28	A	А	No	-