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## Introduction

This Proposed Project Compliance Memorandum has been prepared by Page \& Turnbull regarding the property at 707 Washington Street in Oakland (APN 1-203-20) (Figure 1 and Figure 2). This memorandum was prepared at the request of the Oakland Planning \& Building Department in anticipation of a proposed project. The property, which currently contains an unused and fenced off surface parking lot, is within the boundaries of the locally designated Victorian Row/Old Oakland S-7 Preservation Combining Zone and the Old Oakland Area of Primary Importance (API), and adjacent to but outside of the California Register of Historical Resources-listed Victorian Row/Old Oakland Historic District. For the purposes of describing the character of these areas in this memo, collectively the S-7 zone, API, and historic district are referred to as the Old Oakland Historic District. The project proposes to construct a new mixed-use building.

The Proposed Project Compliance Memorandum provide an evaluation of the proposed project's compatibility based on the character-defining features of the Old Oakland Historic District and according to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties, specifically Rehabilitation Standard 9.
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Figure 1: Subject parcel at 707 Washington Street. Source: Google Maps, 2023. Edited by Page \& Turnbull.


Figure 2: View of the subject parcel and a portion of the Old Oakland Historic District on Washington Street. Source: Google Street View, May 2022.

## Methodology

Page \& Turnbull participated in a meeting with the project applicant and Oakland Planning \& Building Department staff on July 10, 2023 to discuss the requirements for this memorandum. Page \& Turnbull reviewed information available online and in project files sourced from the Oakland Planning \& Building Department about the Old Oakland Historic District. No additional historic research was conducted for the purposes of this memorandum. Page \& Turnbull also reviewed the proposed project plan set "New Mixed-Use Development, 707 Washington Street, 1-203-20, Oakland, CA 94607" prepared by Schaub Li Architects, Inc. (dated August 25, 2023) that was provided by Turner Development Resource Group, Inc. to Page \& Turnbull via email on September 7, 2023.

## Existing Historic Status

The Old Oakland Historic District, sometimes also called Victorian Row, is an S-7 Preservation District, an API identified through the Oakland Cultural Heritage Survey, a California Register listed historic district, and an eligible National Register historic district. The identified boundaries of each designation overlap but are slightly different (Figure 3).

S-7 and S-20 Preservation Combining Zones are officially designated Preservation Districts in Oakland. According to the City of Oakland's Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board, (LPAB), they are "areas or neighborhoods that are recognized for the same values as individual Landmarks, and they are nominated and designated in the same way, usually with active neighborhood participation. ${ }^{11}$ The Old Oakland/Victorian Row District was designated on August 13, 1976.

The Old Oakland API was identified by the Oakland Cultural Heritage Survey (OCHS) in 1984 (Figure
4). The OCHS was established in 1981, and after a multi-year endeavor, the OCHS completed a reconnaissance or "windshield survey" of the entire city in 1997. The OCHS evaluation system was adopted in Appendix C of the Historic Preservation Element of the Oakland General Plan, adopted in 1994. The system uses letters A to E to rate individual properties and numbers 1 to 3 for district status. Individual properties can have dual ("existing" and "contingency") ratings if they have been remodeled. If they are in districts, they can be contributors, noncontributors, or potential contributors. Areas of Primary Importance (APIs) are identified as National Register-quality districts. ${ }^{2}$

Lastly, the Victorian Row/Old Oakland District was determined eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places under Criterion A (Events) and Criterion C (Architecture) by the California

[^0]State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and the U.S. Secretary of the Interior in 1980. ${ }^{3}$ Because this district was determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Places through SHPO review and concurrence, it is listed on the California Register of Historical Resources. The boundaries of this identified district excluded the subject property at 707 Washington Street, though the property is immediately adjacent (Figure 5).


Figure 3. The Victorian Row/Old Oakland S-7 Preservation District, outlined in blue, and subject property outlined in red. Source: www.oakgis.maps.argis.com
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Oakland City Planning Department


Figure 4. OCHS documentation for the Old Oakland District, 1985. Note that the subject property at 707 Washington Street, outlined in red, is identified as vacant and a non-contributor to the historic district.
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Figure 5. Boundary of National Register eligible Victorian Row/Old Oakland Historic District. Subject property outlined in red. Source: Determination of Eligibility Notification, National register of Historic Places. Request submitted by HUD/UDAG (February 28, 1980).

## Historic Significance of the Old Oakland Historic District

The Oakland Cultural Heritage Survey forms for the Old Oakland District (API), dated 1984, provide the following summary statement of significance:

The Old Oakland District is the surviving downtown commercial center of the 1870's and 1880's, with additions made in the early decades of the 20th century when the commercial heart had moved farther north but auxiliary commercial functions still attracted investment money. [...]

In sum, Old Oakland is a microcosm of typical American urban growth patterns, where money for the most favored new commercial construction continually pushes "the center" to a newer, cleaner area, leaving behind for the poor and for immigrant groups (see 517-19 8th Street) progressively older buildings that had housed "the center" for earlier generations. In one small area, Old Oakland shows early commercial buildings (801-07 and 811-17 Broadway), the high style of mid-to late19th century commercial structures ( 450 block of 9 th Street, etc.), the progressively less expensive development as the city center was moving farther and farther uptown, and neglect in the suburban heyday, followed now by inner city redevelopment and appreciation for a remnant of the city's 19th-century glories.

Ninth Street, between Broadway and Washington Street - "Victorian Row" represents an unbroken succession of Victorian structures fronting both sides of the street. These structures comprise one of the most distinguished compositions of late-Victorian commerical [sic] architecture in the western United States. Recognizing the magnificent potential here for preservation and commercial revitilization [sic], the Oakland City Council has designated this Victorian Row section as an urban renewal project. The project is presently getting under way, using funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Other portions of the six block area (Old Oakland) are not as intensely developed with architecturally and historically significant structures as Victorian Row but nevertheless contain a good number of such structures. Among them are the Central Pacific Railroad Station on 7th Street (remodelled [sic] for use as a store), the Peniel Mission Building at 722 Washington (known as the "Oriental House" in the 1880's), and the Rex-Winsor Hotel Building at 821 Washington. Collectively the area appears to meet the National Register criteria for listing as a historic district. Most of the
district has already been determined eligible for National Register listing. All of it is a locally designated preservation district. ${ }^{4}$

## Character-Defining Features of the Old Oakland Historic District

The survey forms prepared by OCHS do not include a list of character-defining features for the district. Based on the physical description and statement of significance for the Old Oakland District provided in the OCHS survey forms, Page \& Turnbull has identified the following character-defining features of the district:

- Rectangular commercial blocks
- One- to four-story commercial buildings, generally built out to front and side lot lines
- Various architectural styles representing construction between 1864 and 1933, including:
- Italianate Style, which may include one or more of the following features:
- Brick or wood frame construction
- Heavy, bracketed cornice
- Paneled frieze
- Parapet or false mansard hiding a flat roof
- Glazed storefront windows, often with cast iron pilasters, paneled bulkheads, and/or recessed entries
- Angled bay windows
- Rhythmically spaced double-hung wood windows, often with round or segmental arched heads, at upper floors
- Elaborate window surrounds with moldings, colonettes, keystones, bracketed hoods, triangular or rounded pediments, and/or paneled aprons
- Belt or paneled courses between floor divisions, or extended sill lines
- Patterned polychrome brickwork
- Corner quoins or pilasters
- Early $20^{\text {th }}$ Century Commercial Blocks, which may include one or more of the following features:
- Brick, reinforced concrete, or masonry construction
- One- or two-part vertical blocks
- Brick, glazed brick, or stucco veneer facades
- Galvanized iron and/or terra cotta ornamentation, often with Renaissance or Baroque stylistic references
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- Belt courses, cornice modillions and/or brackets, paneling and other moldings are typical
- Plate-glass storefronts with bulkheads below and mezzanine or transom windows above
- Double-hung wood windows at upper floors.

As is typical of ground floor commercial buildings, the storefronts of the buildings in the Old Oakland Historic District have changed over time. In some cases, other insensitive alterations were made to the buildings, particularly in the post-World War II period when divestment and neglect took their toll on the neighborhood. However, in the 1980s, a number of substantial rehabilitation projects brought back historic and architectural integrity to many of the buildings (Figure 6 and Figure 7).


Figure 6. Intersection of Washington and 8th Streets, looking west. Source: Google Street View, May 2022.


Figure 7. Intersection of Washington and 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Streets, looking south. Source: Google Street View, May 2022.

## Design Guidance for Compatibility with the Old Oakland Historic District

Compatibility with the Old Oakland Historic District is required per City of Oakland Planning Code, based on its designation as both an S-7 Preservation Combining Zone and an Area of Primary Importance (API), as well as to avoid an adverse impact under CEQA.

## SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR'S STANDARDS FOR REHABILITATION

Review of the project's compatibility with the character of the Old Oakland Historic District may be achieved by considering Standard 9 of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. The Secretary of the Interior's Rehabilitation Standard 9 focuses on designing new additions, alterations, or related new construction so that they will not negatively affect the integrity of a historic building but will also remain different enough from the original to avoid false historicism. In the context of an infill project at 707 Washington Street, the following discussion considers the ability of the proposed project to remain compatible yet differentiated from the character of the Old Oakland Historic District.

Rehabilitation Standard 9 - New additions, exterior alterations or related new construction will not destroy the historic materials, features and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work will be differentiated from the old and
will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportions, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment. ${ }^{5}$

## CITY OF OAKLAND PLANNING CODE REGULATIONS

## S-7 Preservation Combining Zone Regulations

Chapter 17.84 of the City of Oakland Planning Code establishes the regulations and review process for properties with Preservation Combining (S-7) Zone designation, which is a zoning designation that may be combined with any other zone. The following subsections of Chapter 17.84 are relevant to new construction and alterations within the S-7 zone:

### 17.84.30 - Required design review process.

A. Except for projects that are exempt from design review as set forth in Section 17.136.025, no Building Facility, Designated Historic Property, Potentially Designated Historic Property, Telecommunications Facility, Sign, or other associated structure shall he constructed, established, or altered in exterior appearance, unless plans for the proposal have been approved pursuant to the design review procedure in Chapter 17.136, and when applicable, the additional provisions in Sections 17.84.040, 17.84.050, and 17.84.060; the Telecommunications regulations in Chapter 17.128; or the Sign regulations in Chapter 17.104.
B. Section 17.136.075 contains design review criteria for the demolition or removal of Designated Historic Properties (DHPs) and Potentially Designated Historic Properties (PDHPs).

### 17.84.040 - Design review criteria for construction or alteration.

In the S-7 Zone, proposals requiring Regular design review approval pursuant to Section 17.84.030 may be granted only upon determination that the proposal conforms to the Regular design review criteria set forth in the design review procedure in Chapter 17.136 and to all of the following additional design review criteria:
A. That the proposal will not substantially impair the visual, architectural, or historic value of the affected site or facility. Consideration shall be given to design, form, scale, color, materials, texture, lighting, detailing and ornamentation, landscaping, signs, and any other relevant design element or effect, and, where applicable, the relation of the above to the original design of the affected facility.

[^3]B. That the proposed development will not substantially impair the visual, architectural, or historic value of the total setting or character of the surrounding area or of neighboring facilities. Consideration shall be given to integration with, and subordination to, the desired overall character of any such area or grouping of facilities. All design elements or effects specified in Subsection A. of this Section shall be so considered.
C. That the proposal conforms with the Design Guidelines for Landmarks and Preservation Districts as adopted by the City Planning Commission and, as applicable for certain federallyrelated projects, with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

## Design Review Procedure for APIs

Projects may be subject to the City of Oakland's Design Review Procedure, as set forth in Chapter 17.136 of the Oakland City Planning Code. According to Section 17.136.060 Review by Landmarks Board, in certain cases, "Whenever an application is for regular design review in the S-7 Zone, or on a designated landmark site, the Director of City Planning shall refer the proposal to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board for its recommendations." The following provides further detail on sections relevant to new construction and/or exterior alterations in Old Oakland.

### 17.136.055 - Special regulations for historic properties in the Central Business District and Lake Merritt Station Area District Zones

Old Oakland is in the Central Business District (CBD). Section 17.136.055(B) of the Oakland Planning Code outlines specific findings that must be made by Historic Preservation Staff if "any exterior alteration to a character-defining element of a Designated Property (DHP) or Potentially Designated Property (PDHP)" is proposed. Additionally, the section specifies that projects in an API that require Regular Design Review will only be approved if they meet the following additional criteria:
a. Any proposed new construction is compatible with the existing API in terms of massing, siting, rhythm, composition, patterns of openings, quality of material, and intensity of detailing;
b. New street frontage has forms that reflect the widths and rhythm of the facades on the street, and entrances that reflect the patterns on the street;
c. The proposal provides high visual interest that either reflects the level and quality of visual interest of the API contributors or otherwise enhances the visual interest of the API;
d. The proposal is consistent with the visual cohesiveness of the API. For the purpose of this finding, visual cohesiveness is the architectural character, the sum of all visual aspects, features, and materials that defines the API. A new structure contributes to the visual cohesiveness of a district if it relates to the design characteristics of a historic district while also conveying its own time. New construction may do so by drawing upon some basic
building features, such as the way in which a building is located on its site, the manner in which it relates to the street, its basic mass, form, direction or orientation (horizontal vs. vertical), recesses and projections, quality of materials, patterns of openings and level of detailing. When some combination of these design variables are arranged in a new building to relate to those seen traditionally in the area, but integral to the design and character of the proposed new construction, visual cohesiveness results;
e. Where height is a character-defining element of the API there are height transitions to any neighboring contributing historic buildings. "Character-defining elements" are those features of design, materials, workmanship, setting, location, and association that identify a property as representative of its period and contribute to its visual distinction or historical significance. APIs with a character-defining height and their character-defining height level are designated on the zoning maps; and
f. For additions, the proposal meets either: 1) Secretary of Interior's standards for the treatment of historic resources; 2) the proposal will not adversely affect the character of the property or API; or, 3) upon the granting of a conditional use permit, (see_Chapter 17.134 for the CUP procedure) and a hearing in front of the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board for its recommendations, a project meets the additional findings in Subsection g., below.
g. For construction of new principal buildings:
i. The project will not cause the API to lose its status as an API;
ii. The proposal will result in a building or addition with exterior visual quality, craftsmanship, detailing, and high quality and durable materials that is at least equal to that of the API contributors; and
iii. The proposal contains elements that relate to the character-defining height of the API, if any, through the use of a combination of upper story setbacks, window patterns, change of materials, prominent cornice lines, or other techniques. APIs with a character-defining height and their character-defining height level are designated on the zoning maps.

## Proposed Project Description

The following proposed description is based on the scope of work described and illustrated in the drawing set for "New Mixed-Use Development, 707 Washington Street, 1-203-20, Oakland, CA 94607" prepared by Schaub Li Architects, Inc. (dated August 25, 2023) (Figure 8 and Appendix B).

The proposed project includes a rectangular-plan, seven-story mixed-use building with a height of $77^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. The building will abut all property lines. It will contain 38 residential units (six three-bedroom units, 25 two-bedroom units, and seven one-bedroom units), 37 of which will be located on the upper floors along with amenity spaces such as a fitness room. The ground floor will contain one retail unit and an entry/elevator lobby for the residential units accessed from Washington Street, a

707 Washington Street, Oakland Proposed Project Compatibility Memorandum [23208]
Page 14 of 19
parking garage for five vehicles and 16 bicycles accessed from $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, and one one-bedroom unit. A portion of the roof will be occupiable space with landscaping, and an elevator overrun and stair penthouse will extend above the roof.


Figure 8. Rendering looking northwest at subject site, Sheet A-0.2, "New Mixed-Use Development, 707 Washington Street, 1-203-20, Oakland, CA 94607" prepared by Schaub Li Architects, Inc. (August 25, 2023).

The Washington Street (east) façade will feature a tall ground floor clad with glazed porcelain tiles in a horizontal pattern around the entries and vertical pattern below and around the windows. A horizontal beltcourse/overhang will divide the ground floor from the floors above. A squared recessed entry near the street corner for the residential entry, addressed 707 Washington Street, will consist of double glazed doors flanked by sidelights, manufactured with clear tempered glass with bronze anodized aluminum frames. The entry will be decorated with wood slats and LED downlighting above. The retail space, addressed 711 Washington Street, will be located toward the north and will consist of an angled recessed entry with a single glazed door and sidelights, also manufactured with clear tempered glass with bronze anodized aluminum frames. It will be capped with a horizontal metal canopy with signage. Between the two entries, storefront windows will be fixed and in pairs and groups of three, with transom windows above. A bulkhead clad in glazed porcelain tiles below the windows will be evident. To the north of the retail entry, there will be a single window and transom window and a flush door with a vertical lite and transom window for secondary egress from the upper floors.

The six floors above will be arranged with a projecting beltcourse/overhang between the fifth and sixth floors and a slight parapet cap at the top of the building. The façade will be arranged into seven structural bays. All windows will be fiberglass. At the left (south) end, the projecting two-bay corner will be clad in stucco with a smooth finish (painted blue), angled up at the top and featuring a horizontal wood slat overhang. The two columns of windows at this section will have fixed and awning operability. Cladding at the three recessed structural bays of the façade will consist of horizontal Hardiplank lap siding with a wood texture. Vertically aligned fenestration at these recessed areas will consist of two-lite hopper windows, glazed sliding doors behind metal Juliet balconies, and pairs of double-hung windows. Between these recessed areas, there will be two projecting square bays clad in stucco with smooth finish (painted a sand tone), which will contain a single double-hung window in each side (north- and south-facing) and a pair of double-hung windows at the front (east-facing). All windows will have wood surrounds.

The Seventh Street (south) façade will feature the same cladding materials as the Washington Street façade and a similar vertical arrangement with a beltcourse/overhang between the sixth and seventh floors. The ground floor will feature, from left (west) to right (east), two flush doors with a vertical lite and transom window for secondary egress, an awning garage door, two paneled bays, and bronze anodized aluminum frame storefront windows. The upper floors will be arranged into eight structural bays. The two left (west) recessed structural bays will feature pairs of double-hung windows, and the two right (east) recessed structural bays will contain glazed sliding doors behind metal Juliet balconies. The left (west) projecting square bay will feature double-hung windows in the sides (east- and west-facing) and four-lite windows with one inset awning window at the front (south-facing). The center and right (east) projecting square bays will feature double-hung windows in the sides (east- and west-facing) and pairs of double-hung windows at the front (south-facing). The blue stuccoed corner section will contain fixed and four-lite windows with one inset awning window. The corner will terminate in an angled edge at the top. All windows will feature wood surrounds.

The west façade will abut the adjacent building at the ground floor and second floor. It will be clad in $1 / 2 "$ pressure treated plywood at a blind wall at the third floor level and will be clad in horizontal Hardiplank siding above. Two pairs of fixed windows will be located at the fourth through seventh floors at approximately left (north) of center.

The north façade will be clad in 4 " horizontal wood siding and will feature a large lightwell right (west) of center. It will abut the adjacent building at the ground floor and most of the second floor. It will be clad with $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ pressure treated plywood at the blind wall at the third-floor level. At each floor,
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the lightwell will contain, from left (east) to right (west), a pair of sliding doors and a double-hung window behind a balcony railing, two pairs of double-hung windows, and a pair of sliding windows.

## Proposed Project Compliance Analysis

Review of the project's sensitivity to the character of the Old Oakland Historic District is achieved in this section by considering Standard 9 of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and the Oakland Planning Code criteria outlined earlier in this memorandum.

The proposed project at 707 Washington Street will construct a seven-story building on the site of an unused surface parking lot. As no alterations will be made directly to any of the contributing buildings in the Old Oakland Historic District, the project will not cause a direct impact to the eligibility of the historic district. However, the proposed project has the potential to affect the setting of the contributors, particularly those closest to the project site, as well as the character of the district.

The proposed seven-story, 77-foot-tall building will be taller than adjacent and nearby contributors to the Old Oakland Historic District by four or five stories, approximately 40 to 45 feet taller than the immediately adjacent buildings to the north and west. The height limit for the area is $55^{\prime}$, or approximately five stories, but the project is seeking a density bonus. The proposed height is taller than buildings in the historic district, but it is worth noting that the subject site is at the south edge of the historic district. Tall modern buildings are located across $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, so this property would serve as a transition between the small-scale nineteenth- and early twentieth-century architectural styles of the historic district and the modern buildings to the south and east.

Despite the height, other aspects of the proposed design refer to the character of the historic district and allow the building to be somewhat compatible with the neighboring contributing buildings. The building will have a rectangular plan, which is standard in the historic district. It will be built out to the lot lines, which is also a condition of the contributing buildings in the historic district. While the massing will be wider than the smaller-scale historic buildings, the building's street facades will be divided into smaller vertical units through the use of projecting bays and different cladding materials. Thus, the rhythm of the street facades and visual interest will be compatible with the historic buildings. The horizontal beltcourses/overhangs between the ground floor and second floor and between the sixth and seventh floors will help break up the verticality of the building, as well. The beltcourses/overhangs are also a nod to the traditional three-part building division of base, shaft, and capital and the horizontality of heavy cornices on the contributing buildings in the historic district. The height of the ground floor and location of the horizontal beltcourse/overhang between the ground floor and second floor appears to approximately align with the height and horizontal
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band above the ground floor of the historic building immediately next door to the north on Washington Street.

The horizontal Hardiplank cladding with wood grain on the east, south, and west facades and horizontal wood cladding on the north façade facing the nearest contributing building aids compatibility with the historic district, which include wood-frame buildings with horizontal woodclad facades. The use of high-quality materials, such as porcelain tiles and bronze anodized aluminum windows at the ground floor storefronts will also help the proposed building blend with, or at least not detract from, the high-quality materials and architectural details displayed on the historic buildings.

In addition, the squared and angled recessed entrances align with the use of recessed entrances on contributors to the historic district. The reference to a storefront bulkhead under the windows and the use of storefront windows with transoms above are compatible with the character and features of the Old Oakland Historic District. Furthermore, the rhythmic use of punched openings, including one-over-one double-hung windows, some in square bay windows, reference the Old Oakland Historic District. Paired double-hung windows are also located on the north façade, which faces the contributing buildings on Washington Street and will be seen from other parts of the historic district.

The references to the features and compositions of contributing historic buildings are incorporated into the proposed new building in a simplified way; it does not attempt to mimic the ornamental detail of nearby Italianate buildings, and thus, avoids false historicism. As with the height, the somewhat compatible but simple design will provide a transition at that corner between Washington Street's Victorian character between 7th and 8th streets and the modern buildings outside the historic district between 6th and 7th streets.

## Conclusion

While the building's overall scale is not compatible with the Old Oakland Historic District, multiple gestures are included in the design to reference the materiality, rhythm, and features represented in the historic district. In those respects, the proposed project appears to comply with the intent provided by Standard 9 of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and the criteria outlined in the Planning Code under 17.84.040 - "Design review criteria for construction or alteration" and 17.136.055 - "Special regulations for historic properties in the Central Business District and Lake Merritt Station Area District Zones."

## Appendix A: Preparer Qualifications

Page \& Turnbull was established in 1973 as Charles Hall Page \& Associates to provide architectural and conservation services for historic buildings, resources, and civic areas. The company was one of the first architecture firms in California to dedicate its practice to historic preservation and is among the longest practicing such firms in the country. Offices are located in Los Angeles, Sacramento, and San Francisco, and staff includes licensed architects, designers, architectural historians, conservators, and planners. All of Page \& Turnbull's professional staff members meet or exceed the Secretary of the Interior's Historic Preservation Professional Qualification Standards.

This proposed project analysis memorandum was prepared by Page \& Turnbull of San Francisco, California. Page \& Turnbull staff responsible for this report include: Peter Birkholz, AIA, Principal-incharge; and Christina Dikas, Associate Principal, Project Manager and primary author, both of whom meet or exceed the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards for Historic Architecture, Architectural History, or History.
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## Appendix B: Project Plan Set

The proposed project plan set "New Mixed-Use Development, 707 Washington Street, 1-203-20, Oakland, CA 94607" prepared by Schaub Li Architects, Inc. (dated August 25, 2023) is appended on the following pages.
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| TABLE 5．106．8［N］MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE BACKLIGHT，UPLIGHT AND GLARE（BUG）RATINGS ${ }_{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| allowableratme | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lightive } \\ \substack{\text { OnN } \\ 10} \end{gathered}$ | LIGHTING ZONE LZ1 |  | Lichrime |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luminaire greater than 2 mounting heights（MH）from property line | Na | Nolimt | Nolimt | Nolimit | NoLimt |
|  | Na | ${ }^{\text {日 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {в }}$ | ${ }^{\text {日4 }}$ | ${ }^{84}$ |
|  | NA | ${ }^{81}$ | ${ }^{\text {B2 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {в }}$ | ${ }^{\text {в }}$ |
| Luminaire back hemisphere is | NA | во | ${ }^{\text {во }}$ | ${ }^{81}$ | ${ }^{\text {B2 }}$ |
| MAXIMUM ALLOWABLEUPLIGHT RATING（U） |  |  |  |  |  |
| For aee Iigitig． | NA | vo | uo | uo | vo |
|  | NA | 4 | ${ }^{2}$ | u3 | ur |
| Maxmmallemable |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NA | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{62}$ | ${ }^{63}$ | ${ }^{64}$ |
| Lemen | NA | ${ }^{60}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{62}$ |
| Lemen | NA | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{61}$ | ${ }^{6}$ |
| Luminaire back hemisphere is less than 0.5 MH from property less line | NA | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{60}$ | so | 61 |
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## 2019 CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS CODE

| 5.303.3.4 Faucets and fountains. <br> 5.303.3.4.1 Nonresidential Lavatory faucets. Lavatory faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 0.5 gallons per minute at 60 psi. 5.303.3.4.2 Kitchen faucets. Kitchen faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 5allons per minute at 60 psii. Kitchen faucets may temporarily increase the flow above the maximum rate, but not to exceed 2.2 gallons per minute at 60 psi, and must default to a maximum flow rate of 1.8 gallons per minute at 60 psi . gallons per minute/20 [rim space (inches) at 60 psi]. 5.303.3.4.4 Metering faucets. Metering faucets shall not deliver more than 0.20 gallons per cycle. 5.303.3.4.5 Metering faucets for wash fountains. Metering faucets for wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 0.20 gallons per minute $/ 20$ [rim space (inches) at 60 psi]. maximum flow rate of not more than 0.20 gallons per minute/20 [rim space (inches) at 60 psi]. Note: Where reduction. |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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DIVIISION 5.4 MATERIAL CONSERVATION AND RESOURCE
EFFICIENCY
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LECTION 5.408 CONSTRUCTION WASTE REDUCTION, DISPOSAL AN
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DIVISION 5.5 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
 ${ }^{\text {SECCTION }} 5.502$ DEFINTIONS $\qquad$
 Nomen
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| $\underset{\substack{\text { Oate } \\ 715522}}{ }$ |
| :---: |
| ${ }_{810022}$ |
| ${ }_{819922}$ |
| 91442 |
| 113022PLAMNNG |
| 315123 PLANMNG |
| 46823 PLannw |






| TABLE 5．504．4．1－ADHESIVE VOC LIMITTB Less Water and Less Exempt Compounds in Grams per Liter |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| ARCCHIECTURAL APLLCATIONS | Cureent voc Lumi |
| WOOOOR Capree moblesves | 50 |
| CaRPET PAD AOHESNES | ${ }_{50}$ |
| OUTTOOR CAPPET AOHESNES | ${ }_{150}$ |
| Wooof floring AdHESVES | ${ }^{100}$ |
| RUBEER FLOor AoHESNES | 60 |
| SUEFLOOORAOHESVES | ${ }_{50}$ |
| Ceramic tle anhesves | ${ }_{65}$ |
| VCT A ASPPALT TLE AOLHESNES | ${ }_{50}$ |
| DRYWALL \＆PaNEL AOHESNES | ${ }_{50}$ |
| COVVE EASEADHESNES | ${ }_{50}$ |
|  | ${ }^{7}$ |
|  | ${ }_{100}$ |
| SNCLEPPLY Roof membrane outesves | 250 |
| Specialir apllcatons |  |
|  |  |
| Pvo welong | 510 |
| crpe Weloms | 490 |
| Ass welomg | ${ }^{325}$ |
| Pastic Cement welong | ${ }^{250}$ |
| AOOLESVE PRMER FROR PLASTIC | 650 |
| CONTACT AOHESVE | ${ }_{80}$ |
|  | 250 |
| STRUCTURAL WOOOM MEMER R OOHESVE | ${ }_{140}$ |
| TOP TRMM AOHESNE | ${ }^{250}$ |
| Sussrrat s secific applcations |  |
| METAL To Metal | ${ }^{30}$ |
| PRastic foams | ${ }_{50}^{50}$ |
| Porous Mat ERAL LECEFPTWooo） | ${ }_{30}^{50}$ |
| Fberchass | ${ }_{80}$ |




| TABLE 5．504．4．2－SEALANT VOC LIMT |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| seelants | Cureent voc Lmir |
| ARCHITETTRAL | 250 |
| MaRNE DECK | ${ }_{7} 80$ |
| Nomenempan roor | ${ }_{300}$ |
| rooovar | 250 |
| SNSCLEPMY ROOF MEMBRANE | ${ }_{450}$ |
| OTHER | ${ }_{420}$ |
| SEALANT PRMERS |  |
| ARCHITECTVRAL |  |
| NoNPorous | ${ }^{250}$ |
| Porous | ${ }_{7} 75$ |
| moorfied eitummus | 500 |
| Marin deck | ${ }_{760}$ |
| OTHER | 750 |




 Men

| TABLE 5．504．4．3－VOC CONTENT LIMITS FOR ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS 23 <br> GRAMS OF VOC PER LITER OF COATING，LESS WATER \＆LESS EXEMPT COMPOUNDS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| coating cateory | curener vo Lumi |
| fat coatims | ${ }_{50}$ |
| Nonelat coatimes | ${ }^{100}$ |
| NoNfLat HIGH Lloss coatims | 150 |
| specilit coatrus |  |
| Alummum roof coatims | 400 |
| Basement secialic coatmos | 400 |
| BituMuves foof coatmes | ${ }_{50}$ |
| Bitummus roof PrMERS | ${ }_{350}$ |
| bono greakers | ${ }_{350}$ |
| concrete curng compounos | ${ }_{350}$ |
| concreit emasonkr sealers | 100 |
| orvenar seallers | ${ }_{50}$ |
| ory foc coatincs | ${ }_{150}$ |
|  | ${ }_{350}$ |
| Fre ressitive coatincs | ${ }_{350}$ |
| Floor coatmos | ${ }_{100} 0$ |
|  | ${ }_{250}$ |
| Hichtemperatue coatmg | 420 |
| moustral mantenance ooatins | 250 |
| Low solus coatmes | 120 |
| MAANESTIE Cemeni coatincs | 450 |
| MAStic exture coatmos | 100 |
| MEAALLCP PIMENTIEC Coatmes | 500 |
| muticolor coatims | 250 |
| PREREATMEAT WASH PRMMERS | ${ }_{420}$ |
|  | 100 |
| Reactu Eenerratin sellers | $\underset{\substack{350 \\ 250}}{ }$ |
| roof coatmos | 50 |
| shellacs： |  |
|  |  |
| CLEAR | ${ }_{\substack{730 \\ 550}}$ |
| Specalit Prmers，senlers unobrcoaters | 100 |
| stans | 250 |
| Stone consoluants | ${ }_{450}$ |
| Swmmme pool coatmos | ${ }_{340}$ |
|  | ${ }^{100}$ |
| Watreproofn menbranes | ${ }^{250}$ |
| wooo coativs | 275 |
| wooo Preservatues | ${ }^{350}$ |
| Znc．rch Prmers | ${ }_{34} 3$ |















|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Conkwim |
| HARPWOOOP PLTWOOOV VNEER CORE | 0.05 |
|  | 0.05 |
| Particle oord | 0.09 |
| MELUM Densitr fiberoore | 0.11 |
|  | 0.13 |
|  |  |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ City of Oakland, "List of Preservation Districts (S-7 and S-20 Zones)," electronic resource at https://www.oaklandca.gov/topics/list-of-preservation-districts.
    ${ }^{2}$ City of Oakland, Historic and Architectural Rating Systems, https://www.oaklandca.gov/topics/historical-and-architectural-rating-systems

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Determination of Eligibility Notification, National register of Historic Places. Request submitted by HUD/UDAG (February 28, 1980).

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Oakland Cultural Heritage Survey, California Department of Parks and Recreation Historic Resources Inventory forms, Old Oakland District (May 31, 1984), 2-6, on file at the offices of the Oakland Cultural Heritage Survey.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ National Park Service, "The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties: Rehabilitation as a Treatment and Standards for Rehabilitation" (U.S. Department of the Interior, 2023), electronic resource at https://www.nps.gov/articles/000/treatment-standards-rehabilitation.htm.

