

2022 CITY OF OAKLAND BUDGET PRIORITIES SURVEY 320-1052 - WT

N=1270

A/B SPLITS

MARGIN OF SAMPLING ERROR ±3.1% (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)

Hello	o, I'm, a pu	iblic opinion research firm. We're conducting a survey about issues
that c		ot trying to sell you anything and I won't ask for a donation of any
kind.	(IF RESPONDENT WISHES TO	COMPLETE THE INTERVIEW IN SPANISH OR CHINESE,
	ASE HAND OFF TO BILINGUAL	
A.	Before we begin, I need to know	if I have reached you on a cell phone, and if so, are you in a place
	where you can talk safely without	endangering yourself or others?
	Yes, cell and can ta	lk safely 83 %
	Yes, cell but cannot	t talk safely TERMINATE
	No, not on cell	17%
	(DON'T READ) D	K/NA TERMINATE
NEX	T, I AM GOING TO ASK YOU	A FEW QUESTIONS TO ENSURE WE ARE TALKING TO A
REP	RESENTATIVE SAMPLE OF OA	KLAND RESIDENTS.
B.	First, are you a resident of the city	of Oakland?
		Yes100 %
		No TERMINATE
		(DON'T READ) DK/NA TERMINATE
C.	In what year were you born?	
		2005 or later (0-17) TERMINATE
		2004-1998 (18-24)9%
		1997-1993 (25-29) 10%
		1992-1988 (30-34) 11%
		1987-1983 (35-39) 11%
		1982-1978 (40-44) 11%
		1977-1973 (45-49)7%
		1972-1968 (50-54)9%
		1967-1963 (55-59)6%
		1962-1958 (60-64)6%
		1957-1948 (65-74) 12 %
		1947 or earlier (75 and over)6%
		(DON'T READ) DK/NA2%

D. Next, with which racial or ethnic group do you identify yourself? (IF ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER, ASK: More specifically, would you say that you are Chinese, Filipino, Indian or South Asian, Japanese, Korean or Vietnamese?) (ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

		• • ~
	Latino/Hispanic	
	African American/Black	
	Caucasian/White	
	Chinese	- ,-
	Filipino	
	Indian or South Asian	
	Japanese	
]	Korean	1%
•	Vietnamese	2%
1	Multiracial	2%
(Other Asian/Pacific Islander	2%
	Other ethnic/racial background (SPECIFY)	2%
	(DON'T READ) Prefer not to say	2%
What is your gender?	Male Female Nonbinary Another gender (SPECIFY) (DON'T READ) DK/NA	50% 2% 0%
What was the last leve	el of school you completed?	
	First through 11 th grade	2%
	High school graduate	
	Vocational/technical school	
	Some college, no degree	9%
	Associate degree	
	Four-year college or bachelor's degree	
	Graduate school or advanced degree	
	oracle serious of auxiliated degree	_0,0

E.

F.

NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SEVERAL QUESTIONS ABOUT LIFE IN OAKLAND.

1. **(T)** Generally speaking, how would you rate Oakland as a place to live: is it an excellent place to live, a good place, only fair, or a poor place to live?

(DON'T READ) DK/NA1 %	ó
Poor 15 %	0
Only fair 30%	ó
Good 44 %	ó
Excellent 10%	0

(**DON'T READ**) DK/NA -----2%

2. **(T)** Next, do you approve or disapprove of the overall job being done by Oakland City government in providing services to the people who live here? **(IF APPROVE/DISAPPROVE, ASK:** Is that strongly or somewhat **APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?)**

TOTAL APPROVE	30%
Strongly approve	3%
Somewhat approve	27%
TOTAL DISAPPROVE	63%
Somewhat disapprove	29%
Strongly disapprove	34%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA	7%

NOW I AM GOING TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS THAT DEAL WITH THE CITY OF OAKLAND BUDGET.

3. (T) First, in the upcoming two-year budget, what are the two most important issues facing Oakland residents that you would like to see prioritized in the City government budget? (DO NOT READ OPTIONS; OPEN-ENDED. RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSE AND THEN CODE AFTER INTERVIEW IS COMPLETE. IF RESPONDENT MENTIONS "POLICE," FOLLOW UP FOR MORE DETAIL TO DETERMINE WHETHER IT IS CODE 22, 23, 24, 25 OR 26. IF RESPONDENT MENTIONS "HOMELESSNESS" OR "UNHOUSED," FOLLOW UP FOR MORE DETAIL TO DETERMINE WHETHER IT IS CODE 14 OR 15)

	FIRST	SECOND
	CHOICE	CHOICE
A	0.00	0.44
Air quality/wildfires		
Crime/Violence		
Child Care / Head Start / Preschool		
Coronavirus/COVID-19		
Drug abuse		
Education/public schools		
Emergency medical response / fire safety		
Eviction		
Facilities condition		
Fire safety/inspections		
Gentrification	•	
Graffiti		
Government waste/inefficiency	1%	1 %
Homelessness/Unhoused residents (Public health/safety)	7%	4%
Homelessness/Unhoused residents (Humanitarian concern)	13 %	5%
Homelessness (general)	16%	13 %
Housing costs/affordability	14 %	7 <i>%</i>
Internet access		
Jobs/Local economy	1%	3%
Keeping businesses		
Mental health services		
Parking		
Police abuse / Harassment / Misconduct		
Police funding (Spend less on police)		
Police funding (Maintain or increase police funding)		
Police protection / Maintain or improve response times		
Police reform / Reimagine public safety		
Recreation programs	0%	1%
Street and sidewalk maintenance		
Taxes too high		
Traffic congestion/flow	0%	1%
Tree trimming		
Water supplies		
Youth activities		
Other		-
(DON'T READ) DK/NA		
(DON I KEAD) DK/NA	1%	4 %

4. Next, over the next several years, do you think the City is projecting budget [] surpluses or [] shortfalls? (RANDOMIZE SURPLUSES AND SHORTFALLS) If you are unsure, you can say that, too. (IF SURPLUSES OR SHORTFALLS, ASK: Is the City projecting large or small budget SURPLUSES/SHORTFALLS?)

TOTAL SURPLUSES9%
Large surpluses3%
Small surpluses5%
•
TOTAL SHORTFALLS 43%
Small shortfalls15%
Large shortfalls28%
-
Unsure/DK/NA 48%

5. Now I am going to provide you some background information about this topic. The City of Oakland is currently projecting 100 million-dollar budget shortfalls over the next several years, meaning the Mayor, City Council, and City Administration will need to identify services to reduce in order to balance the City's budget. To help Oakland officials make these difficult decisions, please consider the following potential budget and service reductions and indicate how acceptable it would be to you personally if that reduction was made to help balance the City's budget: completely acceptable, somewhat acceptable, not too acceptable, or not at all acceptable. (RANDOMIZE)

	COMP ACCEPT	SMWT ACCEPT	NOT TOO ACCEPT		COMP/ SMWT
Reduce Police Patrol and 911 Response					•••
Staffing	9%	13%	14%	62%2%	22%
T SAMPLE A ONLY)					
Reduce homeless services, including direct					
assistance, temporary shelters, and					
	7%	10%	16%	64 % 2 %	17%
	6.07	0 07	22.07	6207 207	14%
	0%	8 %	22%	05 % 2 %	14%
* * *	9%	18%	27%	43% 3%	26%
ž v	7 70	2075	,,	10 / 0	20,0
programming at Oakland's Recreation					
centers	8%	31%	27%	31%3%	40%
Reduce funding for fairs and festivals					
	21.64	20.44	160	128 28	60 M
	31%	38%	16%	13% 2%	69%
•					
•					
	10%	25%	30%	31%4%	<i>35</i> %
	Staffing	Reduce Police Patrol and 911 Response Staffing	Reduce Police Patrol and 911 Response Staffing	Reduce Police Patrol and 911 Response Staffing	COMP SMWT TOO AT ALL UNSURE. ACCEPT ACCEPT ACCEPT DK/NA Reduce Police Patrol and 911 Response Staffing

		COMP	SMWT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL UNSURE/	COMP/
					ACCEPT DK/NA	SMWT
(SPLI	T SAMPLE A CONTINUED)					
[]h.	Reduce janitorial and restroom cleaning at					
	libraries, recreation centers, and parks	5%	20%	30%	44 % 2 %	25%
[]i.	Reduce streetlight and traffic signal					
	maintenance	2%	23 %	24%	48%2%	<i>26</i> %
[]j.	Reduce maintenance of parks, trees, and					
	open space					29%
[]k.	Reduce graffiti clean-up services	22%	38%	15%	23 % 2 %	60%
[]1.	Reduce the Oakland's Violence Interrupter					
	program where trained community					
	members prevent retaliation and further gun					
	violence	9%	13%	22 %	49%7%	22%
[]m.	Reduce funding to the City's Emergency					
	Operations Center (EOC) to respond to					
	natural disasters including heat waves,					
	fires, and earthquakes	5%	17%	24 %	53 % 2 %	22%
[]n.	Reduce services provided to domestic					
	violence survivors, people experiencing					
	sexual exploitation, and other gender-based					
	violence	5%	10%	24 %	58% 3%	<i>15</i> %
	T SAMPLE B ONLY)					
[]o.	Reduce wildfire prevention and vegetation	40.00	22 %	22 %	11.00	2201
	management	10%	23%	23%	41%4%	33%
[]p.	Reduce funding for homeless encampment	5 64	110	100	5 0.00 4.00	100
	cleanup services	7%	11%	18%	59% 4%	19%
[]q.	Reduce assistance to small businesses with	160	41.07	246	150 40	5 7 01
f 1	façade improvement and repairs	16%	41%	24%	15%4%	57%
[]r.	Reduce job training and employment	0.07	2107	27.07	40.07 2.07	2007
F 1.	services	9%	21%	21%	40% 3%	30%
[]s.	Reduce the sports & arts programming available for youth	0.07	1607	27.07	1607 207	2407
Г 14	Reduce programing at senior centers					24%
[]t.	1 6 6	9%	30%	21%	30% 4%	39%
[]u.	Reduce cultural arts grants for art organizations and artists	170%	210%	220%	27% 2%	47%
Г 157	Sweep and clean streets less frequently					47 % 49 %
[]v.	Reduce clean-up of illegal dumping	15 % 6 %	34 % 1 1 %	10 % 20 %	32 % 1 % 18 % 2 9 %	49 % 20%
[]w.		0%	14 70	30 %	40 % 2 %	20 %
[]x.	Reduce grants to community-based					
	nonprofits focusing on violence prevention in Oakland's neighborhoods	Q 0%	16%	25%	170% 10%	24%
Γ 1 ₅₇	Investigate fewer violent crimes that are not	0 /0	10 ///	25 /0	4//04/0	2 4 /0
[]y.	homicides such as robberies, muggings,					
	and assaults	5 %	110%	17%	65% 2%	16%
[]-	Reduce programs that divert young people	5 /0	11 /0	1 / /0	UJ /U Z 70	10 /0
[]z.	active in gun violence and/or gangs	6%	11%	21%	50% 1 <i>%</i>	17%
	active in guil violence and/or gangs	0 /0	11 /0		JJ /U + /U	1 / /0

	COMP ACCEPT	NOT TOO ACCEPT	UNSURE/ DK/NA	COMP/ SMWT
(SPLIT SAMPLE B CONTINUED)				
[]aa. Investigate fewer property crimes, such as				
burglaries, theft, arson, and other damages				

22%

to homes and businesses ------ 8% ---- 14% ---- 18% ---- 58% ---- 2%

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

AS YOU MAY KNOW, 38 PERCENT OF THE CITY'S BUDGET GENERAL FUND IS ALLOCATED TO POLICE SERVICES. HOWEVER, THERE ARE MANY POTENTIAL BUDGET ITEMS THAT CAN HAVE AN IMPACT ON COMMUNITY SAFETY AND IN 2020, THE CITY COUNCIL CREATED A TASK FORCE TO REIMAGINE OAKLAND'S PUBLIC SAFETY SYSTEM. THE GOALS WERE TO INCREASE COMMUNITY SAFETY THROUGH ALTERNATIVE RESPONSES TO CALLS FOR ASSISTANCE, AND INVESTMENTS IN PROGRAMS THAT ADDRESS THE ROOT CAUSES OF VIOLENCE AND CRIME ALONG WITH THE GOAL OF REDUCING THE OAKLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT BUDGET ALLOCATION. THE TASK FORCE CAME UP WITH 151 RECOMMENDATIONS AND WE'D LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT SOME OF THE HIGHER PRIORITY RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WOULD HAVE BUDGET IMPLICATIONS.

6. Next, I am going to read you a list of some specific Task Force recommendations that would cost the City additional money to adopt. While this may be challenging given the City's projected budget shortfalls, understanding the community's priorities can still be very helpful with the City's planning. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important for the City to identify the resources necessary to adopt that recommendation. (RANDOMIZE)

		EXT	VERY	SMWT	NOT TOO		EXT/
		<u>IMP</u>	<u>IMP</u>	<u>IMP</u>	<u>IMP</u>	(DK/NA)	<u>VERY</u>
[]a.	Providing additional programs to help						
	people avoid jail entirely, and to help those	2.4.64	22 %	21 ~	100	2 ~	M
F 31	leaving jail re-enter their communities	34%	32%	21%	10%	2%	66%
[]b.	Providing additional Community Workers						
	and Violence Interrupters to reduce	22.64	25.01	20.64	100	2 64	<0.07
	shootings	33%	35%	20%	10%	3%	68%
[]c.	Transferring the 911 call center out of						
	Oakland Police Department to invest in						
	cross-functional teams and Mobile						
	Assistance Community Responders of						
	Oakland, also known as MACRO, to	.		9.1 ~		0 ~	~
	address behavioral health issues	31%	26%	21%	13%	9%	57%
[]d.	Improving city and county response to	4.4.64	2.4.64	45~	. ~	4.04	70 64
	mental health emergencies	44%	34%	17%	4%	1%	<i>78</i> %
[]e.	Increasing services to prevent gender-based	• • •	••~	•••	40~	• ~	= 4~
	violence and serve victims	26%	29%	30%	13%	3%	54%
[]f.	Improving Police data reliability and						
	transparency	37%	33%	21%	7%	2%	69%

7.	And finally on the topic of community safety, in a few words of your own, what would make you fee
	safer living in Oakland? (OPEN-ENDED, RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSE)

More services/community involvement/rehabilitation/health services	25 %
More police presence/visibility/patrol more	19%
Enforce the law/tough on crime/gun control/security	18%
Stop crime from happening/less crime	16%
Control homelessness	15%
Better service/faster response/active late night/different types of patrols	15%
Funding/employ more officers/training	8%
Traffic enforcement/road safety	7%
Clean trash and garbage	6%
Property crime/theft/robberies	6%
Feel safe already	3 %
Other	
None/Nothing	1%
Don't Know/Unsure	3 %
N/A/Refused/No Comment	1%

HERE ARE MY FINAL QUESTIONS. THEY ARE JUST FOR STATISTICAL PURPOSES.

8. **(T)** About how long have you lived in Oakland? **(READ LIST)**

Less than two years7%
Two to five years 13 %
Six to ten years 15%
11 to 20 years 24%
21 to 40 years 24 %
41 years or more 17%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA0%

9. **(T)** Do you own or rent your home? **(DO NOT READ LIST)**

Own	41%
Rent	50%
Live with parents or someone who owns or rents	6%
Other (SPECIFY)	1 %
(DON'T READ) DK/NA	2%

10. **(T)** In the last year, have you been without permanent housing, that is, have you slept in a car, outdoors, or stayed temporarily with friends or family?

Yes	5%
No	94 %
(DON'T READ) DK/NA	1%

11.				
		Yes	27%	
		No		
		(DON'T READ) DK/NA	-	
12.	(T) What is your current employ SELECTIONS)	ment status? Are you? (READ LIS	ST; ALLOW MULTIPLE	
		Employed full-time	55 %	
		Employed part-time	8%	
		Self-employed or work from he	ome 12 %	
		A homemaker who does not		
		work outside the home	2%	
		Retired	17%	
		A student	6%	
		Unemployed	4 %	
		(DON'T READ) DK/NA	2%	
13.	(T) Is your work located in the Cir	y of Oakland? Yes No (DON'T READ) DK/NA	53 %	
(RES		et amount but I'm going to read you sor e when I have read the category indicating	_	
		\$30,000 and under	11%	
		\$30,001 - \$60,000		
		\$60,001 - \$75,000		
		\$75,001 - \$100,000		
		\$100,001 to \$150,000		
		\$150,001 and over		
		(DON'T READ) DK/NA		
15.	(T) Were you born in the United States or did you immigrate to the United States?			
		Immigrated	15 %	
		Born in US		
		(DON'T READ) DK/NA		

16.	16. (T) Is anyone in your household currently or formerly incarcerated in jail or prison?					
			Yes59	%		
			No939			
			(DON'T READ) DK/NA			
17.			e in Oakland in the past five years? (IF YES , robbery, or a property crime such as a car bre			
			Yes, violent crime59	%		
			Yes, property crime38%			
			Yes, both79			
			No, neither489			
			(DON'T READ) DK/NA29			
		THANK	K AND TERMINATE			
LANG	GUAGE OF INTERVIEW	V:	English959	%		
			Spanish3	%		
			Chinese3 %			
CITY	COUNCIL DISTRICT		CODED ETHNICITY			
City C	Council District 1	14%	Latino/Hispanic	26%		
City C	Council District 2	14%	African American/Black	21%		
City C	Council District 3	15%	Caucasian/White	30%		
City C	Council District 4	14%	Chinese	8%		
City C	Council District 5	14%	Filipino	2%		
City C	Council District 6	14%	Indian or Southeast Asian	3 %		
City C	Council District 7	15%	Japanese	1 %		
•			Korean	0%		
DATA	COLLECTION MODE	1	Vietnamese	2%		
	none		Multiracial	3%		
Online	<u> </u>	55%	Other Asian/Pacific Islander	2%		
			Other ethnic/racial background			
CONT	TACT MODE		Prefer not to say			
	none	45%				
-						
	rd					
		0 / 0				