



## Community Healing Mini-Grants Scoring Rubric

	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>Project Design</b>	Strong, innovative, and feasible project design that is well articulated, fully explained, and linked to project goals or impact.	Adequate project design that is defined but still somewhat unclear. Not clearly linked to project goals or impacts.	Project design is vague and not clearly linked to project goals or impact.	
<b>Project Goals</b>	Project's goals are clearly and thoroughly described and documented. Project supports overall community healing strategy goals and objectives.	Project's goals are stated. The proposal aligns with the overall community healing strategy goals and objectives.	Project's purpose is unclear or does not address overall community healing strategy goals and objectives.	
<b>Project Connection to Oakland</b>	Project led by resident(s) of a neighborhood of focus and directly benefits that neighborhood.	Project led by resident(s) of Oakland and directly benefits a neighborhood of focus.	Project directly benefits a neighborhood of focus.	
<b>Project Impact</b>	Proposal indicates a strong positive impact on Oakland community and residents.	Proposal shows some indication of a positive impact on Oakland community and residents.	Positive impact on Oakland community and residents is missing or unclear.	
<b>Alignment with Funding Principles</b>	Proposal aligns with all of the funding principles	Proposal aligns with some of the funding principles	Proposal aligns with few or none of the funding principles	
<b>Project Budget</b>	Budget is complete and contains all required information. Budget is cost effective and related to the project.	Budget is complete but not cost effective and/or related to the project.	Budget needs additional required information or includes unreasonable expenses.	
<b>Total Score</b>				