



**CITY OF OAKLAND  
COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Pending Cases as of August 31, 2021*  
*(Sorted by 1-Year Goal)*

Case #	Incident Date	Rcv'd CPRA	Rcv'd IAD	Intake or Investigator	Assigned Staff	180-day Goal	1-year Goal	Type (604(f)(1) or Other)	Class	Subject Officers	Allegation Count	Allegation(s)
21-0028	1/8/2021	1/14/2021	1/8/2021	Investigator	MM	7/13/2022	9/20/2021	Other	1	33	90	Performance of Duty
20-1282	9/28/2020	10/8/2020	10/6/2020	Investigator	AN	3/27/2021	9/28/2021	Other	2	10	12	Demeanor, Unintentional/Improper Search
20-1283	10/6/2020	10/8/2020	10/6/2020	Investigator	AL	4/6/2021	10/5/2021	Racial Discrimination	1	5	15	Conduct Toward Others; Performance of Duty
20-1295	10/8/2021	10/14/2020	10/9/2020	Investigator	AL	4/12/2021	10/8/2021	Use of Force	1	10	21	Use of Force, Performance of Duty
20-1484	11/20/2020	1/22/2021	11/20/2020	Investigator	JS	7/20/2021	11/20/2021	Racial Discrimination	1	3	7	Racial Discrimination, Performance of Duty,
20-1526	11/24/2020	11/24/2020	11/24/2020	Intake	FC	5/23/2021	11/23/2021	Other	2	1	7	Performance of Duty, Conduct, No MOR
20-1524	11/28/2020	12/2/2020	12/1/2020	Investigator	ED	5/31/2021	11/30/2021	Profiling/ Discrimination	1	1	5	Profiling/ Discrimination, Demeanor, Performance of Duty
20-1542	11/15/2020	12/9/2020	12/6/2020	Investigator	AN	6/7/2021	12/5/2021	Use of Force	1	3	7	Use of Force, Unlawful Arrest
20-1551	12/7/2020	12/16/2020	12/16/2020	Investigator	JS	6/14/2021	12/15/2021	Use of Force	1	2	3	Performance of Duty, Use of Force, Care of Property
20-1578	10/31/2020	5/18/2021	12/17/2020	Investigator	AN	6/15/2021	12/17/2021		1	2	9	General Conduct, Obedience to Laws (Felony + Misdemeanor), Obstructing/Interfering with Investigations, Failure to Report
21-0606	12/31/2017	6/2/2021	4/28/2021	Intake	RM	11/29/2021	1/3/2022	Other	2	2	2	Performance of Duty
21-0025	1/7/2021	1/7/2021	1/7/2021	Investigator	MM	7/6/2021	1/6/2022	Performance of Duty	1	3	3	Performance of Duty
21-0070	1/1/2021	1/21/2021	1/19/2021	Investigator	AL	7/20/2021	1/18/2022	Use of Force	1	1	5	Use of Force, Demeanor
21-0202	1/9/2021	1/29/2021	1/29/2021	Investigator	MM	7/28/2021	1/28/2022	Other	2	4	4	Performance of Duty
21-0151	2/6/2021	2/10/2021	2/6/2021	Investigator	JS	8/5/2021	2/5/2022	Use of Force	1	2	2	Use of Force
21-0179	2/15/2021	2/17/2021	2/15/2021	Intake	RM	8/16/2021	2/14/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	1	Racial Discrimination
21-0188	2/16/2021	2/18/2021	2/16/2021	Investigator	AL	8/17/2021	2/16/2022	Use of Force	1	4	13	Use of Force
21-0217	2/23/2021	3/4/2021	3/4/2021	Investigator	AL	8/22/2021	2/23/2022	Use of Force	1	2	4	Use of Force
21-0238	3/2/2021	3/2/2021	3/2/2021	Investigator	AN	8/29/2021	3/2/2022	Use of Force	1	1	2	Use of Force


\*The Type (604(f) or Other) column addresses whether the investigation contains allegations for which a full investigation is mandated under Oakland City Charter Section 604 (Measure LL). The allegation types listed in this column are: DUI, Profiling, Use of Force, In Custody Death, 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Assembly, or Other



**CITY OF OAKLAND  
COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Pending Cases as of August 31, 2021*  
*(Sorted by 1-Year Goal)*

Case #	Incident Date	Rcv'd CPRA	Rcv'd IAD	Intake or Investigator	Assigned Staff	180-day Goal	1-year Goal	Type (604(f)(1) or Other)	Class	Subject Officers	Allegation Count	Allegation(s)
21-0252	3/1/2021	3/11/2021	3/5/2021	Investigator	AL	9/7/2021	3/4/2022	Use of Force	1	5	13	Use of Force, Performance of Duty, Demeanor, Refusal to Accept or Refer a Complaint
21-0270	3/7/2021	3/8/2021	3/8/2021	Investigator	AN	9/4/2021	3/7/2022	Racial Discrimination, Use of Force	1	4	8	Racial Discrimination, Conduct toward others, Performance of Duty, Use of Force
21-0309	1/2/2021	3/24/2021	3/19/2021	Intake	MB	9/20/2021	3/19/2022	Other	1	3	4	Custody of Prisoners
21-0358	4/2/2021	4/7/2021	4/2/2021	Investigator	AL	10/4/2021	4/1/2022	Use of Force	1	1	2	Use of Force; Performance of Duty
21-0366	4/5/2021	4/7/2021	4/5/2021	Investigator	MM	10/4/2021	4/4/2022	Use of Force	1	4	8	Use of Force
21-0354	4/1/2021	4/2/2021	4/7/2021	Investigator	AN	10/4/2021	4/6/2022	Other	1	2	4	Performance of Duty/ Miranda Violation
21-0527	6/20/2017	5/18/2021	4/16/2021	Investigator	JS	10/15/2021	4/15/2022	Other	2	3	4	Search and Seizure; Perf of Duty; Demeanor; report writing
21-0430	4/20/2021	4/21/2021	4/20/2021	Investigator	JS	10/19/2021	4/19/2022	Use of Force	1	2	4	Performance of Duty, Use of Force; Improper/ Unlawful Search & Seizure
21-0465	2/6/2016	4/29/2021	4/28/2021	Intake	FC	10/26/2021	4/29/2022	Racial/Gender Discrimination	1	3	11	Racial/Gender Discrimination, Truthfulness, Conduct/ Demeanor, Performance of Duty
21-0555	11/26/2020	5/19/2021	5/18/2021	Intake	RM	11/15/2021	5/18/2022	Other	2	1	4	Performance of Duty, Demeanor
21-0564	5/20/2017	5/24/2021	5/20/2021	Intake	RM	11/17/2021	5/19/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	1	Racial Discrimination
21-0565	5/7/2021	5/20/2021	5/20/2021	Intake	MB	11/16/2021	5/20/2022	Other	1	1	3	Performance of Duty
21-0566	5/20/2021	5/25/2021	5/20/2021	Intake	FC	11/21/2021	5/21/2022	Use of Force	1	1	1	Use of Force
21-0595	5/20/2021	6/2/2021	5/28/2021	Intake	FC	11/29/2021	5/27/2022	Other	2	2	2	Performance of Duty
21-0618	6/3/2021	6/4/2021	6/3/2021	Intake	RM	12/1/2021	6/2/2022	Other	1	1	3	Demeanor, Refusal to Provide Name or Serial Number, Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint
21-0621	6/3/2021	6/8/2021	6/3/2021	Intake	MB	12/5/2021	6/4/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	2	2	Racial Discrimination

\*The Type (604(f) or Other) column addresses whether the investigation contains allegations for which a full investigation is mandated under Oakland City Charter Section 604 (Measure LL). The allegation types listed in this column are: DUI, Profiling, Use of Force, In Custody Death, 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Assembly, or Other



**CITY OF OAKLAND  
COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Pending Cases as of August 31, 2021*  
*(Sorted by 1-Year Goal)*

Case #	Incident Date	Rcv'd CPRA	Rcv'd IAD	Intake or Investigator	Assigned Staff	180-day Goal	1-year Goal	Type (604(f)(1) or Other)	Class	Subject Officers	Allegation Count	Allegation(s)
21-0629	6/4/2021	6/7/2021	6/7/2021	Intake	RM	12/4/2021	6/6/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	2	3	Racial Discrimination, Performance of Duty
21-0652	6/2/2021	6/10/2021	6/10/2021	Intake	FC	12/7/2021	6/9/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	2	4	Racial Discrimination, Performance of Duty
21-0677	6/11/2021	6/18/2021	6/17/2021	Intake	RM	12/15/2021	6/16/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	2	Racial Discrimination, Demeanor
21-0679	6/6/2021	6/22/2021	6/17/2021	Intake	MB	12/19/2021	6/16/2022	Other	2	3	6	Performance of Duty; Demeanor
21-0708	6/19/2021	6/20/2021	6/19/2021	Intake	MB	12/17/2021	6/18/2022	Other	2	1	2	Performance of Duty; Demeanor
21-0696	6/19/2021	6/28/2021	6/19/2021	Intake	MB	12/25/2021	6/18/2022	Other	2	3	6	Performance of Duty
20-0174	3/1/2019	6/29/2021	2/13/2020	Investigator	AN	12/20/2021	6/20/2022	Other	1	1	6	Obedience to Laws
21-0704	6/21/2021	6/23/2021	6/21/2021	Intake	FC	12/20/2021	6/20/2022	Other	2	1	2	Performance of Duty, Demeanor,
21-0719	6/23/2021	6/25/2021	6/23/2021	Intake	RM	12/22/2021	6/22/2022	Other	2	2	2	Performance of Duty
21-0720	6/22/2021	6/25/2021	6/25/2021	Intake	RM	12/22/2021	6/22/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	3	Racial Discrimination, Demeanor, Performance of Duty
21-0783	6/21/2021	7/8/2021	6/24/2021	Intake	MB	1/4/2022	6/24/2022	Other	2	1	2	Performance of Duty; Demeanor
21-0743	6/25/2021	6/28/2021	6/28/2021	Intake	FC	12/25/2021	6/27/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	2	3	Racial Discrimination, Performance of Duty, Demeanor
21-0741	6/21/2021	7/2/2021	7/2/2021	Intake	FC	12/29/2021	7/1/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	4	Discrimination/ Race, Discrimination/ Gender, Demeanor, Service
21-0761	7/3/2021	7/7/2021	7/3/2021	Intake	FC	1/3/2022	7/2/2022	Use of Force	1	2	2	Use of Force
21-0770	7/3/2021	7/7/2021	7/3/2021	Intake	RM	1/3/2022	7/2/2022	Other	1	1	2	Demeanor, Refusal to Provide Name or Serial Number
21-0794	6/12/2021	7/13/2021	7/9/2021	Intake	FC	1/15/2022	7/8/2022	Use of Force	1	1	1	Use of Force
21-0788	7/7/2021	7/13/2021	7/9/2021	Intake	FC	1/9/2022	7/8/2022	Other	2	1	1	Performance of Duty
21-0816	7/17/2020	7/29/2021	7/14/2021	Investigator	AN	1/25/2022	7/13/2022	Other	1	1	2	Reports and Bookings, Performance of Duty
21-0803	7/9/2021	7/15/2021	7/13/2021	Intake	MB	1/17/2022	7/13/2022	Use of Force	1	2	4	Use of Force
21-0817	7/14/2021	7/16/2021	7/14/2021	Intake	MB	1/12/2022	7/14/2022	Use of Force	1	2	6	Use of Force
21-0823	6/30/2021	7/19/2021	7/15/2021	Intake	RM	1/15/2022	7/14/2022	Use of Force, Discrimination	1		3	Use of Force, Performance of Duty, No MOR (on CIR, however

\*The Type (604(f) or Other) column addresses whether the investigation contains allegations for which a full investigation is mandated under Oakland City Charter Section 604 (Measure LL). The allegation types listed in this column are: DUI, Profiling, Use of Force, In Custody Death, 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Assembly, or Other



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Pending Cases as of August 31, 2021*  
*(Sorted by 1-Year Goal)*

Case #	Incident Date	Rcv'd CPRA	Rcv'd IAD	Intake or Investigator	Assigned Staff	180-day Goal	1-year Goal	Type (604(f)(1) or Other)	Class	Subject Officers	Allegation Count	Allegation(s)
												details mention discrimination)
21-0836	7/19/2021	7/21/2021	7/19/2021	Intake	MB	1/17/2022	7/19/2022	Other	1	1	1	Obedience to Laws
21-0844	7/20/2021	7/22/2021	7/21/2021	Intake	FC	1/18/2022	7/20/2022	Other	2	2	3	Conduct, Performance of Duty
21-0852	5/8/2021	7/22/2021	7/22/2021	Intake	FC	1/18/2022	7/21/2022	Other	2	1	1	Conduct
21-0840	7/21/2021	7/22/2021	7/21/2021	Intake	MB	1/18/2002	7/21/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	5	Racial Discrimination
21-0850	7/23/2021	7/27/2021	7/23/2021	Intake	MB	1/23/2022	7/23/2022	Use of Force	1	1	2	Use of Force
21-0858	7/23/2021	7/28/2021	7/27/2021	Intake	FC	1/24/2022	7/26/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	2	6	Reporting Violations, Demeanor, Refusal to Accept a Complaint, Service Complaint, Racial Discrimination
21-0863	7/2/2021	8/2/2021	7/28/2021	Investigator	JS	1/2/2022	7/27/2022	Use of Force	1	1	3	Use of Force (Taser); false arrest
21-0871	7/26/2021	8/4/2021	7/30/2021	Intake	MB	1/31/2022	7/30/2022	Other	2	1	2	Performance of Duty
21-0872	7/23/2021	8/4/2021	7/30/2021	Intake	MB	1/31/2022	7/30/2022	Use of Force	1	1	1	Use of Force
21-0878	8/1/2021	8/4/2021	8/1/2021	Intake	FC	1/31/2022	7/31/2022	Use of Force	1	2	6	Performance of Duty, Demeanor
21-0882	7/2/2021	8/4/2021	8/2/2021	Intake	FC	1/31/2022	8/1/2022	Other	2		2	No MOR Violation, Performance of Duty
21-0971	7/24/2021	8/12/2021	8/11/2021	Intake	FC	2/8/2022	8/10/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	1	Racial Discrimination
21-0922	8/12/2021	8/17/2021	8/12/2021	Intake	MB	2/13/2022	8/11/2022	Use of Force	1	1	1	Use of Force
21-0964	7/3/2021	8/25/2021	8/19/2021	Intake	FC	2/21/2022	8/18/2022	Racial Discrimination, Use of Force	1	1	4	Racial Discrimination, Performance of Duty, Use of Force
21-0985	4/17/2017	8/25/2021	8/24/2021	Intake	FC	2/21/2022	8/23/2022	Harassment/ Discrimination	1	1	1	Harassment/ Discrimination
21-0981	8/18/2021	8/25/2021	8/24/2021	Intake	MB	2/21/2022	8/24/2022	Use of Force	1	2	4	Use of Force
21-0982	8/23/2021	8/25/2021	8/23/2021	Intake	MB	2/21/2022	8/24/2022	Use of Force	1	1	1	Use of Force
20-0438	4/16/2020	4/16/2020	4/16/2020	Investigator	AN	10/13/2020	Tolled	Use of Force	1	22	30	Use of Force (Level 1, Level 4), Performance of Duty
20-1406	11/3/2020	11/3/2020	11/3/2020	Investigator	AN	5/2/2021	Tolled	Use of Force	1	2	2	Use of Force
19-1169	10/17/2019	10/22/2019	10/17/2019	Investigator	ED	4/19/2020	Tolled	Use of Force, Profiling/ Discrimination	1	2	7	Bifurcated - use of force, false arrest, discrimination


\*The Type (604(f) or Other) column addresses whether the investigation contains allegations for which a full investigation is mandated under Oakland City Charter Section 604 (Measure LL). The allegation types listed in this column are: DUI, Profiling, Use of Force, In Custody Death, 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Assembly, or Other



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Pending Cases as of August 31, 2021*  
*(Sorted by 1-Year Goal)*

**Page 5 of 4**  
(Total Pending = 76)

\*The Type (604(f) or Other) column addresses whether the investigation contains allegations for which a full investigation is mandated under Oakland City Charter Section 604 (Measure LL). The allegation types listed in this column are: DUI, Profiling, Use of Force, In Custody Death, 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Assembly, or Other




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
AL	20-1058	8/15/20	7/19/21 <sup>1</sup>	8/14/21	Subject Officer 1	Custody of Prisoners	<i>Sustained</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

<sup>1</sup> This case was inadvertently omitted from the prior monthly statistical report.

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND
COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY
Investigations Completed in August 2021
(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)

Table with 8 columns: Assigned Inv., Case #, Incident Date, Completion Date, 1-year goal, Officer, Allegation, Finding. Rows include Subject Officer 2 and Subject Officer 3 with various allegations like Supervisors – Authorities and Responsibilities, Custody of Prisoners, and Use of Force.

Definitions:

- Sustained: The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
Exonerated: The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
Unfounded: The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
Not Sustained: The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
Not Mandated: The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
No Jurisdiction: The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
No MOR Violation: The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
Service Related: The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
ICR: Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND
COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY
Investigations Completed in August 2021
(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)

Table with 8 columns: Assigned Inv., Case #, Incident Date, Completion Date, 1-year goal, Officer, Allegation, Finding. Rows include cases 20-1083 and 20-1092 with various allegations like 'Conduct Toward Others - Demeanor' and 'Use of Force'.

Definitions:

- Sustained: The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
Exonerated: The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
Unfounded: The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
Not Sustained: The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
Not Mandated: The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
No Jurisdiction: The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
No MOR Violation: The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
Service Related: The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
ICR: Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.






CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						<b>Use of Force (Level 4)</b>	<b>Not Sustained</b>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Sustained</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Sustained</i>
						Refusal to Provide Name or Serial Number	<i>Sustained</i>
						<b>Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor</b>	<b>Sustained</b>
MM	20-1116	8/29/20	8/27/21	8/28/21	Unknown	Use of Force (Level 2)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 1)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Refusal to Provide Name or Serial Number	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 1	Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 2)	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Care of Property	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 3	Performance of Duty – Care of Property	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
AL	20-1129	9/1/20	8/24/21	8/31/21	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>	

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 3	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 4	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 5	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 6	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 9 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 7	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 8	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 9	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 10	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 11	Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 12	Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint	<i>Unfounded</i>
AL	20-1164	9/6/20	8/11/21	9/9/21	Subject Officer 1	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
MB	21-0254	3/2/21	8/25/21	3/4/22	Unidentified	Performance of Duty – General	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Service Complaint	<i>No MOR Violation</i>
						Service Complaint	<i>No MOR Violation</i>
						No Duty/No MOR Violation	<i>Service Complaint</i>
						Service Complaint	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 1	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.






CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 3	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 4	Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 5	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 6	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 7	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 8	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 9	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 10	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 11	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Custody of Prisoners – Treatment	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 12	Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 13	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 14	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 15	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 16	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 17	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*


Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
RM	21-0262	3/6/21	8/31/21	3/5/22	Subject Officer 1	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
JS	21-0422	4/18/21	8/27/21	4/17/22	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.






CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Refusal to Provide Name or Serial Number	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
FC	21-0530	5/12/21	8/26/21	5/11/22	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Intentional Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
FC	21-0524	5/12/21	8/4/21	5/11/22	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Not Sustained</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Truthfulness	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 21 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Truthfulness	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty - PDRD	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Unknown	Use of Force	<i>No Jurisdiction</i>
FC	21-0540	5/16/21	8/12/21	5/16/22	Unknown	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
FC	21-0548	5/17/21	8/12/21	5/16/22	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
FC	21-0575	5/17/21	8/12/21	5/21/22	Unknown	Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 22 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
MB	21-0603	5/30/21	8/6/21	5/29/22	Subject Officer 1	Obedience to Laws – Felony/Serious Misdemeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Obedience to Laws – Felony/Serious Misdemeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 3	Obedience to Laws – Felony/Serious Misdemeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Unknown	No Duty/No MOR Violation	<i>No MOR violation</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

<p><b>CPRA Made the following Training Recommendations with Respect to Investigations in this Report</b></p>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. CPRA recommended training regarding an officer’s use of profanity.</li> <li>2. CPRA recommended training regarding an officer’s delayed activation of his/her body-worn camera.</li> <li>3. CPRA recommended training regarding the importance of considering the potential for negative tactical consequences (and considering the use of an alternative ruse or alternative tactic altogether) when deciding to use a ruse for tactical purposes.</li> </ol>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.