

CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Pending Cases as of August 31, 2021*  
*(Sorted by 1-Year Goal)*

Case #	Incident Date	Rcv'd CPRA	Rcv'd IAD	Intake or Investigator	Assigned Staff	180-day Goal	1-year Goal	Type (604(f)(1) or Other)	Class	Subject Officers	Allegation Count	Allegation(s)
21-0028	1/8/2021	1/14/2021	1/8/2021	Investigator	MM	7/13/2022	9/20/2021	Other	1	33	90	Performance of Duty
20-1282	9/28/2020	10/8/2020	10/6/2020	Investigator	AN	3/27/2021	9/28/2021	Other	2	10	12	Demeanor, Unintentional/Improper Search
20-1283	10/6/2020	10/8/2020	10/6/2020	Investigator	AL	4/6/2021	10/5/2021	Racial Discrimination	1	5	15	Conduct Toward Others; Performance of Duty
20-1295	10/8/2021	10/14/2020	10/9/2020	Investigator	AL	4/12/2021	10/8/2021	Use of Force	1	10	21	Use of Force, Performance of Duty
20-1484	11/20/2020	1/22/2021	11/20/2020	Investigator	JS	7/20/2021	11/20/2021	Racial Discrimination	1	3	7	Racial Discrimination, Performance of Duty,
20-1526	11/24/2020	11/24/2020	11/24/2020	Intake	FC	5/23/2021	11/23/2021	Other	2	1	7	Performance of Duty, Conduct, No MOR
20-1524	11/28/2020	12/2/2020	12/1/2020	Investigator	ED	5/31/2021	11/30/2021	Profiling/ Discrimination	1	1	5	Profiling/ Discrimination, Demeanor, Performance of Duty
20-1542	11/15/2020	12/9/2020	12/6/2020	Investigator	AN	6/7/2021	12/5/2021	Use of Force	1	3	7	Use of Force, Unlawful Arrest
20-1551	12/7/2020	12/16/2020	12/16/2020	Investigator	JS	6/14/2021	12/15/2021	Use of Force	1	2	3	Performance of Duty, Use of Force, Care of Property
20-1578	10/31/2020	5/18/2021	12/17/2020	Investigator	AN	6/15/2021	12/17/2021		1	2	9	General Conduct, Obedience to Laws (Felony + Misdemeanor), Obstructing/Interfering with Investigations, Failure to Report
21-0606	12/31/2017	6/2/2021	4/28/2021	Intake	RM	11/29/2021	1/3/2022	Other	2	2	2	Performance of Duty
21-0025	1/7/2021	1/7/2021	1/7/2021	Investigator	MM	7/6/2021	1/6/2022	Performance of Duty	1	3	3	Performance of Duty
21-0070	1/1/2021	1/21/2021	1/19/2021	Investigator	AL	7/20/2021	1/18/2022	Use of Force	1	1	5	Use of Force, Demeanor
21-0202	1/9/2021	1/29/2021	1/29/2021	Investigator	MM	7/28/2021	1/28/2022	Other	2	4	4	Performance of Duty
21-0151	2/6/2021	2/10/2021	2/6/2021	Investigator	JS	8/5/2021	2/5/2022	Use of Force	1	2	2	Use of Force
21-0179	2/15/2021	2/17/2021	2/15/2021	Intake	RM	8/16/2021	2/14/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	1	Racial Discrimination
21-0188	2/16/2021	2/18/2021	2/16/2021	Investigator	AL	8/17/2021	2/16/2022	Use of Force	1	4	13	Use of Force
21-0217	2/23/2021	3/4/2021	3/4/2021	Investigator	AL	8/22/2021	2/23/2022	Use of Force	1	2	4	Use of Force
21-0238	3/2/2021	3/2/2021	3/2/2021	Investigator	AN	8/29/2021	3/2/2022	Use of Force	1	1	2	Use of Force

\*The Type (604(f) or Other) column addresses whether the investigation contains allegations for which a full investigation is mandated under Oakland City Charter Section 604 (Measure LL). The allegation types listed in this column are: DUI, Profiling, Use of Force, In Custody Death, 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Assembly, or Other



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Pending Cases as of August 31, 2021*  
*(Sorted by 1-Year Goal)*

Case #	Incident Date	Rcv'd CPRA	Rcv'd IAD	Intake or Investigator	Assigned Staff	180-day Goal	1-year Goal	Type (604(f)(1) or Other)	Class	Subject Officers	Allegation Count	Allegation(s)
21-0252	3/1/2021	3/11/2021	3/5/2021	Investigator	AL	9/7/2021	3/4/2022	Use of Force	1	5	13	Use of Force, Performance of Duty, Demeanor, Refusal to Accept or Refer a Complaint
21-0270	3/7/2021	3/8/2021	3/8/2021	Investigator	AN	9/4/2021	3/7/2022	Racial Discrimination, Use of Force	1	4	8	Racial Discrimination, Conduct toward others, Performance of Duty, Use of Force
21-0309	1/2/2021	3/24/2021	3/19/2021	Intake	MB	9/20/2021	3/19/2022	Other	1	3	4	Custody of Prisoners
21-0358	4/2/2021	4/7/2021	4/2/2021	Investigator	AL	10/4/2021	4/1/2022	Use of Force	1	1	2	Use of Force; Performance of Duty
21-0366	4/5/2021	4/7/2021	4/5/2021	Investigator	MM	10/4/2021	4/4/2022	Use of Force	1	4	8	Use of Force
21-0354	4/1/2021	4/2/2021	4/7/2021	Investigator	AN	10/4/2021	4/6/2022	Other	1	2	4	Performance of Duty/ Miranda Violation
21-0527	6/20/2017	5/18/2021	4/16/2021	Investigator	JS	10/15/2021	4/15/2022	Other	2	3	4	Search and Seizure; Perf of Duty; Demeanor; report writing
21-0430	4/20/2021	4/21/2021	4/20/2021	Investigator	JS	10/19/2021	4/19/2022	Use of Force	1	2	4	Performance of Duty, Use of Force; Improper/ Unlawful Search & Seizure
21-0465	2/6/2016	4/29/2021	4/28/2021	Intake	FC	10/26/2021	4/29/2022	Racial/Gender Discrimination	1	3	11	Racial/Gender Discrimination, Truthfulness, Conduct/ Demeanor, Performance of Duty
21-0555	11/26/2020	5/19/2021	5/18/2021	Intake	RM	11/15/2021	5/18/2022	Other	2	1	4	Performance of Duty, Demeanor
21-0564	5/20/2017	5/24/2021	5/20/2021	Intake	RM	11/17/2021	5/19/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	1	Racial Discrimination
21-0565	5/7/2021	5/20/2021	5/20/2021	Intake	MB	11/16/2021	5/20/2022	Other	1	1	3	Performance of Duty
21-0566	5/20/2021	5/25/2021	5/20/2021	Intake	FC	11/21/2021	5/21/2022	Use of Force	1	1	1	Use of Force
21-0595	5/20/2021	6/2/2021	5/28/2021	Intake	FC	11/29/2021	5/27/2022	Other	2	2	2	Performance of Duty
21-0618	6/3/2021	6/4/2021	6/3/2021	Intake	RM	12/1/2021	6/2/2022	Other	1	1	3	Demeanor, Refusal to Provide Name or Serial Number, Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint
21-0621	6/3/2021	6/8/2021	6/3/2021	Intake	MB	12/5/2021	6/4/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	2	2	Racial Discrimination

\*The Type (604(f) or Other) column addresses whether the investigation contains allegations for which a full investigation is mandated under Oakland City Charter Section 604 (Measure LL). The allegation types listed in this column are: DUI, Profiling, Use of Force, In Custody Death, 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Assembly, or Other



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Pending Cases as of August 31, 2021*  
*(Sorted by 1-Year Goal)*

**Page 3 of 4**  
 (Total Pending = 76)

Case #	Incident Date	Rcv'd CPRA	Rcv'd IAD	Intake or Investigator	Assigned Staff	180-day Goal	1-year Goal	Type (604(f)(1) or Other)	Class	Subject Officers	Allegation Count	Allegation(s)
21-0629	6/4/2021	6/7/2021	6/7/2021	Intake	RM	12/4/2021	6/6/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	2	3	Racial Discrimination, Performance of Duty
21-0652	6/2/2021	6/10/2021	6/10/2021	Intake	FC	12/7/2021	6/9/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	2	4	Racial Discrimination, Performance of Duty
21-0677	6/11/2021	6/18/2021	6/17/2021	Intake	RM	12/15/2021	6/16/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	2	Racial Discrimination, Demeanor
21-0679	6/6/2021	6/22/2021	6/17/2021	Intake	MB	12/19/2021	6/16/2022	Other	2	3	6	Performance of Duty; Demeanor
21-0708	6/19/2021	6/20/2021	6/19/2021	Intake	MB	12/17/2021	6/18/2022	Other	2	1	2	Performance of Duty; Demeanor
21-0696	6/19/2021	6/28/2021	6/19/2021	Intake	MB	12/25/2021	6/18/2022	Other	2	3	6	Performance of Duty
20-0174	3/1/2019	6/29/2021	2/13/2020	Investigator	AN	12/20/2021	6/20/2022	Other	1	1	6	Obedience to Laws
21-0704	6/21/2021	6/23/2021	6/21/2021	Intake	FC	12/20/2021	6/20/2022	Other	2	1	2	Performance of Duty, Demeanor,
21-0719	6/23/2021	6/25/2021	6/23/2021	Intake	RM	12/22/2021	6/22/2022	Other	2	2	2	Performance of Duty
21-0720	6/22/2021	6/25/2021	6/25/2021	Intake	RM	12/22/2021	6/22/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	3	Racial Discrimination, Demeanor, Performance of Duty
21-0783	6/21/2021	7/8/2021	6/24/2021	Intake	MB	1/4/2022	6/24/2022	Other	2	1	2	Performance of Duty; Demeanor
21-0743	6/25/2021	6/28/2021	6/28/2021	Intake	FC	12/25/2021	6/27/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	2	3	Racial Discrimination, Performance of Duty, Demeanor
21-0741	6/21/2021	7/2/2021	7/2/2021	Intake	FC	12/29/2021	7/1/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	4	Discrimination/ Race, Discrimination/ Gender, Demeanor, Service
21-0761	7/3/2021	7/7/2021	7/3/2021	Intake	FC	1/3/2022	7/2/2022	Use of Force	1	2	2	Use of Force
21-0770	7/3/2021	7/7/2021	7/3/2021	Intake	RM	1/3/2022	7/2/2022	Other	1	1	2	Demeanor, Refusal to Provide Name or Serial Number
21-0794	6/12/2021	7/13/2021	7/9/2021	Intake	FC	1/15/2022	7/8/2022	Use of Force	1	1	1	Use of Force
21-0788	7/7/2021	7/13/2021	7/9/2021	Intake	FC	1/9/2022	7/8/2022	Other	2	1	1	Performance of Duty
21-0816	7/17/2020	7/29/2021	7/14/2021	Investigator	AN	1/25/2022	7/13/2022	Other	1	1	2	Reports and Bookings, Performance of Duty
21-0803	7/9/2021	7/15/2021	7/13/2021	Intake	MB	1/17/2022	7/13/2022	Use of Force	1	2	4	Use of Force
21-0817	7/14/2021	7/16/2021	7/14/2021	Intake	MB	1/12/2022	7/14/2022	Use of Force	1	2	6	Use of Force
21-0823	6/30/2021	7/19/2021	7/15/2021	Intake	RM	1/15/2022	7/14/2022	Use of Force, Discrimination	1		3	Use of Force, Performance of Duty, No MOR (on CIR, however

\*The Type (604(f) or Other) column addresses whether the investigation contains allegations for which a full investigation is mandated under Oakland City Charter Section 604 (Measure LL). The allegation types listed in this column are: DUI, Profiling, Use of Force, In Custody Death, 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Assembly, or Other




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Pending Cases as of August 31, 2021*  
*(Sorted by 1-Year Goal)*

**Page 4 of 4**  
 (Total Pending = 76)

Case #	Incident Date	Rcv'd CPRA	Rcv'd IAD	Intake or Investigator	Assigned Staff	180-day Goal	1-year Goal	Type (604(f)(1) or Other)	Class	Subject Officers	Allegation Count	Allegation(s)
												details mention discrimination)
21-0836	7/19/2021	7/21/2021	7/19/2021	Intake	MB	1/17/2022	7/19/2022	Other	1	1	1	Obedience to Laws
21-0844	7/20/2021	7/22/2021	7/21/2021	Intake	FC	1/18/2022	7/20/2022	Other	2	2	3	Conduct, Performance of Duty
21-0852	5/8/2021	7/22/2021	7/22/2021	Intake	FC	1/18/2022	7/21/2022	Other	2	1	1	Conduct
21-0840	7/21/2021	7/22/2021	7/21/2021	Intake	MB	1/18/2002	7/21/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	5	Racial Discrimination
21-0850	7/23/2021	7/27/2021	7/23/2021	Intake	MB	1/23/2022	7/23/2022	Use of Force	1	1	2	Use of Force
21-0858	7/23/2021	7/28/2021	7/27/2021	Intake	FC	1/24/2022	7/26/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	2	6	Reporting Violations, Demeanor, Refusal to Accept a Complaint, Service Complaint, Racial Discrimination
21-0863	7/2/2021	8/2/2021	7/28/2021	Investigator	JS	1/2/2022	7/27/2022	Use of Force	1	1	3	Use of Force (Taser); false arrest
21-0871	7/26/2021	8/4/2021	7/30/2021	Intake	MB	1/31/2022	7/30/2022	Other	2	1	2	Performance of Duty
21-0872	7/23/2021	8/4/2021	7/30/2021	Intake	MB	1/31/2022	7/30/2022	Use of Force	1	1	1	Use of Force
21-0878	8/1/2021	8/4/2021	8/1/2021	Intake	FC	1/31/2022	7/31/2022	Use of Force	1	2	6	Performance of Duty, Demeanor
21-0882	7/2/2021	8/4/2021	8/2/2021	Intake	FC	1/31/2022	8/1/2022	Other	2		2	No MOR Violation, Performance of Duty
21-0971	7/24/2021	8/12/2021	8/11/2021	Intake	FC	2/8/2022	8/10/2022	Racial Discrimination	1	1	1	Racial Discrimination
21-0922	8/12/2021	8/17/2021	8/12/2021	Intake	MB	2/13/2022	8/11/2022	Use of Force	1	1	1	Use of Force
21-0964	7/3/2021	8/25/2021	8/19/2021	Intake	FC	2/21/2022	8/18/2022	Racial Discrimination, Use of Force	1	1	4	Racial Discrimination, Performance of Duty, Use of Force
21-0985	4/17/2017	8/25/2021	8/24/2021	Intake	FC	2/21/2022	8/23/2022	Harassment/ Discrimination	1	1	1	Harassment/ Discrimination
21-0981	8/18/2021	8/25/2021	8/24/2021	Intake	MB	2/21/2022	8/24/2022	Use of Force	1	2	4	Use of Force
21-0982	8/23/2021	8/25/2021	8/23/2021	Intake	MB	2/21/2022	8/24/2022	Use of Force	1	1	1	Use of Force
20-0438	4/16/2020	4/16/2020	4/16/2020	Investigator	AN	10/13/2020	Tolled	Use of Force	1	22	30	Use of Force (Level 1, Level 4), Performance of Duty
20-1406	11/3/2020	11/3/2020	11/3/2020	Investigator	AN	5/2/2021	Tolled	Use of Force	1	2	2	Use of Force
19-1169	10/17/2019	10/22/2019	10/17/2019	Investigator	ED	4/19/2020	Tolled	Use of Force, Profiling/ Discrimination	1	2	7	Bifurcated - use of force, false arrest, discrimination

\*The Type (604(f) or Other) column addresses whether the investigation contains allegations for which a full investigation is mandated under Oakland City Charter Section 604 (Measure LL). The allegation types listed in this column are: DUI, Profiling, Use of Force, In Custody Death, 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Assembly, or Other




CITY OF OAKLAND
COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY
Investigations Completed in August 2021
(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)

Table with 8 columns: Assigned Inv., Case #, Incident Date, Completion Date, 1-year goal, Officer, Allegation, Finding. Row 1: AL, 20-1058, 8/15/20, 7/19/21^1, 8/14/21, Subject Officer 1, Custody of Prisoners, Sustained. Subsequent rows list various allegations like 'Conduct Toward Others - Demeanor', 'Use of Force', and 'Performance of Duty' with findings of 'Unfounded' or 'Exonerated'.

^1 This case was inadvertently omitted from the prior monthly statistical report.

Definitions:

- Sustained: The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
Exonerated: The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
Unfounded: The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
Not Sustained: The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
Not Mandated: The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
No Jurisdiction: The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
No MOR Violation: The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
Service Related: The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
ICR: Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 2 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 2	Supervisors – Authorities and Responsibilities	<i>Sustained</i>
						Custody of Prisoners	<i>Sustained</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 3	Custody of Prisoners	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 4	Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 5	Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Unknown	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Unfounded</i>
ED	20-1083	8/20/20	8/10/21	8/19/21	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Exonerated</i>
MM	20-1092	8/21/20	8/13/21	8/20/21	Subject Officer 1	<b>Use of Force (Level 4)</b>	<b><i>Sustained</i></b>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 4 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						<b>Use of Force (Level 4)</b>	<b>Not Sustained</b>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Sustained</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Sustained</i>
						Refusal to Provide Name or Serial Number	<i>Sustained</i>
						<b>Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor</b>	<b>Sustained</b>
MM	20-1116	8/29/20	8/27/21	8/28/21	Unknown	Use of Force (Level 2)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 1)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.

**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.


**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.






CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Refusal to Provide Name or Serial Number	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 1	Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 2)	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Care of Property	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 3	Performance of Duty – Care of Property	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force (Level 3)	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 6 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
AL	20-1129	9/1/20	8/24/21	8/31/21	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>	

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 3	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
				Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest		<i>Exonerated</i>	
				Use of Force (Level 4)		<i>Unfounded</i>	
				Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest		<i>Exonerated</i>	
					Subject Officer 4	Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
				Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race		<i>Unfounded</i>	
				Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest		<i>Exonerated</i>	
				Use of Force (Level 4)		<i>Unfounded</i>	
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 8 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 5	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 6	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 7	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 8	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force (Level 4)	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 9	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 10 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 10	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 11	Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 12	Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint	<i>Unfounded</i>
AL	20-1164	9/6/20	8/11/21	9/9/21	Subject Officer 1	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Failure to Accept or Refer a Complaint	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 11 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
MB	21-0254	3/2/21	8/25/21	3/4/22	Unidentified	Performance of Duty – General	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Service Complaint	<i>No MOR Violation</i>
						Service Complaint	<i>No MOR Violation</i>
						No Duty/No MOR Violation	<i>Service Complaint</i>
						Service Complaint	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 1	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 3	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.





CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 13 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 4	Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 5	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 6	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 14 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 7	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 8	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 9	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 10	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND
COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY
Investigations Completed in August 2021
(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)

Table with 8 columns: Assigned Inv., Case #, Incident Date, Completion Date, 1-year goal, Officer, Allegation, Finding. Rows include Subject Officer 11 and Subject Officer 12 with various allegations and findings like Exonerated, Unfounded, and Sustained.

Definitions:

- Sustained: The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
Exonerated: The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
Unfounded: The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
Not Sustained: The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
Not Mandated: The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
No Jurisdiction: The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
No MOR Violation: The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
Service Related: The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
ICR: Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
					Subject Officer 13	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 14	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 15	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 16	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 17	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Performance of Duty – General	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
RM	21-0262	3/6/21	8/31/21	3/5/22	Subject Officer 1	Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Performance of Duty – Unintentional/ Improper Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
JS	21-0422	4/18/21	8/27/21	4/17/22	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Refusal to Provide Name or Serial Number	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
FC	21-0530	5/12/21	8/26/21	5/11/22	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty – Intentional Search Seizure or Arrest	<i>Exonerated</i>
FC	21-0524	5/12/21	8/4/21	5/11/22	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Not Sustained</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Truthfulness	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.





CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**Page 21 of 23**  
 (Total Completed = 15)

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
						Truthfulness	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Performance of Duty - PDRD	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Unknown	Use of Force	<i>No Jurisdiction</i>
FC	21-0540	5/16/21	8/12/21	5/16/22	Unknown	Conduct Toward Others – Harassment and Discrimination/Race	<i>Unfounded</i>
FC	21-0548	5/17/21	8/12/21	5/16/22	Subject Officer 1	Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Conduct Toward Others – Demeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
FC	21-0575	5/17/21	8/12/21	5/21/22	Unknown	Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>

**Definitions:**

**Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.

**Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.

**Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.

**Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.


**Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

**No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.

**No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.

**Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.

**ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.




CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

Assigned Inv.	Case #	Incident Date	Completion Date	1-year goal	Officer	Allegation	Finding
MB	21-0603	5/30/21	8/6/21	5/29/22	Subject Officer 1	Obedience to Laws – Felony/Serious Misdemeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Unfounded</i>
					Subject Officer 2	Obedience to Laws – Felony/Serious Misdemeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Exonerated</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Subject Officer 3	Obedience to Laws – Felony/Serious Misdemeanor	<i>Unfounded</i>
						Use of Force	<i>Exonerated</i>
					Unknown	No Duty/No MOR Violation	<i>No MOR violation</i>

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.

- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.



CITY OF OAKLAND  
**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY**  
*Investigations Completed in August 2021*  
*(Allegations in bold were discovered by CPRA investigators)*

**CPRA Made the following Training Recommendations with Respect to Investigations in this Report**

1. CPRA recommended training regarding an officer’s use of profanity.
2. CPRA recommended training regarding an officer’s delayed activation of his/her body-worn camera.
3. CPRA recommended training regarding the importance of considering the potential for negative tactical consequences (and considering the use of an alternative ruse or alternative tactic altogether) when deciding to use a ruse for tactical purposes.

**Definitions:**

- Sustained:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred and constituted misconduct.
- Exonerated:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant occurred. However, the act(s) were justified, lawful, or proper.
- Unfounded:** The act(s) alleged by the complainant did not occur.
- Not Sustained:** The available evidence can neither prove nor disprove the act(s) alleged by the complainant.
- Not Mandated:** The allegation was not one that CPRA is mandated to investigate under the Charter, so CPRA did not investigate due to limited resources.
- No Jurisdiction:** The subject of the allegation is not a sworn member of the OPD.
- No MOR Violation:** The alleged conduct does not violate any department rule or policy.
- Service Related:** The allegation pertains to the level of service provided by the Department as opposed to the misconduct of a single sworn officer.
- ICR:** Resolved through the Informal Complaint Resolution process pursuant to DGO M-3.1.