

From: [Ralph Kanz](#)
To: [Ethics Public Comment](#)
Subject: Item #10 PEC #22-09
Date: Monday, September 16, 2024 12:54:26 PM

To PEC:

James Sutton is apparently a party to this matter but the Proposed Settlement Agreement does not explain his role. Given Mr. Sutton's history with campaign reporting problems and the large penalties he has paid in the past the public deserves a clear picture of his role. For reference here is an explanation of his previous fines: <https://www.sfgate.com/politics/article/Big-fines-over-PG-E-donations-in-02-vote-Money-2642165.php>

And the stipulation with the SF Ethics Commission:
<https://sfethicsstorage.blob.core.usgovcloudapi.net/case-resolutions/49.02.stipulation.pdf>

A recent bio about his position in a law firm: <https://www.rutan.com/rutan-forms-election-law-practice-group-with-addition-of-sutton-law-firm-attorneys/>

Given Mr. Sutton's position as an attorney specializing in advice to political campaigns, and his history of violations, his involvement deserves further explanation.

Ralph Kanz