

City of Oakland
Human Services Department
Oakland Fund for Children and Youth
**Special Meeting of the Planning and Oversight
Committee**

March 2nd, 2022 | 6:00pm-9:00pm

Zoom Teleconference

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/86883005124>

Pursuant to California Government Code section 54953(e), the Planning and Oversight Committee Members, as well as City staff, will participate via phone/video conference, and no physical teleconference locations are required.

TO OBSERVE:

- 1) To view the meeting by Zoom video conference, please click on this link: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/86883005124> at the noticed meeting time.
- 2) To listen to the meeting by phone, please call the numbers below at the noticed meeting time: Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location): +1 669 900 9128 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 646 558 8656 or +1 301 715 8592; Webinar ID: 960 4330 8869

TO COMMENT:

- 1) To comment by Zoom video conference, you will be prompted to use the “**Raise Your Hand**” button to request to speak when Public Comment is being taken on the eligible Agenda item. You will then be unmuted, during your turn, and allowed to make public comments. After the allotted time, you will then be re-muted.
- 2) To comment by phone, you will be prompted to “**Raise Your Hand**” by pressing “* 9” to request to speak when Public Comment is being taken on the eligible Agenda Item. You will then be unmuted, during your turn, and allowed to make public comments. After the allotted time, you will then be re-muted.

ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1) Instructions on **how to join a meeting** by video conference is available at: [https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/201362193 - Joining-a-Meeting#](https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/201362193-Joining-a-Meeting#)
- 2) Instructions on **how to join a meeting** by phone are available at: [https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/201362663 Joining-a-meeting-by-phone](https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/201362663-Joining-a-meeting-by-phone).
- 3) Instructions on **how to “Raise Your Hand”** is available at: [https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/205566129 - Raise-Hand-In-Webinar](https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/205566129-Raise-Hand-In-Webinar)

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
 - Roll Call, Introductions, & Announcements
 - Review of Agenda
2. Open Forum
3. Adoption of OFCY Continuing Resolution 1 to Continue Conducting POC Meetings by Teleconference due to COVID-19 Public Health Emergency *Action*
4. Approval of Prior Meeting Minutes from January 19th, 2022 *Action*
5. Review of Mid-Year Program Progress towards Performance Benchmarks *Informational*
6. Briefing on OFCY Request for Proposals for FY 2022-2025 *Informational*
7. Administrative Matters
 - General Announcements
 - Upcoming Meetings
8. Adjournment



MINUTES TO BE APPROVED
Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY)
Planning and Oversight Committee (POC) Meeting

January 19th, 2022– 6:00pm-9:00pm
Zoom Teleconference

Committee Members present: Bill Riley, Avi Rose, Pecolia Manigo, Jorge Velasco, Tasion Kwamilele

Committee Members absent: Kimberly Aceves, Shamail Waqia, Peter Lê, Dwayne Davis, Anakarita Allen

Staff Members present: Mike Wetzel, Children and Youth Services Division Manager; Scott Kim, Program Analyst; Kaitlin Forgash, Administrative Assistant

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:09 p.m. by Co-Chair Tasion Kwamilele.

New POC member Avi Rose representing District 1 introduced himself. Member Rose has lived in Oakland for the last 30 years and recently retired as Executive Director of Jewish Family & Community Services East Bay.

2. Open Forum

David Hunt, Executive and Artistic Director of Prescott Circus, thanked OFCY for their support of their summer program throughout COVID-19, particularly in 2021 when they returned to in person programming.

Assata Olugbala emphasized that Black/African American children in Oakland are not currently experiencing equity in education and programming and that needs to change.

3. Adoption of OFCY Continuing Resolution 1 to Continue Conducting POC Meetings by Teleconference due to COVID-19 Public Health Emergency

Co-Chair Kwamilele called for a motion to adopt Continuing Resolution 1 as submitted. Bill Riley so motioned and Member Rose seconded. A roll call of the vote followed and the motion passed unanimously.

4. Approval of Prior Meeting Minutes from October 27th, 2021

Co-Chair Kwamilele called for a motion to accept the prior minutes from October 27th, 2021 as submitted. Member Riley so motioned and Jorge Velasco seconded. A roll call of the vote followed and the motion passed unanimously with 1 abstention.

5. Approval of the OFCY Independent FY2020-2021 Evaluation Report Prepared by Social Policy Research Associates (SPR)

Mika Clark of Social Policy Research Associates (SPR) presented on the OFCY Independent FY2020-2021 Evaluation Report. The report consists of the fund-level report, strategy-level reports, and program level reports. Profiles are written for each program. The report evaluated administrative records, surveys, and interviews. The report shows that over 15,000 youth participated in OFCY programs in 2020-21; 51% were Hispanic or Latinx and 27% were Black or



MINUTES TO BE APPROVED

Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY)
Planning and Oversight Committee (POC) Meeting

January 19th, 2022– 6:00pm–9:00pm

Zoom Teleconference

African American. OFCY served roughly 20% of Oakland's Black and Latinx children and youth and 14% of Asian youth.

The evaluation noted a drop in enrollment from 2019-2020 to 2020-2021 due to the COVID-19 public health emergency. Programs experienced challenges enrolling young people in virtual programming and there were fewer recruitment opportunities, though parent and caregiver enrollment was up. OFCY programs provided over a million hours of service, with an average of 103 hours per youth. Programs showed creativity in adapting to circumstances imposed by COVID-19, and on the whole met their enrollment and attendance projections. The overwhelming majority of youth responded to surveys stating that they felt safe in their programs, were interested in program activities, and agreed that there was an adult at their program who cared about them. In addition, the evaluation highlighted outcomes including youth placement in internships and jobs, increased youth confidence regarding attending college, and increased confidence and gaining of skills that helped children and youth with their schoolwork.

There were two public comments on the agenda item. Assata Olugbala expressed that surveys and interviews were not acceptable measures of program success and that more needed to be captured, such as acquisition of certification. Boun Khamnouane of East Bay Consortium of Educational Institutions expressed his appreciation for the evaluation work and shared that the surveys helped his agency and program to better understand their students' needs and make programmatic adjustments year-to-year.

Co-Chair Kwamilele called for a motion to approve the FY 2020-2021 Evaluation Report as submitted. Member Velasco so motioned and Pecolia Manigo seconded. A roll call of the vote followed and the motion passed unanimously.

6. Update on the Development and Release of a Request for Proposals for Direct Services for Children and Youth for FY 2022-2025

CYS Manager Mike Wetzel and Program Analyst Scott Kim updated the POC on the status of the Request for Proposals (RFP) for the FY 2022-2025 funding cycle. The RFP was released on January 10th and the deadline is February 22nd. OFCY will send out emails with reminders about the deadline. A Bidders Conference with over 250 attendees was held on January 19th; and a recording was made available on the RFP page on www.ofcy.org. OFCY will host two RFP Technical Assistance Workshops on January 27th and February 3rd. The application is completed and submitted online.

New grants will be awarded starting on July 1st (June 1st for summer programs) for 1 year, with grants renewed in years two and three based on POC approval. The proposals will go through a



MINUTES TO BE APPROVED

Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY)
Planning and Oversight Committee (POC) Meeting

January 19th, 2022– 6:00pm-9:00pm

Zoom Teleconference

staff technical review and reader scoring prior to consideration by an ad-hoc POC Review Subcommittee. Recommendations from the ad-hoc subcommittee will then be presented to the full POC for approval in April, and then a funding package will be presented to City Council for their approval.

There was one public speaker on the item. Assata Olugbala asked if the RFP will address organizational staffing issues, if training or professional development is required, and if there are equity requirements for staffing, as well as expressed concerns that some organizations repeatedly receive grant awards.

7. Administrative Matters

The next POC meeting is scheduled for March 2nd. Liston Hulse, Program Analyst, left OFCY in January, and Sandy Taylor retired as CYS Manager in December. Mike Wetzel is the Acting Children and Youth Services (CYS) Division Manager. Kimberly Aceves has left her position as POC Representative and was thanked for her service. The POC is actively recruiting and looking to have a full roster as OFCY enters the proposal review and package approval stages of the RFP. The POC will be forming an ad-hoc RFP Review subcommittee in March to work on grant selection in spring 2022; any POC members who are interested in joining the Review Subcommittee should send a message to Co-Chair Kwamilele.

8. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 7:24 p.m.



TO: Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY) Planning and Oversight Committee
FROM: OFCY Staff,
DATE: March 2, 2022
SUBJECT: OFCY Continuing Resolution 1 – Meeting by Teleconferencing

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY) Planning and Oversight Committee (POC) continue to adopt OFCY Continuing Resolution 1 during each POC meeting until it is safe to return to in-person meetings. Staff advises that conducting in-person meetings of the POC and its committees would present imminent risks to attendees' health, and electing to continue conducting meetings using teleconferencing in accordance with California Government Code Section 54953(e), a provision of AB-361, is in the best interest of public health.

BACKGROUND

Assembly Bill 361 amended provisions of the Brown Act that allows local jurisdictions to meet by teleconference provided the legislative body adopts specific findings in compliance with the new law. The City Attorney's Office has drafted a Resolution for the City Council, and all Oakland Boards and Commissions are instructed to adopt this same Resolution so they may continue meeting via teleconference.

The City Administrator in their capacity as the Director of the Emergency Operations Center (EOC), issued a proclamation of local emergency due to the spread of COVID-19 in Oakland, and on March 12, 2020, the City Council passed Resolution No. 88075 C.M.S. ratifying the proclamation of local emergency pursuant to Oakland Municipal Code (O.M.C.) section 8.50.050, which currently still remains in full effect.

Currently, the City's public-meeting facilities are indoor facilities that are not designed to ensure circulation of fresh/outdoor air, particularly during periods of cold and/or rainy weather, and were not designed to ensure that attendees can remain six (6) feet apart. Holding in-person meetings encourages community members to come to City facilities and would potentially put the public at high risk of getting very sick from COVID-19. Based on these determinations and consistent with federal, state and local health guidance, conducting in-person meetings would pose imminent risks to the health of attendees.

Staff advises that conducting in-person meetings of the POC and its committees would present imminent risks to attendees' health, and electing to continue conducting meetings using teleconferencing in accordance with California Government Code Section 54953(e), a provision of AB-361, is in the best interest of public health.

The POC first adopted OFCY Continuing Resolution 1 to continue meeting by teleconference on October 13, 2021. To continue meeting by teleconference the POC must adopt Resolution 1 each time that the full committee meets, and OFCY staff recommends that the Members do so. In-person meetings will resume when the state of emergency related to COVID-19 has lifted, or when the POC finds that in-person meetings no longer pose imminent risk to the health of attendees.

OAKLAND CHILDREN'S FUND PLANNING AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

RESOLUTION NO. 1

ADOPT A RESOLUTION DETERMINING THAT CONDUCTING IN-PERSON MEETINGS OF THE OAKLAND CHILDREN'S FUND PLANNING AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND ITS COMMITTEES WOULD PRESENT IMMINENT RISKS TO ATTENDEES' HEALTH, AND ELECTING TO CONTINUE CONDUCTING MEETINGS USING TELECONFERENCING IN ACCORDANCE WITH CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953(e), A PROVISION OF AB-361.

WHEREAS, on March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom declared a state of emergency related to COVID-19, pursuant to Government Code Section 8625, and such declaration has not been lifted or rescinded. *See* <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/3.4.20-Coronavirus-SOE-Proclamation.pdf>

WHEREAS, on March 9, 2020, the City Administrator in their capacity as the Director of the Emergency Operations Center (EOC), issued a proclamation of local emergency due to the spread of COVID-19 in Oakland, and on March 12, 2020, the City Council passed Resolution No. 88075 C.M.S. ratifying the proclamation of local emergency pursuant to Oakland Municipal Code (O.M.C.) section 8.50.050(C); and

WHEREAS, City Council Resolution No. 88075 remains in full force and effect to date; and

WHEREAS, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) recommends physical distancing of at least six (6) feet whenever possible, avoiding crowds, and avoiding spaces that do not offer fresh air from the outdoors, particularly for people who are not fully vaccinated or who are at higher risk of getting very sick from COVID-19. *See* <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html>;

WHEREAS, the CDC recommends that people who live with unvaccinated people avoid activities that make physical distancing hard. *See* <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/your-health/about-covid-19/caring-for-children/families.html>;

WHEREAS, the CDC recommends that older adults limit in-person interactions as much as possible, particularly when indoors. *See* <https://www.cdc.gov/aging/covid19/covid19-older-adults.html>;

WHEREAS, the CDC, the California Department of Public Health, and the Alameda County Public Health Department all recommend that people experiencing COVID-19 symptoms stay home. *See* <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>;

WHEREAS, persons without symptoms may be able to spread the COVID-19 virus. *See* <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html>;

WHEREAS, fully vaccinated persons who become infected with the COVID-19 Delta variant can spread the virus to others. *See* <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/fully-vaccinated.html>;

WHEREAS, the City's public-meeting facilities are indoor facilities that were not designed to ensure circulation of fresh / outdoor air, particularly during periods of cold and/or rainy weather, and were not designed to ensure that attendees can remain six (6) feet apart; now therefore be it:

WHEREAS, holding in-person meetings would encourage community members to come to City facilities to participate in local government, and some of them would be at high risk of getting very sick from COVID-19 and/or would live with someone who is at high risk; and

WHEREAS, in-person meetings would tempt community members who are experiencing COVID-19 symptoms to leave their homes in order to come to City facilities and participate in local government; and

WHEREAS, attendees would use ride-share services and/or public transit to travel to in-person meetings, thereby putting them in close and prolonged contact with additional people outside of their households; and

WHEREAS, on October 13, 2021, October 27, 2021, and January 19, 2022, the Oakland Children's Fund Planning and Oversight Committee adopted a resolution determining that conducting in-person meetings would present imminent risks to attendees' health, and electing to continue conducting meetings using teleconferencing in accordance with California Government Code Section 54953(e), a provision of AB-361; now therefore be it:

RESOLVED: that the Oakland Children's Fund Planning and Oversight Committee finds and determines that the foregoing recitals are true and correct and hereby adopts and incorporates them into this Resolution; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: that, based on these determinations and consistent with federal, state and local health guidance, the Oakland Children's Fund Planning and Oversight Committee determines that conducting in-person meetings would pose imminent risks to the health of attendees; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: that the Oakland Children's Fund Planning and Oversight Committee firmly believes that the community's health and safety seriously and the community's

right to participate in local government, are both critically important, and is committed to balancing the two by continuing to use teleconferencing to conduct public meetings, in accordance with California Government Code Section 54953(e), a provision of AB-361; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: that the Oakland Children's Fund Planning and Oversight Committee will renew these (or similar) findings at least every thirty (30) days in accordance with California Government Code section 54953(e) until the state of emergency related to COVID-19 has been lifted, or the Oakland Children's Fund Planning and Oversight Committee finds that in-person meetings no longer pose imminent risks to the health of attendees, whichever occurs first.



OFCY GRANT RENEWAL POLICY – FY2019-2022

OFCY’s Grant Renewal Policy is based on the ability of programs to meet minimum requirements for satisfactory performance and grant compliance, based on the mid-year and third quarter program review.

Satisfactory performance is defined as fully achieving (100%) the annual benchmarks for client enrollment and hours of services to be provided set for each program.

Minimum satisfactory performance is defined as achieving 80% of the annual benchmarks set for each program.

Grant compliance is defined as submitting accurate and complete reports by deadline, submitting no more than one late report annually, and meeting contractual obligations¹.

Grant Renewal Performance Benchmarks

| Minimum Satisfactory Performance – Enrollment (% of Annual Goal) | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| Summer Programs | 80% | - | - | - |
| All Other Strategies | - | 40% | 60% | 80% |

| Minimum Satisfactory Performance – Hours of Service (% of Cumulative Quarterly Goal) | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| Summer Programs | 80% | - | - | - |
| All Other Strategies | - | 80% | 80% | 80% |

Programs falling below performance standards at the mid-year review will be monitored by OFCY staff to ensure improvements in programming and progress towards projections. This may include taking steps towards corrective action to address performance shortfalls. Programs identified at the mid-year review as falling below standards will have their performance further reviewed at the completion of the third quarter, with programs’ progress as a consideration in the staff funding renewal recommendation.

Programs that fall below standards at the mid-year and third quarter review may not be recommended for renewal funding. Staff may also recommend revisions to a program’s budget and/or scope of work prior as a consideration for a renewal. Programs will have the opportunity to provide comments to the OFCY Planning and Oversight Committee (POC) in a public meeting. The POC will make a final recommendation to the City Council concerning grant renewals.

¹ The OFCY grant contract includes agreements covering evaluation and monitoring, publicity, conflict of interest, non-discrimination/equal employment practices, and other items.



Oakland Fund for Children and Youth

FY2021-2022 Mid-Year Results-Based Accountability Results

Program Achievements – How much did OFCY programs accomplish?

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Unduplicated Number of Youth Served | 14,165 |
| Unduplicated Number of Parents/Caregivers Served | 1,379 |
| Total Hours of Service Provided | 1,730,870 |
| Number of Youth Placed in Jobs or Internships | 1,153 |
| Total Hours of Work Experience | 99,533 |
| Total Earned by Youth in Workforce Programs | \$1,285,445 |
| Agencies Funded | 77 |
| Programs Funded | 149 |
| Afterschool Programs Funded | 57 |
| Parent Support Programs Funded | 10 |
| Early Childhood Mental Health Consultation Programs Funded | 3 |
| Family Resource Centers Funded | 6 |
| Community Programs for Children and Youth | 48 |
| Summer Programs | 10 |
| Youth Workforce Programs | 15 |
| Elementary and Middle Schools Receiving In-School Support Programming | 57 |
| High Schools Receiving In-School Support Programming | 12 |

Program Quality - How well did OFCY programs do it?¹

| | |
|--|------------|
| Enrollment: Average progress toward the number of youth programs projected to serve during FY21-22 | 92% |
| Average progress toward the number of parents and caregivers programs projected to serve during FY21-22 | 61% |
| Total Hours of Service: Average progress toward the hours of service programs projected to provide during Q1-Q2 | 97% |
| Safety: Percent of youth who report feeling safe in program | 91% |
| Caring Adults: Percent of youth who respond that there is an adult at the program who cares about them | 84% |
| Positive Engagement: Percent of youth who respond that they are interested in the program | 88% |
| Supportive environment: Percent of parents who say staff make them feel comfortable and supported | 93% |
| Diversity and inclusion: Percent of parents who say staff work well with families of different backgrounds | 88% |

Participant Outcomes – Is anyone better off?

| | |
|---|------------|
| Youth Leadership: Percent of youth who view themselves more as a leader | 55% |
| Community Connectedness: Percent of youth who feel more connected to their community | 63% |
| Career Goals: Percent of youth who learned about jobs they can have in the future | 90% |
| Employment Skills: Percent of youth who learned what is expected in work setting | 93% |
| Interpersonal Skills: Percent of youth who feel they know how to get along with others in a work setting | 92% |
| Knowledge of development: Percent of parents who say the program helped them identify their child's needs | 88% |
| Connection to resources: Percent of parents/caregivers who report that staff refer them to other organizations | 88% |

¹ Program quality and participant outcome scores that draw on youth survey results only include programs that operate exclusively in the summer and have closed their surveys as of 12/31/2021. Surveys for year-round programs were still open when this report was produced.

Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY)

FY2021-2022 Mid-Year Program Accomplishments

Notes: Every year, OFCY programs project the number of units of service that they will provide every quarter and the total number of participants that they intend to serve in the fiscal year. By the end of Quarter 2 of the fiscal year, programs should meet 80% of their expected units of service for the first two quarters of the year and at least 40% of the number of participants that they projected serving throughout the year. Programs in the Summer Programming strategy finished programming by the end of Quarter 2 and are expected to have met 80% of their project enrollment and units of service. The following tables detail program progress for the first two quarters of the FY2021-2022, organized by strategy. Cells highlighted in orange indicate that the program did not meet this threshold.

Socioemotional Well-being in Preschool and Early Childhood Education Settings

This strategy connects consultants who are early childhood mental health professionals with early childhood education settings to build the capacity of teachers and families to promote the social, emotional, and behavioral health of children. Early childhood education consultants support preschool teachers on how to work with children who have high needs, respond appropriately to behavioral issues, and prevent, identify, and reduce the impact of trauma affecting mental health and developmental challenges among young children.

| Agency | Program | Units of Service | | |
|---|--|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Family Paths, Inc. | Early Childhood Mental Health Consultation Collaborative | 853 | 1,352 | 63% |
| Jewish Family & Community Services East Bay | Integrated Early Childhood Consultation Program | 1,460 | 1,826 | 80% |
| Lincoln | Early Child Mental Health Consultation (ECMHC) | 855 | 672 | 127% |

Parent Engagement and Support

This strategy creates and expands programs to strengthen the capacity of parents and caregivers to support the healthy development of their children through services offered in community-based settings and is aligned with the family engagement initiatives of Head Start, First 5 of Alameda County, OUSD, Alameda County and community organizations across Oakland.

| Agency | Program | Youth Served | | | Adults Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|--|---|--------------|-----------|----------|---------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Alameda County Health Care Services Agency | Oakland WIC Father Cafes | 0 | 15 | 0% | 34 | 75 | 45% | 404 | 600 | 67% |
| City of Oakland Parks Recreation & Youth Development | Community Adventure Pre-K Playgroups (CAPP) | 25 | 30 | 83% | 0 | 30 | 0% | 408 | 168 | 243% |
| Family Paths, Inc. | Abriendo Puertas/Opening Doors Parent Education | 0 | 0 | n/a | 5 | 40 | 13% | 30 | 150 | 20% |
| LifeLong Medical Care | Project Pride | 17 | 20 | 85% | 25 | 40 | 63% | 1,281 | 2,880 | 44% |
| Oakland Promise | Oakland Promise: Brilliant Baby | 0 | 0 | n/a | 354 | 350 | 101% | 1,789 | 1,520 | 118% |
| Oakland Unified School District | Kindergarten Readiness-Summer PreK | 30 | 28 | 107% | 34 | 0 | n/a | 2,055 | 1,576 | 130% |
| Our Family Coalition | Building Strong Children in LGBTQ Families | 9 | 10 | 90% | 11 | 20 | 55% | 132 | 192 | 69% |
| Prescott-Joseph Center for Community Enhancement, Inc. | Fr. Charles D. Burns, SVD Pre-Pre-School Program | 21 | 25 | 84% | 12 | 20 | 60% | 468 | 2,543 | 18% |
| Refugee & Immigrant Transitions (RIT) | Parent & Tot Initiative (PTI) | 36 | 100 | 36% | 125 | 150 | 83% | 3,914 | 3,878 | 101% |
| SAFE PASSAGES | Safe Passages Baby Learning Communities Collaborative | 205 | 300 | 68% | 204 | 350 | 58% | 2,916 | 1,392 | 210% |

Family Resource Centers

This strategy invests in creating and expanding access to Family Resource Centers (FRCs). FRCs are welcoming centers in the community that offer comprehensive services and a range of activities and opportunities to meet the needs of families where they live to support the healthy developmental and learning of young children.

| Agency | Program | Youth Served | | | Adults Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|----------|---------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| BANANAS, Inc | Healthy Havenscourt Early Care & Kinder Readiness Hub | 175 | 400 | 44% | 151 | 400 | 38% | 2,214 | 1,616 | 137% |
| East Bay Agency for Children | Central Family Resource Center | - | 8 | 0% | 22 | 70 | 31% | 264 | 1,770 | 15% |
| East Bay Agency for Children | Hawthorne Family Resource Center | 25 | 45 | 56% | 61 | 60 | 102% | 2,858 | 3,238 | 88% |
| Lincoln | New Highland Academy and Rise Community School (NH/R) | 86 | 200 | 43% | 92 | 280 | 33% | 2,500 | 2,109 | 119% |
| Lotus Bloom | Multicultural Family Resource Centers | 93 | 260 | 36% | 171 | 210 | 81% | 2,617 | 5,249 | 50% |
| Lotus Bloom | School Readiness Playgroups | 30 | 80 | 38% | 101 | 75 | 135% | 752 | 2,240 | 34% |

Engagement and Success for Elementary and Middle School Students

This strategy connects consultants who are early childhood mental health professionals with early childhood education settings to build the capacity of teachers and families to promote the social, emotional, and behavioral health of children. Early childhood education consultants support preschool teachers on how to work with children who have high needs, respond appropriately to behavioral issues, and prevent, identify, and reduce the impact of trauma affecting mental health and developmental challenges among young children.

| Program | Agency | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Chapter 510 Ink | Writing to Readiness | 20 | 21 | 95% | 220 | 270 | 81% |
| Destiny Arts Center | Arts in Oakland Schools | 483 | 520 | 93% | 8,217 | 19,948 | 41% |
| Lincoln | West Oakland Initiative (WOI) | 49 | 50 | 98% | 628 | 505 | 124% |
| S.P.A.A.T. (Student Program For Academic And Athletic Transitioning) | Athletes CODE (TAC) MS Engagement | 169 | 200 | 85% | 16,598 | 27,700 | 60% |
| SAFE PASSAGES | Elev8 Youth | 131 | 210 | 62% | 40,225 | 59,275 | 68% |

Comprehensive Afterschool Programs

This strategy funds a lead agency to coordinate comprehensive afterschool academic and enrichment activities at Oakland public school sites, which will serve as a single-point-of-access to programming for students in grades K-8.

| School | Agency | Grade Level | Average Daily Attendance | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Achieve Academy | East Bay Agency for Children | Elementary | 45 | 85 | 100 | 85% | 11,637 | 21,729 | 54% |
| Acorn Woodland Elementary | Girls Incorporated of Alameda County | Elementary | 66 | 83 | 120 | 69% | 16,804 | 29,131 | 58% |
| Allendale Elementary School | Girls Incorporated of Alameda County | Elementary | 44 | 59 | 120 | 49% | 13,337 | 32,130 | 42% |
| ASCEND | Oakland Leaf Foundation | K-8 | 87 | 130 | 120 | 108% | 23,527 | 33,865 | 69% |
| Bella Vista Elementary School | East Bay Asian Youth Center | Elementary | 72 | 91 | 50 | 182% | 20,531 | 20,640 | 99% |
| Bret Harte Middle School | Oakland Leaf Foundation | Middle | 84 | 143 | 140 | 102% | 19,459 | 41,760 | 47% |

| School | Agency | Grade Level | Average Daily Attendance | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|---|--|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Bridges Academy | Girls Incorporated of Alameda County | Elementary | 61 | 97 | 120 | 81% | 17,333 | 29,478 | 59% |
| Brookfield Elementary | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 49 | 70 | 100 | 70% | 10,221 | 60,200 | 17% |
| Burckhalter Elementary | Girls Incorporated of Alameda County | Elementary | 60 | 88 | 120 | 73% | 15,148 | 32,558 | 47% |
| Carl B. Munck Elementary | UJIMAA FOUNDATION | Elementary | 81 | 100 | 120 | 83% | 22,216 | <i>Not submitted</i> | <i>n/a</i> |
| Coliseum College Prep Academy | SAFE PASSAGES | Middle | 259 | 362 | 200 | 181% | 63,036 | 61,875 | 102% |
| Community School for Creative Education | Attitudinal Healing Connection | K-8 | 66 | 184 | 90 | 204% | 16,652 | 16,615 | 100% |
| East Oakland Pride Elementary | Higher Ground Neighborhood Development Corp. | Elementary | 76 | 106 | 100 | 106% | 24,793 | 26,000 | 95% |
| Edna Brewer Middle School | East Bay Asian Youth Center | Middle | 84 | 100 | 80 | 125% | 21,774 | 25,800 | 84% |
| Elmhurst Community Prep | Bay Area Community Resources | Middle | 77 | 220 | 165 | 133% | 24,230 | 42,441 | 57% |
| Emerson Elementary | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 42 | 82 | 100 | 82% | 32,569 | 24,983 | 130% |

| School | Agency | Grade Level | Average Daily Attendance | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| EnCompass Academy | Oakland Leaf Foundation | Elementary | 65 | 103 | 84 | 123% | 19,004 | 21,924 | 87% |
| Esperanza Elementary | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 53 | 98 | 100 | 98% | 11,719 | 25,800 | 45% |
| Franklin Elementary School | East Bay Asian Youth Center | Elementary | 91 | 128 | 70 | 183% | 21,308 | 25,800 | 83% |
| Fred T. Korematsu Discovery Academy | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 47 | 78 | 100 | 78% | 11,266 | 25,800 | 44% |
| Frick Middle School | East Bay Asian Youth Center | Middle | 74 | 125 | 50 | 250% | 17,101 | 25,800 | 66% |
| Fruitvale Elementary | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 39 | 65 | 100 | 65% | 11,558 | 23,199 | 50% |
| Garfield Elementary School | East Bay Asian Youth Center | Elementary | 127 | 172 | 80 | 215% | 42,462 | 28,380 | 150% |
| Global Family | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 48 | 88 | 115 | 77% | 25,118 | 27,950 | 90% |
| Grass Valley Elementary | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 39 | 48 | 100 | 48% | 10,173 | 30,100 | 34% |
| Greenleaf Elementary | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 58 | 89 | 100 | 89% | 14,009 | 23,199 | 60% |

| School | Agency | Grade Level | Average Daily Attendance | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Hoover Elementary | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 75 | 126 | 138 | 91% | 25,543 | 35,518 | 72% |
| Horace Mann Elementary | Girls Incorporated of Alameda County | Elementary | 35 | 51 | 120 | 43% | 9,534 | 29,988 | 32% |
| International Community School | Oakland Leaf Foundation | Elementary | 48 | 76 | 84 | 90% | 15,995 | 21,924 | 73% |
| La Escuelita Elementary | Girls Incorporated of Alameda County | K-8 | 65 | 84 | 160 | 53% | 18,355 | 38,842 | 47% |
| Laurel Elementary | SAFE PASSAGES | Elementary | 86 | 120 | 110 | 109% | 26,114 | 26,488 | 99% |
| Lazear Charter Academy | East Bay Asian Youth Center | K-8 | 64 | 75 | 60 | 125% | 17,121 | 23,220 | 74% |
| Learning Without Limits | Oakland Leaf Foundation | Elementary | 96 | 127 | 84 | 151% | 27,641 | 23,450 | 118% |
| LIFE Academy | Bay Area Community Resources | 6-12 | 46 | 98 | 200 | 49% | 10,145 | 31,410 | 32% |
| Lighthouse Community Charter School | Lighthouse Community Public Schools | K-8 | 148 | 199 | 180 | 111% | 35,728 | 37,800 | 95% |
| Lincoln Elementary School | East Bay Asian Youth Center | Elementary | 109 | 133 | 80 | 166% | 31,895 | 30,960 | 103% |
| Lockwood STEAM Academy | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | unknown | 100 | unknown | n/a | 23,125 | unknown | n/a |

| School | Agency | Grade Level | Average Daily Attendance | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Madison Park Academy TK-5 | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 58 | 100 | 100 | 100% | 14,988 | 30,100 | 50% |
| Madison Park Academy 6-12 | Bay Area Community Resources | 6-12 | 46 | 92 | 148 | 62% | 11,775 | 44,548 | 26% |
| Manzanita Community School | East Bay Asian Youth Center | Elementary | 67 | 87 | 50 | 174% | 20,878 | 20,640 | 101% |
| Manzanita SEED | East Bay Asian Youth Center | Elementary | 71 | 98 | 60 | 163% | 36,109 | 20,640 | 175% |
| Markham Elementary | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 67 | 114 | 100 | 114% | 12,188 | 30,100 | 40% |
| Martin Luther King, Jr. Elementary | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 98 | 127 | 165 | 77% | 79,993 | 42,140 | 190% |
| New Highland Academy | East Bay Agency for Children | Elementary | 34 | 50 | 100 | 50% | 9,152 | 22,680 | 40% |
| Oakland Academy of Knowledge (OAK) | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 63 | 89 | 100 | 89% | 24,056 | 30,100 | 80% |
| Parker Elementary | Girls Incorporated of Alameda County | K-8 | 56 | 94 | 160 | 59% | 22,893 | 40,460 | 57% |
| Piedmont Avenue Elementary School | Young Men's Christian Association of The East Bay | Elementary | 54 | 84 | 83 | 101% | 15,504 | 23,199 | 67% |

| School | Agency | Grade Level | Average Daily Attendance | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|----------------------------|---|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Prescott | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 45 | 56 | 75 | 75% | 11,121 | 22,575 | 49% |
| Reach Academy | Young Men's Christian Association of The East Bay | Elementary | 46 | 72 | 83 | 87% | 11,726 | 23,199 | 51% |
| Rise Community School | East Bay Agency for Children | Elementary | 31 | 53 | 100 | 53% | 8,867 | 22,680 | 39% |
| Roosevelt Middle School | East Bay Asian Youth Center | Middle | 98 | 132 | 130 | 102% | 52,447 | 30,960 | 169% |
| Sankofa Academy | Bay Area Community Resources | Elementary | 88 | 148 | 165 | 90% | 26,201 | 44,849 | 58% |
| Think College Now | Oakland Leaf Foundation | Elementary | 45 | 88 | 84 | 105% | 15,268 | 21,924 | 70% |
| United For Success Academy | SAFE PASSAGES | Middle | 49 | 103 | 120 | 86% | 12,182 | 20,425 | 60% |
| Urban Promise Academy | East Bay Asian Youth Center | Middle | 122 | 184 | 60 | 307% | 31,400 | 25,800 | 122% |
| West Oakland Middle School | Girls Incorporated of Alameda County | Middle | 50 | 108 | 80 | 135% | 12,296 | 16,762 | 73% |
| Westlake Middle School | Citizen Schools, Inc. | Middle | 59 | 100 | 120 | 83% | 32,872 | 27,306 | 120% |

Summer Programming

This strategy supports high quality summer programming and directs funding to school- and community-based programs with an asset-based youth development approach to promoting learning and peer and social connection. Programming will provide

opportunities for enrichment, exploration, and new experiences that build confidence, self-esteem, and other important life skills in a safe and supportive environment.

| Agency | Program | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | | Average Hours of Service | | |
|--|---|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Aim High Oakland | Aim High for High School | 192 | 225 | 85% | 15,586 | 21,565 | 72% | 81 | 96 | 85% |
| Summer Gains | Boys & Girls Clubs of Oakland, Inc. | 148 | 525 | 28% | 43,840 | 18,920 | 232% | 296 | 36 | 822% |
| Oakland Fine Art Summer School (OFASS) | City of Oakland Parks Recreation & Youth Development | 113 | 140 | 81% | 8,737 | 9,662 | 90% | 77 | 69 | 112% |
| Camp Thrive | East Bay Asian Youth Center | 181 | 150 | 121% | 13,090 | 15,040 | 87% | 72 | 100 | 72% |
| Pre-Collegiate Academy | East Bay Consortium of Educational Institutions, Inc. | 72 | 70 | 103% | 4,726 | 3,313 | 143% | 66 | 47 | 139% |
| Summer Cultural Enrichment Program | East Oakland Youth Development Center | 104 | 80 | 130% | 10,142 | 10,647 | 95% | 98 | 133 | 73% |
| Kinship Summer Youth Program | Family Support Services | 37 | 40 | 93% | 2,740 | 4,575 | 60% | 74 | 114 | 65% |
| Concordia Summer | Girls Incorporated of Alameda County | 58 | 100 | 58% | 2,634 | 5,130 | 51% | 45 | 51 | 89% |
| Oakland Freedom Schools (OFS) | Lincoln | 71 | 100 | 71% | 2,470 | 1,040 | 238% | 35 | 10 | 335% |
| Prescott Circus Theatre Summer Program | Prescott Circus Theatre | 28 | 15 | 187% | 4,380 | 2,501 | 175% | 156 | 167 | 94% |

Youth Development and Leadership

The strategy supports youth development and leadership programming that takes places year-round, during the school year, and in summer. Funding will support activities that encourage youth to develop leadership skills, engage in their communities, participate in arts programming to support personal and cultural identify and growth, and participate in enrichment activities including sports,

technology, nature exploration, and other activities that build on youth’s strengths to build positive peer and adult relationships and develop program-solving skills.

| Agency | Program | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|--|--|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Alameda County Health Care Services Agency | ACCASA Mentors for Oakland Youth in Foster Care | 25 | 28 | 89% | 1,038 | 609 | 170% |
| American Indian Child Resource Center | Culture Keepers | 26 | 34 | 76% | 1,270 | 2,652 | 48% |
| Asian Pacific Environmental Network | AYPAL: Building API Community Power Youth Development and Leadership | 79 | 100 | 79% | 6,777 | 8,370 | 81% |
| Attitudinal Healing Connection | West Oakland Legacy Project | 163 | 300 | 54% | 3,206 | 3,812 | 84% |
| Bay Area Girls Rock Camp | Girls Rock Summer Camp & Girls Rock After School Program | 9 | 7 | 129% | 261 | 167 | 156% |
| Bay Area Outreach & Recreation Program | Sports & Recreation for Youth with Disabilities | 22 | 40 | 55% | 728 | 1,276 | 57% |
| Bay Area SCORES | Oakland SCORES | 259 | 347 | 75% | 5,362 | 7,436 | 72% |
| Brothers on the Rise | Brothers, UNITE! | 36 | 95 | 38% | 2,673 | 2,284 | 117% |
| Communities United for Restorative Youth Justice | CURYJ Leadership Development | 18 | 40 | 45% | 336 | 1,609 | 21% |
| Community Works West | Project WHAT! | 5 | 20 | 25% | 139 | 820 | 17% |
| Covenant House California | DreamCatcher Youth Program | 60 | 125 | 48% | 3,130 | 287 | 1091% |
| Dimensions Dance Theater | Rites of Passage | 75 | 120 | 63% | 4,161 | 3,140 | 133% |
| East Bay Asian Local Development Corporation | Lion's Pride | 52 | 150 | 35% | 3,877 | 2,568 | 151% |
| East Oakland Youth Development Center | K-8 Year-Round Youth Development | 95 | 110 | 86% | 9,324 | 17,213 | 54% |
| EastSide Arts Alliance | Youth Community Culture Builders | 40 | 60 | 67% | 2,160 | 6,213 | 35% |
| First Place for Youth | Young Adult Leadership Program (YALP) | 196 | 200 | 98% | 1,805 | 2,296 | 79% |
| Fresh Lifelines for Youth, Inc. | FLY Mentoring and Leadership Services | 23 | 20 | 115% | 564 | 565 | 100% |

| Agency | Program | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|--|--|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Friends of Peralta Hacienda Historical Park | Peralta Hacienda Youth Programs | 165 | 405 | 41% | 11,239 | 10,715 | 105% |
| Health Initiatives for Youth | Leadership in Diversity | 17 | 24 | 71% | 105 | 100 | 105% |
| La Clinica de La Raza, Inc. | Youth Brigade | 31 | 180 | 17% | 514 | 1,175 | 44% |
| Motivating, Inspiring, Supporting and Serving Sexually Exploited Youth | STAR Leadership Collaborative | 17 | 20 | 85% | 154 | 193 | 80% |
| Music is eXtraordinary, Inc | Explorations in Music | 43 | 75 | 57% | 1,094 | 1,583 | 69% |
| Native American Health Center, Inc. | Indigenous Youth Leadership Development Program | 212 | 170 | 125% | 12,199 | 8,797 | 139% |
| Oakland Kids First | REAL HARD- Youth Leadership Program | 95 | 60 | 158% | 4,058 | 4,428 | 92% |
| Oakland Leaf Foundation | Oakland Leaf Internship Program | 31 | 30 | 103% | 1,825 | 4,620 | 40% |
| Oakland LGBTQ Community Center | LGBTQ Youth Development Program | 62 | 80 | 78% | 583 | 616 | 95% |
| Oakland Public Education Fund | Youth Beat | 137 | 140 | 98% | 5,624 | 6,183 | 91% |
| Project Avary | Leadership Program for Children with Incarcerated Parents | 35 | 30 | 117% | 3,182 | 3,238 | 98% |
| Refugee & Immigrant Transitions (RIT) | Newcomer Community Engagement Program (NCEP) | 688 | 550 | 125% | 15,210 | 10,020 | 152% |
| SAFE PASSAGES | Get Active | 81 | 85 | 95% | 4,234 | 3,733 | 113% |
| Spanish Speaking Unity Council of Alameda County, Inc. | The Latinx Mentoring & Achievement (LMA) | 71 | 75 | 95% | 3,274 | 4,488 | 73% |
| The East Bay Spanish Speaking Citizen's Foundation | LIBRE (Leading the Independence of our Barrios for Raza Empowerment) | 46 | 60 | 77% | 3,060 | 2,244 | 136% |
| The Hidden Genius Project | Oakland Programming Series | 41 | 190 | 22% | 7,553 | 5,870 | 129% |
| Youth Alive | Teens on Target Youth Leadership | 51 | 37 | 138% | 2,211 | 1,680 | 132% |
| Youth Together | Youth Leadership Development Program | 321 | 165 | 195% | 2,927 | 2,142 | 137% |

High School and Postsecondary Student Success

This strategy supports high school and postsecondary student success and persistence by funding school and community-based programming designed to support achievements in learning, increase youth attachment to school, and facilitate older youth transitions into high school and postsecondary education. It directs funding to culturally responsive strategies that address the needs of older youth by helping to strengthen their skills to support their academic success and well-being.

| Agency | Program | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress |
| Catholic Charities of the East Bay | Experience Hope | 43 | 45 | 96% | 393 | 458 | 86% |
| Centro Legal de la Raza, Inc. | Youth Law Academy (YLA) | 49 | 70 | 70% | 1,490 | 2,205 | 68% |
| College Track | Empowering Oakland Students To and Through College | 221 | 259 | 85% | 4,204 | 4,545 | 92% |
| Oakland Kids First | Knight Success: College Ready, Career Ready and Community Ready | 278 | 417 | 67% | 8,971 | 6,895 | 130% |
| Oakland Unified School District | African American Male Achievement: College and Career Performance Program | 307 | 180 | 171% | 16,256 | 29,495 | 55% |
| Oakland Unified School District | OIHS: Refugee & Immigrant Wellness, Leadership and Restorative Justice Initiative | 418 | 258 | 162% | 3,402 | 2,749 | 124% |
| Oakland Unified School District | Student Engagement in Restorative Justice | 560 | 1,045 | 54% | 2,209 | 1,002 | 220% |
| The Mentoring Center | EMERGE | 6 | 5 | 120% | 127 | 316 | 40% |

Career Awareness and Employment Support

This strategy supports career awareness and employment support for older youth. Through career exploration, work readiness training, and employment opportunities, programming will provide youth with on-the-job experience, skill-building supports, and exposure to career options and pathways. This strategy will support both year-round and summer programs for students in school and for opportunity youth not connected to school or employment.

| Agency | Program | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | | % receiving at least 10 hours of work experience |
|--|--|--------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|--|
| | | Actual | Projected | Progress | Actual | Projected | Progress | |
| Alameda County Health Care Services Agency | Career Exploration Program | - | 16 | - | - | 1,600 | - | - |
| Alameda Health System | Oakland Health Careers Collaborative | 359 | 364 | 99% | 7,230 | 6,981 | 104% | 54% |
| Biotech Partners | Biotech Partners' Biotech Academy at Oakland Technical High School | 119 | 87 | 137% | 7,561 | 6,291 | 120% | 3% |
| Bridges from School to Work, Inc. | Bridges from School to Work | 54 | 60 | 90% | 437 | 3,282 | 13% | % |
| Center for Young Women's Development | Sisters on The Rise | 8 | 30 | 27% | 1,106 | 1,020 | 108% | 88% |
| Civicorps | Civicorps Academic and Professional Pathway | 33 | 59 | 56% | 13,116 | 14,330 | 92% | 70% |
| East Bay Asian Local Development Corporation | Havenscourt Youth Jobs Initiative | 48 | 100 | 48% | 956 | 687 | 139% | 6% |
| Lao Family Community Development, Inc. | Oakland Youth Industries Exploration (YIE) Program | 25 | 25 | 100% | 2,082 | 1,783 | 117% | 60% |
| Lao Family Community Development, Inc. | The Oakland Youth on the Move (YOM) Summer Employment Program | 80 | 79 | 101% | 8,933 | 8,237 | 108% | 93% |
| New Door Ventures | New Door Ventures Employment Program for Oakland Opportunity Youth 16-21 | 26 | 38 | 68% | 2,199 | 2,175 | 101% | 69% |
| Oakland Unified School District | Exploring College and Career Options (ECCO) | 605 | 374 | 162% | 52,836 | 28,000 | 189% | 100% |
| The Youth Employment Partnership, Inc. | Level Up - Options for Real Careers | 23 | 30 | 77% | 9,404 | 5,896 | 159% | 78% |

| Agency | Program | Youth Served | | | Units of Service | | | % receiving at least 10 hours of work experience |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | <i>Actual</i> | <i>Projected</i> | <i>Progress</i> | <i>Actual</i> | <i>Projected</i> | <i>Progress</i> | |
| The Youth Employment Partnership, Inc. | Summer Jobs | 112 | 75 | 149% | 14,631 | 7,110 | 206% | 74% |
| Youth Radio dba YR Media | Digital Media Pathways | 41 | 100 | 41% | 6,714 | 6,546 | 103% | 66% |
| Youth UpRising | YU Achieve (Summer Youth Employment) | 42 | 70 | 74% | 1,829 | 3,178 | 58% | 97% |

Application Summary

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| # OF APPLICATIONS RECEIVED: | 246 |
| # OF AGENCIES APPLYING FOR FUNDING: | 139 |
| AGENCY TYPE: | |
| 501c3 NON-PROFIT AGENCY | 128 (92%) |
| GOVERNMENT AGENCY | 6 (4%) |
| FISCALLY-SPONSORED INITIATIVE | 5 (4%) |
| APPLICANT TYPE: | |
| SINGLE AGENCY | 206 (84%) |
| SMALL & EMERGING | 25 (10%) |
| COLLABORATIONS | 15 (6%) |
| TOTAL FUNDING REQUESTED: | \$37,827,247 |

| | estimated % of total funds | Projected Range (low) | Projected Range (high) | # of Proposals Received | Amount Requested | | Difference | % Difference |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|--------------|
| Goal Area 1: Healthy Development of Young Children | 16% | \$2,880,000 | | | | | | |
| 1) Social Emotional Well-being in Early Childhood | 3% | \$500,000 | \$600,000 | 12 | \$1,677,636 | | \$1,077,636 | 280% |
| 2) Family Resource Centers and Parent Engagement | 13% | \$2,280,000 | \$2,380,000 | 17 | \$4,434,288 | | \$2,054,288 | 186% |
| Goal Area 2: Children’s Success in School | 37% | \$6,600,000 | | | | | | |
| 3) Comprehensive School-Based Afterschool at Elementary Schools | 18% | \$3,200,000 | \$3,300,000 | 43 | \$3,864,694 | | \$564,694 | 117% |
| 4) Comprehensive School-Based Afterschool at Middle Schools | 10% | \$1,700,000 | \$1,800,000 | 19 | \$1,857,200 | | \$57,200 | 103% |
| 5) Middle School Engagement, Wellness, and Transitions | 3.5% | \$610,000 | \$710,000 | 9 | \$977,212 | | \$267,212 | 138% |
| 6) High School and Post-Secondary Student Success | 5.5% | \$950,000 | \$1,050,000 | 18 | \$2,936,638 | | \$1,886,638 | 280% |
| Goal Area 3: Youth Development and Violence Prevention | 31% | \$5,000,000 | | | | | | |
| 7) Youth Leadership and Development | 22% | \$3,950,000 | \$4,050,000 | 69 | \$11,874,428 | | \$7,824,428 | 293% |
| 8) Summer Academic and Enrichment Programs | 4.5% | \$780,000 | \$880,000 | 17 | \$2,180,447 | | \$1,300,447 | 248% |
| 9) Violence Prevention Programming | 4.5% | \$700,000 | \$800,000 | 6 | \$952,117 | | \$152,117 | 119% |
| Goal Area 4: Transition to Adulthood | 16% | \$5,000,000 | | | | | | |
| 10) Career Access and Employment for Opportunity Youth | 9% | \$1,600,000 | \$1,700,000 | 17 | \$3,591,636 | | \$1,891,636 | 211% |
| 11) Career Access and Employment for Youth in School | 5% | \$830,000 | \$930,000 | 15 | \$2,846,955 | | \$1,916,955 | 306% |
| 11a) Career Access & Employment for Youth in School: Summer Jobs | 2% | \$300,000 | \$400,000 | 4 | \$633,997 | | \$233,997 | 158% |
| <i>Total estimated annual funding:</i> | | \$18,000,000 | | 246 | \$37,827,247 | | \$19,227,247 | 203% |

OFCY Funding Selection Process

1
Proposals Submitted

**February 22 –
before 5pm**

OFCY will only consider applications received online by deadline.

2
Staff Technical Review

**February 22 –
February 25**

Staff Screening:
Incomplete, partial and non-compliant applications are not reviewed.

3
Reader Scoring

**March 1 –
March 22**

Two reviews per proposal. Average taken of reviewer scores. Additional preference points assigned by Contacts
Compliance added to score. Proposals with scores of 70 and above move to review committee.

4
POC Review Committee

April 12-April 14

Review committee of applications by funding strategy. Consideration includes proposal scores along with OFCY Equity Framework, strategy alignment, past performance, and funds available.

5
POC Approval

April 22

Special Meeting. Recommendation for total funding packet across all strategies for comprehensive packet. POC to use Equity Framework in consideration of recommendation.

6
City Council Adoption

May 31

City Council Receives full funding packet to approve or reject.

The steps listed above are provided on page 20 of OFCY's Request for Proposals.

Reviewer Scoring of Proposals (100 point scale)

1) AGENCY HISTORY & CAPACITY..... 15 POINTS

- Past, recently completed, or on-going projects to substantiate experience.
- Demonstration of ability and experience in working with diverse communities and youth.
- Leadership in staff and board of directors reflects the community and demonstrates sound foundation for administration, programming, and fiscal management.

2) POPULATION & GEOGRAPHY..... 20 POINTS

- Understanding and connection to the community and target population.
- Clarity regarding neighborhood assets and challenges and how they impact the proposed services.
- Clear and realistic plan for engaging and retaining participants in programming.

3) PROGRAM DESIGN 30 POINTS

- A clear and specific description of the proposed services, including frequency of programming, average number of clients to be served daily and over the course of the year, location of services, and extent of proposed services to be delivered.
- Program design describes expected outcomes for participants and impact of programming and how program defines success.
- Partnerships support the program design and provide detail on roles and assets of partnerships in delivering services.

4) STAFFING EXPERIENCE & QUALIFICATIONS..... 15 POINTS

- Staffing is sufficient for the level of services proposed, and staff are qualified and reflective of the communities to be served.
- Staff have the knowledge skills and abilities to be successful and receive support from their agency to grow and develop the necessary skills.

5) REQUIRED RESOURCES AND BUDGET REQUEST 20 POINTS

- The program budget is clear and reasonable in costs to support the proposed level of services.
- Project budget provides detail on adequate justification of proposed costs.